FUNCTIONAL ASSESSMENT



CANDIDATE NAME: Tom Tom t

D.O.B: 07/12/1985

Section 1: Range of movement

Region	Movement	Mea	sureme	<u>nt</u>		Comments
Cervical (visual)	Movement: Flexion		✓			
	Movement:Extension		✓			
	Movement:Lateral Flexion	L:	✓	R:	✓	
	Movement:Rotation	L:	✓	R:	✓	
Trunk (measured)	Movement:Extension					
	Movement:Lateral flexion	L		R		
Shoulder (visual)	Movement:Flexion	L:	✓	R:	✓	
	Movement:Abduction	L:	✓	R:	✓	
Elbow (visual)	Movement:Flexion/extension	L:	✓	R:		d
Wrist (measured)	Movement:Pronation	L:		R:		
	Movement:Supination	L:		R:		
	Movement:Flexion	L:		R:		
	Movement:Extension	L:		R:		
	Movement:Ulnar deviation	L:		R:		
	Movement:Radial deviation	L:		R:		
Hip (visual)	Movement:Flexion	L:		R:		d
	Movement:External rotation	L:		R:		d
	Movement:Internal rotation	L:		R:		d
	Movement:Straight leg raise	L:		R:		d
Knee (visual)	Movement:Flexion/extension	L:		R:		d
Ankle (visual)	Movement:Rotation	L:		R:		d
	Movement:Plantar/dorsiflexion	L:		R:		d

©REDiMED Pty Ltd. Page 1 of 6

Section 2:General Physical Capacity Assessment

Flexibility: Sit and reach test:



Upper Limb Function: Resisted Testing

Test	Comment	Illustration
Resisted wrist flexion and extension	No Pain/Discomfort✓ Pain/DiscomfortComment:d	
Resisterd shoulder adduction and abduction	☐ No Pain/Discomfort ☐ Pain/Discomfort Comment:	

Cardiovascular Fitness: 3-minute step test



Time	HR					
HR prior to						
commencing						
1 Min						
2 Min						
3 Min						
Recovery 1 min						
SCORE						
Rate/Range						
Age predicted max H	Age predicted max HR max: 191 (220-Age)					
85% Max HR:	162.35 bpm					
Comments						

Cardiovascular Fitness Rank

CATEGORY	18-25		26-35		36-45		46-55		56-65		>=65	
SEX	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male
Average(2)	104-110	95-100	104-110	96-102	107-112	100-105	113-118	103-111	113-118	103-109	116-121	104-110
Excellent(4)	52-81	50-76	58-80	51-76	51-84	49-76	63-91	56-82	60-92	60-77	70-92	59-81
Good(3)	85-93	79-84	85-92	79-85	89-96	80-88	95-101	87-93	97-103	86-94	96-101	87-92

©REDiMED Pty Ltd. Page 2 of 6

CATEGORY	18-25		26-35		36-45		46-55		56-65		>=65	
SEX	Female	Male										
Poor(1)	122-131	111-119	122-129	114-121	124-132	116-124	126-132	121-126	129-135	119-128	128-133	121-126

Upper limb strength test: Grip Strength (kg)

TRIAL	RIGHT HAND	LEFT HAND				
Trial 1						
Trial 2						
Trial 3						
AVERAGE						
Rate/Range						
Comments						
☐ Above Normal Range						
☐ Below Normal Range						

LowerLimb Function: Duck walk:

Test	Result				Comment
Full Depth squat and 5m duck walk	UNABLE	PARTIAL	ABLE	(circle)	
ddok waik	☐ No Pain/	/Discomfort			

Balance Assessment: Dura Disc balance & Heel-toe Walk on foam

Test	Result				Comment
30 Second Dura Disc Balance	UNABLE	PARTIAL	ABLE	(circle)	
Balance	☐ No Pain	/Discomfort			
Heel-toe walk	UNABLE	PARTIAL	ABLE	(circle)	
	☐ No Pain	/Discomfort			

Core Strength: Prone Bridge

Λ hilits	to hold	nacition f	or seconds:	
Δ DIIIL	, to Hola	position i	ui seculius.	

Rate:

Comments:

Effort used:

■ MINIMAL	

☐ Pain/Discomfort experienced

☐ Test ceased due to poor teachique

Core Strength Rank

Core Strength Rank					
CATEGORY					
SEX	Female	Male			
Excellent(4)	90-90	90-90			
Fair(2)	30-59	30-59			
Good(3)	60-89	60-89			
Poor(1)	<=29	<=29			

Lower Limb Strength: Squat Test

Candidate to perform as many full depths squats as possible

©REDiMED Pty Ltd. Page 3 of 6

Lower L	imb	Strend	ath	Rank
---------	-----	--------	-----	------

CATEGORY	18-25		26-35		36-45		46-55		56-65	
SEX	Female	Male								
Excellent(4)	>=37	>=44	>=33	>=40	>=27	>=35	>=22	>=29	>=18	>=25
Fair(2)	29-32	35-38	25-28	31-34	19-22	27-29	14-17	22-24	10-12	17-20
Good(3)	33-36	39-43	29-32	35-39	23-26	30-34	18-18	25-28	13-17	21-24
Poor(1)	<=28	<=34	<=24	<=30	<=18	<=26	<=13	<=21	<=9	<=16

