## MAT 310 HW 2, Carl Liu

#### 1.C.20

Consider the set  $W = \{(0, x, y, 0) \in \mathbf{F}^4 : x, y \in \mathbf{F}\}$ . This is clearly a subset of  $\mathbf{F}^4$ . We also have  $(0,0,0,0) \in W$ . Since (0,x,y,0) = (0,0,0,0) when  $x = 0, y = 0 \in \mathbf{F}$ . Consider two vectors  $u, v \in W$ . Then u = (0,a,b,0) and v = (0,c,d,0) for  $a,b,c,d \in \mathbf{F}$ . So u+v = (0,a+c,b+d,0), but  $a+c,b+d \in \mathbf{F}$  and so have  $u+v \in W$  making W closed under addition. Consider  $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}$  and  $v \in W$ . Then v = (0,a,b,0) where  $a,b \in \mathbf{F}$ . Then  $\lambda v = (0\lambda,\lambda a,\lambda b,0\lambda) = (0,\lambda a,\lambda b,0)$  but since  $\lambda a,\lambda b \in \mathbf{F}$  we can thus conclude that  $\lambda v \in W$  and W is closed under scalar multiplication. So W is a subspace of  $\mathbf{F}^4$  as required.

Consider the set  $U + W = \{u + w : u \in U, w \in W\} = \{(x, a, b, y) \in \mathbf{F}^4 : x, y, a, b \in \mathbf{F}\}$ . Since  $0 = (0, 0, 0, 0) \in U + W$ , we have 0 = u + w = (x, x, y, y) + (0, a, b, 0)(x, x + a, y + b, y) and 0 = u' + w' = (x', x', y', y') + (0, a', b', 0). So x = x' = 0, x + a = x' + a' = 0, y + b = y' + b' = 0, and y = y' = 0. But because x = x' = 0 and y = y' = 0, we have 0 = x' + a' = 0 + a' = a', 0 = x + a = 0 + a = a, 0 = y + b = 0 + b = b, and 0 = y' + b' = 0 + b' = b'. Thus we have a = a', b = b', c = c', and d = d'. Therefore u' = u and w = w'. So we have a unique representation of 0. Thus we can conclude that U + W is a direct sum and can express it as  $U \oplus W$ .

We have  $U \oplus W \subseteq \mathbf{F}^4$  since addition is closed in vector spaces. Let  $x \in \mathbf{F}^4$ . Then x = (a, b, c, d) where  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbf{F}$ . Then  $x \in U \oplus W$ . Thus  $\mathbf{F}^4 \subseteq U \oplus W$ . Therefore  $U \oplus W = \mathbf{F}^4$  as required.

## 2.A.6

Let  $0 = a(v_1 - v_2) + b(v_2 - v_3) + c(v_3 - v_4) + dv_4$  where  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbf{F}$ . Then  $a(v_1 - v_2) + b(v_2 - v_3) + c(v_3 - v_4) + dv_4 = a(v_1) + (b - a)v_2 + (c - b)v_3 + (d - c)v_4 = 0$ . But we have  $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4$  is linearly independent and so a = 0, b - a = 0, c - b = 0, and d - c = 0. That means 0 = b - a = b - 0 = b, 0 = c - b = c - 0 = c, and 0 = d - c = d - 0 = d. So we must have 0 = a, b, c, d and thus we can conclude that  $(v_1 - v_2), (v_2 - v_3), (v_3 - v_4), v_4$  is indeed linearly independent.

## 2.A.10

Since  $v_1+w...v_m+w$  is linearly dependent, there exists a  $1 \le j \le m$  such that  $a_j \in \mathbf{F}$  and  $a_j \ne 0$  and  $0 = a_1(v_1+w)...a_m(v_m+w)$ . Then  $\sum_{n=1}^m a_n(v_n+w) = \sum_{n=1}^m a_nv_n + w\sum_{n=1}^m a_n = 0$ . That means  $\sum_{n=1}^m a_nv_n = -w\sum_{n=1}^m a_n$ . We

