# **Functional Data Structures**

#### Exercise Sheet 11

#### **Exercise 11.1** Sparse Binary Numbers

Implement operations carry, inc, and add on sparse binary numbers, analogously to the operations link, ins, and meld on binomial heaps.

Show that the operations have logarithmic worst-case complexity.

```
type\_synonym \ rank = nat
type\_synonym \ snat = "rank \ list"
abbreviation invar :: "snat \Rightarrow bool" where "invar s \equiv strictly\_ascending s"
definition \alpha :: "snat \Rightarrow nat" where "\alpha s = (\sum i \leftarrow s. \ 2\hat{\ }i)"
fun carry :: "rank \Rightarrow snat" \Rightarrow snat"
lemma carry\_invar[simp]:
lemma carry\_\alpha:
definition inc :: "snat \Rightarrow snat"
lemma inc\_invar[simp]: "invar\ rs \implies invar\ (inc\ rs)"
lemma inc_{-}\alpha[simp]: "invar\ rs \Longrightarrow \alpha\ (inc\ rs) = Suc\ (\alpha\ rs)"
\mathbf{fun} \ add :: \ ``snat \Rightarrow snat"
lemma add\_invar[simp]:
 assumes "invar rs<sub>1</sub>".
 assumes "invar rs<sub>2</sub>"
 shows "invar (add rs<sub>1</sub> rs<sub>2</sub>)"
lemma add_{-}\alpha[simp]:
 assumes "invar rs<sub>1</sub>"
 assumes "invar rs2"
 shows "\alpha (add rs_1 rs_2) = \alpha rs_1 + \alpha rs_2"
lemma size_snat:
 assumes "invar rs"
 shows "2 \hat{l} length rs \leq \alpha rs + 1"
fun t_carry :: "rank \Rightarrow snat \Rightarrow nat"
definition t\_inc :: "snat \Rightarrow nat"
lemma t\_inc\_bound:
 assumes "invar rs"
 shows "t-inc rs \leq log \ 2 \ (\alpha \ rs + 1) + 1"
fun t_-add :: "snat \Rightarrow snat \Rightarrow nat"
```

```
lemma t\_add\_bound:

fixes rs_1 rs_2

defines "n_1 \equiv \alpha \ rs_1"

defines "n_2 \equiv \alpha \ rs_2"

assumes INVARS: "invar rs_1" "invar rs_2"

shows "t\_add rs_1 rs_2 \leq 4*log 2 (n_1 + n_2 + 1) + 2"
```

### Homework 11.1 Largest Representable Number

Submission until Friday, 14. 7. 2017, 11:59am.

Assume we use numbers  $\{0..< K\}$  to represent the ranks in a sparse binary number. Define  $\max_{s} K$  to be the largest representable sparse binary number (its value should be  $2^K - 1$ ), and prove that your definition is correct.

```
definition max\_snat :: "nat \Rightarrow snat"
lemma "invar (max\_snat K)"
lemma \alpha\_max\_snat :: "\alpha (max\_snat K) = 2^K - 1"
lemma max\_snat\_bounded :: "set (max\_snat K) \subseteq \{0..< K\}"
lemma max\_snat\_max ::
assumes "invar rs"
assumes "set rs \subseteq \{0..< K\}"
shows "\alpha rs \le \alpha (max\_snat K)"
```

## Homework 11.2 Be Original!

Submission until Friday, 28. 7. 2017, 11:59am.

Develop a nice Isabelle formalization yourself!

- This homework is for 3 weeks, and will yield 15 points + 15 bonus points.
- You may develop a formalization from all areas, not only functional data structures.
- Set yourself a time frame and some intermediate/minimal goals. Your formalization needs not be universal and complete after 3 weeks.
- You are welcome to discuss the realizability of your project with the tutor!
- In case you should need inspiration to find a project: Sparse matrices, skew binary numbers, arbitrary precision arithmetic (on lists of bits), interval data structures (e.g. interval lists), spatial data structures (quad-trees, oct-trees), Fibonacci heaps, etc.