# Control with function approximation

Corrado Possieri

Machine and Reinforcement Learning in Control Applications

#### Introduction

We now want to build and approximate
 Addestriamo i pesi per approssimare q cappello verso la funzione qualità

$$\hat{q}(s,a,\mathbf{w}) \simeq q_*(s,a)$$
. e limitate poiché potrei ottenere un problema non conveso e uiqndi diffice di risolvere Se le iterazioni sono finite e piccone posso

2 / 14

• Natural extension of prediction in the episodic case.

- Attention needed in the continuing case.
- We follow the general pattern of on-policy GPI.

LO stato continuo, numero di azioni discrete

ntroduction Episodic control Average return Eligibility traces

# Episodic Semi-gradient Control

- ullet The target update  $U_t$  can be any approximation of  $q_\pi$ 
  - MC update

$$^{\text{Dominio:}} S_t, A_t \mapsto G_t;$$

 $S_t, A_t \mapsto R_{t+1} + \gamma Q(S_{t+1}, A_{t+1})$ :

■ TD(0) update (SARSA)

■ *n*-step TD update

$$S_t, A_t \mapsto G_{t:t+n}$$
. nootstrapping all'ennesimo paso

The general update form is

stima corrente della funzione qualità

3/14

$$\mathbf{w}_{t+1} = \mathbf{w}_t + \alpha (U_t - \hat{q}(S_t, A_t, \mathbf{w}_t)) \nabla \hat{q}(S_t, A_t, \mathbf{w}_t).$$

(ritorno/misura di bootstrapping)

 Couple action-value prediction methods with techniques for policy improvement and action selection.

$$\frac{2}{9}\left(S_{t}, A_{t}, w_{t}\right)$$

$$W_{t}, S_{t} \longrightarrow A_{t}?$$

$$\rightarrow A_t$$

$$A_{t}$$

$$A_t$$
?

$$A_t$$
?

$$A_t$$
?

$$A_t$$
?

$$W_{t}, S_{t} \rightarrow A_{t}$$
?

 $A_{t} = \max_{a} q(S_{t}, a, w_{t})$ 

$$A_t$$
?



$$t_t$$
?

$$t_t$$
?





 $\mathbf{w}_{t+1} = \mathbf{w}_t + \alpha(U_t - \hat{q}(S_t, A_t, \mathbf{w}_t)) \nabla \hat{q}(S_t, A_t, \mathbf{w}_t).$ 

nSte TD

nc: Gt
, TD(0): Rt+1 + & q(S++1, A++1, Wt)

ntroduction **Episodic control** Average return Eligibility traces

### Semi-gradient SARSA algorithm

Semi gradient poiché considero solo la mia stima corrente

#### Semi-gradient SARSA algorithm

```
Input: \alpha > 0, \varepsilon > 0, approximation function \hat{q}
```

**Output:** approximate of  $q_*$  and  $\pi_*$ 

#### Initialization

```
\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \text{arbitrarily}
```

#### Loop

```
\begin{array}{l} S,A \leftarrow \text{initial state and action of episode } (\textit{e.g.},\ \varepsilon\text{-greedy}) \\ \textbf{for} \ \text{each step of the episode } \textbf{do} \\ \text{take action } A \ \text{and observe } R,S' \\ \textbf{if } S' \ \text{is terminal then} \\ \textbf{w} \leftarrow \textbf{w} + \alpha(R - \hat{q}(S,A,\textbf{w})) \nabla \hat{q}(S,A,\textbf{w}) \\ \text{reinitialize the episode} \\ \text{choose } A' \ \text{as a function of } \hat{q}(S',\cdot,\textbf{w}) \ (\textit{e.g.},\ \varepsilon\text{-greedy}) \\ \textbf{w} \leftarrow \textbf{w} + \alpha(R + \gamma \hat{q}(S',A',\textbf{w}) - \hat{q}(S,A,\textbf{w})) \nabla \hat{q}(S,A,\textbf{w}) \\ S \leftarrow S' \\ A \leftarrow A' \end{array}
```

