Conditional Probabilities, Bayes Theorem, and Stationory
GAUSSION NOISE
24 Sept. 2021
Reed Essych
Leorning Objectives:
- Construct a join's distribution over signal parameters,
noise realizations, and observed data
- Marginalize over (mobserved) noise to obtain likelihood
- Use Boyer Theorem to construct a posterior
- Define stationary Gaussian Noise
- define the Power Spectral Dansity in terms of
the noise outscorrelation
- write the standard GW likelihard for an albitrary
detector network and artentrong signal model
We model noise as a RANDOM PROCESS. That is, we
do not know exactly what noise is present in the detectors
at one given time, but we believe certain realizations
are more common than others. That is, we assume
$n \sim p(n)$ (1)
where p(n) describes the roise characteristics within
The detector. Furthermore, we often assume ADDITIVES
NOISE so that the observed date is the liner
combination of roise and signal
h: observed data
h = n + 5 $h : noise $ (2)
5: 51gnæ1

Now, let's construct a probabilistic model for the observed data and the (latent) noise and signal. This is simply $P(h,n,s) = \delta(h-(n+s)) P(n) P(s)$ (3)where p(s) describes our (prior) beliefs about the relative frequency of different signals. Let us now convert this expression into other useful probability densities: LIKELIHOOD: p(h1s) = p(n=h-s) (4) we can obtain this trivially by noting P(hls) = Sdnp(h,nls) = Sdn P(h,n,s) = Jdn &(h-(n+5))p(n) = Sdn p(n) 8(n-(n-s)) = p(n= n-s) That is, the likelihard of oldtaining the observed data given a signal is just the probability of the corresponding noise realisation.

POSTERIOR: $p(s|h) = \frac{p(h|s)p(s)}{p(h)}$ (5)

This is obtained from our likelihood and prior beleifs about signals via Boyer Theorem

P(A N B) = P(A | B) P(B) = P(B | A) P(A)

 $\therefore P(A|B) = \frac{P(B|A)P(A)}{P(B)} \qquad (6)$

in (a), the following names are conventional

P(AIB): posturor

P(B)A): 1, kelihood P(A): prior

P(B): cuidence

Mong applications only require knowledge of a function proportional to the posterior, and the evidence is often reglected. On will often see only

P(A|B) & P(B|A) P(A)

However, the evidence plays a key role in model

Now, let us specialize to common arrungitions for the roise model whin Gow data analysis: Studionarty: The characteristics of the noise process Do not change over time Gaussianity: The noise distribution can be described by a mean and covernonce (auto correlation) => is Goussian. specifically, we consider the noise in the detector as a function of time: n(t) and assume $\langle n \rangle = \int dn p(n) n = \emptyset \quad \forall t \qquad (7)$ SM(t)M(t+T)> = John(t) din(tort) p(n(to), n(tort)) M(t)A(tort) = f(v) The noise autocorrelation only depends on Au separation: T with these definitions and the assumption of Goussianity we obtain P(n) x exp (- 2)dt,)dt2 n(t,) n(t2) K-1(|t,-t2|) (9) where K' is the "inverse covorrance kernel" corresponding to the soise autocorrelation function. In general, K-1 con be very "non-dragonal". However, we con snuplify these expressions in the frequency domain.

first define ñ(4)= (ste znift 16) (10)then $\langle \chi(f) \chi^{+}(f') \rangle = \int d\chi d\chi^{+} \rho(\chi_{G}, \chi_{G}) \chi(f) \chi(f)$ = Saxax p(x,x4) Sate - 2mift n(t) Sate 2mift' n(t') = Sate-znist Sat'et znif't' Sanda" puzz+) a(t) n(t') ox(e) dx+(e') p(x(e), x+(e')) = dn(e)dn(t') p(n(+), n(+')) so that Sandarp(1,74) ~ (+) A(+') = San(+) On(+') P(1,64), N(+') A(+') = < n (t) n(t)> = f(t'-t) with a further change of vonables, we obtain (K(+) x"(+')) = fate-24((+.+')+ fate 24/+'t f(5) = 8(4-4,) 2, (4) where SA(4) = Sate 2014 to flow is the POWER SPECTRAL DENSITY, defined on the Pourser Transform of the noise auto correlation function.

We also note that the covorronce in the frequency domain is diagonal, so the noise distribution simplifies to

$$P(X) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\int ds \frac{\ddot{\chi}(4) \ddot{\chi}^{4}(4)}{S_{A}^{2}(4)}\right)$$
 (1)

This is the standard roise distrib arrunced whin GW data analysis. While it is convenient to work in the frequency domain because the integrals triuphter, we note that one can at times construct a note natural inference in the time domain (see further reading).

The last complication is the fact that the detectors respond differently to asstrophysical signals that arrive from different directions who with different polosizentians content. The ANTENNA PATTERNS or "Letector response" is the transfer function from astrophysical strain in polonization "p" (12) to the signal observed by a detector we often write this in the freq. Longin

$$\tilde{g} = \sum_{p} F_{p} \tilde{n}_{p} \qquad (12)$$

where Fo are the Antenna functions. While this expression always holds in the freq. domain (lincority), it may not be as simple in the time domain;

Fr con depend on frequency (see firsher reading).

Enercise:

Derive the joint likelihood for a retwork of N

detectors, each with independent stationary
Groussian noise and separate antenna patterns,
That observe the same autrophysical signal.

Advanced Exercise:

Derive the time-domain likelihood for discretely

sompled data under the answeption of stationary
Gaussian noise. Include the proper normalization

and an explicit expression for the covariance matrix

webween discrete data points.

Advanced Bxercuse: dustriby why we can exchange

2x(45) 4x+(41) p(x(45, x+(41))

ducts duct's places, nets)

when comparing LAGS X+(51)>.