CHAPTER 5 REVIEW

(Page 252)

Understanding Concepts

- 1. (a) In a reagent mix, the one consumed first, causing the reaction to cease, is the limiting reagent. Some of the other reagent will remain, so it is said to be in excess.
 - (b) A chemical reaction involves change in the electrons of an entity; a nuclear reaction involves change in the atomic nuclei.
 - (c) Alpha decay involves the emission of an alpha particle (helium-4 nucleus) from an atomic nucleus, while beta decay involves the emission of a beta particle (electron) from a nucleus.
 - (d) The quantity of product predicted by stoichiometric calculation is the theoretical yield. When the reaction is carried out, the measured quantity of product obtained is the actual yield.
 - (e) An empirical formula shows the simplest integral ratio of component entities. A molecular formula shows the actual numerical ratio of atoms in a molecule of the substance.
- 2. (a) $2 SO_{2(g)} + O_{2(g)} \rightarrow 2 SO_{3(g)}$
 - (b) $SO_{3(g)} + H_2O_{(1)} \rightarrow H_2SO_{4(ag)}$
 - (c) $CaO_{(s)} + SO_{2(g)} \rightarrow O_{2(g)} + CaSO_{4(s)}$
 - (d) $CaO_{(s)} + H_2SO_{3(aq)} \rightarrow H_2O_{(1)} + CaSO_{3(s)}$
 - (e) $Al_2(SiO_3)_{3(s)} + 3 H_2SO_{4(aq)} \rightarrow 3 H_2SiO_{3(aq)} + Al_2(SO_4)_{3(s)}$
- 3. (a) $^{233}_{90}$ Th $\rightarrow ^{0}_{1}$ e + $^{233}_{91}$ Pa
 - (b) $^{233}_{01}Pa \rightarrow ^{0}_{1}e + ^{233}_{02}U$
- 4. ${}^{131}_{53}I \rightarrow {}^{0}_{1}e + {}^{131}_{54}Xe$
- 5. (a) ${}^{122}_{53}I \rightarrow {}^{122}_{54}Xe + {}^{0}_{1}e$
 - (b) ${}^{59}_{26}\text{Fe} \rightarrow {}^{59}_{27}\text{Co} + {}^{0}_{1}\text{e}$
 - (c) ${}^{222}_{86}\text{Rn} \rightarrow {}^{218}_{84}\text{Po} + {}^{4}_{2}\text{He}$
 - (d) ${}^{252}_{98}\text{Cf} + {}^{10}_{5}\text{B} \rightarrow {}^{259}_{103}\text{Lr} + 3 {}^{1}_{0}\text{n}$
 - (e) $^{239}_{04}$ Pu + $^{4}_{2}$ He $\rightarrow ^{242}_{96}$ Cm + $^{1}_{0}$ n
- 6. The mass number drops by 28, which means 28/4 = 7 alpha decays. The atomic number only drops by 10, which means 14 - 10 = 4 beta decays.
- 7. ${}^{190}_{75}\text{Re} \rightarrow {}^{190}_{76}\text{Os} + {}^{0}_{-1}\text{e}$

$${}_{3}^{9}\text{Li} \rightarrow {}_{3}^{8}\text{Li} + {}_{0}^{1}\text{n}$$

$$^{214}_{83}\text{Bi} \rightarrow ^{210}_{79}\text{Au} + ^{4}_{2}\text{He}$$

$$^{162}_{69}\text{Tm} \rightarrow ^{162}_{70}\text{Yb} + ^{0}_{-1}\text{e}$$

$$^{120}_{49}\text{In} \rightarrow ^{120}_{50}\text{Sn} + ^{0}_{-1}\text{e}$$

8. $2 C_8 H_{18(l)}$ + $25 O_{2(g)}$ \rightarrow $16 CO_{2(g)}$ + $18 H_2 O_{(g)}$ 692 g 44.01 g/mol 114.26 g/mol

$$n_{\text{C}_8\text{H}_{18}} = 692 \text{ g} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol}}{114.26 \text{ g}}$$
 $n_{\text{C}_8\text{H}_{18}} = 6.06 \text{ mol}$
 $n_{\text{CO}_2} = 6.06 \text{ mol} \times \frac{16}{2}$

