FUNCTIONAL ASSESSMENT



CANDIDATE NAME: qw

D.O.B: 08/09/1983

Section 1: Range of movement

Region	Movement	Me	asureme	<u>nt</u>		Comments
Cervical (visual)	Movement: Flexion		✓			1
	Movement:Extension		✓			2
	Movement:Lateral Flexion	L:	✓	R:	✓	3
	Movement:Rotation	L:	✓	R:	✓	4
Trunk (measured)	Movement:Extension		5			6
	Movement:Lateral flexion	L	7	R	8	9
Shoulder (visual)	Movement:Flexion	L:	✓	R:	✓	1
	Movement:Abduction	L:	✓	R:	✓	2
Elbow (visual)	Movement:Flexion/extension	L:	✓	R:	✓	3
Wrist (measured)	Movement:Pronation	L:	4	R:	5	6
	Movement:Supination	L:	7	R:	8	9
	Movement:Flexion	L:	1	R:	2	3
	Movement:Extension	L:	4	R:	5	6
	Movement:Ulnar deviation	L:	7	R:	8	9
	Movement:Radial deviation	L:	1	R:	2	3
Hip (visual)	Movement:Flexion	L:	✓	R:	✓	4
	Movement:External rotation	L:	✓	R:	✓	5
	Movement:Internal rotation	L:	✓	R:	✓	6
	Movement:Straight leg raise	L:	✓	R:	✓	7
Knee (visual)	Movement:Flexion/extension	L:	✓	R:	•	8
Ankle (visual)	Movement:Rotation	L:	✓	R:	•	9
	Movement:Plantar/dorsiflexion	L:	✓	R:	✓	1

©REDIMED Pty Ltd. Page 1 of 6

Section 2:General Physical Capacity Assessment

Flexibility: Sit and reach test:



TRIAL	MEASUREMENT	
Trial 1	3	
Trial 2	45	
Trial 3	21	
AVERAGE	23	
Rate/Range	Fair	
Comments q		

Upper Limb Function: Resisted Testing

Test	Comment	Illustration
Resisted wrist flexion and extension	✓ No Pain/Discomfort✓ Pain/DiscomfortComment:w	
Resisterd shoulder adduction and abduction	✓ No Pain/Discomfort✓ Pain/DiscomfortComment:e	

Cardiovascular Fitness: 3-minute step test



Time	HR	
HR prior to commencing	1	
1 Min	2	
2 Min	3	
3 Min	4	
Recovery 1 min	51	
SCORE	51	
Rate/Range	Excellent	
Age predicted max H	R max: 189 (220-Age	e)
85% Max HR:	160.65 bpm	
Comments a		

Cardiovascular Fitness Rank

CATEGORY	18-25		26-35		36-45		46-55		56-65		>=65	
SEX	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male
Average(2)	104-110	95-100	104-110	96-102	107-112	100-105	113-118	103-111	113-118	103-109	116-121	104-110
Excellent(4)	52-81	50-76	58-80	51-76	51-84	49-76	63-91	56-82	60-92	60-77	70-92	59-81
Good(3)	85-93	79-84	85-92	79-85	89-96	80-88	95-101	87-93	97-103	86-94	96-101	87-92

©REDiMED Pty Ltd. Page 2 of 6

CATEGORY	18-25		26-35		36-45		46-55		56-65		>=65	
SEX	Female	Male										
Poor(1)	122-131	111-119	122-129	114-121	124-132	116-124	126-132	121-126	129-135	119-128	128-133	121-126

Upper limb strength test: Grip Strength (kg)

TRIAL	RIGHT HAND	LEFT HAND							
Trial 1	1	11							
Trial 2	2	12							
Trial 3	3	13							
AVERAGE	2	12							
Rate/Range	31-40	31-40							
Comments									
☐ Abo	☐ Above Normal Range								
✓ Within Normal Range									
☐ Beld	ow Normal Range	☐ Below Normal Range							

