

# MATRIX INVERSES

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 5 & 5 \\ 3 & 8 & 6 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 10 & -12 & 5 \\ -3 & 3 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$AB = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A^{-1} = B$$

• also comes?

• how did I get B from A?

↳ remember: why does it work

$$\begin{cases} x + 2y + 3z = 1 \\ 2x + 5y + 5z = 2 \\ 3x + 8y + 6z = 3 \end{cases} \quad (*)$$

(\*) means simultaneous, here

the system of equations (\*) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 5 & 5 \\ 3 & 8 & 6 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

to find  $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix}$  we could multiply both sides times  $A^{-1}$ , to get

$$A^{-1} A \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = A^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 10 & -12 & 5 \\ -3 & 3 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{hence, } x=1, y=0, z=0$$

Where did B come from?

Gauss-Jordan method for finding the inverse of an  $n \times n$  matrix A

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & I \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow[\text{row operations}]{\text{elementary}} \begin{pmatrix} I & B \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{where } B = A^{-1}$$

$n \times 2n$

## ELEMENTARY ROW OPERATIONS

$$1) \quad R_i \leftarrow R_i + \lambda R_j \quad (i \neq j, \lambda \in \mathbb{R})$$

$$2) \quad R_i \leftarrow \lambda R_i \quad (\lambda \neq 0)$$

$$3) \quad R_i \leftrightarrow R_j \quad (i \neq j)$$

Example

$$\text{Find } A^{-1} \text{ where } A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 5 & 5 \\ 3 & 8 & 6 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A \left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 5 & 5 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 8 & 6 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & -3 & -3 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right)$$

$$R_2 \leftarrow R_2 - 2R_1$$

$$R_3 \leftarrow R_3 - 2R_1$$

$$\left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & -2 & 1 \end{array} \right)$$

$$R_3 \leftarrow R_3 - 2R_2$$

$$\left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 2 & 0 & 4 & -6 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -3 & 3 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 2 & -1 \end{array} \right)$$

$$R_1 \leftarrow R_1 - 3R_2$$

$$R_2 \leftarrow R_2 + R_3$$

$$R_3 \leftarrow -R_3$$

$$\left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -12 & 5 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -3 & 3 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & -2 & 1 \end{array} \right)$$

$$R_1 \leftarrow R_1 + 2R_2$$

$$B = A^{-1}$$

Why does the Gauss-Jordan Method succeed in finding the inverse of an invertible square matrix?

Row Operation I

eg: 
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 2 & -4 & 6 \\ 7 & 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_1 \leftarrow R_2 + 3R_1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 5 & 5 & 21 \\ 7 & 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

note: 
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 2 & -4 & 6 \\ 7 & 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 5 & 5 & 21 \\ 7 & 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$3 \ 1 \ 0 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 3R_1 \\ R_2 \\ 0R_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

\* Row operations are matrix multiplication

Row Operation II

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 2 & -4 & 6 \\ 7 & 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_3 \leftarrow 4R_3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 2 & -4 & 6 \\ 28 & 4 & -8 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 2 & -4 & 6 \\ 7 & 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 2 & -4 & 6 \\ 28 & 4 & -8 \end{pmatrix}$$

→ any row operation can be replaced by premultiplication by a square matrix E

Row Operation III

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 2 & -4 & 6 \\ 7 & 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\begin{matrix} R_1 \\ \updownarrow \\ R_3 \end{matrix}} \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 & -2 \\ 2 & -4 & 6 \\ 1 & 3 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 2 & -4 & 6 \\ 7 & 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 & -2 \\ 2 & -4 & 6 \\ 1 & 3 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$$

Back to Gauss-Jordan...

If  $(A : I) \xrightarrow{\text{row operations}} (I : B)$

then there are square matrices

$E_1, E_2, \dots, E_k$  such that

$E_k \dots E_2 E_1 A = I$

thus

$(E_k \dots E_2 E_1) A A^{-1} = I A^{-1}$

$(E_k \dots E_2 E_1) I = I A^{-1} \rightarrow$  This proves, (kind of), that the gauss-jordan method works.

Problem: A factory requires energy, steel & labour to manufacture machines of types A, B, and C.

Resources	A	B	C	weekly amount
energy	2 MWh	3 MWh	2 MWh	100 MWh
steel	1 tonne	1 tonne	4 tonnes	70 tonnes
labour	20 hrs	10 hrs	10 hrs	500 hrs

What production figures ensure that all resources are used?

Sol<sup>n</sup>: Suppose we manufacture  $x$  units of type A,  $y$  units of type B, and  $z$  units of type C, and that all resources are used.

$$\begin{cases} 2x + 3y + 2z = 100 \\ x + y + 4z = 70 \\ 20x + 10y + 10z = 500 \end{cases} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 4 \\ 20 & 10 & 10 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 100 \\ 70 \\ 500 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$R_3 \leftarrow \frac{1}{10} R_3 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 100 \\ 70 \\ 50 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 70 \\ 100 \\ 50 \end{pmatrix} \quad R_1 \leftrightarrow R_2$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & 6 \\ 0 & -7 & -7 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 20 \\ -40 \\ -90 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{matrix} R_2 \leftarrow R_2 - 2R_1 \\ R_3 \leftarrow R_3 - 2R_1 \end{matrix}$$

Cont.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & -6 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 70 \\ -40 \\ -120 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} x + y + 4z = 70 \\ y - 6z = -40 \\ -3z = -120 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} x = 10 \\ y = 20 \\ z = 40 \end{bmatrix} \quad (*) \text{ Solved.}$$



## Row Operations

$$\text{pivot} \rightarrow \left. \begin{array}{l} 2x + 3y + 2z = 100 \\ x + y + 4z = 40 \\ 20x + 10y + 10z = 800 \end{array} \right\} \text{system of linear equations}$$

The above system is equivalent to the following system

$$\left[ \begin{array}{l} R_1 \leftarrow R_2 - \frac{1}{2}R_1 \\ R_3 \leftarrow R_3 - 10R_1 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\text{pivot} \rightarrow \left. \begin{array}{l} 2x + 3y + 2z = 100 \\ -\frac{1}{2}x + 3z = 20 \\ -10y + 10z = -500 \end{array} \right\} \text{second system}$$

The second system is equivalent to the following system

$$\left[ R_3 \leftarrow R_3 - 40R_2 \right]$$

$$2x + 3y + 2z = 100$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}x + 3z = 20$$

$$-130z = -1800 \rightarrow z = 10$$

// Back substitution

$$z = 10 \rightarrow y = 20 \rightarrow x = 10$$

## Terminology

2 is the pivot in the 1<sup>st</sup> stage

$-\frac{1}{2}$  is the pivot of the 2<sup>nd</sup> stage

// equivalent = yield the same values for variables

1st step: take multiples of 1<sup>st</sup> row from all subsequent equations

↳ how many is determined by the pivot.

2nd step: repeat for second time, and all subsequent, until a singular variable is easily identifiable

## Gaussian elimination

to Q:

Does this procedure always work?

A: No

it doesn't work if a pivot ends up at 0.  
→ some systems have no solutions.