
Sets

Overview

- Set notation
- Inductively defined sets

Set notation

Sets

Type *'a set*: sets over type *'a*

Sets

Type *'a set*: sets over type *'a*

- $\{\}$, $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$, $\{x. P\ x\}$

Sets

Type '*a set*': sets over type '*a*'

- $\{\}, \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}, \{x. P\ x\}$
- $e \in A, \quad A \subseteq B$

Sets

Type '*a set*': sets over type '*a*'

- $\{\}, \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}, \{x. P\ x\}$
- $e \in A, A \subseteq B$
- $A \cup B, A \cap B, A - B, - A$

Sets

Type '*a set*': sets over type '*a*'

- $\{\}, \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}, \{x. P\ x\}$
- $e \in A, A \subseteq B$
- $A \cup B, A \cap B, A - B, - A$
- $\bigcup_{x \in A} B\ x, \bigcap_{x \in A} B\ x$

Sets

Type '*a set*': sets over type '*a*'

- $\{\}, \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}, \{x. P\ x\}$
- $e \in A, A \subseteq B$
- $A \cup B, A \cap B, A - B, - A$
- $\bigcup_{x \in A} B\ x, \bigcap_{x \in A} B\ x$
- $\{i..j\}$

Sets

Type *'a set*: sets over type *'a*

- $\{\}, \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}, \{x. P\ x\}$
- $e \in A, A \subseteq B$
- $A \cup B, A \cap B, A - B, - A$
- $\bigcup_{x \in A} B\ x, \bigcap_{x \in A} B\ x$
- $\{i..j\}$
- $insert :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a\ set \Rightarrow 'a\ set$

Sets

Type *'a set*: sets over type *'a*

- $\{\}, \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}, \{x. P\ x\}$
- $e \in A, A \subseteq B$
- $A \cup B, A \cap B, A - B, - A$
- $\bigcup_{x \in A} B\ x, \bigcap_{x \in A} B\ x$
- $\{i..j\}$
- $insert :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a\ set \Rightarrow 'a\ set$
- $f ` A \equiv \{y. \exists x \in A. y = f\ x\}$

Sets

Type '*a set*: sets over type '*a*

- $\{\}, \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}, \{x. P\ x\}$
- $e \in A, A \subseteq B$
- $A \cup B, A \cap B, A - B, - A$
- $\bigcup_{x \in A} B\ x, \bigcap_{x \in A} B\ x$
- $\{i..j\}$
- $insert :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a\ set \Rightarrow 'a\ set$
- $f\ ` A \equiv \{y. \exists x \in A. y = f\ x\}$
- ...

Proofs about sets

Natural deduction proofs:

- equalityI: $\llbracket A \subseteq B; B \subseteq A \rrbracket \Longrightarrow A = B$

Proofs about sets

Natural deduction proofs:

- equalityI: $\llbracket A \subseteq B; B \subseteq A \rrbracket \Longrightarrow A = B$
- subsetI: $(\bigwedge x. x \in A \Longrightarrow x \in B) \Longrightarrow A \subseteq B$

Proofs about sets

Natural deduction proofs:

- equalityI: $\llbracket A \subseteq B; B \subseteq A \rrbracket \Longrightarrow A = B$
- subsetI: $(\bigwedge x. x \in A \Longrightarrow x \in B) \Longrightarrow A \subseteq B$
- ... (see Tutorial)

Demo: proofs about sets

Bounded quantifiers

- $\forall x \in A. P x$

Bounded quantifiers

- $\forall x \in A. P x \equiv \forall x. x \in A \longrightarrow P x$

Bounded quantifiers

- $\forall x \in A. P x \equiv \forall x. x \in A \longrightarrow P x$
- $\exists x \in A. P x$

Bounded quantifiers

- $\forall x \in A. P x \equiv \forall x. x \in A \longrightarrow P x$
- $\exists x \in A. P x \equiv \exists x. x \in A \wedge P x$

Bounded quantifiers

- $\forall x \in A. P x \equiv \forall x. x \in A \longrightarrow P x$
- $\exists x \in A. P x \equiv \exists x. x \in A \wedge P x$
- $\text{ballI}: (\bigwedge x. x \in A \Longrightarrow P x) \Longrightarrow \forall x \in A. P x$
- $\text{bspec}: \llbracket \forall x \in A. P x; x \in A \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P x$