LowerLimb Function: Duck walk:

Test	Result	Comment
Full Depth squat and 5m duck walk	UNABLE PARTIAL ABLE (circle)	Z
ddon want	✓ No Pain/Discomfort	

Balance Assessment: Dura Disc balance & Heel-toe Walk on foam

Test	Result	Comment
30 Second Dura Disc Balance	UNABLE PARTIAL ABLE (circle)	S
Balarioc	☑ No Pain/Discomfort	
Heel-toe walk	UNABLE PARTIAL ABLE (circle)	d
	✓ No Pain/Discomfort	

Core Strength: Prone Bridge

Ability to hold position for seconds: :60

Rate: Good Comments: Effort used:

■ MINIMAL ■ MODERATE ☑ MAXIMAL

✓ Pain/Discomfort experienced

▼ Test ceased due to poor teachique

Core Strength Rank

Core Strength Rank		
CATEGORY		
SEX	Female	Male
Excellent(4)	90-90	90-90
Fair(2)	30-59	30-59
Good(3)	60-89	60-89
Poor(1)	<=29	<=29

Lower Limb Strength: Squat Test

Candidate to perform as many full depths squats as possible :55

©REDIMED Pty Ltd. Page 3 of 6

Rate: Excellent Comments:

Lower Limb Strength Rank

CATEGORY	18-25		26-35		36-45		46-55		56-65	
SEX	Female	Male								
Excellent(4)	>=37	>=44	>=33	>=40	>=27	>=35	>=22	>=29	>=18	>=25
Fair(2)	29-32	35-38	25-28	31-34	19-22	27-29	14-17	22-24	10-12	17-20
Good(3)	33-36	39-43	29-32	35-39	23-26	30-34	18-18	25-28	13-17	21-24
Poor(1)	<=28	<=34	<=24	<=30	<=18	<=26	<=13	<=21	<=9	<=16

Section 3: Job-Specific Functional Task Assessment

Bilateral Lifting Waist to Shoulder Height. Job demand: 20kgs

REP	WEIGHT	PAIN/LOCATION	RATING	COMMENTS FOLLOWING FEEDBACK
REP 1:	12	ew	LINIARIE	Manual Handling Techique:
REP 2:	34	rewq	(UNABLE)	☐ GOOD ☑ AVERAGE ☐ POOR
REP 3:	54	er		Techique Improved Following Feedback
REP 4:	42	er	PARTIAL	✓ Client's Limit Reached
REP 5:	54	f		✓ Comtrolled Movement
REP 6:	23	f	ABLE	✓ Symmetrical Movement
REP 7:	23	s		Excessive Post trunk Lean:
REP 8:	234	as		✓ YES □ NO
REP 9:	43	f		
REP 10:	23	S		
Managed: 2	234 kg			

Floor to Waist Lift

REP	WEIGHT	PAIN/LOCATION	RATING	COMMENTS FOLLOWING FEEDBACK			
Rep 1:	3	q	LINIADIE	Prior to instruction: Manual Handling Techique			
Rep 2:	32	W	(UNABLE)	☐ GOOD ☐ AVERAGE 🗹 POOR			
Rep 3:	23	е		Manual Handling Techique following Feedback:			
Rep 4:	12	r	PARTIAL	☑ GOOD ☐ AVERAGE ☐ POOR			
Rep 5:	4	t		✓ Client's Limit Reached			
Rep 6:	34	у	ABLE	Kept load close to body			
Rep 7:	42	wfsd		✓ YES □ NO			
Rep 8:	34	ad		Kept a neutral spine			
Rep 9:	34	as		☐ YES ☑ NO			
Rep 10:	34	d		Demonstrated Good Squat position to lift load			
Managed: 4	42 kg]	✓ YES □ NO			
		1	Avoids trunk rotation				
				☐ YES ☑ NO			
				Demonstrates good Base of support			
				✓ YES □ NO			

