```
(* This allows us to explicitly state our assumptions about variables
making ComplexExpand fully simplify on variables we assume are real *)
$Assumptions = {};
Assume[assumptions_] :=
$Assumptions = DeleteDuplicates@Flatten@Append[$Assumptions, assumptions]
Assume[{p, q, u, v, x, y, a, b, c} ∈ Reals];
```

Notation Conventions

```
(* 2.1 The Variable X is a member of the Lie algebra of su(3), a skew-hermitian 3\times3 complex matrix with trace zero *)

X = \begin{pmatrix} Ia & r & s \\ -r^* & Ib & t \\ -s^* & -t^* & Ic \end{pmatrix};
(* Variables \{p,q,u,v,x,y,a,b,c\} \in Reals *)
r = p + Iq;
s = u + Iv;
t = x + Iy;
(* r^* is complex conjugate of r, etc. *)
X = X // ComplexExpand;
(* Differences used in the computation of of <math>\tilde{\beta} function, see eq.9 *)
\mu[1, 2] = \mu[2] - \mu[1];
\mu[1, 3] = \mu[3] - \mu[1];
\mu[2, 3] = \mu[3] - \mu[2];
```

Symplectic Form and Hamiltonian Vector Field

```
(* §3.2: e1 and e2 are two arbitrary elements in su(3)
   chosen to create a basis for our variety M *)
e1 = SparseArray[\{\{1, 2\} \rightarrow r, \{2, 1\} \rightarrow -r^*\}, \{3, 3\}] // Simplify;
e2 = SparseArray[\{\{1, 3\} \rightarrow s, \{3, 1\} \rightarrow s^*\}, \{3, 3\}] // Simplify;
(* We wish to find \beta(e) satisfying [X,e] = [I\mu,\beta(e)], e \in su(3) *)
(* §3.1 eq.19: To achieve this we define \tilde{\beta} *)
\tilde{\beta}[e_{-}] := -\{\{0, -Ie[[1, 2]] / \mu[1, 2], -Ie[[1, 3]] / \mu[1, 3]\},
      {Ie[[2, 1]] / \mu[1, 2], 0, -Ie[[2, 3]] / \mu[2, 3]},
     \{Ie[[3, 1]] / \mu[1, 3], Ie[[3, 2]] / \mu[2, 3], 0\}\} // ComplexExpand
(* §3.1 eq.20: \tilde{\beta} allows us to define the desired vector field \beta(e) *)
\beta[e_{-}] := \tilde{\beta}[Comm[X, e]]
\alpha[e_] := -e - \beta[e]
(* §3.1 eq.20 *)
V[e_] := Comm[X, \alpha[e]]
V1 = V[e1] // Simplify;
V2 = V[e2] // Simplify;
```

Equations Characterizing the Triple Reduced Product

```
(* \mu is Real, Skew, and Symmetric *)
(* LAM and NU are constant matrices in the original problem *)
(* Later we will specify \lambda s and \nu s to compute specific solutions*)
MU := I DiagonalMatrix[\{\mu[1], \mu[2], \mu[3]\}]; (* I\mu in paper *)
LAM = I DiagonalMatrix[\{\lambda 1, \lambda 2, \lambda 3\}]; (* I\lambda in paper *)
NU = I DiagonalMatrix[{v1, v2, v3}]; (* Iv in paper *)
(* §2.2: \tau2 is the second elementary symetric polynomial \tau_2(X) *)
\tau 2[X_{]} := Coefficient[Det[X - z IdentityMatrix[3]], z]
(* Quality of life function simplifying difference between common \tau 2 evaluations*)
\tau diff = \tau 2[-X - MU] - \tau 2[-X];
(* Quality of life function simplifying difference between common Det evaluations*)
detsum = Det[-X - MU] + Det[X];
(* Equations characterizing the triple reduced product *)
eqn1 := I (detsum - Det[NU] - Det[LAM]) // ComplexExpand;
eqn2 := I (Det[X] - Det[LAM]) // ComplexExpand;
eqn3 := \tau 2[X] - \tau 2[LAM] // ComplexExpand;
```

```
(*Equations above but with variables unexpanded, useful later*)
EQN1 = I detsum - DetNL // Simplify;
EQN2 = I Det[X] - DetL // Simplify;
EQN3 = \tau 2[X] - \tau L // Simplify;
```