then have two cases, either w=0 (vector) or  $w\neq 0$ . In the case w=0, we have  $\sum_{n=1}^{m}0*v_n=0=w$  and thus we have  $w\in span(v_1...v_m)$  as required. In the case  $w\neq 0$ , suppose for contradiction that  $\sum_{n=1}^{m}a_n=0$ . Then  $-w\sum_{n=1}^{m}a_n=-w*0=0$ . But  $\sum_{n=1}^{m}a_nv_n=-w\sum_{n=1}^{m}a_n=0$  and because  $v_1...v_m$  is linearly independent we must have  $a_n=0$  for all n. This is a contradiction since we have  $a_j\neq 0$ . Thus we can conclude  $\sum_{n=1}^{m}a_n\neq 0$ . That means we have  $\sum_{n=1}^{m}((-a_n/\sum_{n=1}^{m}a_n)(v_n+w))=w$  and since the left hand side is a sum of  $v_1...v_m$ , we can thus conclude  $w\in span(v_1...v_m)$  as required.

#### 2.A.14

Suppose V is infinite-dimensional. Then  $span(v_1...v_m) \neq V$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let the sequence  $w_n$  be defined recursively as  $w_1 \in V$  such that  $w_1 \neq 0$ ,  $w_{n+1} \in V$  and  $w_{n+1} \notin span(w_1...w_n)$ . Such a sequence exists because  $span(w_1...w_n) \neq V$  for all n and thus we have  $w \in V$  such that  $w \notin span(w_1...w_n)$ .

We will prove using induction that  $w_1...w_n$  is linearly independent for all n. Suppose as base case n=1. Then we have  $w_1$  is linearly independent since it is a list of one vector that is not equal to 0. Suppose as inductive hypothesis that  $w_1...w_n$  is linearly independent for some  $n \geq 1$ . Then  $w_{n+1} \neq \sum_{i=1}^n a_i w_i$  for all  $a_n \in \mathbf{F}$ . This is due to how we defined the sequence earlier. Let  $0 = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i w_i + b w_{n+1}$ . Then  $-b w_{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i w_i$ . Suppose for contradiction that  $b \neq 0$ . Then  $\sum_{i=1}^n (-a_i/b)w_i = w_{n+1}$ . But that's a contradiction since  $w_{n+1}$  cannot be a linear combination of  $w_1...w_n$ . Thus b=0. So we have  $0 = 0 w_{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^i a_i w_i$ . But because  $w_1...w_n$  is linearly independent, we must have  $a_i = 0$  thus we must have  $0 w_i n + 1 = \sum_{i=1}^n 0 w_i$  and so we must have  $0 = \sum_{i=1}^n 0 w_i + 0 w_{n+1}$  meaning  $w_1...w_{n+1}$  is linearly independent. Thus we can close the induction and we have  $w_1...w_n$  is linearly independent for all  $n \geq 1$  as required.

Suppose the converse. Suppose for contradiction that V is finite dimensional. Then there exists an  $m \geq 1$  such that a list,  $(w_1...w_m)$  of vectors in V spans V. But we have a list  $v_1...v_{m+1}$  that is linearly independent in V. By 2.23, a list that spans V must have greater than m+1 elements. But  $w_1...w_m$  spans V and has only m elements, a contradiction. Thus we can conclude that V is infinite dimensional as required.

We can then conclude the equivalence true.

# 2.A.15

Consider the sequence  $(v_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  defined as  $v_n = (a_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$  where  $a_j = 1$  when j = n and  $a_j = 0$  otherwise.  $v_n$  is clearly in  $\mathbf{F}^{\infty}$ . Let  $n \geq 1$  and  $0 = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i v_i$ . Since  $v_i$  is 0 except at its ith element, we have  $\sum_{i=1}^n b_i v_i = (c_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$  where  $c_i = b_i$  when  $i \leq n$  and  $c_i = 0$  when i > n. So  $(c_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} = 0$  and so  $b_i = 0$ . This means  $v_1...v_n$  is linearly independent. Since  $n \geq 1$  was arbitrary we can conclude this for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and thus by the exercise above, we have  $\mathbf{F}^{\infty}$  is infinite dimensional as required.