Intellectual Freedom, Constituent Power, and the Politics of Recognition in Canadian Libraries

Sam Popowich

2020 - 06 - 02

Contents

Contents		ii
1	Introduction: Resurgence of the People 1.1 The Politics of Intellectual Freedom	1 1
\mathbf{B}^{i}	ibliography	5

Chapter 1

Introduction: Resurgence of the People

1.1 The Politics of Intellectual Freedom

Intellectual Freedom (IF) is considered to be one of the core values of librarianship. Within the field it is held to be the equivalent of free speech or freedom of expression in constitutional contexts, and is connected to civil and human rights either through the First Amendment (in the American contexts) or the UN Declaration on Human Rights (in Commonwealth or international contexts). There is a dominant or hegemonic discourse within the profession which, like much else within librarianship, tends to understand IF and human rights more broadly as politically neutral and non-ideological. One of the goals of this thesis is to tease out some of the ideological and political assumptions and the commitments they entail. As Roy Bhaskar suggests in The Possibility of Naturalism, periods of crisis tend to expose previously opaque generative social structures to make them amenable to analysis, and thereby to change Bhaskar, 1998, 52. It is another goal of this thesis to provide a framework for a changed understanding both of the politics of recognition and of intellectual freedom. The current context, marked by pandemic and worldwide social unrest, requires an engagement with political theory in order to properly understand and transform librarianship itself.

Intellectual Freedom was enshrined in librarianship on the eve of the Second World War, with the creation of the Library Bill of Rights in 1938, modelled on the American Constitution [Samek, 2001, 147-152]. The creation of the UN Declaration of Human Rights in 1948 added international juridical weight to the idea of intellectual freedom, and formed the basis of non-American IF statements, such as those developed by the Canadian Library Association (1974) Association [1974], the Canadian Federation of Library Associations (2016) CFLA [2016], and the

International Federation of Library Associations (1999) IFLA [1999]. Despite the centrality of IF within the profession, the concept has been periodically challenged, generally from a position known simply as "social responsibility" Samek [2001], which sought to use library collections and services to further the cause of social justice. Social Responsibility is often considered to run counter to an "absolutist" conception of IF [Gorman, 2000, 89] which sees any social (i.e. non-technical) consideration of collections and services as censorship. This attitude runs alongside the purported neutrality of libraries and library work Lewis [2008] and a discourse that argues that such neutrality is necessary for libraries to uphold and maintain democratic values and practices Popowich [2019].

Criticism of the absolutist-IF position has been part of a long history of a broader challenge to particular facets of library work, going back to criticisms of library subject headings Berman [1971] the position of women within the field Garrison [1972], and the social or political neutrality of collection development in the "Berninghausen debate" of the early 1970s. [Samek, 2001, 4-5]. It is no accident that critiques of librarianship focusing on social justice arose in the period after 1968: the transition to neoliberalism challenged many of the previously-held orthodoxies of the field. Since then, "radical", "critical" or "progressive" librarianship has continued to critique the profession by exposing the power relations built into classification systems Olson [Springer], or the gendered and racialized culture of librarianship [Lew and Yousefi [2017], Schlesselman-Tarango [2017], Chou and Pho [2018]]. The current period of crisis as we transition out of neoliberalism into whatever comes next has brought a new round of challenge to the orthodoxies of the profession, with intellectual freedom being particularly contested, especially since the election of Donald Trump and the resurgence of the far right since 2016.

A series of controversies around intellectual freedom have exposed deep rifts within the profession over what has long been considered one of its core values and commitments. The connection of intellectual freedom with human rights - for example by explicit reference to Article 19 of the UN Declaration of Human Rights - allows criticism of IF-absolutism to be dismissed as anti-human-rights. This simplistic dismissal has the effect of shoring up IF-absolutism and the - often unconscious or at least unacknowledged - political commitments entails by that perspective. In the Canadian context, the rise of the right-wing can be dated to around 2016, when University of Toronto professor Jordan Peterson refused to call students by their chosen pronouns following the passing of Bill C-16 which included gender expression and sexuality as protected characteristics in both the Canadian Human Rights Act and the Canadian Criminal Code Peterson [2016]. The fall-out from Peterson's notoriety, especially with the well-publicized case of Wilfrid Laurier TA Lindsay Shepherd the following year Hutchins [2017], was part of the larger controversies around free-speech on university campuses in Canada and the

US MacKinnon [2018], for example around the deplatforming of right-wing provocateur Milo Yiannopoulos in 2018 Beauchamp [2018]. In addition to questions of free-speech, 2016-2018 saw the creation of far-right/white supremacist/populist movements and political parties, including the Sons of Odin (founded in Finland in 2015, first active in Canada the following year), the Proud Boys (founded 2016), the Canadian Nationalist Party (founded 2017) and the People's Party of Canada (founded 2018).

