

WE HAVE THE MONEY TO END STARVATION. WE CHOOSE NOT TO.

\$2.718 trillion – annual global military expenditure

\$6.75 billion – annual cost to end starvation

That's 0.25%. Not 25%. Not 2.5%. Zero point two five percent.

Try food loss and waste: 0.68%. Tax abuse: 1.37%. Each source alone holds multiples of what's needed.

Not anti-military? Switch to fossil fuel support. Not anti-capitalism? Use luxury spending. Same result.

The data exists, scattered across reports. We connected the dots at redistributed.net

THE NUMBERS DON'T LIE. WE DO.

"Can't afford it."

Yes, we can. 0.25%. Next excuse.

"It's more complex than that."

$\text{Transferred resources (\%)} \div \text{Cost of feeding the target population} = \text{Impact on starvation (\%)}$. Sixth-grade arithmetic.

"We need to address root causes."

Starvation's root cause is lack of food. We actually squander a trillion dollars' worth of it annually.

The tool doesn't argue. It calculates.

Move a slider. See the impact in real-time. Share your results.

This isn't a problem without a solution. It's a solution without political will. Every day we delay, we choose the outcome.

redistributed

Military expenditure: Stockholm International Peace Research Institute, SIPRI Yearbook 2025

Food loss and waste: United Nations Environment Programme, Food Waste Index Report 2024

Tax abuse: Tax Justice Network, State of Tax Justice 2024

Fossil fuel support: The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, OECD Inventory of Support Measures for Fossil Fuels 2024

Luxury spending: Bain & Company, Luxury in Transition 2025

Target population: 37 million people experiencing IPC Phase 4 (Emergency) and Phase 5 (Catastrophe) food insecurity, FSIN (Food Security Information Network)

Daily cost per person: US\$0.50 (one meal per day), WFP (UN World Food Programme)