Evaluating the Variables and Replacing p^2

```
(*
Much of the subsequent code is computing and applying replacement rules.
   For clarity we try to encode the main replacement rules as functions.
   The replacement functions will be denoted by "Sub".
   The variable being replaced will prefix "Sub".
   The variables replacing will suffix "Sub".
   We apply replacement functions by suffix denoted //
 for example: (x // xSuby) \rightarrow y
*)
(*pSubrq eliminates p^2 in terms of r^2-q^2*)
(* Note that rr, uu, xx will denote r^2, u^2, x^2 *)
(* Note that only p^2 terms will be replaced. There
 may still be p terms in the expression after substitution *)
pSubrq := # /. p^2 -> rr - q^2 &
(*Equations with variables replaced and expanded
 replacement variables are denoted by suffix*)
{eqn1rq, eqn2rq, eqn3rq} = {eqn1, eqn2, eqn3} // pSubrq // Simplify;
{EQN1rq, EQN2rq, EQN3rq} = {EQN1, EQN2, EQN3} // pSubrq // Simplify;
```

Solving the Equations By Replacing to pqrc

```
(* See §2.2 eqs. 11,12,13 *)
(*Restrict to the transversal*)
V = 0;
y = x;
```

```
(*Find replacement rules for equation solution*)
uurule = Solve[(Expand[\mu[1] EQN3rq + EQN1rq] /. u^2 -> uu) == 0, uu];
xxrule = Solve[(Expand[\mu[2] EQN3rq + Expand[EQN1rq]] /. x^2 -> xx) == 0, xx];
(*Solving for substitution rules for a,b in terms of c*)
arule = Solve [\tau diff - (\mu[1] + \mu[3]) (a + b + c) = \tau NL, a];
brule = Solve [\tau diff - (\mu[2] + \mu[3]) (a+b+c) = \tau NL, b];
(*Replacement rules for our variables*)
uxrules = Flatten[{u^2 -> uu, x^2 -> xx, uurule, xxrule}];
abrules = Flatten[{arule, brule}];
(*Function for replacing in terms of r,c,p,q*)
uxabSubpqrc := # //. Flatten[{uxrules, abrules}] &
```

EQN*

```
(*
EQNpqrc is EQN with all the above replacement rules
 applied so that we get an equation in terms of p,q,r,c
*)
\{EQN1pqrc, EQN2pqrc, EQN3pqrc\} = \{EQN1rq, EQN2rq, EQN3rq\} // uxabSubpqrc // Simplify;
UUrc = Expand[uurule //. abrules] // Simplify // Flatten;
XXrc = Expand[xxrule //. abrules] // Simplify // Flatten;
uuxxrules = Flatten[{u -> Sqrt[uu /. UUrc], x -> Sqrt[xx /. XXrc]}];
uuxxSubrc := # //. {u -> Sqrt[uu /. UUrc], x -> Sqrt[xx /. XXrc]} &
EQN2rc = EQN2pqrc // uuxxSubrc;
```

Replacing p q with r c

```
(* This block will not evaluate unless F is undefined *)
Clear[F]
```

```
(* p+q = F; p^2+q^2 = r^2
   p^2 + (F-p)^2 = r^2
2p^2 - 2Fp + F^2 - r^2 = 0
   Discriminant as a quadratic in p is 4F^2 - 8(F^2-r^2) =
    8r^2 - 4F^2 = 4(2r^2-F^2)
   To get soln for {p,q} need this discriminant positive,
so boundary of the manifold
   is where the discriminant is 0 *)
(*finding p and q in terms of rr and c*)
(* The line p+q = F intersects the circle p^2+q^2 = r^2 in two points.
      So for fixed |r|^2 as c varies our crossection is the union of two curves,
see Lemma 2.3.
  However, when we do integrals to find the period
  we need only integrate over one of the two curves then double.
   The //First suffix makes the arbitrary choice of
  one of the two curves to integrate. *)
pqrulerc = Simplify[Solve[\{p+q==F, p^2+q^2==rr\}, \{p, q\}]] // First;
{prc, qrc} = {p, q} /. pqrulerc;
pqSubrc := \# //. {p \rightarrow prc, q \rightarrow qrc} &
(* seq is EQN2 *)
seq = Simplify[EQN2rc];
g = Coefficient[seq, p];
f = seq - pg - qg;
(* The equation F=f/g is equivalent to seq=0*)
F = -f/g;
(* Subrc, with no prefix, defines the full replacement function to terms rr,c *)
Subrc := (# // uxabSubpqrc // uuxxSubrc // pqSubrc) &
```

The Original Matrix in rr, c

```
(*Rewrite All Entries of X in terms of r and c*)
Xrc = X // Subrc;
(*Partial Derivatives of X wrt c and r^2*)
dcXrc = D[Xrc, c];
dkXrc = D[Xrc, rr];
```

