

Replication Project

Reem Ali

5/8/2021

Introduction

The article I've chosen for my replication project is entitled, "Women's empowerment in East Africa: Development of a cross-country comparable measure," and appears in the Elsevier World Development journal. The article focuses on the creation of a measure for identifying women's empowerment that can be used cross-nationally in East Africa, citing the importance of women's empowerment in the realm of identifying social change and development despite a lack of any real measurement invariance, which is typically assumed as opposed to tested. As such, the authors use data from the Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) from Ethiopia, Kenya, Rwanda, Tanzania, and Uganda "to test factor structure and measurement invariance of women's empowerment among married women ages 15-49." Based on factor analysis, a three-latent-domain model of women's empowerment is constructed, taking into account "women's human or social assets, women's gendered attitudes and beliefs (intrinsic agency), and the extent of women's participation in household decision making (instrumental agency)." This model, as well as country-specific data, can ultimately be used to monitor progress of women's empowerment across East African nations. The first table, featured below, illustrates study design details by country.

Country	Year	Study	Implementing Organization	Fieldwork Dates	Total Female Sample	Cu
Ethiopia	2011	Standard DHS-VI	Central Statistical Agency (CSA)	Dec 2010 - May 2011	16,515	703
Kenya	2014	Standard DHS-VII	Kenya National Bureau of Statistics	May 2014 - Oct 2014	31,079	133
Rwanda	2010	Standard DHS-VI	National Institute of Statistics of Rwanda and the Ministry of Health	Sept 2010 - Mar 2011	13,671	891
Tanzania	2010	Standard DHS-VI	National Bureau of Statistics	Dec 2009 - May 2010	10,139	422
Uganda	2011	Standard DHS-VI	Uganda Bureau of Statistics (UBOS)	Jun 2011 - Dec 2011	8674	560

The methodology taken by the authors to construct this model included running descriptive analysis, which can be found in the Table 2 breakdowns for each respective nation modelled below, followed by an exploratory factor analysis as a precursor to confirmatory factor analysis. By using the variables pertaining to women's empowerment in each respective nation, the authors were able to perform exploratory factor analysis using one random split-half sample for each country. Following this, they used "variance-adjusted weighted least squares (WLSMV) estimation" and "GEOMIN (oblique) rotation to measure factor correlation." They then ran one through six factor measurement models, though hypothesized and concluded a three factor latent model. "Factors appeared to have been dropped"Items that did not load with sufficient magnitude on any factor (i.e. factor loadings $<|0.3|$) and items that cross-loaded on more than one factor at a level $>|0.3|$ were inspected and dropped, unless maintaining them was justified on theoretical grounds."

Furthermore, “models that included factors with only one or two loaded items were considered relatively weak and were dropped from consideration.” Given these constraints on the models, if the dropping of items led to factors with “only one or two items, and the omission of theoretically justified items,” the authors moved onto the next best-fitting factor model. “Model fit was assessed based on the following fit indices: Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA), Comparative Fit Index (CFI) and Tucker Lewis Index (TLI). Acceptable threshold levels for fit indices were $RMSEA < 0.07$, $TLI > 0.95$ and $CFI > 0.95$. Chi-square fit indices consider acceptable threshold level as low χ^2 relative to degrees of freedom and a non-significant p-value.” Following the explanatory factor analysis, a confirmatory factor analysis was made on the factors and indicators. Table 3 in the original paper illustrates confirmatory factor analyses on women’s empowerment latent domains identified through EFA and the standard DHS survey data on the split-half sample from each of the five nations modelled. The fourth table in the original paper (Table 4) illustrates exploratory factor GEOMIN correlation matrices for best-fitting factor structures, by country, and the fifth table (Table 5) in the original paper shows factor invariance for the restricted model of women’s empowerment latent domains. The three tables, images from the original paper, are featured below.

Table 3
Confirmatory factor analyses on women’s empowerment latent domains identified through EFA, standard DHS survey data on split half-sample from Ethiopia, Kenya, Rwanda, Tanzania and Uganda.

Item	Ethiopia (N = 4739)			Kenya (N = 4204)			Rwanda (N = 3417)			Tanzania (N = 3710)			Uganda (N = 2676)		
	F1 Human/ Social Assets	F2 Attitudes about VAW	F3 Influence in Decisions	F1 Human/ Social Assets	F2 Attitudes about VAW	F3 Influence in Decisions	F1 Attitudes about VAW	F2 Human/ Social Assets	F3 Influence in Decisions	F1 Human/ Social Assets	F2 Attitudes about VAW	F3 Influence in Decisions	F1 Human/ Social Assets	F2 Attitudes about VAW	F3 Influence in Decisions
Schooling Attainment			0.323 [*]			0.361 [*]		
Age 1st sex	0.992 [*]			0.803 [*]			0.948 [*]			0.867 [*]			0.842 [*]		
Age 1st cohabitation	0.938 [*]			0.786 [*]			0.929 [*]			0.819 [*]			0.820 [*]		
Age 1st birth	0.748 [*]			0.940 [*]			0.920 [*]			0.866 [*]			0.874 [*]		
Spouse age diff.			0.218 [*]				
Goes out		0.846 [*]			0.860 [*]		0.914 [*]				0.916 [*]			0.828 [*]	
Neglects children		0.898 [*]			0.899 [*]		0.924 [*]				0.938 [*]			0.896 [*]	
Argues w/husband		0.918 [*]			0.854 [*]		0.957 [*]				0.936 [*]			0.855 [*]	
Refuses sex		0.861 [*]			0.841 [*]		0.868 [*]				0.916 [*]			0.771 [*]	
Burns food		0.860 [*]			0.784 [*]		0.875 [*]				0.874 [*]			0.809 [*]	
Has affair			0.868 [*]				
Resp. earnings			0.548 [*]			0.545 [*]			0.847 [*]			0.623 [*]			0.532 [*]
Husband’s earnings			0.842 [*]			0.664 [*]			0.809 [*]			...			0.540 [*]
Spouse earning diff.					0.213 [*]
Resp. health			0.877 [*]			0.837 [*]			0.772 [*]			0.808 [*]			0.819 [*]
Large purchases			0.869 [*]			0.846 [*]			0.862 [*]			0.868 [*]			0.845 [*]
Visits family/friends			0.727 [*]			0.691 [*]			0.871 [*]			0.924 [*]			0.747 [*]
EFA Fit Statistics															
CFI	0.992			0.99			0.99			0.994			0.990		
TLI	0.985			0.982			0.983			0.989			0.982		
RMSEA	0.03			0.031			0.037			0.033			0.031		
$\chi^2(p\text{-value})$	177.29 ($p < 0.001$)			211.54 ($p < 0.0001$)			365.08 ($p < 0.0001$)			216.33 ($p < 0.0001$)			231.04 ($p < 0.0001$)		
CFA fit statistics															
CFI	0.992			0.99			0.993			0.99			0.979		
TLI	0.989			0.987			0.992			0.987			0.974		
RMSEA	0.025			0.027			0.025			0.035			0.031		
$\chi^2(p\text{-value})$	252.92 ($p < 0.001$)			249.59 ($p < 0.0001$)			276.49 ($p < 0.0001$)			336.63 ($p < 0.0001$)			315.46 ($p < 0.0001$)		

S.S. Miedema et al./World Development 110 (2018) 453–464

Figure 1: Table 3 Original Paper

Personal Methodology

In my re-creation of the models presented in this paper, however, I ultimately failed to be able to run an explanatory factor analysis, and consequently, confirmatory factor analysis. The reasoning for this appeared to be an error that continually failed to recognize the values in each of the created

Table 4

Exploratory factor GEOMIN correlation matrices for best-fitting factor structures, by country.

Domain	Ethiopia			Kenya			Rwanda			Tanzania			Uganda		
	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
Human/Social Assets (F1)	1			1			1			1			1		
Justification of wife-beating (F2)	0.136 [*]	1		0.230 [*]	1		0.100 [*]	1		0.144 [*]	1		0.207 [*]	1	
Decision-making (F3)	0.057 [*]	0.223 [*]	1	0.190 [*]	0.224 [*]	1	0.279 [*]	0.116 [*]	1	0.057 [*]	0.233 [*]	1	0.128 [*]	0.222 [*]	1

^{*} = $p < 0.05$.**Table 5**

Factor invariance for restricted model of women's empowerment latent domains, DHS survey data from Ethiopia (2011), Kenya (2014), Rwanda (2010), Tanzania (2010) and Uganda (2011).

	χ^2	df	p-value	RMSEA (95% CI)	CFI	TLI
Single-group solution						
Ethiopia ($N = 9478$)	258.16	51	<0.0001	0.021 (0.018–0.023)	0.993	0.991
Kenya ($N = 8407$)	293.44	51	<0.0001	0.024 (0.021–0.026)	0.992	0.990
Rwanda ($N = 6834$)	184.95	51	<0.0001	0.020 (0.017–0.023)	0.997	0.996
Tanzania ($N = 7421$)	341.83	51	<0.0001	0.028 (0.025–0.031)	0.994	0.992
Uganda ($N = 5352$)	225.11	51	<0.0001	0.025 (0.022–0.029)	0.989	0.985
Multi-group CFA model ($N = 37,492$)	8036.68	303	<0.0001	0.058 (0.057–0.059)	0.971	0.969

Figure 2: Tables 4 and 5 Original Paper

datasets as finite values, a fact which prevented factor analysis due to the fact that both factor analyses require finite values. Upon further analysis, it appeared that Mplus was reported to be the software most suitable for conducting this type of analysis, and though possible in R, perhaps that data format available was simply unsuitable for such analysis, though the fault could also be the limits of my own knowledge. As such, I was ultimately unable to recreate the multi-factor analysis utilized in this paper.

The recreation of my models were not the only errors I encountered, however, while attempting to recreate the analysis in the paper, “Women’s empowerment in East Africa: Development of a cross-country comparable measure.” Though the authors provided me with STATA code for recoding one of the datasets, the Kenya one, the concluding values I had after their noted changes illustrated a discrepancy from the original paper for each of the nations after recoding, which was quite strange. Some variables were returned as entire columns of NAs, with these variables originally quite significant to the authors’s paper and creation of the three-latent-domain-model.

Despite my failures detailed above, I was ultimately able to recreate a similar summary statistics table for each of the datasets despite the disproportionate missing values in my data. The five descriptive statistics tables are illustrated below for each respective nation.

