

MAT 310 HW 9, Carl Liu

7.A.1

Suppose n is a positive integer. Let $z, w \in V$ such that $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ and $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle z, T^*w \rangle &= \langle Tz, w \rangle = \langle (0, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}), w \rangle = \\ &= \langle (0, \dots, 0) + \dots + (0, \dots, z_j, \dots, 0) + \dots + (0, \dots, z_{n-1}), w \rangle = \\ &= \langle (0, \dots, 0), w \rangle + \dots + \langle (0, \dots, z_j, \dots, 0), w \rangle + \dots + \langle (0, \dots, z_{n-1}), w \rangle = \\ &= \langle (0, z_1, \dots, 0), w \rangle + \dots + \langle (0, \dots, z_j, \dots, 0), w \rangle + \dots + \langle (0, \dots, z_{n-1}), w \rangle = \\ &= 0 + z_1 \overline{w_2} + \dots + z_{n-1} \overline{w_n} = \langle (z_1 \dots z_n), (w_2, \dots, w_n, 0) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can conclude that $T^*(w_1, \dots, w_n) = (w_2, \dots, w_n, 0)$

7.A.2

Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$

Suppose λ is an eigenvalue of T . Then $(T - \lambda I)$ is not invertible and there is a $v \in V$ which is the eigenvector corresponding to λ . Then $\text{null } (T - \lambda I)^* = (\text{range } T - \lambda I)^\perp$. But because $(T - \lambda I)$ is not invertible, we have $\text{range } T - \lambda I \neq V$ meaning $\dim \text{range } T - \lambda I < \dim V$. Since $\dim (\text{range } T - \lambda I)^\perp = \dim V - \dim \text{range } T - \lambda I$, we have $\dim (\text{range } T - \lambda I)^\perp > 0$ and thus we can conclude that $\dim \text{null } (T - \lambda I)^* > 0$. Therefore we can conclude that $\text{null } (T - \lambda I)^* \neq \{0\}$ and so $(T - \lambda I)^*$ is thus not injective making it not invertible. But we have $(T - \lambda I)^* = (T^* - \overline{\lambda}I)$ is also not invertible. Therefore we can conclude that $\overline{\lambda}$ is an eigenvalue of T^*

Suppose $\overline{\lambda}$ is an eigenvalue of T^* . The proof is the same as above but replace T with T^* , λ with $\overline{\lambda}$, T^* with T and λ with $\overline{\lambda}$.

7.A.5

Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Then $\text{null } T^* = (\text{range } T)^\perp$. So $\dim \text{null } T^* = \dim (\text{range } T)^\perp = \dim W - \dim \text{range } T = \dim W - (\dim V - \dim \text{null } T) = \dim \text{null } T + \dim W - \dim V$ as required

We have $\text{range } T^* = (\text{null } T)^\perp$. So $\dim \text{range } T^* = \dim (\text{null } T)^\perp = \dim V - \dim \text{null } T = \dim V - (\dim V - \dim \text{range } T) = \dim \text{range } T$ as required

7.B.2

Suppose that T is a self-adjoint operator on a finite-dimensional inner product space and that 2 and 3 are the only eigenvalues of T . We then have an orthonormal basis that consists of eigenvectors, let's call (a_1, \dots, a_n) where n is the dimension of V . Let $v \in V$. Then $v = b_1 a_1 + \dots + b_n a_n$ for some b_1, \dots, b_n . Since $(T - 2I)a_j = 0$ or $(T - 3I)a_j = 0$, and $(T - 3I)(T - 2I) = (T - 2I)(T - 3I) = (T^2 - 5T + 6I)$, we conclude $\langle (T^2 - 5T + 6I)v, v \rangle = \langle 0, v \rangle = 0$ and so $T^2 - 5T + 6I = 0$ since T is self-adjoint.

7.B.6

Suppose T is a normal operator on a complex inner product space.

Suppose T is self-adjoint. Let λ be an eigenvalue of T . Then we have an eigenvector v corresponding to λ . We have $\langle Tv, v \rangle = \langle v, Tv \rangle = \langle v, \lambda v \rangle$. Since $\langle Tv, v \rangle = \langle \lambda v, v \rangle$, we conclude that $\langle \lambda v, v \rangle = \langle v, \lambda v \rangle$ and so $\lambda \langle v, v \rangle = \bar{\lambda} \langle v, v \rangle$. This results in $\bar{\lambda} = \lambda$ which means λ is real. Since λ is an arbitrary eigenvalue, we can conclude this for all eigenvalues as required.

Suppose all eigenvalues are real. V has an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors of T , let's call e_1, \dots, e_n . Let $v \in V$. Then $v = b_1 e_1 + \dots + b_n e_n$ for $b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathbf{F}$. We have $\langle Tv, v \rangle = \langle T(b_1 e_1 + \dots + b_n e_n), v \rangle = \langle v, T^*(b_1 e_1 + \dots + b_n e_n) \rangle$. But because we have $\langle T(b_1 e_1 + \dots + b_n e_n), v \rangle = \langle T(b_1 e_1 + \dots + b_n e_n), b_1 e_1 \rangle + \dots + \langle T(b_1 e_1 + \dots + b_n e_n), b_n e_n \rangle = \langle b_1 T e_1, b_1 e_1 \rangle + \dots + \langle b_n T e_n, b_n e_n \rangle = \langle b_1 c_1 e_1, b_1 e_1 \rangle + \dots + \langle b_n c_n e_n, b_n e_n \rangle = \langle b_1 T e_1, b_1 e_1 \rangle + \dots + \langle b_n T e_n, b_n e_n \rangle = \langle b_1 e_1, b_1 T e_1 \rangle + \dots + \langle b_n e_n, b_n T e_n \rangle = \langle b_1 e_1 + \dots + b_n e_n, T(b_1 e_1 + \dots + b_n e_n) \rangle = \langle v, Tv \rangle$, where $T e_j = c_j e_j$, meaning c_j must be real. Thus we have $\langle v, T^* v \rangle = \langle v, Tv \rangle$ and so $T^* = T$ meaning T is self-adjoint as required.