## *n*-step semi-gradient SARSA algorithm

# *n*-step semi-gradient SARSA algorithm

```
Input: \alpha > 0, a positive integer n, approximation function \hat{q} (lineare e non lineare)
Output: approximate of q_* and \pi_*
Initialization
   \mathbf{w} \leftarrow \text{arbitrary}
Loop
   initialize S_0 \neq \text{terminal}
   store A_0 \leftarrow \varepsilon-greedy(\hat{q}(S_0,\cdot,\mathbf{w})) stima corrente basata sui pesi a disposizione
   T \leftarrow \infty istante terminale che non so qual è
   for t = 0, 1, 2, ... do
        take action A_t
        observe and store R_{t+1} and S_{t+1}
         if S_{t+1} is terminal then
              T \leftarrow t + 1
         else
              store A_{t+1} \leftarrow \varepsilon-greedy(\hat{q}(S_{t+1}, \cdot, \mathbf{w}))
        \tau = t - n + 1
        if \tau > 0 then
              G \leftarrow \sum_{i=	au+1}^{\min(	au+n,T)} \gamma^{i-	au-1} R_i Non faccio bootstrapping
              if \tau + n < T then
                                                                            Faccio ootstrapping
                   G \leftarrow G + \gamma^n \hat{q}(S_{\tau+n}, A_{\tau+n}, \mathbf{w})
              \mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + \alpha (G - \hat{q}(S_{\tau}, A_{\tau}, \mathbf{w})) \nabla \hat{q}(S_{\tau}, A_{\tau}, \mathbf{w})
        if \tau = T - 1 then
                                                 Non cancello la stima corrente di w
              proceed to next episode
```

#### Average return

- The average reward setting applies to continuing problems.
- No discounting (delayed rewards count as immediate reward).
- The quality of  $\pi$  is defined as the average rate of reward

$$r(\pi) = \lim_{h \to \infty} \frac{1}{h} \sum_{t=1}^{\text{nor/gcontati}} \mathbb{E}[R_t | S_0, \overset{\text{tutte le azioni seguendo}}{A_{0:t}} \sim \pi].$$

• If the MDP is *ergodic*, i.e., the steady state distribution

$$\mu_{\pi}(s) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \mathbb{P}[S_t = s | A_{0:t} \sim \pi]$$

exists and is independent of  $S_0$ , then

$$r(\pi) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \mathbb{E}[R_t | S_0, A_{0:t} \sim \pi]$$
  
=  $\sum_s \mu_{\pi}(s) \sum_a \pi(a|s) \sum_{s',r} p(s', r|s, a)r$ .

#### Differential return and differential value functions

• In the average reward case, we consider the differential return

$$G_t = R_{t+1} - r(\pi) + R_{t+2} - r(\pi) + R_{t+3} - r(\pi) + \dots$$

ullet Differential value functions are defined upon  $G_t$ 

$$v_{\pi}(s) = \mathbb{E}[G_t|S_t = s],$$
  
$$q_{\pi}(s, a) = \mathbb{E}[G_t|S_t = s, A_t = a].$$

The corresponding Bellman equations are

una costante, Questo non  $v_\pi(s) = \sum_a \pi(a|s) \sum_{s',r} p(s',r|s,a) \left(r-r(\pi)+v_\pi(s')\right), \text{è un problema poiché} \\ q_\pi(s,a) = \sum_{s',r} p(s',r|s,a) \left(r-r(\pi)+\sum_{a'} \pi(a'|s')q_\pi(s',\text{atiple},\text{ottenute da q} \right) \\ v_*(s) = \max_a \sum_{s',r} p(s',r|s,a) \left(r-\max_\pi r(\pi)+v_*(s')\right)_{\text{tecniche non vanno bene}}^{\text{una costante, Questo}}$ 

Soluzione unica a meno di

 $q_*(s,a) = \sum_{s',r} p(s',r|s,a) \left(r - \max_{\pi} r(\pi) + \max_{a'} q_*(s',\frac{\log \operatorname{predizione, maper}}{a}\right)$  Ottengo ritorno finito anche senza scontare .