Bounded quantifiers

- $\forall x \in A. P x \equiv \forall x. x \in A \longrightarrow P x$
- $\exists x \in A. P x \equiv \exists x. x \in A \wedge P x$
- $\text{ballI}: (\bigwedge x. x \in A \Longrightarrow P x) \Longrightarrow \forall x \in A. P x$
- $\text{bspec}: [\![\forall x \in A. P x; x \in A]\!] \Longrightarrow P x$
- $\text{bexI}: [\![P x; x \in A]\!] \Longrightarrow \exists x \in A. P x$
- $\text{bexE}: [\![\exists x \in A. P x; \bigwedge x. [x \in A; P x] \Longrightarrow Q]\!] \Longrightarrow Q$

Inductively defined sets

Example: even numbers

Informally:

Example: even numbers

Informally:

- 0 is even

Example: even numbers

Informally:

- 0 is even
- If n is even, so is $n + 2$

Example: even numbers

Informally:

- 0 is even
- If n is even, so is $n + 2$
- These are the only even numbers

Example: even numbers

Informally:

- 0 is even
- If n is even, so is $n + 2$
- These are the only even numbers

In Isabelle/HOL:

`inductive_set Ev :: nat set` — The set of all even numbers

Example: even numbers

Informally:

- 0 is even
- If n is even, so is $n + 2$
- These are the only even numbers

In Isabelle/HOL:

inductive_set $Ev :: nat\ set$

— The set of all even numbers

where

$0 \in Ev \mid$

$n \in Ev \implies n + 2 \in Ev$

Format of inductive definitions

inductive_set $S :: \tau$ set

Format of inductive definitions

inductive_set $S :: \tau$ *set*

where

$\llbracket a_1 \in S; \dots ; a_n \in S; A_1; \dots ; A_k \rrbracket \Longrightarrow a \in S \mid$

\vdots

Format of inductive definitions

inductive_set $S :: \tau$ *set*

where

$\llbracket a_1 \in S; \dots ; a_n \in S; A_1; \dots ; A_k \rrbracket \Longrightarrow a \in S \mid$

\vdots

where $A_1; \dots ; A_k$ are side conditions not involving S .

Proving properties of even numbers

Easy: $4 \in Ev$

$$0 \in Ev \implies 2 \in Ev \implies 4 \in Ev$$

Proving properties of even numbers

Easy: $4 \in Ev$

$$0 \in Ev \implies 2 \in Ev \implies 4 \in Ev$$

Trickier: $m \in Ev \implies m+m \in Ev$

Proving properties of even numbers

Easy: $4 \in Ev$

$$0 \in Ev \implies 2 \in Ev \implies 4 \in Ev$$

Trickier: $m \in Ev \implies m+m \in Ev$

Idea: induction on the length of the derivation of $m \in Ev$

Proving properties of even numbers

Easy: $4 \in Ev$

$$0 \in Ev \implies 2 \in Ev \implies 4 \in Ev$$

Trickier: $m \in Ev \implies m+m \in Ev$

Idea: induction on the length of the derivation of $m \in Ev$

Better: induction on the *structure* of the derivation

Proving properties of even numbers

Easy: $4 \in Ev$

$$0 \in Ev \implies 2 \in Ev \implies 4 \in Ev$$

Trickier: $m \in Ev \implies m+m \in Ev$

Idea: induction on the length of the derivation of $m \in Ev$

Better: induction on the *structure* of the derivation

Two cases: $m \in Ev$ is proved by

- rule $0 \in Ev$

Proving properties of even numbers

Easy: $4 \in Ev$

$$0 \in Ev \implies 2 \in Ev \implies 4 \in Ev$$

Trickier: $m \in Ev \implies m+m \in Ev$

Idea: induction on the length of the derivation of $m \in Ev$

Better: induction on the *structure* of the derivation

Two cases: $m \in Ev$ is proved by

- rule $0 \in Ev$
 $\implies m = 0 \implies 0+0 \in Ev$

Proving properties of even numbers

Easy: $4 \in Ev$

$$0 \in Ev \implies 2 \in Ev \implies 4 \in Ev$$

Trickier: $m \in Ev \implies m+m \in Ev$

Idea: induction on the length of the derivation of $m \in Ev$

Better: induction on the *structure* of the derivation

Two cases: $m \in Ev$ is proved by

- rule $0 \in Ev$
 $\implies m = 0 \implies 0+0 \in Ev$
- rule $n \in Ev \implies n+2 \in Ev$

Proving properties of even numbers

Easy: $4 \in Ev$

$$0 \in Ev \implies 2 \in Ev \implies 4 \in Ev$$

Trickier: $m \in Ev \implies m+m \in Ev$

Idea: induction on the length of the derivation of $m \in Ev$

Better: induction on the *structure* of the derivation

Two cases: $m \in Ev$ is proved by

- rule $0 \in Ev$
 $\implies m = 0 \implies 0+0 \in Ev$
- rule $n \in Ev \implies n+2 \in Ev$
 $\implies m = n+2$ and $n+n \in Ev$ (ind. hyp.!).)