$$n_{\text{CO}_2} = 6.06 \text{ mol } \times \frac{16}{2}$$

$$n_{\text{CO}_2}$$
 = 48.5 mol
 m_{CO_2} = 48.5 mol $\times \frac{44.01 \text{ g}}{1 \text{ mol}}$
 m_{CO_2} = 2.13 $\times 10^3 \text{ g} = 2.13 \text{ kg}$

$$\begin{array}{ll} m_{\rm CO_2} & = 692 \text{ g} \text{ C}_8 \cancel{\text{M}}_{18} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol } \cancel{\text{C}}_8 \cancel{\text{M}}_{18}}{114.26 \text{ g} \text{ C}_8 \cancel{\text{M}}_{18}} \times \frac{16 \text{ mol } \cancel{\text{CO}}_2}{2 \text{ mol } \cancel{\text{C}}_8 \cancel{\text{M}}_{18}} \times \frac{44.01 \text{ g} \text{ CO}_2}{1 \text{ mol } \cancel{\text{CO}}_2} \\ m_{\rm CO_2} & = 2.13 \times 10^3 \text{ g} = 2.13 \text{ kg} \end{array}$$

The mass of carbon dioxide formed is 2.13 kg.

9. (a)
$$WO_{3(s)} + 3H_{2(g)} \rightarrow W_{(s)} + 3H_2O_{(g)}$$
 $m = 5.00 \text{ g}$
 231.85 g/mol
 183.85 g/mol
 $n_W = 5.00 \text{ g} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol}}{183.85 \text{ g}}$
 $n_W = 0.0272 \text{ mol}$
 $n_{WO_3} = 0.0272 \text{ mol} \times \frac{1}{1}$
 $n_{WO_3} = 0.0272 \text{ mol} \times \frac{231.85 \text{ g}}{1 \text{ mol}}$
 $m_{WO_3} = 6.31 \text{ g}$

or
$$m_{WO_3} = 5.00 \text{ g} \text{ W} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol} \text{ W}}{183.85 \text{ g} \text{ W}} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol} \text{ W}}{1 \text{ mol}} \times \frac{231.85 \text{ g} \text{ W}}{1 \text{ mol}} \times \frac{231.85 \text{ g}}{1 \text{ mol}} \times \frac{231.85$$

The mass of tungsten(VI) oxide needed is 6.31 g.

(b)
$$WO_{3(s)} + 3 H_{2(g)} \rightarrow W_{(s)} + 3 H_{2}O_{(g)}$$

 $5.00 g$ m
 $183.85 g/mol$ $18.02 g/mol$
 $n_{W} = 5.00 g/X + \frac{1 mol}{183.85 g/g}$
 $n_{W} = 0.0272 mol$
 $n_{H_{2}O} = 0.0272 mol \times \frac{3}{1}$
 $n_{H_{2}O} = 0.0816 mol$
 $m_{H_{2}O} = 0.0816 pool \times \frac{18.02 g}{1 pool}$
 $m_{H_{2}O} = 1.47 g$

$$\begin{array}{ll} m_{\rm H_2O} & = 5.00 \text{ g W} \times \frac{1 \text{ pool W}}{183.85 \text{ g W}} \times \frac{3 \text{ pool H}_2O}{1 \text{ pool W}} \times \frac{18.02 \text{ g H}_2O}{1 \text{ pool H}_2O} \\ m_{\rm H_2O} & = 1.47 \text{ g} \end{array}$$

The mass of water vapour produced is 1.47 g.

10. (a)
$$\text{HC}_4\text{H}_7\text{O}_{2(\text{l})}$$
 + $\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH}_{(\text{l})}$ \rightarrow $\text{C}_4\text{H}_7\text{O}_2\text{C}_2\text{H}_{5(\text{l})}$ + $\text{H}_2\text{O}_{(\text{l})}$ 30.0 g 18.0 g 88.12 g/mol 46.08 g/mol
$$n_{\text{HC}_4\text{H}_7\text{O}_2} = 30.0 \text{ g} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol}}{88.12 \text{ g}}$$

$$n_{\text{HC}_4\text{H}_7\text{O}_2} = 0.340 \text{ mol}$$

$$n_{\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH}} = 18.0 \text{ g} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol}}{46.08 \text{ g}}$$

 $n_{\text{C}_5\text{H}_5\text{OH}} = 0.391 \text{ mol}$

Since the reactant mole ratio is 1:1, the HC₄H₇O₂₍₁₎ is obviously the limiting reagent for this reaction.