The Symmetrized Gelfand-Cetlin Function

```
(* GC is the Gelfand-Cetlin function *)
GC[M_] := IM[[1, 1]] + Sqrt[-Expand[(M[[2, 2]] - M[[3, 3]])^2 + 4M[[2, 3]]M[[3, 2]]]]
 (* this is the input function, the average of the Gelfand-Cetlin function -- called
   candidate[M] *)
(*The input function in §3.3 of the paper is denoted f *)
(* This function is treated as a candidate for the hamiltonian.
   Here it is the average Gelfand-Cetlin function, GC_av *)
candidate[M_{-}] := (GC[M] + GC[-M - MU])/2
(* We proceed to compute the Hamiltonian associated to the circle action
   associated to this input function. as in Section 4 of the paper *)
prehh[V ] := candidate[X + z V]
Directional Derivative of candidate[V] in the direction of V.
   h[V_]:=Limit[(candidate[X + z V] - candidate[X])/z,z->0] *)
h[V_] := D[prehh[V], z] /. z -> 0
(*Take directional derivatives in direction of dcXrc*)
hVH = h[dcXrc];
(*Directional derivatives of candidate in the direction of V1 and V2*)
{hV1, hV2} = h /@ {V1, V2} // Simplify;
(*The directional derivative in the direction VV is 0,
hence since we are in 2 dimensions,
 VV is a direction vector for the associated Hamiltonian vector field *)
VV = hV2 V1 - hV1 V2;
e = hV2 e1 - hV1 e2;
```

Symplectic Form on Triple Reduced Product

```
(* §3.1 eq.25 symplectic form on triple reduced product*)
\hat{\Omega} = -IP[dcXrc, e // Simplify];
\Omega rc = \hat{\Omega} // Subrc;
```

Change Parameters to H, c

```
(*Solve the Equation H=candidate[X] for rr in terms of H and c*)
hrc = candidate[X] // Simplify // Subrc;
rrruleHc = Simplify[Solve[hrc == H, rr]] // Flatten // First;
(* We now switch parameters to H and c instead of rr and c
Any variable with the suffix Hc indicates replacment to terms in H and c *)
uuruleHc = Simplify[UUrc /. rrruleHc];
(* Replacement function to terms in H,c *)
SubHc := (# // Subrc) //. rrruleHc &
seqHc = seq // SubHc;
boundarycubic = 2 rr g^2 - f^2;
boundaryHc = boundarycubic // SubHc;
```

Specific Values

```
(* Here we introduce specific values for \lambda's, \mu's, and \nu's.
   This enables us to compute results numerically
 which are not possible for Mathematica algebraically *)
(* We denote variables with specific values with a foremost prefix "s" *)
(* §4.1 In the paper these are referred to as 'generic constants' *)
S\lambda = LAM / . \{\lambda 1 \rightarrow -7/2, \lambda 2 \rightarrow 3, \lambda 3 \rightarrow 1/2\};
S\mu = \{\mu[1] \rightarrow 3, \mu[2] \rightarrow 0, \mu[3] \rightarrow -3\};
SV = NU /. \{v1 \rightarrow -11 / 2, v2 \rightarrow 4, v3 \rightarrow 3 / 2\};
(* Here we define how the unexpanded variables relate to expanded variables *)
SDetNL[v_{\lambda}] := I (Det[v] + Det[\lambda]);
sDetL[\lambda_{-}] := IDet[\lambda];
St[\lambda_{-}] := t2[\lambda];
STNL[\nu_{\lambda}] := (\tau 2[\nu] - \tau 2[\lambda]);
specificvalues = {
    DetL \rightarrow sDetL[s\lambda],
    DetNL \rightarrow sDetNL[s\nu, s\lambda],
     \tau NL \rightarrow S\tau NL[Sv, S\lambda],
    \tau L \rightarrow s\tau[s\lambda],
    \mu[1] \rightarrow 3, \, \mu[2] \rightarrow 0, \, \mu[3] \rightarrow -3\};
```

```
(* boundaryHc with specific values for parameters*)
Subspecifics := # /. specificvalues &;
sboundaryHc = boundaryHc // Subspecifics // Simplify;
(* rule for replacing rr to H,c terms with specific constants, quality of life *)
srrrulesHc = rrruleHc // Subspecifics;
```