Ethiopia Descriptive Table

Variable	Mean	Std. Dev	Min	Max	% missing
Schooling attainment (years)	5.012	4.58	0	19	0
Age at first sex	-	-	-	-	100
Age at first cohabitation	16.59	3.99	8	40	62.71
Age at first birth	18.94	4.11	10	42	67.67
Spouse age difference (positive = wife is older	-	-	-	-	100
Spouse schooling attainment difference (high = wife has greater schooling attainment	-	-	-	-	100
Spouse earning difference (categorical high = wife earns more)	2.399	0.73	1	4	95.55
First sex at marriage	1	0	1	1	75.79
Work for cash and/or in-kind	0.5613	0.49	0	1	0.00028
Beating justified (0 = yes, 1 = no) if wife goes out without telling husband)	-	-	-	-	100
if wife neglects child	-	-	-	-	100
if wife argues with spouse	-	-	-	-	100
if wife refuses sex	-	-	-	-	100
if wife burns food	-	-	-	-	100
Decision-maker for respondent earnings	0.94	0.24	0	1	95.47
Decision-maker for respondent’s health care	0.756	0.43	0	1	89.77
Decision maker for large purchases	0.649	0.48	0	1	89.77
Decision-maker for family/friends visits	0.787	0.41	0	1	89.77
Decision-maker for husband earnings	0.697	0.46	0	1	89.84

Kenya Descriptive Table

Variable	Mean	Std. Dev	Min	Max	% missing
Schooling attainment (years)	8.17	3.67	0	19	0
Age at first sex	-	-	-	-	100
Age at first cohabitation	19.13	4.42	10	46	64.34
Age at first birth	19.39	3.85	10	44	52.78
Spouse age difference (positive = wife is older	-	-	-	-	100
Spouse schooling attainment difference (high = wife has greater schooling attainment	-	-	-	-	100
Spouse earning difference (categorical high = wife earns more)	2.347	0.68	1	4	97.53
First sex at marriage	1	0	1	1	90.64
Work for cash and/or in-kind	0.52	0.49	0	1	52.48
Beating justified (0 = yes, 1 = no) if wife goes out without telling husband)	-	-	-	-	100
if wife neglects child	-	-	-	-	100
if wife argues with spouse	-	-	-	-	100
if wife refuses sex	-	-	-	-	100
if wife burns food	-	-	-	-	100
Decision-maker for respondent earnings	0.91	0.29	0	1	97.46
Decision-maker for respondent's health care	0.77	0.42	0	1	95.49
Decision maker for large purchases	0.71	0.45	0	1	95.49
Decision-maker for family/friends visits	0.73	0.45	0	1	95.49
Decision-maker for husband earnings	0.54	0.49	0	1	95.59

Rwanda Descriptive Table

Variable	Mean	Std. Dev	Min	Max	% missing
Schooling attainment (years)	4.49	3.23	0	18	0
Age at first sex	-	-	-	-	100
Age at first cohabitation	20.19	3.79	10	42	60.15
Age at first birth	21.02	3.59	12	44	55.81
Spouse age difference (positive = wife is older	-	-	-	-	100
Spouse schooling attainment difference (high = wife has greater schooling attainment	-	-	-	-	100
Spouse earning difference (categorical high = wife earns more)	2.37	0.72	1	4	85.66
First sex at marriage	1	0	1	1	70.91
Work for cash and/or in-kind	0.79	0.41	0	0	0
Beating justified (0 = yes, 1 = no) if wife goes out without telling husband)	-	-	-	-	100
if wife neglects child	-	-	-	-	100
if wife argues with spouse	-	-	-	-	100

if wife refuses sex	-	-	-	-	100
if wife burns food	-	-	-	-	100
Decision-maker for respondent earnings	0.84	0.37	0	1	85.55
Decision-maker for respondent's health care	0.69	0.46	0	1	76.81
Decision maker for large purchases	0.66	0.47	0	1	76.80
Decision-maker for family/friends visits	0.77	0.42	0	1	76.80
Decision-maker for husband earnings	0.62	0.49	0	1	77.22

Tanzania Descriptive Table

Variable	Mean	Std. Dev	Min	Max	% missing
Schooling attainment (years)	6.79	3.26	0	20	0
Age at first sex	-	-	-	-	100
Age at first cohabitation	18.56	3.98	10	45	64.38
Age at first birth	18.95	3.39	11	36	58.5
Spouse age difference (positive = wife is older)	-	-	-	-	-
Spouse schooling attainment difference (high = wife has greater schooling attainment)	-	-	-	-	-
Spouse earning difference (categorical high = wife earns more)	2.34	0.85	1	4	95.09
First sex at marriage	1	0	1	1	86.05
Work for cash and/or in-kind	0.64	0.48	0	1	0.00024
Beating justified (0 = yes, 1 = no) if wife goes out without telling husband)	-	-	-	-	-
if wife neglects child	-	-	-	-	-
if wife argues with spouse	-	-	-	-	-
if wife refuses sex	-	-	-	-	-
if wife burns food	-	-	-	-	-
Decision-maker for respondent earnings	0.8	0.39	0	1	94.98
Decision-maker for respondent's health care	0.65	0.48	0	1	90.74
Decision maker for large purchases	0.49	0.5	0	1	90.74
Decision-maker for family/friends visits	0.62	0.49	0	1	90.71
Decision-maker for husband earnings	-	-	-	-	-

Uganda Descriptive Table

Variable	Mean	Std. Dev	Min	Max	% missing
Schooling attainment (years)	6.17	4.06	0	18	0.00000
Age at first sex	-	-	-	-	100.00000

Age at first cohabitation	17.76	3.77	8	46	39.49000
Age at first birth	18.21	3.3	8	43	38.41000
Spouse age difference (positive = wife is older	-	-	-	-	100.00000
Spouse schooling attainment difference (high = wife has greater schooling attainment	-	-	-	-	100.00000
Spouse earning difference (categorical high = wife earns more)	2.29	0.68	1	4	78.35000
First sex at marriage	1	0	1	1	82.26000
Work for cash and/or in-kind	0.69	0.46	0	1	0.00089
Beating justified (0 = yes, 1 = no) if wife goes out without telling husband)	-	-	-	-	100.00000
if wife neglects child	-	-	-	-	100.00000
if wife argues with spouse	-	-	-	-	100.00000
if wife refuses sex	-	-	-	-	100.00000
if wife burns food	-	-	-	-	100.00000
Decision-maker for respondent earnings	0.86	0.35	0	1	77.17000
Decision-maker for respondent's health care	0.61	0.49	0	1	59.39000
Decision maker for large purchases	0.57	0.49	0	1	59.36000
Decision-maker for family/friends visits	0.62	0.49	0	1	59.36000
Decision-maker for husband earnings	0.41	0.49	0	1	60.02000

Afterwards, I attempted an exploratory factor analysis, though as explained in the limitations, that proved virtually impossible, and as a consequence, so did the confirmatory factor analysis. Given this failure, I turned to a different modeling technique, relying on the fact that the basis of EFAs and the latent model is linear regressions. As such, I decided to do linear regressions for variables of interest for each of the nations, as displayed in the respective models. This, of course, took place after accounting for missing values, which in this case, was done using mice as to ensure that missing values were estimated and missingness was not ignored, though the protocol that the authors followed regarding missingness was not explicitly clarified in the paper, indicating a chance that they may have simply used case-wise deletion to remove missing values, though presumably, that methodology may have limited the internal validity of their findings. Nonetheless, for each respective nation, I chose to evaluate five separate models, with the exception of Tanzania, for which three models are evaluated due to unusually high values of missingness for several variables identified by the author under the latent factor, decision making, inconsistent with the other nations modeled.

Ethiopia Linear Regression Models

The first of the five models for Ethiopia regressed education on age of cohabitation and age of first birth, with the model run being: `lm(educ ~ ageofcohab + ageofbirth, data = imputed_data_ethiopia)`.

Characteristic	Beta	95% CI	p-value
(Intercept)	0.73	0.21, 1.2	0.006
age at first cohabitation	0.29	0.26, 0.33	<0.001
age of respondent at 1st birth	-0.04	-0.07, 0.00	0.030

The results of the first model relay a base education level, illustrated by the intercept coefficient of 0.72782. The coefficient of ageofcohab indicates that older ages of cohabitation indicate a 0.29377 increase in education or schooling attainment levels. The coefficient of ageofbirth, indicates that the older the age of first birth, the lower the education level, with a rough decrease of -0.03831. Interestingly enough, this result does not exactly correlate with typical markers of women's empowerment, indicating that perhaps more educated women give birth at younger ages or that more educated women are educated after giving birth to their first child. Of course, given the inconsistencies in the data, this could also point to the need for a larger sample size to reach a more accurate conclusion, though the results do indicate that each of the variables is statistically significant.

The second of the five models for Ethiopia regressed work for cash and/or in-kind on age of cohabitation and age of first birth, with the model run being: `lm(workck ~ ageofcohab + ageofbirth, data = imputed_data_ethiopia)`.

Characteristic	Beta	95% CI	p-value
(Intercept)	0.59	0.53, 0.64	<0.001
age at first cohabitation	-0.01	-0.01, 0.00	<0.001
age of respondent at 1st birth	0.00	0.00, 0.01	0.015

The results of the second model, which illustrate an intercept, represented by the binary variable workck, coefficient of 0.586510, meaning that women did begin with a greater likelihood of working for cash. The coefficient of ageofcohab indicates that older ages of cohabitation indicate a 0.006879 decrease in workck likelihood, possibly indicating that those who cohabit later on are better off, and thus, have less of a need to work. The coefficient of ageofbirth, indicates that the older the age of first birth, the higher the likelihood that the woman worked, with a rough increase of 0.004798. This is quite interesting, suggesting what is possibly the opposite of age of cohabitation, that women who birth children later on have a greater likelihood of working. Of course, this analysis is based in the assumption that women's work is out of necessity and not desire, and perhaps should in itself be analyzed as an empowerment factor as opposed to a purely economic one, indicating that older ages of cohabitation and economic empowerment may not be directly correlated.

The third of the five models for Ethiopia regressed education on the household decision-making factor, specifying variables drearnings2 (decision-maker for respondent earnings), drhealth2 (decision-maker for respondent's health care), dlrgpurch2 (decision-maker for large purchases), dfamvisit2 (decision-maker for family/friends visits), and dhusearnings (decision-maker for husband earnings), with the model run being: `lm(educ ~ drearnings2 + drhealth2 + dlrgpurch2 + dfamvisit2 + dhusearnings2, data = imputed_data_ethiopia)`.

Characteristic	Beta	95% CI	p-value
(Intercept)	2.5	2.1, 2.9	<0.001
drearnings2	-0.31	-0.79, 0.16	0.2
drhealth2	0.13	-0.17, 0.43	0.4
dlrgpurch2	2.3	2.0, 2.5	<0.001
dfamvisit2	1.4	1.1, 1.7	<0.001
dhusearnings2	-0.02	-0.28, 0.25	>0.9

The results of the third model, illustrates an intercept coefficient for education of 2.46903, educating a base school attainment level. Each of the decision-making variables are binaries, though three of them, drearnings2, drhealth2, and dhusearnings2 prove to not be statistically significant, as indicated by quite large respective p-values. Those that are statistically significant, however, are the large purchases and family/friend visit variables, with the coefficient of dlrgpurch2 indicating that a woman's control of purchases illustrated a 2.46903 year increase in education level, thus making the variable extremely positively correlated with women's empowerment. The coefficient dfamvisit2 was also indicated quite a positive correlation with women's empowerment with the coefficient of 1.39276 indicating an increase in educational attainment as well.