(b)
$$\text{HC}_4\text{H}_7\text{O}_{2(1)} + \text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH}_{(1)} \rightarrow \text{C}_4\text{H}_7\text{O}_2\text{C}_2\text{H}_{5(1)} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_{(1)}$$

 30.0 g m
 88.12 g/mol 116.18 g/mol
 $n_{\text{HC}_4\text{H}_7\text{O}_2} = 30.0 \text{ g} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol}}{88.12 \text{ g}}$
 $n_{\text{HC}_4\text{H}_7\text{O}_2} = 0.340 \text{ mol}$
 $n_{\text{C}_4\text{H}_7\text{O}_2\text{C}_2\text{H}_5} = 0.340 \text{ mol} \times \frac{1}{1}$
 $n_{\text{C}_4\text{H}_7\text{O}_2\text{C}_2\text{H}_5} = 0.340 \text{ mol}$
 $m_{\text{C}_4\text{H}_7\text{O}_2\text{C}_2\text{H}_5} = 0.340 \text{ mol} \times \frac{116.18 \text{ g}}{1 \text{ mol}}$
 $m_{\text{C}_4\text{H}_7\text{O}_2\text{C}_2\text{H}_5} = 39.6 \text{ g}$

or

$$m_{\text{C}_4\text{H}_7\text{O}_2\text{C}_2\text{H}_5} = 30.0 \text{ g HC}_4\text{H}_7\text{O}_2 \times \frac{1 \text{ mol HC}_4\text{H}_7\text{O}_2}{2} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol C}_4\text{H}_7\text{O}_2\text{C}_2\text{H}_5}{2} \times \frac{116.18 \text{ g C}_4\text{H}_7\text{O}_2\text{C}$$

The mass of ethylbutanoate produced is 39.6 g.

11. Use the equation mole ratios in sequence: 1 mol $NH_{3(g)}$ reacts to form 1 mol $NO_{(g)}$; and 1 mol $NO_{(g)}$ reacts to form 1 mol $NO_{2(g)}$; and 1 mol $NO_{2(g)}$ reacts to form 2/3 mol $HNO_{3(aq)}$. This simplifies to: 1 mol $NH_{3(g)}$ reacts to form $2/3 \text{ mol HNO}_{3(aq)}$, or integrally, $3 \text{ mol NH}_{3(g)}$ react to form $2 \text{ mol HNO}_{3(aq)}$.

since
$$3 \text{ NH}_{3(g)}$$
 react to form $2 \text{ HNO}_{3(aq)}$ m 63.02 g/mol $n_{\text{HNO}_3} = 4.00 \text{ mol} \times \frac{2}{3}$ $n_{\text{HNO}_3} = 2.67 \text{ mol}$ $m_{\text{HNO}_3} = 2.67 \text{ mol} \times \frac{63.02 \text{ g}}{1 \text{ mol}}$ $m_{\text{HNO}_3} = 168 \text{ g}$

or

$$m_{\mathrm{HNO_3}} = 4.00 \text{ pool NH}_3 \times \frac{2 \text{ pool HNO_3}}{3 \text{ pool NH}_3} \times \frac{63.02 \text{ g HNO_3}}{1 \text{ pool HNO_3}}$$
 $m_{\mathrm{HNO_3}} = 168 \text{ g}$

The mass of nitric acid produced is 168 g.