#### Differential errors

• The TD differential errors are

Predizione

$$\begin{split} &\delta_t = R_{t+1} - \bar{R}_t + \hat{v}(S_{t+1}, \mathbf{w}_t) - \hat{v}(S_t, \mathbf{w}_t), \\ &\delta_t = R_{t+1} - \bar{R}_t + \hat{q}(S_{t+1}, A_{t+1}, \mathbf{w}_t) - \hat{q}(S_t, A_t, \mathbf{w}_t), \\ &\delta_t = R_{t+1} - \bar{R}_{t+n-1} + R_{t+2} - \bar{R}_{t+n-1} + \dots + R_{t+n} - \bar{R}_{t+n-1} \\ &+ \hat{q}(S_{t+n}, A_{t+n}, \mathbf{w}_t) - \hat{q}(S_t, A_t, \mathbf{w}_t), \end{split}$$

where  $R_t$  is an estimate of  $r(\pi)$ .

- With these definitions, we can implement most algorithms
  - e.g., the SARSA update is

Differenze

Fattore di Sconto 1

$$\mathbf{w}_{t+1} = \mathbf{w}_t + \alpha \delta_t \nabla \hat{q}(S_t, A_t, \mathbf{w}_t).$$
 Reward medio

- converges to a differential values plus an arbitrary offset;
- the Bellman equations and the TD errors are unaffected if all the values are shifted by the same amount.

ntroduction Episodic control **Average return** Eligibility traces

### Differential semi-gradient SARSA Task Community

#### Differential semi-gradient SARSA algorithm

**Input:**  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\beta > 0$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ , approximation function  $\hat{q}$ 

Output: Capproximate of  $q_*$  and  $\pi_*$ 

aloaha aggiornamento pesi beta aggiornamento reward medio

#### Initialization

```
\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \text{arbitrarily} \\ \bar{R} \leftarrow \text{arbitrarily}
```

#### Loop

```
\begin{array}{l} S,A \leftarrow \text{initial state and action of episode (e.g., $\varepsilon$-greedy)} \\ \textbf{for } \text{each step of the episode } \textbf{do} \\ \text{take action } A \text{ and observe } R,S' \\ \text{choose } A' \text{ as a function of } \hat{q}(S',\cdot,\mathbf{w}) \text{ (e.g., $\varepsilon$-greedy)} \\ \delta \leftarrow (R-\bar{R}+\hat{q}(S',A',\mathbf{w})-\hat{q}(S,A,\mathbf{w}))^{\text{errore alle TD}} \\ \bar{R} \leftarrow \bar{R}+\beta\delta \\ \mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + \alpha\delta\nabla\hat{q}(S,A,\mathbf{w}) \\ S \leftarrow S' \\ A \leftarrow A' \end{array}
```

## Differential *n*-step semi-gradient SARSA algorithm

# Differential *n*-step semi-gradient SARSA algorithm

```
Input: \alpha > 0, \beta > 0, a positive integer n, approximation function \hat{q}
Output: approximate of q_* and \pi_*
Initialization
   \mathbf{w} \leftarrow \text{arbitrary}. \bar{R} \leftarrow \text{arbitrary}
Loop
   initialize S_0 \neq \text{terminal}
   store A_0 \leftarrow \varepsilon-greedy(\hat{q}(S_0, \cdot, \mathbf{w}))
   T \leftarrow \infty
   for t = 0, 1, 2, ... do
          take action A_t, observe and store R_{t+1} and S_{t+1}
          if S_{t+1} is terminal then
                T \leftarrow t + 1
          else
                store A_{t+1} \leftarrow \varepsilon-greedy(\hat{q}(S_{t+1}, \cdot, \mathbf{w}))
          \tau = t - n + 1
          if \tau > 0 then
                \delta \leftarrow \sum_{i=\tau+1}^{\min(\tau+n,T)} (R_i - \bar{R}) - \hat{q}(S_\tau, A_\tau, \mathbf{w})
                if \tau + n < T then
                      \delta \leftarrow \delta + \hat{q}(S_{\tau+n}, A_{\tau+n}, \mathbf{w})
                \bar{R} \leftarrow \bar{R} + \beta \delta
                \mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + \alpha \delta \nabla \hat{q}(S_{\tau}, A_{\tau}, \mathbf{w})
          if \tau = T - 1 then
                 proceed to next episode
```