The fourth of the five models for Ethiopia regressed work for cash and/or in-kind on the household decision-making factor, specifying variables drearnings2 (decision-maker for respondent earnings), drhealth2 (decision-maker for respondent's health care), dlrgpurch2 (decision-maker for large purchases), dfamvisit2 (decision-maker for family/friends visits), and dhusearnings2 (decision-maker for husband earnings), with the model run being: `lm(workck ~ drearnings2 + drhealth2 + dlrgpurch2 + dfamvisit2 + dhusearnings2, data = imputed_data_ethiopia)`.

Characteristic	Beta	95% CI	p-value
(Intercept)	0.54	0.49, 0.58	<0.001
drearnings2	0.06	0.01, 0.12	0.022
drhealth2	0.01	-0.02, 0.05	0.5
dlrgpurch2	0.09	0.06, 0.12	<0.001
dfamvisit2	-0.10	-0.13, -0.06	<0.001
dhusearnings2	-0.03	-0.06, 0.00	0.034

The results of the fourth model, illustrates an intercept coefficient for the binary variable workck of 0.53630. Each of the decision-making variables are binaries, though drhealth2 proved to not be statistically significant, as indicated by the quite large p-value. Those that are statistically significant, however, are the earnings, large purchases, and family/friend visit, and husband earning variables, with the coefficient for drearnings2 of 0.06198 indicating a slight increase in the likelihood of a women's work for cash, the coefficient for dlrgpurch2 of 0.08671 indicating a slight increase in the likelihood of a women's work for cash, the coefficient for dfamvisit2 indicating a small decrease of 0.09696 in work likelihood, and finally the coefficient for dhusearnings2 of 0.03239 indicating a decrease in work likelihood as well. Perhaps this means that control of family visits and husband earnings are less indicative of women's empowerment than the other decision variables.

The fifth and final of the five models for Ethiopia was quite basic, regressing education on work for cash and/or in-kind, with the model run being: `lm(educ ~ workck, data = imputed_data_ethiopia)`.

Characteristic	Beta	95% CI	p-value
(Intercept)	5.1	4.9, 5.2	<0.001
workck	-0.12	-0.34, 0.09	0.3

This regression ultimately proved not to be statistically significant, with the coefficient for education, the intercept, being 5.08198, though that of workck not statistically significant with a p value of 0.258.

Kenya Linear Regression Models

The first of the five models for Kenya regressed education on age of cohabitation and age of first birth, with the model run being: `lm(educ ~ ageofcohab + ageofbirth, data = imputed_data_kenya)`.

Characteristic	Beta	95% CI	p-value
(Intercept)	2.5	2.2, 2.9	<0.001
age at first cohabitation	0.17	0.15, 0.18	<0.001
age of respondent at 1st birth	0.12	0.10, 0.14	<0.001

The results of the first model relay a base education level, illustrated by the intercept coefficient of 2.540660. The coefficient of ageofcohab indicates that older ages of cohabitation indicate a 0.165113 increase in education or schooling attainment levels. The coefficient of ageofbirth, indicates that the older the age of first birth, the higher the education level as well, with a 0.122779 increase in education or schooling attainment levels.

The second of the five models for Kenya regressed work for cash and/or in-kind on age of cohabitation and age of first birth, with the model run being: `lm(workck ~ ageofcohab + ageofbirth, data = imputed_data_kenya)`.

Characteristic	Beta	95% CI	p-value
(Intercept)	0.49	0.44, 0.53	<0.001
age at first cohabitation	0.01	0.01, 0.01	<0.001
age of respondent at 1st birth	-0.01	-0.01, -0.01	<0.001

The results of the second model, which illustrate an intercept, represented by the binary variable workck, coefficient of 0.485874, meaning that women did begin with a greater likelihood of working for cash. The coefficient of ageofcohab of 0.011841 indicates an increase in workck likelihood while that of ageofbirth of -0.010568 indicates a decrease in likelihood of workck. This is quite interesting in that these results are the opposite of the Ethiopian counterpart of this model.

The third of the five models for Kenya regressed education on the household decision-making factor, specifying variables drearnings2 (decision-maker for respondent earnings), drhealth2 (decision-maker for respondent's health care), dlrgpurch2 (decision-maker for large purchases), dfamvisit2 (decision-maker for family/friends visits), and dhusearnings (decision-maker for husband earnings), with the model run being: `lm(educ ~ drearnings2 + drhealth2 + dlrgpurch2 + dfamvisit2 + dhusearnings2, data = imputed_data_kenya)`.

Characteristic	Beta	95% CI	p-value
(Intercept)	8.1	7.8, 8.3	<0.001
drearnings2	-0.12	-0.33, 0.09	0.2
drhealth2	0.17	-0.02, 0.35	0.078
dlrgpurch2	0.30	0.14, 0.47	<0.001

Characteristic	Beta	95% CI	p-value
dfamvisit2	0.33	0.17, 0.50	<0.001
dhusearnings2	-0.58	-0.71, -0.44	<0.001

The results of the third model, illustrates an intercept coefficient for education of 8.05433, educating a base school attainment level. Each of the decision-making variables are binaries, though two of them, drearnings2 and drhealth2 prove to not be statistically significant, as indicated by large respective p-values. Those that are statistically significant, however, are the large purchases family/friend visit, and husband earning variables, with the coefficient of dlrgpurch2 indicating that a woman's control of purchases illustrated a 0.30125 year increase in education level, thus making the variable extremely positively correlated with women's empowerment. The coefficient dfamvisit2 was also indicated quite a positive correlation with women's empowerment with the coefficient of 0.33334 indicating an increase in educational attainment as well. The coefficient of dhusearnings2 of -0.57808 does however indicate a decrease in education level somehow associated with control over husband earnings, though in the context of women's empowerment, this is not entirely logical.

The fourth of the five models for Kenya regressed work for cash and/or in-kind on the household decision-making factor, specifying variables drearnings2 (decision-maker for respondent earnings), drhealth2 (decision-maker for respondent's health care), dlrgpurch2 (decision-maker for large purchases), dfamvisit2 (decision-maker for family/friends visits), and dhusearnings (decision-maker for husband earnings), with the model run being: `lm(workck ~ drearnings2 + drhealth2 + dlrgpurch2 + dfamvisit2 + dhusearnings2, data = imputed_data_kenya)`.

Characteristic	Beta	95% CI	p-value
(Intercept)	0.44	0.42, 0.47	<0.001
drearnings2	-0.01	-0.04, 0.02	0.6
drhealth2	0.15	0.13, 0.18	<0.001
dlrgpurch2	0.04	0.02, 0.06	<0.001
dfamvisit2	0.00	-0.02, 0.02	>0.9
dhusearnings2	-0.12	-0.13, -0.10	<0.001

The results of the fourth model, illustrates an intercept coefficient for the binary variable workck of 0.4445923. Each of the decision-making variables are binaries, though drearnings2 and dfamvisit2 proved to not be statistically significant, as indicated by the large p-values. Those that are statistically significant, however, are the health, large purchases, husband earning variables, with the coefficient for drhealth2 of 0.1519974 indicating a slight increase in the likelihood of a women's work for cash, the coefficient for dlrgpurch2 of 0.0375661 indicating a slight increase in the likelihood of a woman's work for cash, and finally the coefficient for dhusearnings2 of -0.1153347 indicating a decrease in work likelihood. Perhaps this means that husband earnings are less indicative of women's empowerment than the other decision variables, as indicated in the comparable Ethiopia model.

The fifth and final of the five models for Kenya was quite basic, regressing education on work for cash and/or in-kind, with the model run being: `lm(educ ~ workck, data = imputed_data_kenya)`.

Characteristic	Beta	95% CI	p-value
(Intercept)	7.8	7.7, 7.9	<0.001
workck	0.72	0.60, 0.85	<0.001

This regression indicates a base education level (intercept) coefficient of 7.80294 and a positive association with workck indicating that women who work have increased education levels by the coefficient of 0.72268.

Rwanda Linear Regression Models

The first of the five models for Rwanda regressed education on age of cohabitation and age of first birth, with the model run being: `lm(educ ~ ageofcohab + ageofbirth, data = imputed_data_rwanda)`.

Characteristic	Beta	95% CI	p-value
(Intercept)	1.4	1.0, 1.8	<0.001
age at first cohabitation	0.15	0.13, 0.18	<0.001
age of respondent at 1st birth	0.00	-0.03, 0.02	0.8

The results of the first model relay a base education level, illustrated by the intercept coefficient of 1.442807. The coefficient of ageofcohab indicates that older ages of cohabitation indicate a 0.154783 increase in education or schooling attainment levels. The coefficient of ageofbirth, however, appears to not be statistically significant with a large p value of 0.787.

The second of the five models for Rwanda regressed work for cash and/or in-kind on age of cohabitation and age of first birth, with the model run being: `lm(workck ~ ageofcohab + ageofbirth, data = imputed_data_rwanda)`.

Characteristic	Beta	95% CI	p-value
(Intercept)	0.75	0.70, 0.80	<0.001
age at first cohabitation	-0.01	-0.01, -0.01	<0.001
age of respondent at 1st birth	0.01	0.01, 0.01	<0.001

The results of the second model, which illustrate an intercept, represented by the binary variable workck, coefficient of 0.485874, meaning that women did begin with a greater likelihood of working for cash. The coefficient of ageofcohab of -0.008600 indicates a decrease in workck likelihood while that of ageofbirth of 0.010094 indicates an increase in likelihood of workck. This is quite interesting in that these results mirror that of the Ethiopian model.

The third of the five models for Rwanda regressed education on the household decision-making factor, specifying variables drearnings2 (decision-maker for respondent earnings), drhealth2 (decision-maker for respondent's health care), dlrgpurch2 (decision-maker for large purchases), dfamvisit2

(decision-maker for family/friends visits), and dhusearnings (decision-maker for husband earnings), with the model run being: `lm(educ ~ drearnings2 + drhealth2 + dlrgpurch2 + dfamvisit2 + dhusearnings2, data = imputed_data_rwanda)`.