12. (a)
$$C_3H_5(OH)_{3(l)} + 3 HNO_{3(aq)} \rightarrow C_3H_5(NO_3)_{3(s)} + H_2O_{(l)}$$

(b) $C_3H_5(OH)_{3(l)} + 3 HNO_{3(aq)} \rightarrow C_3H_5(NO_3)_{3(s)} + H_2O_{(l)}$
10.4 g 19.2 g
92.11 g/mol 63.02 g/mol
 $n_{C_3H_5(OH)_3} = 10.4 \text{ g} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol}}{92.11 \text{ g}}$
 $n_{C_3H_5(OH)_3} = 0.113 \text{ mol}$

$$n_{\text{HNO}_3}$$
 = 19.2 g × $\frac{1 \text{ mol}}{63.02 \text{ g}}$
 n_{HNO_3} = 0.305 mol

 n_{HNO_3}

Since the reactant mole ratio is 1:3, 0.305 mol of $HNO_{3(aq)}$ would require 0.305 mol \times 1/3 = 0.102 mol of $C_3H_5(OH)_{3(l)}$ to react completely. The amount of $C_3H_5(OH)_{3(l)}$ is in excess, so the $HNO_{3(aq)}$ is the limiting reagent for this reaction.

(c)
$$C_3H_5(OH)_{3(l)} + 3 HNO_{3(aq)} \rightarrow C_3H_5(NO_3)_{3(s)} + H_2O_{(l)}$$

 19.2 g m (22.6 g actual)
 63.02 g/mol 227.11 g/mol
 $n_{HNO_3} = 19.2 \text{ g/} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol}}{63.02 \text{ g/}}$
 $n_{HNO_3} = 0.305 \text{ mol}$
 $n_{C_3H_5(NO_3)_3} = 0.305 \text{ mol} \times \frac{1}{3}$
 $n_{C_3H_5(NO_3)_3} = 0.102 \text{ mol}$
 $m_{C_3H_5(NO_3)_3} = 0.102 \text{ mol}$
 $m_{C_3H_5(NO_3)_3} = 23.1 \text{ g}$
or
$$m_{C_3H_5(NO_3)_3} = 19.2 \text{ g/} \text{ HNO_3} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol} \text{ HNO_3}}{63.02 \text{ g/} \text{ HNO_3}} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol} \text{ C}_3\text{H}_5(NO_3)_3}{3 \text{ mol} \text{ HNO_3}} \times \frac{227.11 \text{ g C}_3\text{H}_5(NO_3)_3}{3 \text{ mol} \text{ HNO_3}} \times \frac{227.11 \text{ g C}_3\text{H}_5(NO_3)_3}{3 \text{ mol} \text{ HNO_3}} \times \frac{227.11 \text{ g C}_3\text{H}_5(NO_3)_3}{3 \text{ mol} \text{ HNO_3}} \times \frac{227.11 \text{ g C}_3\text{H}_5(NO_3)_3}{3 \text{ mol} \text{ HNO_3}} \times \frac{227.11 \text{ g C}_3\text{H}_5(NO_3)_3}{3 \text{ mol} \text{ HNO_3}} \times \frac{227.11 \text{ g C}_3\text{H}_5(NO_3)_3}{3 \text{ mol} \text{ HNO_3}} \times \frac{227.11 \text{ g C}_3\text{H}_5(NO_3)_3}{3 \text{ mol} \text{ HNO_3}} \times \frac{227.11 \text{ g C}_3\text{H}_5(NO_3)_3}{3 \text{ mol} \text{ HNO_3}} \times \frac{227.11 \text{ g C}_3\text{H}_5(NO_3)_3}{3 \text{ mol} \text{ HNO_3}} \times \frac{227.11 \text{ g C}_3\text{H}_5(NO_3)_3}{3 \text{ mol} \text{ HNO_3}} \times \frac{227.11 \text{ g C}_3\text{H}_5(NO_3)_3}{3 \text{ mol} \text{ HNO_3}} \times \frac{227.11 \text{ g C}_3\text{H}_5(NO_3)_3}{3 \text{ mol} \text{ HNO_3}} \times \frac{227.11 \text{ g C}_3\text{H}_5(NO_3)_3}{3 \text{ mol} \text{ HNO_3}} \times \frac{227.11 \text{ g C}_3\text{H}_5(NO_3)_3}{3 \text{ mol} \text{ HNO_3}} \times \frac{227.11 \text{ g C}_3\text{H}_5(NO_3)_3}{3 \text{ mol} \text{ HNO_3}} \times \frac{227.11 \text{ g C}_3\text{H}_5(NO_3)_3}{3 \text{ mol} \text{ HNO_3}} \times \frac{227.11 \text{ g C}_3\text{H}_5(NO_3)_3}{3 \text{ mol} \text{ HNO_3}} \times \frac{227.11 \text{ g C}_3\text{H}_5(NO_3)_3}{3 \text{ mol} \text{ HNO_3}} \times \frac{227.11 \text{ g C}_3\text{H}_5(NO_3)_3}{3 \text{ mol} \text{ HNO_3}} \times \frac{227.11 \text{ g C}_3\text{H}_5(NO_3)_3}{3 \text{ mol} \text{ HNO_3}} \times \frac{227.11 \text{ g C}_3\text{H}_5(NO_3)_3}{3 \text{ mol} \text{ HNO_3}} \times \frac{227.11 \text{ g C}_3\text{H}_5(NO_3)_3}{3 \text{ mol} \text{ HNO_3}} \times \frac{227.11 \text{ g C}_3\text{H}_5(NO_3)_3}{3 \text{ mol} \text{ HNO_3}} \times \frac{227.11 \text{ g C}_3\text{H}_5(NO_3)_3}{3 \text{ mol} \text{ HNO_3}} \times \frac{227.11 \text{ g C}_3\text{H}_5(NO_3)_3}{3 \text{ mol} \text{ HNO_3}} \times \frac{227.11 \text{ g C}_3\text{H}_5(NO_3)_3}{3 \text{ mol} \text{ HNO_3}} \times \frac{227.11 \text{ g C$$