## Deprecating discounted setting

- Averaging the rewards over a long interval leads to the average reward setting.
  - $\blacksquare$  the average of discounted returns equals  $\frac{r(\pi)}{1-\gamma}.$
- ullet The value of  $\gamma$  has no effect with function approximation.
- We lost the policy improvement theorem using function approximation.
- Discounting algorithms with function approximation do not optimize discounted value over the on-policy distribution,

# $SARSA(\lambda)$

- Eligibility traces can be used also for control.
- ullet The off-line  $\lambda$ -return algorithm uses  $\hat{q}$  rather than  $\hat{v}$

task episodici

$$\mathbf{w}_{t+1} = \mathbf{w}_t + \alpha (G_t^{\lambda} - \hat{q}(S_t, A_t, \mathbf{w}_t)) \nabla \hat{q}(S_t, A_t, \mathbf{w}_t).$$

The backward view of this algorithm is

$$\delta_t = R_{t+1} + \gamma \hat{q}(S_{t+1}, A_{t+1}, \mathbf{w}_t) - \hat{q}(S_t, A_t, \mathbf{w}_t),$$
  

$$\mathbf{z}_t = \gamma \lambda \mathbf{z}_{t-1} + \nabla \hat{q}(S_t, A_t, \mathbf{w}_t),$$
  

$$\mathbf{w}_{t+1} = \mathbf{w}_t + \alpha \delta_t \mathbf{z}_t,$$

with  $z_{-1} = 0$ .

## $SARSA(\lambda)$ with binary features and linear approximation

#### $\mathsf{SARSA}(\lambda)$ with binary features and linear approximation

```
Input: \alpha > 0, \varepsilon > 0, function \mathcal{F}(s,a) returning active features
Output: approximate of q_* and \pi_*
Initialization
    w ←arbitrarily
            Tracce di eleggibilità a 0
Loop
    initialize S
    A \leftarrow \varepsilon-greedy(\hat{q}(S, \cdot, \mathbf{w}))
   for each step of the episode do
           take action A and observe R, S'
          \delta \leftarrow B
          \begin{array}{c} \text{for } i \in \mathcal{F}(S,A) \text{ do} \\ \delta \leftarrow \delta - w_i \end{array} \text{ w\_i valori dei pesi delle feature attive}
                 z_i \leftarrow z_i + 1 or z_i \leftarrow 1 dutch o replacing
           if S' is terminal then
                 \mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + \alpha \delta \mathbf{z}
                proceed to next episode
           A' \leftarrow \varepsilon-greedy(\hat{q}(S', \cdot, \mathbf{w}))
           for i \in \mathcal{F}(S', A') do
                 \delta \leftarrow \delta + \gamma w_i
           \mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + \alpha \delta \mathbf{z}
          z \leftarrow \gamma \lambda z
           S \leftarrow S'
          A \leftarrow A'
```

# True online SARSA( $\lambda$ )

### True online SARSA( $\lambda$ ) algorithm

```
Input: \alpha > 0, \lambda > 0, feature function \mathbf{x} such that \mathbf{x}(terminal, \cdot) = 0
Output: q_*, \pi_*
Initialization
    w ←arbitrarily
Loop
    initialize S: A \leftarrow \varepsilon-greedy(\hat{q}(S, \cdot, \mathbf{w}))
    \mathbf{x} \leftarrow \mathbf{x}(S, A)
    z \leftarrow 0
    Q_{\text{old}} \leftarrow 0
    for each step of the episode do
           take action A and observe R, S'
           A' \leftarrow \varepsilon-greedy(\hat{q}(S', \cdot, \mathbf{w}))
           \mathbf{x}' \leftarrow \mathbf{x}(S', A')
           Q \leftarrow \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x}
           Q' \leftarrow \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x}'
           \delta \leftarrow R + \gamma Q' - Q
           \mathbf{z} \leftarrow \gamma \lambda \mathbf{z} + (1 - \alpha \gamma \lambda \mathbf{z}^{\top} \mathbf{x}) \mathbf{x}
           \mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + \alpha(\delta + Q - Q_{\text{old}})\mathbf{z} - \alpha(Q - Q_{\text{old}})\mathbf{x}
           Q_{\text{old}} \leftarrow Q'
           \mathbf{x} \leftarrow \mathbf{x}'
           A \leftarrow A'
           if if S' is terminal then
                   reinitialize the episode
```