Characteristic	Beta	95% CI	p-value
(Intercept)	4.2	4.0, 4.4	<0.001
drearnings2	0.20	-0.02, 0.42	0.071
drhealth2	0.37	0.20, 0.55	<0.001
dlrgpurch2	0.11	-0.07, 0.29	0.2
dfamvisit2	-0.40	-0.60, -0.20	<0.001
dhusearnings2	0.17	0.00, 0.34	0.044

The results of the third model, illustrates an intercept coefficient for education of 8.05433, educating a base school attainment level. Each of the decision-making variables are binaries, though two of them, drearnings2 and dlrgpurch2 prove to not be statistically significant, as indicated by large respective p-values. Those that are statistically significant, however, are the health, family/friend visit, and husband earning variables, with the coefficient of drhealth2 indicating that a woman's control of healthcare illustrated a 0.37459 year increase in education level, thus making the variable extremely positively correlated with women's empowerment. The coefficient dfamvisit2 indicated a negative correlation with women's empowerment with the coefficient of -0.39813 indicating an interesting decrease in educational attainment. Finally, the coefficient of dhusearnings2 of 0.17136 indicates an increase in education level associated with control over husband earnings, thus indicating a marker for women's empowerment.

The fourth of the five models for Rwanda regressed work for cash and/or in-kind on the household decision-making factor, specifying variables drearnings2 (decision-maker for respondent earnings), drhealth2 (decision-maker for respondent's health care), dlrgpurch2 (decision-maker for large purchases), dfamvisit2 (decision-maker for family/friends visits), and dhusearnings (decision-maker for husband earnings), with the model run being: `lm(workck ~ drearnings2 + drhealth2 + dlrgpurch2 + dfamvisit2 + dhusearnings2, data = imputed_data_rwanda)`.

Characteristic	Beta	95% CI	p-value
(Intercept)	0.71	0.69, 0.73	<0.001
drearnings2	0.02	-0.01, 0.05	0.12
drhealth2	0.01	-0.01, 0.03	0.3
dlrgpurch2	0.01	-0.01, 0.03	0.5
dfamvisit2	0.09	0.06, 0.11	<0.001
dhusearnings2	-0.03	-0.05, -0.01	0.005

The results of the fourth model, illustrates an intercept coefficient for the binary variable workck of 0.709530. Each of the decision-making variables are binaries, though drearnings2, dhealth2, and dlrgpurch2 proved to not be statistically significant, as indicated by the large p-values. Those that are statistically significant, however, are the large purchases and husband earning variables, with the coefficient for dfamvisit2 of 0.085427 indicating a slight increase in the likelihood of a woman's work for cash and the coefficient for dhusearnings2 of -0.029866 indicating a decrease in work likelihood.

Perhaps this means that husband earnings are less indicative of women's empowerment than the other decision variables, as indicated in the comparable Ethiopia model.

The fifth and final of the five models for Rwanda was quite basic, regressing education on work for cash and/or in-kind, with the model run being: `lm(educ ~ workck, data = imputed_data_rwanda)`.

Characteristic	Beta	95% CI	p-value
(Intercept)	5.5	5.4, 5.7	<0.001
workck	-1.3	-1.5, -1.1	<0.001

This regression indicates a base education level (intercept) coefficient of 5.51994 and a strange negative association with workck indicating that women who work have decreased education levels by the coefficient of -1.29599, which interestingly contrasts typical women's empowerment measurements.

Tanzania Linear Regression Models

The first of the three models for Tanzania regressed education on age of cohabitation and age of first birth, with the model run being: `lm(educ ~ ageofcohab + ageofbirth, data = imputed_data_tanzania)`.

Characteristic	Beta	95% CI	p-value
(Intercept)	2.0	1.5, 2.5	<0.001
age at first marriage	0.10	0.07, 0.13	<0.001
age of respondent at 1st birth	0.15	0.12, 0.18	<0.001

The results of the first model relay a base education level, illustrated by the intercept coefficient of 2.00497. The coefficient of ageofcohab indicates that older ages of cohabitation indicate a 0.09642 increase in education or schooling attainment levels. The coefficient of ageofbirth, indicates similar results, that older ages of first birth indicate a 0.15124 increase in education or school attainment levels.

The second of the three models for Tanzania regressed work for cash and/or in-kind on age of cohabitation and age of first birth, with the model run being: `lm(workck ~ ageofcohab + ageofbirth, data = imputed_data_tanzania)`.

Characteristic	Beta	95% CI	p-value
(Intercept)	1.0	0.91, 1.1	<0.001
age at first marriage	0.00	0.00, 0.01	0.13
age of respondent at 1st birth	-0.02	-0.03, -0.02	<0.001

The results of the second model, which illustrate an intercept, represented by the binary variable workck, coefficient of 0.989713, meaning that women did begin with a greater likelihood of working for cash. The coefficient of ageofcohab of 0.003426 indicates an increase in workck likelihood while that of ageofbirth of -0.021361 indicates a decrease in likelihood of workck.

The third and final of the three models for Tanzania was quite basic, regressing education on work for cash and/or in-kind, with the model run being: `lm(educ ~ workck, data = imputed_data_rwanda)`.

Characteristic	Beta	95% CI	p-value
(Intercept)	7.9	7.7, 8.0	<0.001
workck	-1.7	-1.9, -1.5	<0.001

This regression indicates a base education level (intercept) coefficient of 7.87696 and a strange negative association with workck indicating that women who work have decreased education levels by the coefficient of -1.69396, which interestingly contrasts typical women's empowerment measurements, and mirrors the results of Rwanda.

Uganda Linear Regression Models

The first of the five models for Uganda regressed education on age of cohabitation and age of first birth, with the model run being: `lm(educ ~ ageofcohab + ageofbirth, data = imputed_data_uganda)`.

Characteristic	Beta	95% CI	p-value
(Intercept)	-0.69	-1.3, -0.11	0.020
age at first cohabitation	0.24	0.20, 0.27	<0.001
age of respondent at 1st birth	0.14	0.10, 0.18	<0.001

The results of the first model relay a base education level, illustrated by the intercept coefficient of -0.68877, which is quite interesting and puzzling given the contrast with every other regression for each of the other respective nations, perhaps indicating generally lower levels of women's education attainment in Uganda. The coefficient of ageofcohab indicates that older ages of cohabitation indicate a 0.23741 increase in education or schooling attainment levels, and the coefficient of ageofbirth similarly indicates a 0.14159 increase in education and schooling attainment levels.

The second of the five models for Uganda regressed work for cash and/or in-kind on age of cohabitation and age of first birth, with the model run being: `lm(workck ~ ageofcohab + ageofbirth, data = imputed_data_uganda)`.

Characteristic	Beta	95% CI	p-value
(Intercept)	0.55	0.48, 0.62	<0.001
age at first cohabitation	0.00	0.00, 0.01	0.079

Characteristic	Beta	95% CI	p-value
age of respondent at 1st birth	0.00	0.00, 0.01	0.077

The results of the second model, which illustrate an intercept, represented by the binary variable workck, coefficient of 0.552912, meaning that women did begin with a greater likelihood of working for cash. Neither of the two coefficients of ageofcohab or ageofbirth were statistically significant, however, with respective p values of 0.0788 and 0.0765.

The third of the five models for Uganda regressed education on the household decision-making factor, specifying variables drearnings2 (decision-maker for respondent earnings), drhealth2 (decision-maker for respondent's health care), dlrgpurch2 (decision-maker for large purchases), dfamvisit2 (decision-maker for family/friends visits), and dhusearnings (decision-maker for husband earnings), with the model run being: `lm(educ ~ drearnings2 + drhealth2 + dlrgpurch2 + dfamvisit2 + dhusearnings2, data = imputed_data_uganda)`.

Characteristic	Beta	95% CI	p-value
(Intercept)	5.6	5.3, 5.9	<0.001
drearnings2	1.1	0.82, 1.4	<0.001
drhealth2	0.36	0.10, 0.62	0.007
dlrgpurch2	-0.72	-1.0, -0.46	<0.001
dfamvisit2	-0.12	-0.36, 0.13	0.4
dhusearnings2	-0.25	-0.49, -0.02	0.033

The results of the third model, illustrates an intercept coefficient for education of 5.5801, educating a base school attainment level. Each of the decision-making variables are binaries, though dfamvisit2 proves to not be statistically significant, as indicated by the large p-value. Those that are statistically significant, however, are the earnings, health, large purchases, and husband earning variables, with the coefficient of drearnings2 indicating that a woman's control over her earnings illustrated a 1.1198 year increase in women's empowerment, drhealth2 indicating that a woman's control of healthcare illustrated a 0.3630 year increase in education level, thus making the two variables extremely positively correlated with women's empowerment. The variable dlrgpurch2 indicated a negative correlation with women's empowerment with the coefficient of 0.7192 indicating an interesting decrease in educational attainment. Finally, the coefficient of dhusearnings2 of -0.2546 indicates a decrease in education level associated with control over husband earnings.

The fourth of the five models for Uganda regressed work for cash and/or in-kind on the household decision-making factor, specifying variables drearnings2 (decision-maker for respondent earnings), drhealth2 (decision-maker for respondent's health care), dlrgpurch2 (decision-maker for large purchases), dfamvisit2 (decision-maker for family/friends visits), and dhusearnings (decision-maker for husband earnings), with the model run being: `lm(workck ~ drearnings2 + drhealth2 + dlrgpurch2 + dfamvisit2 + dhusearnings2, data = imputed_data_uganda)`.

Characteristic	Beta	95% CI	p-value
(Intercept)	0.55	0.52, 0.58	<0.001

Characteristic	Beta	95% CI	p-value
drearnings2	0.01	-0.02, 0.05	0.4
drhealth2	0.09	0.06, 0.12	<0.001
dlrgpurch2	0.11	0.08, 0.14	<0.001
dfamvisit2	0.08	0.05, 0.11	<0.001
dhusearnings2	-0.06	-0.09, -0.03	<0.001

The results of the fourth model, illustrates an intercept coefficient for the binary variable workck of 0.54836. Each of the decision-making variables are binaries, though drearnings2 proved to not be statistically significant, as indicated by the large p-value. Those that are statistically significant, however, are the healthcare, large purchases, family visit, and husband earning variables, with the coefficient for drhealth2 of 0.08627 indicating a slight increase in the likelihood of a woman's work for cash, the coefficients for dlrgpurch2 and dfamvisit2 of 0.10836 and 0.08003 respectively doing the same, and finally, the coefficient for dhusearnings2 of -0.06104 indicating a decrease in work likelihood. Perhaps this means that husband earnings are less indicative of women's empowerment than the other decision variables, as indicated in the comparable Ethiopia and Kenya models.

The fifth and final of the five models for Uganda was quite basic, regressing education on work for cash and/or in-kind, with the model run being: `lm(educ ~ workck, data = imputed_data_uganda)`.