The theoretical yield of nitroglycerin should be 23.1 g.

(d) % yield =
$$\frac{\text{actual yield}}{\text{theoretical yield}} \times 100\%$$

% yield = $\frac{22.6 \text{ g}}{23.1 \text{ g}} \times 100\% = 97.8\%$

The percentage yield of nitroglycerin in this reaction is 97.8%

13. Yield less than predicted in a reaction may be due to experimental error inherent in the procedure; to impurities in the reagents; to unwanted side reactions; and to reactions that are not quantitative — that do not "go to completion."

14. (a)
$$SiO_{2(s)}$$
 + $4 HF_{(aq)}$ $\rightarrow SiF_{4(g)}$ + $2 H_2O_{(l)}$
6.80 g m 104.09 g/mol 104.09 g/mol n_{SiO_2} = 6.80 g $\times \frac{1 \text{ mol}}{60.09 \text{ g}}$ n_{SiO_2} = 0.113 mol n_{SiF_4} = 0.113 mol $\times \frac{1}{1}$ n_{SiF_4} = 0.113 mol $\times \frac{104.09 \text{ g}}{1 \text{ mol}}$ m_{SiF_4} = 11.8 g or

$$\begin{array}{ll} m_{\mathrm{SiF_4}} &= 6.80 \text{ g SiO_2} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol SiO_2}}{60.09 \text{ g SiO_2}} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol SiF_4}}{1 \text{ mol SiO_2}} \times \frac{104.09 \text{ g SiF_4}}{1 \text{ mol SiO_2}} \times \frac{104.09 \text{ g SiF_4}}{1 \text{ mol SiF_4}} \\ m_{\mathrm{SiF_4}} &= 11.8 \text{ g} \end{array}$$

The mass of silicon tetrafluoride produced is 11.8 g.

$$n_{\rm HF} = 0.885 \text{ mol } \times \frac{4}{1}$$
 $n_{\rm HF} = 3.54 \text{ mol}$
 $m_{\rm HF} = 3.54 \text{ mol} \times \frac{20.01 \text{ g}}{1 \text{ mol}}$
 $m_{\rm HF} = 70.9 \text{ g}$

$$m_{\mathrm{HF}} = 53.2 \text{ g SiO}_{2} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol SiO}_{2}}{60.09 \text{ g SiO}_{2}} \times \frac{4 \text{ mol HF}}{1 \text{ mol SiO}_{2}} \times \frac{20.01 \text{ g HF}}{1 \text{ mol HF}}$$

$$= 70.9 \text{ g}$$

The mass of hydrofluoric acid required is 70.9 g.