Section 3: Job-Specific Functional Task Assessment

	•	ulder Height. Job dema		
REP	WEIGHT	PAIN/LOCATION	RATING	COMMENTS FOLLOWING FEEDBACK
REP 1:			LINIADIE	Manual Handling Techique:
REP 2:			UNABLE	☐ GOOD ☐ AVERAGE ☐ POOR
REP 3:			D. A. D. T. A. I.	Techique Improved Following Feedback
REP 4:			PARTIAL	☐ Client's Limit Reached
REP 5:				☐ Comtrolled Movement
REP 6:			ABLE	Symmetrical Movement
REP 7:				Excessive Post trunk Lean:
REP 8:				☐ YES ☐ NO
REP 9:				
REP 10:				
Managed:	0 kg			
Floor to Wa	aist Lift			
REP	WEIGHT	PAIN/LOCATION	RATING	COMMENTS FOLLOWING FEEDBACK
Rep 1:			LINIADIE	Prior to instruction: Manual Handling Techique
Rep 2:			UNABLE	☐ GOOD ☐ AVERAGE ☐ POOR
Rep 3:			D. D. D. T. A. I	Manual Handling Techique following Feedback:
Rep 4:			PARTIAL	☐ GOOD ☐ AVERAGE ☐ POOR
Rep 5:				☐ Client's Limit Reached

ABLE Kept load close to body Rep 6: ☐ YES □ NO Rep 7: Kept a neutral spine Rep 8: YES □ NO Rep 9: Demonstrated Good Squat position to lift load Rep 10: □ NO ☐ YES Managed: 0 kg Avoids trunk rotation ☐ YES □ NO Demonstrates good Base of support

Carrying at Waist Height. Job Demand: 30kgs up to 50m

REP	WEIGHT	PAIN/LOCATION	RATING	COMMENTS FOLLOWING FEEDBACK
REP 1:			LINIADIE	Manual Handling Techique
REP 2:			UNABLE	☐ GOOD ☐ AVERAGE ☐ POOR
REP 3:				☐ Client's Limit Reached
REP 4:			PARTIAL	Excessive Post trunk Lean
REP 5:				☐ YES ☐ NO
REP 6:			ABLE	
			i .	

☐ YES

□ NO

©REDIMED Pty Ltd. Page 4 of 6

REP 7:					
REP 8:					
REP 9:					
REP 10:					
Dynamic P	ush/Pull	. Job Den	nand: 80kg up to 50m		
REP	WE	IGHT	PAIN/LOCATION	RATING	COMMENTS FOLLOWING FEEDBACK
REP 1:				LINIADIE	☐ No Pain/Discomfort
REP 2:				UNABLE	☐ Controlled Movement
REP 3:				DADTIAL	☐ Symmetrical Movement
REP 4:				PARTIAL	
REP 5:					
REP 6:				ABLE	
REP 7:					
REP 8:					
REP 9:					
REP 10:					
Bilateral O	verhead	Lift from	Shoulder. Job Demand:	20kgs	
REP	WE	IGHT	PAIN/LOCATION	RATING	COMMENTS FOLLOWING FEEDBACK
REP 1:				UNABLE	Manual Handling Techique:
REP 2:				ONABLL	☐ GOOD ☐ AVERAGE ☐ POOR
REP 3:				PARTIAL	☐ Technique Improved Following Feedback
REP 4:				PARTIAL	☐ Client's Limit Reached
REP 5:				4 D. F	☐ Controlled Movement
REP 6:				ABLE	Symmetrical Movement
REP 7:					Excessive Post trunk Lean
REP 8:					☐ YES ☐ NO
REP 9:					
REP 10:					
Managed: (0 kg				
Functional			rmance:		
PERFORM		SCORE		CC	DMMENTS
Excell	ent	4			
Goo		3			
Fai		2			
Poo	or	1			
Functional	Task Ra	ting: Tec	hnique:		
TECHNI	IQUE	SCORE		CC	DMMENTS
Excell	ent	4			
Goo	d	3			
Fai	r	2			
Poo	or	1			

FUNCTIONAL ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

©REDIMED Pty Ltd. Page 5 of 6

Section 1: Range of Motion:

Normal pain-free ROM	Yes	No
Comments:		

Section 2: General Physical Capacity

	Score	Rating
Flexibility	/4	
Core Strength	/4	
Carddiovascular fitness	/4	
Muscular endurance	/4	
Total	/16	

Section3 Summary: Job Specific capacity

	Score	Rating
Task Performance	/4	
Task techique	/4	
Total	/8	

Low Risk	Medium Risk	High Risk				
Comments/Recommendations:						
SSS						
Hardin A Balada Oscarillarias Ballias Basanana dada and E. Wasan E. Ma						
Health & Rehab Coordinator Review R	ecommended Yes	□ No				
Additional Tests Attached:						
Flexibility Core stability Wirst/elbow function Shoulder function Lower limb function Balance						
•		•				
Assessed by:	Signed:	Date:				

©REDIMED Pty Ltd. Page 6 of 6