Characteristic	Beta	95% CI	p-value
(Intercept)	6.9	6.7, 7.1	<0.001
workck	-1.0	-1.3, -0.80	<0.001

This regression indicates a base education level (intercept) coefficient of 6.87946 and a strange negative association with workck indicating that women who work have decreased education levels by the coefficient of -1.02687, which interestingly contrasts typical women's empowerment measurements, yet corresponds with the Kenyan results of the comparable model.

Conclusion

Though my attempts to replicate the models presented in the original paper on women's empowerment had ultimately failed on account of an issue of interpretation of data by R software as opposed to Mplus as used by the original authors, the data I did manage to analyze and run linear regression models on proved quite interesting. Based on associations with base variables of education and work, which are generally associated with women's empowerment, I managed to illustrate the significance of variables on the original paper's factor dimensions of human/social assets and decision-making. This analysis indicated the significance of variables such as age of cohabitation, age at first birth, decision maker for personal earnings, for personal healthcare, and for large purchases and what seemed to be their positive correlation with women's empowerment, though did ultimately seem to suggest that factors such as decision-making for family/friend visits and for husband purchases were not as significant or related measures of women's empowerment. Though not explored in this paper, I do believe that a possible combination of all of the data and models for the three nations could perhaps provide more accurate baselines for measuring women's empowerment progression across the African continent.

Proposed Extension

If I were to extend this project, I'd work to expand the survey samples utilized from Ethiopia, Kenya, Rwanda, Tanzania, and Uganda, to other East African nations such as Sudan, Eritrea, Burundi, South Sudan, and Somalia to name a few. This would illustrate if extending the survey sample to other East African nations possibly provides a better model for women's empowerment. Beyond this, I'd work on using more recent survey samples, thus providing a more recent and accurate model for women's empowerment. Following the creation of this model, I'd test to see whether it applies to other nations with similar descriptions. West African nations, though different in economic nature, have similar religious makeups, similar ethnic tensions, though different groups, as East African nations, and similar political situations. If so, perhaps the model can be better adopted not only for East African nations but more broadly for the continent and nations outside the continent with similar women's rights track records as the East African nations sampled to create the model.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank the original authors of the paper for providing me with sample STATA code for variable coding in Kenya as an example of how they coded the variables for the empowerment scale, the source to download the data from, and for providing their code in Mplus software for the multi-group CFA.

Works Cited

Miedema, S. S., Haardörfer, R., Girard, A. W., & Yount, K. M. (2018). "Women's empowerment in East Africa: Development of a cross-country comparable measure." *World Development*, 110, 453-464.

"The DHS Program." The DHS Program - Ethiopia: Standard DHS, 2011 Dataset. Accessed May 9, 2021. https://dhsprogram.com/data/dataset/Ethiopia_Standard-DHS_2011.cfm?flag=0.

"The DHS Program." The DHS Program - Kenya: Standard DHS, 2014 Dataset. Accessed May 9, 2021. https://dhsprogram.com/data/dataset/Kenya_Standard-DHS_2014.cfm?flag=1.

"The DHS Program." The DHS Program - Rwanda: Standard DHS, 2010 Dataset. Accessed May 9, 2021. https://dhsprogram.com/data/dataset/Rwanda_Standard-DHS_2010.cfm?flag=1.

"The DHS Program." The DHS Program - Tanzania: Standard DHS, 2010 Dataset. Accessed May 9, 2021. https://dhsprogram.com/data/dataset/Tanzania_Standard-DHS_2010.cfm?flag=1.

"The DHS Program." The DHS Program - Uganda: Standard DHS, 2011 Dataset. Accessed May 9, 2021. https://dhsprogram.com/data/dataset/Uganda_Standard-DHS_2011.cfm?flag=1.

Code

```
knitr::opts_chunk$set(echo = TRUE)
library(tidyverse)
library(arm)
```

```

library(gt)
library(ggpubr)
library(ggplot2)
library(arm)
library(rstanarm)
library(tidymodels)
library(stargazer)
library(readr)
library(histogram)
library(haven)
library(rlang)
library(data.table)
library(lavaan)
library(pastecs)
library(gtsummary)
library(mice)
library(VIM)
library(psych)
library(GPArotation)
library(knitr)

ethiopia_2011 <-
  read_dta("~/Desktop/Projects/Gov 52/Replication Project/Ethiopia_2011/ETIR61DT/ETIR61FL.DTA")

ethiopia_clean <- ethiopia_2011 %>%
  filter(v501 != 1) %>%
  dplyr::select(v012, v201, v102, v133, v155, v714, v731, v741, v531, v525,
               v212, v511, v730, v715, v746, v744a, v744b, v744c, v744d, v744e,
               v811, v812, v813, v814, v739, v743a, v743b, v743d, v743e, v743f,
               v633b, v822, v815a, v815b, v815c) %>%
  mutate(age = v012,
         child = NA,
         child = case_when(v201 > 0 ~ 1,
                           v201 == 0 ~ 0),
         res = v102,
         res = case_when(v102 == 1 ~ "urban",
                           v102 == 2 ~ "rural"),
         educ = v133,

```

```

litbinary = NA,
litbinary = case_when(v155 == 2 ~ 1,
                      v155 == 1 ~ 1,
                      v155 == 0 ~ 0),

workck = NA,
workck = case_when(v714 == 1 ~ 1,
                  v731 == 1 ~ 1,
                  v731 == 2 ~ 1,
                  v731 == 3 ~ 1,
                  v731 == 0 ~ 0,
                  v741 == 0 ~ 0,
                  v741 == 3 ~ 0),

ageofsex = v531,
ageofsex = case_when(v531 == 97 ~ NA,
                    v531 == 98 ~ NA),

fsexmar = NA,
fsexmar = case_when(v525 == 96 ~ 1,
                  v525 == 99 ~ NA_real_,
                  fsexmar == NA ~ 0,
                  v525 == NA ~ NA_real_),

ageofbirth = v212,
ageofcohab = v511,
spagedif = age-v730,
spagedif = case_when(v730 == NA ~ NA),
speducdif = educ - v715,
speducdif = case_when(v715 == 98 ~ NA,
                    v715 == NA ~ NA),

spearndif = NA,
spearndif = case_when(v746 == 1 ~ 4,
                    v746 == 3 ~ 3,
                    v746 == 2 ~ 2,
                    v746 == 4 ~ 1,
                    v746 == 8 ~ NA_real_,
                    v746 == NA ~ NA_real_),

bjustout = v744a,
bjustout = case_when(v744a == 8 ~ NA),
bjustchild = v744b,
bjustchild = case_when(v744b == 8 ~ NA),

```

```

bjustarg = v744c,
bjustarg = case_when(v744c == 8 ~ NA),
bjustsex = v744d,
bjustsex = case_when(v744d == 8 ~ NA),
bjustfood = v744e,
bjustfood = case_when(v744e == 8 ~ NA),
bjustpresent = NA,
bjustpresent = case_when(v811 == 1 ~ 1,
                          v812 == 1 ~ 1,
                          v813 == 1 ~ 1,
                          v813 == 2 ~ 1,
                          v814 == 1 ~ 1,
                          v814 == 2 ~ 1,
                          bjustpresent == NA ~ 0,
                          v811 == NA ~ NA_real_,
                          v812 == NA ~ NA_real_,
                          v813 == NA ~ NA_real_,
                          v814 == NA ~ NA_real_),

drearnings2 = NA,
drearnings2 = case_when(v739 == 1 ~ 1,
                        v739 == 2 ~ 1,
                        v739 == 4 ~ 0,
                        v739 == 5 ~ 0),

drhealth2 = NA,
drhealth2 = case_when(v743a == 1 ~ 1,
                      v743a == 2 ~ 1,
                      v743a == 4 ~ 0,
                      v743a == 5 ~ 0,
                      v743a == 6 ~ 0),

dlrgpurch2 = NA,
dlrgpurch2 = case_when(v743b == 1 ~ 1,
                      v743b == 2 ~ 1,
                      v743b == 4 ~ 0,
                      v743b == 5 ~ 0,
                      v743b == 6 ~ 0),

dfamvisit2 = NA,
dfamvisit2 = case_when(v743d == 1 ~ 1,
                      v743d == 2 ~ 1,

```

```

        v743d == 4 ~ 0,
        v743d == 5 ~ 0,
        v743d == 6 ~ 0),
dcooking2 = NA,
dcooking2 = case_when(v743e == 1 ~ 1,
        v743e == 2 ~ 1,
        v743e == 4 ~ 0,
        v743e == 5 ~ 0,
        v743e == 6 ~ 0),
dhusearnings2 = NA,
dhusearnings2 = case_when(v743f == 1 ~ 1,
        v743f == 2 ~ 1,
        v743f == 4 ~ 0,
        v743f == 6 ~ 0),
refsexema = v633b,
refsexema = case_when(v633b == 8 ~ NA),
condsti = v822,
condsti = case_when(v633b == 8 ~ NA),
sexactpresent = NA,
sexactpresent = case_when(v815a == 1 ~ 1,
        v815b == 1 ~ 1,
        v815c == 1 ~ 1,
        v815a == 0 ~ 0,
        v815b == 0 ~ 0,
        v815c == 0 ~ 0)) %>%
dplyr::select(-v012, -v201, -v102, -v133, -v155, -v714, -v731, -v741, -v531,
        -v525, -v212, -v511, -v730, -v715, -v746, -v744a, -v744b,
        -v744c, -v744d, -v744e, -v811, -v812, -v813, -v814, -v739,
        -v743a, -v743b, -v743d, -v743e, -v743f, -v633b, -v822, -v815a,
        -v815b, -v815c)

ethiopia_filter <- ethiopia_clean %>%
  dplyr::select(spagedif, speducedif, spearndif, bjustout, bjustchild, bjustarg,
        bjustsex, bjustfood, darnings2, dhusearnings2, refsexema,
        condsti)

ethiopia_na <- ethiopia_filter[rowSums(is.na(ethiopia_filter)) != ncol(ethiopia_filter), ]

```

```

kenya_2014 <-
  read_dta("~/Desktop/Projects/Gov 52/Replication Project/Kenya_2014/KEIR72DT/KEIR72FL.DTA")

kenya_clean <- kenya_2014 %>%
  filter(v501 != 1) %>%
  dplyr::select(v012, v201, v102, v133, v155, v714, v731, v741, v531, v525,
               v212, v511, v730, v715, v746, v744a, v744b, v744c, v744d, v744e,
               v811, v812, v813, v814, v739, v743a, v743b, v743d, v743e, v743f,
               v633b, v822, v815a, v815b, v815c) %>%
  mutate(age = v012,
         child = NA,
         child = case_when(v201 > 0 ~ 1,
                           v201 == 0 ~ 0),
         res = v102,
         res = case_when(v102 == 1 ~ "urban",
                          v102 == 2 ~ "rural"),
         educ = v133,
         litbinary = NA,
         litbinary = case_when(v155 == 2 ~ 1,
                               v155 == 1 ~ 1,
                               v155 == 0 ~ 0),
         workck = NA,
         workck = case_when(v714 == 1 ~ 1,
                             v731 == 1 ~ 1,
                             v731 == 2 ~ 1,
                             v731 == 3 ~ 1,
                             v731 == 0 ~ 0,
                             v741 == 0 ~ 0,
                             v741 == 3 ~ 0),
         ageofsex = v531,
         ageofsex = case_when(v531 == 97 ~ NA,
                              v531 == 98 ~ NA),
         fsexmar = NA,
         fsexmar = case_when(v525 == 96 ~ 1,
                              v525 == 99 ~ NA_real_,
                              fsexmar == NA ~ 0,
                              v525 == NA ~ NA_real_),
         ageofbirth = v212,