$$n_{\rm H_2O} = 0.176 \text{ mol } \times \frac{2}{1}$$

 $n_{\rm H_2O} = 0.353 \text{ mol}$

$$m_{\rm H_2O} = 0.353 \text{ pxol} \times \frac{18.02 \text{ g}}{1 \text{ pxol}}$$

$$m_{\rm H_2O} = 6.36 \, {\rm g}$$

or

$$\begin{array}{ll} m_{\rm H_2O} &= 10.6~{\rm g}~{\rm SiO_2} \times \frac{1~{\rm mol}~{\rm SiO_2}}{60.09~{\rm g}~{\rm SiO_2}} \times \frac{2~{\rm mol}~{\rm H_2O}}{1~{\rm mol}~{\rm SiO_2}} \times \frac{18.02~{\rm g}~{\rm H_2O}}{1~{\rm mol}~{\rm H_2O}} \\ m_{\rm H_2O} &= 6.36~{\rm g} \end{array}$$

The mass of water produced is 6.36 g.

15. (a)
$$C_2H_5OH_{(1)} + 3 O_{2(g)} \rightarrow 2 CO_{2(g)} + 3 H_2O_{(g)}$$

(b) $C_2H_5OH_{(1)} + 3 O_{2(g)} \rightarrow 2 CO_{2(g)} + 3 H_2O_{(g)}$
 450.0 g m
 46.08 g/mol 44.01 g/mol

$$n_{C_2H_5OH} = 450.0 \text{ g} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol}}{46.08 \text{ g}}$$

$$n_{C_2H_5OH} = 9.766 \text{ mol}$$

$$n_{CO_2} = 9.766 \text{ mol} \times \frac{2}{1}$$

$$n_{CO_2} = 19.53 \text{ mol}$$

$$m_{CO_2} = 19.53 \text{ mol} \times \frac{44.01 \text{ g}}{1 \text{ mol}}$$

$$m_{CO_2} = 859.6 \text{ g}$$
or

$$m_{\text{CO}_2} = 450.0 \text{ g C}_2 \text{H}_5 \text{OH} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol C}_2 \text{H}_5 \text{OH}}{1 \text{ mol C}_2 \text{H}_5 \text{OH}} \times \frac{2 \text{ mol CO}_2}{1 \text{ mol C}_2 \text{H}_5 \text{OH}} \times \frac{44.01 \text{ g CO}_2}{1 \text{ mol CO}_2}$$

$$m_{\rm CO_2} = 859.6 \text{ g}$$

The mass of carbon dioxide produced is 859.6 g.

(c)
$$C_2H_5OH_{(1)} + 3 O_{2(g)} \rightarrow 2 CO_{2(g)} + 3 H_2O_{(g)}$$

 $450.0 g$

$$n_{\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH}} = 450.0 \text{ g} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol}}{46.08 \text{ g}}$$
 $n_{\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH}} = 9.766 \text{ mol}$
 $n_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = 9.766 \text{ mol} \times \frac{3}{1}$
 $n_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = 29.30 \text{ mol}$
 $m_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = 29.30 \text{ mol} \times \frac{18.02 \text{ g}}{1 \text{ mol}}$
 $m_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = 527.9 \text{ g}$

$$\begin{array}{ll} m_{\rm H_2O} & = 450.0 \text{ g C}_2 \text{ H_5OH} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol C}_2 \text{ H_5OH}}{46.08 \text{ g C}_2 \text{ H_5OH}} \times \frac{3 \text{ mol H_2O}}{1 \text{ mol C}_2 \text{ H_5OH}} \times \frac{18.02 \text{ g H_2O}}{1 \text{ mol H_2O}} \\ m_{\rm H_2O} & = 527.9 \text{ g} \end{array}$$

The mass of water produced is 527.9 g.

or

$$\begin{array}{ll} m_{\rm O_2} & = 450.0 \ {\rm g} \ {\rm C_2H_5OH} \times \frac{1 \ {\rm mol} \ {\rm C_2H_5OH}}{46.08 \ {\rm g} \ {\rm C_2H_5OH}} \times \frac{3 \ {\rm mol} \ {\rm Q}_2'}{1 \ {\rm mol} \ {\rm C_2H_5OH}} \times \frac{32.00 \ {\rm g} \ {\rm O}_2}{1 \ {\rm mol} \ {\rm Q}_2'} \\ m_{\rm O_2} & = 937.5 \ {\rm g} \end{array}$$

The mass of oxygen required is 937.5 g.

