

New Assignment 10/18/24

This is the beginning of a longer writing assignment. Here you will write a paper outline. You will use full sentences for each premise. Specifically, 1 full sentence per premise. [This is a helpful handout on how to write philosophy.](http://www.jimpryor.net/teaching/guidelines/writing.html) (<http://www.jimpryor.net/teaching/guidelines/writing.html>). However, I would try the 4 sentence abstract method we used earlier. Remember that this looks like this:

1. Author argues _____ because _____
2. I think that _____ because _____
3. Someone could reply that _____
4. However, _____

For this paper, you will compare virtue epistemology with natural law theory. Focus on the Nicomachean Ethics at the back of the chapter 8 and the original reading for NLT at the back of chapter 7. Explain some point Aristotle thinks and why, then explain a response a NLT theorist might make, pretending that you yourself are the NLT theorist. How might Aristotle respond? Would this be a good response?

This assignment is worth 30 points. If you have questions, please ask me. Also consult [the rubric](https://lccc-phi-240-course-website.netlify.app/syllabus/05-evaluation-grading) (<https://lccc-phi-240-course-website.netlify.app/syllabus/05-evaluation-grading>) as it will provide a useful guideline on what I am looking for. Remember, for instance precise summarization can either be the whole text or some important point in the text. You will get a chance to write a more comprehensive summary when we begin writing the papers.