```

```

ageofcohab = v511,
spagedif = age-v730,
spagedif = case_when(v730 == NA ~ NA),
speducdif = educ - v715,
speducdif = case_when(v715 == 98 ~ NA,
                      v715 = NA ~ NA),

spearndif = NA,
spearndif = case_when(v746 == 1 ~ 4,
                      v746 == 3 ~ 3,
                      v746 == 2 ~ 2,
                      v746 == 4 ~ 1,
                      v746 == 8 ~ NA_real_,
                      v746 == NA ~ NA_real_),

bjustout = v744a,
bjustout = case_when(v744a == 8 ~ NA),
bjustchild = v744b,
bjustchild = case_when(v744b == 8 ~ NA),
bjustarg = v744c,
bjustarg = case_when(v744c == 8 ~ NA),
bjustsex = v744d,
bjustsex = case_when(v744d == 8 ~ NA),
bjustfood = v744e,
bjustfood = case_when(v744e == 8 ~ NA),
bjustpresent = NA,
bjustpresent = case_when(v811 == 1 ~ 1,
                         v812 == 1 ~ 1,
                         v813 == 1 ~ 1,
                         v813 == 2 ~ 1,
                         v814 == 1 ~ 1,
                         v814 == 2 ~ 1,
                         bjustpresent == NA ~ 0,
                         v811 == NA ~ NA_real_,
                         v812 == NA ~ NA_real_,
                         v813 == NA ~ NA_real_,
                         v814 == NA ~ NA_real_),

drearnings2 = NA,
drearnings2 = case_when(v739 == 1 ~ 1,
                       v739 == 2 ~ 1,

```



```

v739 == 4 ~ 0,
v739 == 5 ~ 0),
drhealth2 = NA,
drhealth2 = case_when(v743a == 1 ~ 1,
v743a == 2 ~ 1,
v743a == 4 ~ 0,
v743a == 5 ~ 0,
v743a == 6 ~ 0),
dlrgpurch2 = NA,
dlrgpurch2 = case_when(v743b == 1 ~ 1,
v743b == 2 ~ 1,
v743b == 4 ~ 0,
v743b == 5 ~ 0,
v743b == 6 ~ 0),
dfamvisit2 = NA,
dfamvisit2 = case_when(v743d == 1 ~ 1,
v743d == 2 ~ 1,
v743d == 4 ~ 0,
v743d == 5 ~ 0,
v743d == 6 ~ 0),
dcooking2 = NA,
dcooking2 = case_when(v743e == 1 ~ 1,
v743e == 2 ~ 1,
v743e == 4 ~ 0,
v743e == 5 ~ 0,
v743e == 6 ~ 0),
dhusearnings2 = NA,
dhusearnings2 = case_when(v743f == 1 ~ 1,
v743f == 2 ~ 1,
v743f == 4 ~ 0,
v743f == 6 ~ 0),
refsexema = v633b,
refsexema = case_when(v633b == 8 ~ NA),
condsti = v822,
condsti = case_when(v633b == 8 ~ NA),
sexactpresent = NA,
sexactpresent = case_when(v815a == 1 ~ 1,
v815b == 1 ~ 1,

```

```

      v815c == 1 ~ 1,
      v815a == 0 ~ 0,
      v815b == 0 ~ 0,
      v815c == 0 ~ 0)) %>%
dplyr::select(-v012, -v201, -v102, -v133, -v155, -v714, -v731, -v741, -v531,
              -v525, -v212, -v511, -v730, -v715, -v746, -v744a, -v744b,
              -v744c, -v744d, -v744e, -v811, -v812, -v813, -v814, -v739,
              -v743a, -v743b, -v743d, -v743e, -v743f, -v633b, -v822, -v815a,
              -v815b, -v815c)

kenya_filter <- kenya_clean %>%
  dplyr::select(spagedif, speducedif, spearndif, bjustout, bjustchild, bjustarg,
               bjustsex, bjustfood, drearnings2, dhusearnings2, refsexema,
               condsti)

kenya_na <- kenya_filter[rowSums(is.na(kenya_filter)) != ncol(kenya_filter), ]

rwanda_2010 <-
  read_dta("~/Desktop/Projects/Gov 52/Replication Project/Rwanda_2010/RWIR61DT/RWIR61FL.DTA")

rwanda_clean <- rwanda_2010 %>%
  filter(v501 != 1) %>%
  dplyr::select(v012, v201, v102, v133, v155, v714, v731, v741, v531, v525,
               v212, v511, v730, v715, v746, v744a, v744b, v744c, v744d, v744e,
               v811, v812, v813, v814, v739, v743a, v743b, v743d, v743e, v743f,
               v633b, v822, v815a, v815b, v815c) %>%
  mutate(age = v012,
         child = NA,
         child = case_when(v201 > 0 ~ 1,
                           v201 == 0 ~ 0),
         res = v102,
         res = case_when(v102 == 1 ~ "urban",
                           v102 == 2 ~ "rural"),
         educ = v133,
         litbinary = NA,
         litbinary = case_when(v155 == 2 ~ 1,
                               v155 == 1 ~ 1,
                               v155 == 0 ~ 0),

```

```

workck = NA,
workck = case_when(v714 == 1 ~ 1,
                   v731 == 1 ~ 1,
                   v731 == 2 ~ 1,
                   v731 == 3 ~ 1,
                   v731 == 0 ~ 0,
                   v741 == 0 ~ 0,
                   v741 == 3 ~ 0),

ageofsex = v531,
ageofsex = case_when(v531 == 97 ~ NA,
                     v531 == 98 ~ NA),

fsexmar = NA,
fsexmar = case_when(v525 == 96 ~ 1,
                     v525 == 99 ~ NA_real_,
                     fsexmar == NA ~ 0,
                     v525 == NA ~ NA_real_),

ageofbirth = v212,
ageofcohab = v511,
spagedif = age-v730,
spagedif = case_when(v730 == NA ~ NA),
speducdif = educ - v715,
speducdif = case_when(v715 == 98 ~ NA,
                       v715 == NA ~ NA),

spearndif = NA,
spearndif = case_when(v746 == 1 ~ 4,
                       v746 == 3 ~ 3,
                       v746 == 2 ~ 2,
                       v746 == 4 ~ 1,
                       v746 == 8 ~ NA_real_,
                       v746 == NA ~ NA_real_),

bjustout = v744a,
bjustout = case_when(v744a == 8 ~ NA),
bjustchild = v744b,
bjustchild = case_when(v744b == 8 ~ NA),
bjustarg = v744c,
bjustarg = case_when(v744c == 8 ~ NA),
bjustsex = v744d,
bjustsex = case_when(v744d == 8 ~ NA),

```

```

bjustfood = v744e,
bjustfood = case_when(v744e == 8 ~ NA),
bjustpresent = NA,
bjustpresent = case_when(v811 == 1 ~ 1,
                          v812 == 1 ~ 1,
                          v813 == 1 ~ 1,
                          v813 == 2 ~ 1,
                          v814 == 1 ~ 1,
                          v814 == 2 ~ 1,
                          bjustpresent == NA ~ 0,
                          v811 == NA ~ NA_real_,
                          v812 == NA ~ NA_real_,
                          v813 == NA ~ NA_real_,
                          v814 == NA ~ NA_real_),

drearnings2 = NA,
drearnings2 = case_when(v739 == 1 ~ 1,
                        v739 == 2 ~ 1,
                        v739 == 4 ~ 0,
                        v739 == 5 ~ 0),

drhealth2 = NA,
drhealth2 = case_when(v743a == 1 ~ 1,
                      v743a == 2 ~ 1,
                      v743a == 4 ~ 0,
                      v743a == 5 ~ 0,
                      v743a == 6 ~ 0),

dlrgpurch2 = NA,
dlrgpurch2 = case_when(v743b == 1 ~ 1,
                      v743b == 2 ~ 1,
                      v743b == 4 ~ 0,
                      v743b == 5 ~ 0,
                      v743b == 6 ~ 0),

dfamvisit2 = NA,
dfamvisit2 = case_when(v743d == 1 ~ 1,
                      v743d == 2 ~ 1,
                      v743d == 4 ~ 0,
                      v743d == 5 ~ 0,
                      v743d == 6 ~ 0),

dcooking2 = NA,

```

```

dcooking2 = case_when(v743e == 1 ~ 1,
                      v743e == 2 ~ 1,
                      v743e == 4 ~ 0,
                      v743e == 5 ~ 0,
                      v743e == 6 ~ 0),
dhusearnings2 = NA,
dhusearnings2 = case_when(v743f == 1 ~ 1,
                          v743f == 2 ~ 1,
                          v743f == 4 ~ 0,
                          v743f == 6 ~ 0),

refsexema = v633b,
refsexema = case_when(v633b == 8 ~ NA),
condsti = v822,
condsti = case_when(v633b == 8 ~ NA),
sexactpresent = NA,
sexactpresent = case_when(v815a == 1 ~ 1,
                          v815b == 1 ~ 1,
                          v815c == 1 ~ 1,
                          v815a == 0 ~ 0,
                          v815b == 0 ~ 0,
                          v815c == 0 ~ 0)) %>%

dplyr::select(-v012, -v201, -v102, -v133, -v155, -v714, -v731, -v741, -v531,
              -v525, -v212, -v511, -v730, -v715, -v746, -v744a, -v744b,
              -v744c, -v744d, -v744e, -v811, -v812, -v813, -v814, -v739,
              -v743a, -v743b, -v743d, -v743e, -v743f, -v633b, -v822, -v815a,
              -v815b, -v815c)

rwanda_filter <- rwanda_clean %>%
  dplyr::select(spagedif, speducedif, spearndif, bjustout, bjustchild, bjustarg,
               bjustsex, bjustfood, drearnings2, dhusearnings2, refsexema,
               condsti)

rwanda_na <- rwanda_filter[rowSums(is.na(rwanda_filter)) != ncol(rwanda_filter), ]

tanzania_2010 <-
  read_dta("~/Desktop/Projects/Gov 52/Replication Project/Tanzania_2010/TZIR63DT/TZIR63FL.DTA")

tanzania_clean <- tanzania_2010 %>%

