White Advantage in Chess and How to Counter It

Jun Won (Lakon) Park (Group Leader) 79453940, Group Leader, data collection, data analysis, report-writing

Sarah Li 60136959, data collection, data analysis, report-writing

Research question: Is white at an advantage in chess and if so, what are some optimal strategies for black to increase their winning probability?

Introduction

For several centuries, millions of people worldwide have been playing chess as a recreational and competitive board game at their homes, in clubs, in tournaments, and even online nowadays. In the recent decades, chess has been one of the most popular topic in machine learning and artificial intelligence. The first move advantage has been researched extensively since the end of 18th century, and many studies have been shown that white has an inherent advantage.

Although there are general set chess openings for black according to white's first move, less research has been done on the effects of those openings on the final outcome. This paper intends to confirm white's first move advantage and study the relationship between the openings and the victory status.

This paper's data collection consists basic player information and game information of over 20000 chess games obtained exclusively from Lichess, a very popular internet chess platform. The data includes game length, number of turns, winner, player elo*, all moves in Standard Chess Notation, Opening Eco*, Opening Name, and Opening Ply* (some stuff about sampling method and target population)

Elo: A numerical measurement to quantify a player's skill level

Eco: Standardised code for any given opening

Ply: Number of moves in the opening phasenewline

Analysis

```
# Load data
df <- read.csv("games.csv")</pre>
# Calculate the average elo of the game
df <- mutate(df %>% rowwise(),
       average_elo = rowMeans(cbind(black_rating, white_rating)))
# Filter games by average elo
df <- filter(df, average_elo >= 1200)
# Filter games by average elo
df <- filter(df, victory_status != "outoftime")</pre>
df <- subset(df,</pre>
              select = c(turns, white_rating, black_rating, victory_status,
                         winner, moves, opening_eco, opening_name, opening_ply, average_elo ))
# Simple Random Sampling
N <- nrow(df)
n <- 1000
set.seed(1234)
sample.index <- sample(1:N, size=n, replace = FALSE)</pre>
srs.sample <- df[sample.index,]</pre>
# Determine minimum and maximum before stratifying
min(df$average_elo)
## [1] 1200
max(df$average_elo)
## [1] 2475.5
# Stratified sampling
df$elo_range <- cut(df$average_elo,</pre>
                     c(1200, 1400, 1600, 1800, 2000, 2200, 2400, 2600))
levels(df$elo_range) <- c("1200-1400", "1400-1600", "1600-1800", "1800-2000",</pre>
                            "2000-2200", "2200-2400", "2400+")
df$winner <- as.factor(df$winner)</pre>
# levels(df$winner) <- list("white"=c("white"),</pre>
```

```
#
                              "black"=c("black")
#
                              "not white"=c("black", "draw"))
# Check if standard deviations of the strata are identical
se <- aggregate(as.numeric(df$winner), by=list(df$elo range), FUN=sd)
se
##
       Group.1
## 1 1200-1400 0.9783955
## 2 1400-1600 0.9777180
## 3 1600-1800 0.9737201
## 4 1800-2000 0.9697871
## 5 2000-2200 0.9618646
## 6 2200-2400 0.9323589
## 7
         2400+ 0.8232726
# Standard deviations within strata are not identical, \
# so find optimal sample sizes
pop_sizes <- aggregate(df$winner, by=list(df$elo_range), FUN=length)
denom <- sum(pop_sizes[2] * se[2])</pre>
sample_sizes <- (pop_sizes[2] * se[2]) / denom</pre>
# Sample from each strata
stratified_sample <- df[FALSE,]</pre>
colnames(stratified_sample) <- names(df)</pre>
for (i in 1:length(levels(df$elo_range))) {
  strata <- which(df$elo_range == levels(df$elo_range)[i])</pre>
  sample_indices <- sample(strata,</pre>
                            size = ceiling(sample sizes$x[i] * n),
                            replace = FALSE)
  sample <- df[sample indices,]</pre>
  stratified_sample <- rbind(stratified_sample, sample)</pre>
table <- table(stratified_sample$elo_range)</pre>
table
##
## 1200-1400 1400-1600 1600-1800 1800-2000 2000-2200 2200-2400
                                                                       2400+
##
         199
                    326
                              245
                                         155
                                                     63
                                                               14
# Stratified sample contains 1003 samples due to rounding of the proportions,
# so we randomly remove three from random strata
strata_for_removal <- sample(1:7, 3)</pre>
for (s in strata_for_removal) {
  to_remove <- sample(which(stratified_sample$elo_range == levels(df$elo_range)[s]), 1)
  stratified_sample <- stratified_sample[-to_remove,]</pre>
```

```
table(stratified_sample$elo_range)
## 1200-1400 1400-1600 1600-1800 1800-2000 2000-2200 2200-2400
                                                                      2400+
##
         198
                    326
                              243
                                        155
                                                    63
                                                              14
# Calculate white's win rate
win.prop <- srs.sample %>%
  count(winner) %>%
  group by(winner) %>%
 mutate(win.prop = n / 1000)
white.p <- as.numeric(win.prop[3,3])</pre>
black.p <- as.numeric(win.prop[1,3])</pre>
srs.se \leftarrow sqrt((1-n/N)*(white.p*(1-white.p) + black.p) + black.p) - 2*white.p*black.p)/n)
(white.p-black.p) + 1.96 * srs.se * c(-1, 1)
## [1] -0.006236205 0.020236205
strata <- c("1200-1400", "1400-1600", "1600-1800", "1800-2000",
                           "2000-2200", "2200-2400", "2400+")
stratified_sample <- stratified_sample %>% group_by(elo_range)
# Calculate Nh/N, the strata proportion
nh <- stratified_sample %>% count(elo_range)
strata.size.prop <- nh[2]/n
# Calculate white's win proportion by each strata
win.prop <- stratified_sample %>%
  count(winner) %>%
  group_by(elo_range) %>%
 mutate(win.prop = n / sum(n))
# The estimated aggregated win proportion for white
white.prop <- win.prop[win.prop$winner == "white", ]</pre>
white.p.str.est <- sum(white.prop$win.prop * strata.size.prop)</pre>
black.prop <- win.prop[win.prop$winner == "black", ]</pre>
black.p.str.est <- sum(black.prop$win.prop * strata.size.prop)</pre>
# The estimated se
white.se.by.strata <- sqrt((1-nh[2]/N) * white.prop$win.prop * (1-white.prop$win.prop)/nh[2])
white.str.se <- sum(strata.size.prop^2 * (1 - nh[2]/pop_sizes[2]) * white.se.by.strata^2)
```

```
black.se.by.strata <- sqrt((1-nh[2]/N) * black.prop$win.prop * (1-black.prop$win.prop)/nh[2])
black.str.se <- sum(strata.size.prop^2 * (1 - nh[2]/pop_sizes[2]) * black.se.by.strata^2)

# Their difference,
diff.se <- sqrt(1-n/N) *sqrt(white.p.str.est*(1-white.p.str.est) + black.p.str.est*(1-black.p.str.est) + 1.96 * diff.se * c(-1, 1)

## [1] 0.03531683 0.06299630</pre>
```

The confidence interval does not contain 0 so we can reject the null hypothesis in favour of

Conclusion

References