# **Formatting instructions for NIPS 2017**

#### Forest Kobayashi

Department of Mathematics Harvey Mudd College Claremont, CA 91711 fkobayash@hmc.edu

#### Jacky Lee

Department of Mathematics
Department of Computer Science
Harvey Mudd College
Claremont, CA 91711
jaclee@hmc.edu

#### **Abstract**

Stock data is very difficult to analyze using classical methods, in large part due to heavy involvement of humans in stock pricing. In this paper, we examine a new approach to analyzing time-series stock data, particularly in the context of grouping correlated stocks.

## 1 Introduction

Here is a rough table of contents for our paper, so that the reader has a rough idea of where we'll be going:

- 1. Motivation (challenges to modelling stock data)
- 2. Long-term goal for the model / ideal model architecture
- 3. Classification
- 4. Findings
- 5. Conclusion

### 2 Motivation

There are many reasons why predicting stock prices is difficult, but for our model today, we will focus on just two:

- 1. The behavior of a given stock is influenced by hundreds of hidden variables e.g., the current state of geopolitics, governmental fiscal policies (for instance, the US Treasury interest rate), the performance of stocks in various other markets, etc. Hence, the price of a stock at any given moment is an emergent phenomenon, influenced by many small movements of markets around the world. Further, these connections themselves are in a constant state of flux as the market evolves, technology improves, and policies are rewritten, the weight each of these variables has in influencing pricing will wax and wane.
- 2. With the exception of some forms of algo trading, most trading strategies are being created and implemented by humans. That is, often humans are the ones who perform analysis of market information, and make decisions based off the findings. But humans are not naturally predisposed to rigorous quantitative analysis, and hence markets do not always behave rationally. As an example, consider Bitcoin. Thus, an accurate financial model will need to have some method of predicting human psychology.

For today, we will	
<sup>1</sup> Citation: Gary Evans	

#### 3 Goals

Our ultimate goal is to be able to use past stock data to train our model. Then given some stock trend data for some stock, use our model to predict the upcoming trends and return a vector of decisions. An example of the output is shown below.

$$\mathbf{y} = \left\langle P_{buy}(\mathbf{x}), P_{sell}(\mathbf{x}), P_{short}(\mathbf{x}), P_{nothing}(\mathbf{x}) \right\rangle$$

Here,  $P_{buy}(\mathbf{x})$  represents how confident the model is in recommending the user to buy the stock. Similarly,  $P_{sell}(\mathbf{x})$  represents selling the stock,  $P_{short}(\mathbf{x})$  represents shorting the stock, and  $P_{nothing}(\mathbf{x})$  represents doing nothing. All these probabilities should sum to 1.

## 4 Model Overview

Our large-scale goal for the model is as follows:




Figure 1: Model overview

# 5 Classification

Using LSTMs to predict stock pricing is, for the most part, a solved problem. Implementations and hyperparameters may differ, of course, but underneath, the structure of the model is largely the

same. Hence, we decided to focus our work on augmenting the filtering stage shown above. We were particularly interested in finding new representations of market trends that we could feed into the network.

# **5.1** Frequency Analysis