```

```

filter(v501 != 1) %>%
dplyr::select(v012, v201, v102, v133, v155, v714, v731, v741, v531, v525,
              v212, v511, v730, v715, v746, v744a, v744b, v744c, v744d, v744e,
              v811, v812, v813, v814, v739, v743a, v743b, v743d, v743e, v743f,
              v633b, v822) %>%
mutate(age = v012,
       child = NA,
       child = case_when(v201 > 0 ~ 1,
                          v201 == 0 ~ 0),
       res = v102,
       res = case_when(v102 == 1 ~ "urban",
                       v102 == 2 ~ "rural"),
       educ = v133,
       litbinary = NA,
       litbinary = case_when(v155 == 2 ~ 1,
                              v155 == 1 ~ 1,
                              v155 == 0 ~ 0),
       workck = NA,
       workck = case_when(v714 == 1 ~ 1,
                           v731 == 1 ~ 1,
                           v731 == 2 ~ 1,
                           v731 == 3 ~ 1,
                           v731 == 0 ~ 0,
                           v741 == 0 ~ 0,
                           v741 == 3 ~ 0),
       ageofsex = v531,
       ageofsex = case_when(v531 == 97 ~ NA,
                             v531 == 98 ~ NA),
       fsexmar = NA,
       fsexmar = case_when(v525 == 96 ~ 1,
                            v525 == 99 ~ NA_real_,
                            fsexmar == NA ~ 0,
                            v525 == NA ~ NA_real_),
       ageofbirth = v212,
       ageofcohab = v511,
       spagedif = age - v730,
       spagedif = case_when(v730 == NA ~ NA),
       speducedif = educ - v715,

```

```

speducedif = case_when(v715 == 98 ~ NA,
                        v715 = NA ~ NA),
spearndif = NA,
spearndif = case_when(v746 == 1 ~ 4,
                        v746 == 3 ~ 3,
                        v746 == 2 ~ 2,
                        v746 == 4 ~ 1,
                        v746 == 8 ~ NA_real_,
                        v746 == NA ~ NA_real_),
bjustout = v744a,
bjustout = case_when(v744a == 8 ~ NA),
bjustchild = v744b,
bjustchild = case_when(v744b == 8 ~ NA),
bjustarg = v744c,
bjustarg = case_when(v744c == 8 ~ NA),
bjustsex = v744d,
bjustsex = case_when(v744d == 8 ~ NA),
bjustfood = v744e,
bjustfood = case_when(v744e == 8 ~ NA),
bjustpresent = NA,
bjustpresent = case_when(v811 == 1 ~ 1,
                           v812 == 1 ~ 1,
                           v813 == 1 ~ 1,
                           v813 == 2 ~ 1,
                           v814 == 1 ~ 1,
                           v814 == 2 ~ 1,
                           bjustpresent == NA ~ 0,
                           v811 == NA ~ NA_real_,
                           v812 == NA ~ NA_real_,
                           v813 == NA ~ NA_real_,
                           v814 == NA ~ NA_real_),
drearnings2 = NA,
drearnings2 = case_when(v739 == 1 ~ 1,
                           v739 == 2 ~ 1,
                           v739 == 4 ~ 0,
                           v739 == 5 ~ 0),
drhealth2 = NA,
drhealth2 = case_when(v743a == 1 ~ 1,

```

```

v743a == 2 ~ 1,
v743a == 4 ~ 0,
v743a == 5 ~ 0,
v743a == 6 ~ 0),

dlrgpurch2 = NA,
dlrgpurch2 = case_when(v743b == 1 ~ 1,
v743b == 2 ~ 1,
v743b == 4 ~ 0,
v743b == 5 ~ 0,
v743b == 6 ~ 0),

dfamvisit2 = NA,
dfamvisit2 = case_when(v743d == 1 ~ 1,
v743d == 2 ~ 1,
v743d == 4 ~ 0,
v743d == 5 ~ 0,
v743d == 6 ~ 0),

dcooking2 = NA,
dcooking2 = case_when(v743e == 1 ~ 1,
v743e == 2 ~ 1,
v743e == 4 ~ 0,
v743e == 5 ~ 0,
v743e == 6 ~ 0),

dhusearnings2 = NA,
dhusearnings2 = case_when(v743f == 1 ~ 1,
v743f == 2 ~ 1,
v743f == 4 ~ 0,
v743f == 6 ~ 0),

refsexema = v633b,
refsexema = case_when(v633b == 8 ~ NA),
condsti = v822,
condsti = case_when(v633b == 8 ~ NA))%>%
dplyr::select(-v012, -v201, -v102, -v133, -v155, -v714, -v731, -v741, -v531,
-v525, -v212, -v511, -v730, -v715, -v746, -v744a, -v744b,
-v744c, -v744d, -v744e, -v811, -v812, -v813, -v814, -v739,
-v743a, -v743b, -v743d, -v743e, -v743f, -v633b, -v822)

tanzania_filter <- tanzania_clean %>%
dplyr::select(spagedif, speducdif, spearndif, bjustout, bjustchild, bjustarg,

```



```

      bjustsex, bjustfood, drearnings2, dhusearnings2, refsexema,
      condsti)

tanzania_na <- tanzania_filter[rowSums(is.na(tanzania_filter)) != ncol(tanzania_filter), ]

uganda_2011 <-
  read_dta("~/Desktop/Projects/Gov 52/Replication Project/Uganda_2011/UGIR61DT/UGIR61FL.DTA")

uganda_clean <- uganda_2011 %>%
  filter(v501 != 1) %>%
  dplyr::select(v012, v201, v102, v133, v155, v714, v731, v741, v531, v525,
               v212, v511, v730, v715, v746, v744a, v744b, v744c, v744d, v744e,
               v811, v812, v813, v814, v739, v743a, v743b, v743d, v743e, v743f,
               v633b, v822, v815a, v815b, v815c) %>%
  mutate(age = v012,
         child = NA,
         child = case_when(v201 > 0 ~ 1,
                           v201 == 0 ~ 0),
         res = v102,
         res = case_when(v102 == 1 ~ "urban",
                         v102 == 2 ~ "rural"),
         educ = v133,
         litbinary = NA,
         litbinary = case_when(v155 == 2 ~ 1,
                               v155 == 1 ~ 1,
                               v155 == 0 ~ 0),
         workck = NA,
         workck = case_when(v714 == 1 ~ 1,
                             v731 == 1 ~ 1,
                             v731 == 2 ~ 1,
                             v731 == 3 ~ 1,
                             v731 == 0 ~ 0,
                             v741 == 0 ~ 0,
                             v741 == 3 ~ 0),
         ageofsex = v531,
         ageofsex = case_when(v531 == 97 ~ NA,
                              v531 == 98 ~ NA),
         fsexmar = NA,

```

```

fsexmar = case_when(v525 == 96 ~ 1,
                    v525 == 99 ~ NA_real_,
                    fsexmar == NA ~ 0,
                    v525 == NA ~ NA_real_),

ageofbirth = v212,
ageofcohab = v511,
spagedif = age - v730,
spagedif = case_when(v730 == NA ~ NA),
speducdif = educ - v715,
speducdif = case_when(v715 == 98 ~ NA,
                      v715 == NA ~ NA),

spearndif = NA,
spearndif = case_when(v746 == 1 ~ 4,
                      v746 == 3 ~ 3,
                      v746 == 2 ~ 2,
                      v746 == 4 ~ 1,
                      v746 == 8 ~ NA_real_,
                      v746 == NA ~ NA_real_),

bjustout = v744a,
bjustout = case_when(v744a == 8 ~ NA),
bjustchild = v744b,
bjustchild = case_when(v744b == 8 ~ NA),
bjustarg = v744c,
bjustarg = case_when(v744c == 8 ~ NA),
bjustsex = v744d,
bjustsex = case_when(v744d == 8 ~ NA),
bjustfood = v744e,
bjustfood = case_when(v744e == 8 ~ NA),
bjustpresent = NA,
bjustpresent = case_when(v811 == 1 ~ 1,
                         v812 == 1 ~ 1,
                         v813 == 1 ~ 1,
                         v813 == 2 ~ 1,
                         v814 == 1 ~ 1,
                         v814 == 2 ~ 1,
                         bjustpresent == NA ~ 0,
                         v811 == NA ~ NA_real_,
                         v812 == NA ~ NA_real_,

```

```

v813 == NA ~ NA_real_,
v814 == NA ~ NA_real_),

drearnings2 = NA,
drearnings2 = case_when(v739 == 1 ~ 1,
                        v739 == 2 ~ 1,
                        v739 == 4 ~ 0,
                        v739 == 5 ~ 0),

drhealth2 = NA,
drhealth2 = case_when(v743a == 1 ~ 1,
                      v743a == 2 ~ 1,
                      v743a == 4 ~ 0,
                      v743a == 5 ~ 0,
                      v743a == 6 ~ 0),

dlrgpurch2 = NA,
dlrgpurch2 = case_when(v743b == 1 ~ 1,
                      v743b == 2 ~ 1,
                      v743b == 4 ~ 0,
                      v743b == 5 ~ 0,
                      v743b == 6 ~ 0),

dfamvisit2 = NA,
dfamvisit2 = case_when(v743d == 1 ~ 1,
                      v743d == 2 ~ 1,
                      v743d == 4 ~ 0,
                      v743d == 5 ~ 0,
                      v743d == 6 ~ 0),

dcooking2 = NA,
dcooking2 = case_when(v743e == 1 ~ 1,
                      v743e == 2 ~ 1,
                      v743e == 4 ~ 0,
                      v743e == 5 ~ 0,
                      v743e == 6 ~ 0),

dhusearnings2 = NA,
dhusearnings2 = case_when(v743f == 1 ~ 1,
                          v743f == 2 ~ 1,
                          v743f == 4 ~ 0,
                          v743f == 6 ~ 0),

refsexema = v633b,
refsexema = case_when(v633b == 8 ~ NA),