(e)
$$C_2H_5OH_{(l)} + 3O_{2(g)} \rightarrow 2CO_{2(g)} + 3H_2O_{(g)}$$

The masses involved, respectively, in this reaction are:

Addition shows that (450.0 + 937.5) = (859.6 + 527.9) = 1387.5, so the result agrees with the law of conservation of mass.

16.
$$2 \text{ CuO}_{(s)} + \text{ C}_{(s)} \rightarrow 2 \text{ Cu}_{(s)} + \text{ CO}_{2(g)}$$
 $50.0 \text{ kg} \qquad m$
 $79.55 \text{ g/mol } 1 \qquad 2.01 \text{ g/mol}$
 $n_{\text{CuO}} = 50.0 \text{ kg} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol}}{79.55 \text{ g}}$
 $n_{\text{CuO}} = 0.629 \text{ kmol}$
 $n_{\text{C}} = 0.629 \text{ kmol} \times \frac{1}{2}$
 $n_{\text{C}} = 0.314 \text{ kmol}$
 $m_{\text{C}} = 0.314 \text{ kmol} \times \frac{12.01 \text{ g}}{1 \text{ mol}}$

$$m_{\rm C} = 3.77 \text{ kg}$$

$$m_{\rm C}$$
 = 50.0 kg/CaO × $\frac{1 \text{ mol CaO}}{79.55 \text{ g CaO}}$ × $\frac{1 \text{ mol C}}{2 \text{ mol CaO}}$ × $\frac{12.01 \text{ g C}}{1 \text{ mol C}}$
 $m_{\rm C}$ = 3.77 kg

The mass of carbon required is 3.77 kg.

Applying Inquiry Skills

17. A solid reaction product can be removed (by filtration, for instance) from the reaction system. If some more of the (presumed) excess reagent is added, and no reaction occurs, then the limiting reagent is completely reacted and the other reagent is present in excess.

18. (a) Prediction

$$Na_2SO_{4(aq)}$$
 + $BaCl_{2(aq)}$ \rightarrow 2 $NaCl_{(aq)}$ + $BaSO_{4(s)}$
142.04 g/mol 233.39 g/mol

Since the substances are in a 1:1 mole ratio, the mass ratio of $BaSO_{4(s)}$: $Na_2SO_{4(aq)}$ will be 233.39: 142.04 or 1.6431: 1.0000. This means that for each 1.0000 g of sodium sulfate reacted, 1.6431 g of barium sulfate should be produced.

(b) Experimental Design

A measured mass of sodium sulfate is dissolved and reacted with excess barium chloride solution. The precipitate is filtered, dried, and weighed.

(c) Procedure

- 1. Use a clean, dry 250-mL beaker to obtain a 2.00 g sample of $Na_2SO_{4(aq)}$.
- 2. Use a 100-mL graduated cylinder to add approximately 50 mL of $BaCl_{2(aq)}^{\nu}$ to the beaker.
- 3. Allow the precipitate to settle, and test the clear liquid above the precipitate (the supernatant liquid) with a small amount of the BaCl_{2(ao)} from a medicine dropper to see if further precipitation occurs.
- 4. If the test in step 3 indicates the reaction is not yet complete, repeat step 3 until no further precipitation occurs.
- 5. Measure and record the mass of a piece of filter paper to 0.01 g.
- 6. Filter, wash, and dry the $BaSO_{4(s)}$ precipitate.
- 7. Measure and record the mass of the filter paper plus dry precipitate to 0.01 g.
- 8. Dispose of all waste materials according to instructions.

(d) Analysis

To determine the purity of the sample, the percentage yield of the $BaSO_{4(s)}$ precipitate is calculated.

% yield =
$$\frac{\text{actual yield}}{\text{theoretical yield}} \times 100\%$$

The BaSO_{4(s)} percentage yield represents the purity of the sodium sulfate reagent as a percentage.

(e) Evaluation

This analysis assumes: that the other reagent is pure; that the precipitate is negligibly soluble; and that the experimental error is negligible. If any of these assumptions are incorrect, the actual yield value will be affected.

Making Connections

19. The report for this question should emphasize primarily the social perspective (the advantage of increased food supply) and the environmental perspective (the disadvantage of water pollution) as a typical tradeoff involving technology.