Thes is the context in which the most recent round of challenges to IF-absolutism arose in the summer of 2017 beginning with Toronto Public Library (TPL) renting space to a group including Paul Fromm, international director of the white-supremacist Council of Conservative Citizens Quan [2015], and Marc Lemire, former president of the Neo-Nazi Heritage Front Craggs [2019]. The space was rented for a memorial service for Barbara Kulaszka, a Toronto lawyer who had defended many right-wing cases, including that of holocaust-denier Ernst Zundel. Kulaszka has been "credited with ensuring that no Nazi has ever been convicted for war crimes in Canada" and it has been argued that "because of her work, there are... no Canadian laws against internet hate speech" Shakeri [2017]. The library defended the room-rental in the name of intellectual freedom and the protections of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms. City Librarian Vickery Bowles released a statement that:

To deny access on the basis of the views or opinions that individuals or groups hold contravenes the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms and the principles of intellectual freedom, both cornerstones of the library's mission and values. Sometimes in defending freedom of speech, it's very uncomfortable to be put in a situation where we are defending the rights of those whose viewpoints many consider to be offensive. However, it is at those times that we must be vigilant in protecting the rights of all. TPL [a]

Despite protests, the memorial went ahead, though under pressure from the mayor and city council, the library did amend its room-rental policy to allow for the denial of a rental when the library

reasonably believes the purpose of the booking is likely to promote, or would have the effect of promoting, discrimination, contempt or hatred of any group, p, hatred for any person on the basis of race, ethnic origin, place of origin, citizenship, colour, ancestry, language, creed (religion), age, sex, gender identity, gender expression, marital status, family status, sexual orientation, disability, political affiliation, membership in a union or staff association, receipt of public assistance, level of literacy or any other similar factor. TPL [b]

This amendment to the room-rental policy will play a major role in the subsequent controversy over TPL's room-rental to a "gender feminist critical" group in 2019.

The question of room bookings and hate groups remerged the following year when the American Library Association's (ALA)¹ Office of Intellectual Freedom attempted to explicitly include "hate groups" as protected by the Library Bill of Rights room-booking policy Yorio and Peet [2018]. The ALA membership pushed back against this inclusion and eventually overturned it, but it exposed the OIF as traditional guarantors of IF-absolutism within the profession. In 2019, the issue came up again, this time around Meghan Murphy, a controversial "gender critical feminist" who had been banned from Twitter in late 2018 for violating Twitter's policy on transphobia by misgendering another user.

¹While the ALA does not have official authority over the profession, as the oldest and largest library association, it holds considerable hegemony within the field, especially in North America. ALA is also the accrediting body for professional library education in Canada and the US.

Bibliography

- Canadian Library Association. Position statement on intellectual freedom, 1974.
- Zack Beauchamp. Milo yiannopoulos's collapse shows that no-platforming can work, 2018.
- Sanford Berman, editor. Prejudices and Antipathies: A Tract on the LC Subject Heads Concerning People. Scarecrow Press, 1971.
- Roy Bhaskar. The Possibility of Naturalism: A Philosophical Critique of the Human Sciences. Routledge, third edition, 1998.
- CFLA. Statement on intellectual freedom and libraries, 2016.
- Rose L. Chou and Annie Pho, editors. *Pushing the Margins: Women of Color and Intersectionality in LIS.* Library Juice Press, 2018.
- Samantha Craggs. Former leader of white supremacy group works in hamilton's it office, sparking concerns, 2019.
- Dee Garrison. The tender technicians: The feminization of public librarianship, 1876-1905. *Journal of Social History*, 6(2):131–159, 1972.
- Michael Gorman. Our Enduring Values: Librarianship in the 21st Century. ALA, 2000.
- Aaron Hutchins. What really happened at laurier university, 2017.
- IFLA. Ifla statement on libraries and intellectual freedom, 1999.
- Shirley Lew and Baharak Yousefi, editors. Feminists Among Us: Resistance and Advocacy in Library Leadership. Library Juice Press, 2017.
- Alison Lewis, editor. Questioning Library Neutrality: Essays from Progressive Librarian. Library Juice Press, 2008.

BIBLIOGRAPHY 6

Peter MacKinnon. University Commons Divided: Exploring Debate and Dissent on Campus. University of Toronto Press, 2018.

- H.A. Olson. The Power to Name: Locating the Limits of Subject Representation in Libraries. 2002, Springer.
- Jordan Peterson. The right to be politically incorrect, 2016.
- Sam Popowich. Confronting the Democratic Discourse of Librarianship: A Marxist Approach. Library Juice Press, 2019.
- Douglas Quan. Ex-ontario teacher is international director of american 'white nationalist' group that influenced dylann roof, 2015.
- Toni Samek. Intellectual Freedom and Social Responsibility in American Librarianship, 1967-1974. McFarland, 2001.
- Gina Schlesselman-Tarango, editor. Topographies of Whiteness: Mapping Whiteness in Library and Information Science. Library Juice Press, 2017.
- Sima Shakeri. Toronto public library allows neo-nazi memorial for barbara kulaszka to go ahead, 2017.
- TPL. Statement from city librarian vickery bowles on last evening's event at richview library, 2017 a.
- TPL. Community and event space rental policy revisions, b.
- Kara Yorio and Lisa Peet. Free speech debate erupts with ala's inclusion of hate groups in revision of bill of rights interpretation, 2018.