Carrying at Waist Height. Job Demand:30kgs up to 50m

REP	WEIGHT	PAIN/LOCATION	RATING	COMMENTS FOLLOWING FEEDBACK		
REP 1:	43	ery	LINIADIE	Manual Handling Techique		
REP 2:	65	tye	UNABLE	☑ GOOD ☐ AVERAGE ☐ POOR		
REP 3:	87	yety	DARTIAL	✓ Client's Limit Reached		
REP 4:	78	yetye	PARTIAL	Excessive Post trunk Lean		
REP 5:	76	tyyt		☐ YES 🗹 NO		
REP 6:	467	eryer	ABLE			

©REDiMED Pty Ltd. Page 4 of 6

REP 7:	457	tyertyer				
REP 8:	45	ty				
REP 9:	456	tyerty				
REP 10:	564	ert				

Dynamic Push/Pull. Job Demand: 80kg up to 50m

REP	WEIGHT	PAIN/LOCATION	RATING	COMMENTS FOLLOWING FEEDBACK
REP 1:	5634	ry	LINIADIE	✓ No Pain/Discomfort
REP 2:	563456	ertyert	UNABLE	✓ Controlled Movement
REP 3:	3456	yerty	DARTIAL	✓ Symmetrical Movement
REP 4:	34563	ertyer	(PARTIAL)	
REP 5:	563	tyert		
REP 6:	5634	yeyer	ABLE	
REP 7:	45634	tyerty		
REP 8:	5634	ertye		
REP 9:	63456	rtyery		
REP 10:	456	ty		

Bilateral Overhead Lift from Shoulder. Job Demand: 20kgs

REP	WEIGHT	PAIN/LOCATION	RATING	COMMENTS FOLLOWING FEEDBACK
REP 1:	12	rr	(III)	Manual Handling Techique:
REP 2:	34	werwer	(UNABLE)	☐ GOOD ☑ AVERAGE ☐ POOR
REP 3:	12	qwer		▼ Technique Improved Following Feedback
REP 4:	34	qwerq	PARTIAL	✓ Client's Limit Reached
REP 5:	42	werqwe		✓ Controlled Movement
REP 6:	23	rqwer	ABLE	✓ Symmetrical Movement
REP 7:	75	qwerwqer		Excessive Post trunk Lean
REP 8:	43	wqerwqer		☐ YES ☑ NO
REP 9:	23	wer		
REP 10:	56	wer		
Managed:	75 kg			

Functional task rating: Performance:

PERFORMANCE	SCORE	COMMENTS
Excellent	4	yerty
Good	3	
Fair	2	
Poor	1	

Functional Task Rating: Technique:

TECHNIQUE	SCORE	COMMENTS
Excellent	4	yertye
Good	3	
Fair	2	
Poor	1	

FUNCTIONAL ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

©REDIMED Pty Ltd. Page 5 of 6

Section 1: Range of Motion:

Normal pain-free ROM	Yes	No
Comments: fgdfg		

Section 2: General Physical Capacity

	Score	Rating
Flexibility	/4	
Core Strength	/4	
Carddiovascular fitness	/4	
Muscular endurance	/4	
Total	/16	Good

Section3 Summary: Job Specific capacity

	Score	Rating
Task Performance	/4	
Task techique	/4	
Total	/8	Unsatisfactory

Low Risk	Medium Risk	>	High Risk						
Comments/Recommendations:	Comments/Recommendations:								
gsdfgs									
Llackh 9 Dahah Caardinatar Davisus D	o o o more o o do d	□ Na							
Health & Rehab Coordinator Review R	ecommended	☐ No							
Additional Tests Attached:	Additional Tests Attached:								
Flexibility Core stability Wirst/e	elbow function 🗹 Shoulder fur	nction 🗹 l	Lower limb function <a>✓ Balance <a>✓						
	J								
Assessed by:	Signed:		Date:						

©REDIMED Pty Ltd. Page 6 of 6