Proving properties of even numbers

Easy: $4 \in Ev$

$$0 \in Ev \implies 2 \in Ev \implies 4 \in Ev$$

Trickier: $m \in Ev \implies m+m \in Ev$

Idea: induction on the length of the derivation of $m \in Ev$

Better: induction on the *structure* of the derivation

Two cases: $m \in Ev$ is proved by

- rule $0 \in Ev$
 $\implies m = 0 \implies 0+0 \in Ev$
- rule $n \in Ev \implies n+2 \in Ev$
 $\implies m = n+2$ and $n+n \in Ev$ (ind. hyp.!)
 $\implies m+m = (n+2)+(n+2) = ((n+n)+2)+2 \in Ev$

Rule induction for Ev

To prove

$$n \in Ev \implies P\ n$$

by *rule induction* on $n \in Ev$ we must prove

Rule induction for Ev

To prove

$$n \in Ev \implies P\ n$$

by *rule induction* on $n \in Ev$ we must prove

- $P\ 0$

Rule induction for Ev

To prove

$$n \in Ev \implies P\ n$$

by *rule induction* on $n \in Ev$ we must prove

- $P\ 0$
- $P\ n \implies P(n+2)$

Rule induction for Ev

To prove

$$n \in Ev \implies P\ n$$

by *rule induction* on $n \in Ev$ we must prove

- $P\ 0$
- $P\ n \implies P(n+2)$

Rule $Ev.induct$:

$$\llbracket n \in Ev; P\ 0; \bigwedge n. P\ n \implies P(n+2) \rrbracket \implies P\ n$$

Rule induction for Ev

To prove

$$n \in Ev \implies P\ n$$

by *rule induction* on $n \in Ev$ we must prove

- $P\ 0$
- $P\ n \implies P(n+2)$

Rule $Ev.induct$:

$$\llbracket n \in Ev; P\ 0; \bigwedge n. P\ n \implies P(n+2) \rrbracket \implies P\ n$$

An elimination rule

Rule induction in general

Set S is defined inductively.

Rule induction in general

Set S is defined inductively.

To prove

$$x \in S \implies P x$$

by *rule induction* on $x \in S$

Rule induction in general

Set S is defined inductively.

To prove

$$x \in S \implies P x$$

by *rule induction* on $x \in S$

we must prove for every rule

$$\llbracket a_1 \in S; \dots ; a_n \in S \rrbracket \implies a \in S$$

that P is preserved:

Rule induction in general

Set S is defined inductively.

To prove

$$x \in S \implies P x$$

by *rule induction* on $x \in S$

we must prove for every rule

$$\llbracket a_1 \in S; \dots ; a_n \in S \rrbracket \implies a \in S$$

that P is preserved:

$$\llbracket P a_1; \dots ; P a_n \rrbracket \implies P a$$

Rule induction in general

Set S is defined inductively.

To prove

$$x \in S \implies P x$$

by *rule induction* on $x \in S$

we must prove for every rule

$$\llbracket a_1 \in S; \dots ; a_n \in S \rrbracket \implies a \in S$$

that P is preserved:

$$\llbracket P a_1; \dots ; P a_n \rrbracket \implies P a$$

In Isabelle/HOL:

apply(erule S.induct)

Demo: inductively defined sets

Inductive predicates

$$\tau \text{ set} \rightsquigarrow \tau \Rightarrow \text{bool}$$

Inductive predicates

$$\tau \text{ set} \rightsquigarrow \tau \Rightarrow \text{bool}$$

Example:

inductive *Ev* :: *nat* \Rightarrow *bool*

where

Ev 0 |

Ev *n* \Longrightarrow *Ev* (*n* + 2)

Inductive predicates

$$\tau \text{ set} \rightsquigarrow \tau \Rightarrow \text{bool}$$

Example:

inductive *Ev* :: *nat* \Rightarrow *bool*

where

Ev 0 |

Ev *n* \Longrightarrow *Ev* (*n* + 2)

Comparison:

predicate simpler syntax

set direct usage of \cup etc

Inductive predicates

$$\tau \text{ set} \rightsquigarrow \tau \Rightarrow \text{bool}$$

Example:

inductive *Ev* :: *nat* \Rightarrow *bool*

where

Ev 0 |

Ev *n* \Rightarrow *Ev* (*n* + 2)

Comparison:

predicate simpler syntax

set direct usage of \cup etc

Inductive predicates can be of type $\tau_1 \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow \tau_n \Rightarrow \text{bool}$