Mathematica Geometric Regions

Setting Up Regions

```
(* Rules for rr,xx,uu for the region in H,c to satisfy *)
rrFromHc = (rrruleHc // Subspecifics);
xxFromHc = (XXrc // Subspecifics) /. rrFromHc;
uuFromHc = (UUrc // Subspecifics) /. rrFromHc;
(* Define region sub-conditions *)
Rrr = ImplicitRegion[(rr /. rrFromHc) > 0, {H, c}];
Ruu = ImplicitRegion[(uu /. uuFromHc) > 0, {H, c}];
$\mathcal{R}\text{xx} = ImplicitRegion[(xx /. xxFromHc) > 0, {H, c}];
RHc = ImplicitRegion[sboundaryHc > 0, {H, c}];
(* Final region is the interesection of all regions satisfying sub-conditions *)
Rfinal = RegionIntersection[RHc, Rrr, Rxx, Ruu];
(*Discretize Region for Faster and More Consistant Integration*)
\Re final discrete = Discretize Region \left[\Re final, \{\{-4, 6\}, \{-1, 1\}\}, 
  PerformanceGoal → "Quality", AccuracyGoal → 8, PrecisionGoal → 8]
```

(*Separate Discretized Regions into Connected Components*) connectedregions = ConnectedMeshComponents[Rfinaldiscrete][[;; 4]];

```
(*Find the min and max of the region*)
FindNP := First@RegionNearest[#, {-1000, 0}] &
FindSP := First@RegionNearest[#, {1000, 0}] &
(* Sort Connected Components by Sout Pole to Consistently Find 'Main' Region *)
connectedregions = SortBy[connectedregions, FindSP];
mainregion = connectedregions[[4]];
(*North and South H Bounds for 'Main' Region*)
{northpole, southpole} = {FindNP@mainregion, FindSP@mainregion}
{3.89944, 5.17858}
RegionPlot@ImplicitRegion[\{H, c\} \in \Re final, \{\{H, northpole, southpole\}, \{c, 0, 1\}\}]
8.0
0.6
0.4
0.0
      4.0
                                                  5.2
```

Period with Integrand: jacobian/Sqrt[snorm χ Hc]

```
sXrc = Xrc // Subspecifics // Simplify;
sXHc = sXrc // SubHc // Subspecifics;
sTc = D[sXHc, c];
sTH = D[sXHc, H];
snormTc = IP[sTc, sTc];
(*snormTcrc=IP[sTrc,sTrc];*)
snormTH = IP[sTH, sTH];
sTHc = IP[sTH, sTc];
```

```
sVVHc = ((VV // Subrc) // Subspecifics) // SubHc;
(* For Mathematical Derivation of this formula, see Section5 of "flowchart.tex"
 There \chi is denoted X_H *
(* Let \chi be the Hamiltonian vector field associated to the circle action *)
(* So VV = T \chi for some T. We wish to find T. *)
(* Using the general formula for Hamiltonian vector fields we have
  \Omega(\chi, V) = dH (V) where H is the Hamiltonian *)
(* Set V = \partial X/\partial c, which is dcXrc in this code.
 Observe that V is a tangent vector to the manifold because we are
  parametrizing the manifold by c and rr
 and this is the directional derivative w.r.t. c *)
(* hVH = dcXrc = omega (\chi, dcXrc) = \Omega(VV/T,dcXrc) = \Omega/T,
noting that VH=dcXrc.
Therefore T = \Omega/hVH and so \chi = \Omega/hVH VV
 *)
\chi = hVH/\hat{\Omega}VV;
s\chi = \chi // Subspecifics;
s\chi rc = (s\chi // Subrc) // Subspecifics;
snorm\chirc = IP[s\chirc, s\chirc] // Subspecifics;
snormxHc = (snormxrc // SubHc) // Subspecifics;
jacobian = Sqrt[Det[{{snormTc, sTHc}, {sTHc, snormTH}}]];
integrand = (jacobian / Sqrt[snormχHc]);
(*Calculate period along H=z with above integrand*)
Period\chi[z_] :=
 NIntegrate [integrand /. H \rightarrow z, {H, c} \in ImplicitRegion [{H, c} \in \Re final && H == z, {H, c}]]
(*Table of Half-Periods with Above Integrand with z=
 H from SP to NP in main region*)
(* This generates the Table 1 §4.1 *)
Table[\{z, Period_{\chi}[z]\}, \{z, southpole - 0.1, northpole, -0.1\}]
{{5.07858, 5.83862}, {4.97858, 5.07163}, {4.87858, 4.64813}, {4.77858, 4.57605},
 \{4.67858, 4.8062\}, \{4.57858, 4.97467\}, \{4.47858, 3.57818\}, \{4.37858, 2.78557\},
 \{4.27858, 2.28502\}, \{4.17858, 1.94104\}, \{4.07858, 1.69484\}, \{3.97858, 1.52715\}\}
```