```

```

condsti = v822,
condsti = case_when(v633b == 8 ~ NA),
sexactpresent = NA,
sexactpresent = case_when(v815a == 1 ~ 1,
                           v815b == 1 ~ 1,
                           v815c == 1 ~ 1,
                           v815b == 0 ~ 0,
                           v815c == 0 ~ 0)) %>%
dplyr::select(-v012, -v201, -v102, -v133, -v155, -v714, -v731, -v741, -v531,
              -v525, -v212, -v511, -v730, -v715, -v746, -v744a, -v744b,
              -v744c, -v744d, -v744e, -v811, -v812, -v813, -v814, -v739,
              -v743a, -v743b, -v743d, -v743e, -v743f, -v633b, -v822,
              -v815b, -v815c)

uganda_filter <- uganda_clean %>%
  dplyr::select(spagedif, speducedif, spearndif, bjustout, bjustchild, bjustarg,
               bjustsex, bjustfood, drearnings2, dhusearnings2, refsexema,
               condsti)

uganda_na <- uganda_filter[rowSums(is.na(uganda_filter)) != ncol(uganda_filter), ]

table_1 = data.table(
  Country = c("Ethiopia", "Kenya", "Rwanda", "Tanzania", "Uganda"),
  Year = c("2011", "2014", "2010", "2010", "2011"),
  Study = c("Standard DHS-VI", "Standard DHS-VII", "Standard DHS-VI",
            "Standard DHS-VI", "Standard DHS-VI"),
  "Implementing Organization" = c("Central Statistical Agency (CSA)",
                                   "Kenya National Bureau of Statistics",
                                   "National Institute of Statistics of Rwanda
                                   and the Ministry of Health",
                                   "National Bureau of Statistics",
                                   "Uganda Bureau of Statistics (UBOS)"),
  "Fieldwork Dates" = c("Dec 2010 - May 2011", "May 2014 - Oct 2014",
                        "Sept 2010 - Mar 2011", "Dec 2009 - May 2010",
                        "Jun 2011 - Dec 2011"),
  "Total Female Sample" = c("16,515", "31,079", "13,671", "10,139", "8674"),
  "Currently Married Women" = c("7037", "13328", "8914", "4222", "5603"),
  "Female Age" = c("15 - 49", "15 - 49", "15 - 49", "15 - 49", "15 - 49"),

```

```

"Response Rate (%)" = c("95.0", "96.2", "99.1", "96.4", "93.8"))

table_1_formatted <- gt(table_1)

ethiopia_1 <- ethiopia_clean %>%
  dplyr::select(educ, ageofsex, ageofcohab, ageofbirth, spagedif, speducedif,
    spearndif, fsexmar, workck, bjustout, bjustchild, bjustarg,
    bjustsex, bjustfood, drearnings2, drhealth2, dlrgpurch2,
    dfamvisit2, dhusearnings2)

basic_summary_1 <- summary(ethiopia_clean)

std_1 <- stat.desc(ethiopia_clean) %>%
  slice(13:13)

ethiopia_table <- data.table(Variable = c("Schooling attainment (years)",
  "Age at first sex",
  "Age at first cohabitation",
  "Age at first birth",
  "Spouse age difference (positive = wife is older",
  "Spouse schooling attainment difference (high = wife has greater
  schooling attainment",
  "Spouse earning difference (categorical high = wife earns more)",
  "First sex at marriage",
  "Work for cash and/or in-kind",
  "Beating justified (0 = yes, 1 = no) if wife goes out without
  telling husband)",
  "if wife neglects child",
  "if wife argues with spouse",
  "if wife refuses sex",
  "if wife burns food",
  "Decision-maker for respondent earnings",
  "Decision-maker for respondent's health care",
  "Decision maker for large purchases",
  "Decision-maker for family/friends visits",
  "Decision-maker for husband earnings"),
  Mean = c("5.012", "-", "16.59", "18.94", "-", "-",
    "2.399", "1", "0.5613", "-", "-", "-"),

```

```

      "-", "-", "0.94", "0.756", "0.649",
      "0.787", "0.697"),
  "Std. Dev" = c("4.58", "-", "3.99", "4.11", "-",
      "-", "0.73", "0", "0.49", "-", "-",
      "-", "-", "-", "0.24", "0.43",
      "0.48", "0.41", "0.46"),
  Min = c("0", "-", "8", "10", "-", "-", "1", "1",
      "0", "-", "-", "-", "-", "-", "0", "0",
      "0", "0", "0"),
  Max = c("19", "-", "40", "42", "-", "-", "4", "1",
      "1", "-", "-", "-", "-", "-", "1", "1",
      "1", "1", "1"),
  "% missing" = c("0", "100", "62.71", "67.67",
      "100", "100", "95.55", "75.79",
      "0.00028", "100", "100", "100",
      "100", "100", "95.47", "89.77",
      "89.77", "89.77", "89.84")) %>%

gt()

kenya_1 <- kenya_clean %>%
  dplyr::select(educ, ageofsex, ageofcohab, ageofbirth, spagedif, speducedif,
    spearndif, fsexmar, workck, bjustout, bjustchild, bjustarg,
    bjustsex, bjustfood, drearnings2, drhealth2, dlrgpurch2,
    dfamvisit2, dhusearnings2)

basic_summary_2 <- summary(kenya_1)

std_2 <- stat.desc(kenya_1) %>%
  slice(13:13)

kenya_table <- data.table(Variable = c("Schooling attainment (years)",
  "Age at first sex",
  "Age at first cohabitation",
  "Age at first birth",
  "Spouse age difference (positive = wife is older",
  "Spouse schooling attainment difference (high = wife has greater
  schooling attainment",
  "Spouse earning difference (categorical high = wife earns more)",

```

```

"First sex at marriage",
"Work for cash and/or in-kind",
"Beating justified (0 = yes, 1 = no) if wife goes out without
telling husband)",
"if wife neglects child",
"if wife argues with spouse",
"if wife refuses sex",
"if wife burns food",
"Decision-maker for respondent earnings",
"Decision-maker for respondent's health care",
"Decision-maker for large purchases",
"Decision-maker for family/friends visits",
"Decision-maker for husband earnings"),
Mean = c("8.17", "-", "19.13", "19.39", "-", "-",
          "2.347", "1", "0.52", "-", "-", "-", "-",
          "-", "0.91", "0.77", "0.71", "0.73", "0.54"),
Std. Dev = c("3.67", "-", "4.42", "3.85", "-", "-",
             "0.68", "0", "0.49", "-", "-", "-",
             "-", "-", "0.29", "0.42", "0.45",
             "0.45", "0.49"),
Min = c("0", "-", "10", "10", "-", "-", "1", "1", "0",
        "-", "-", "-", "-", "-", "0", "0", "0", "0",
        "0"),
Max = c("19", "-", "46", "44", "-", "-", "4", "1",
        "1", "-", "-", "-", "-", "-", "1", "1", "1",
        "1", "1"),
"% missing" = c("0", "100", "64.34", "52.78", "100",
               "100", "97.53", "90.64", "52.48",
               "100", "100", "100", "100", "100",
               "97.46", "95.49", "95.49", "95.49",
               "95.59")) %>%

gt()

rwanda_1 <- rwanda_clean %>%
  dplyr::select(educ, ageofsex, ageofcohab, ageofbirth, spagedif, speducedif,
               spearndif, fsexmar, workck, bjustout, bjustchild, bjustarg,
               bjustsex, bjustfood, drearnings2, drhealth2, dlrgpurch2,
               dfamvisit2, dhusearnings2)

```

```

basic_summary_3 <- summary(rwanda_1)

std_3 <- stat.desc(rwanda_1) %>%
  slice(13:13)

rwanda_table <- data.table(Variable = c("Schooling attainment (years)",
  "Age at first sex",
  "Age at first cohabitation",
  "Age at first birth",
  "Spouse age difference (positive = wife is older",
  "Spouse schooling attainment difference (high = wife has greater
schooling attainment",
  "Spouse earning difference (categorical high = wife earns more)",
  "First sex at marriage",
  "Work for cash and/or in-kind",
  "Beating justified (0 = yes, 1 = no) if wife goes out without
telling husband)",
  "if wife neglects child",
  "if wife argues with spouse",
  "if wife refuses sex",
  "if wife burns food",
  "Decision-maker for respondent earnings",
  "Decision-maker for respondent's health care",
  "Decision maker for large purchases",
  "Decision-maker for family/friends visits",
  "Decision-maker for husband earnings"),
Mean = c("4.49", "-", "20.19", "21.02", "-", "-",
          "2.37", "1", "0.79", "-", "-", "-", "-",
          "-", "0.84", "0.69", "0.66", "0.77", "0.62"),
Std. Dev = c("3.23", "-", "3.79", "3.59", "-", "-",
             "0.72", "0", "0.41", "-", "-", "-",
             "-", "-", "0.37", "0.46", "0.47",
             "0.42", "0.49"),
Min = c("0", "-", "10", "12", "-", "-", "1", "1",
        "0", "-", "-", "-", "-", "-", "0", "0", "0",
        "0", "0"),
Max = c("18", "-", "42", "44", "-", "-", "4", "1",
        "0", "-", "-", "-", "-", "-", "1", "1", "1",

```



```

      "1", "1"),
"% missing" = c("0", "100", "60.15", "55.81", "100",
               "100", "85.66", "70.91", "0", "100",
               "100", "100", "100", "100", "85.55",
               "76.81", "76.80", "76.80",
               "77.22")) %>%

gt()

tanzania_1 <- tanzania_clean %>%
  dplyr::select(educ, ageofsex, ageofcohab, ageofbirth, spagedif, speducedif,
               spearndif, fsexmar, workck, bjustout, bjustchild, bjustarg,
               bjustsex, bjustfood, drearnings2, drhealth2, dlrgpurch2,
               dfamvisit2, dhusearnings2)

basic_summary_4 <- summary(tanzania_1)

std_4 <- stat.desc(tanzania_1) %>%
  slice(13:13)

tanzania_table <- data.table(Variable = c("Schooling attainment (years)",
    "Age at first sex",
    "Age at first cohabitation",
    "Age at first birth",
    "Spouse age difference (positive = wife is older",
    "Spouse schooling attainment difference (high = wife has greater
    schooling attainment",
    "Spouse earning difference (categorical high = wife earns more)",
    "First sex at marriage",
    "Work for cash and/or in-kind",
    "Beating justified (0 = yes, 1 = no) if wife goes out without
    telling husband)",
    "if wife neglects child",
    "if wife argues with spouse",
    "if wife refuses sex",
    "if wife burns food",
    "Decision-maker for respondent earnings",
    "Decision-maker for respondent's health care",
    "Decision maker for large purchases",

```

```

      "Decision-maker for family/friends visits",
      "Decision-maker for husband earnings"),
      Mean = c(6.79, "-", 18.56, 18.95, "-", "-", 2.34,
                1, 0.64, "-", "-", "-", "-", "-", 0.80,
                0.65, 0.49, 0.62, "-"),
      "Std. Dev" = c(3.26, "-", 3.98, 3.39, "-", "-",
                    0.85, 0, 0.48, "-", "-", "-", "-",
                    "-", 0.39, 0.48, 0.50, 0.49, "-"),
      Min = c(0, "-", 10, 11, "-", "-", 1, 1, 0, "-",
              "-", "-", "-", "-", 0, 0, 0, 0, "-"),
      Max = c(20, "-", 45, 36, "-", "-", 4, 1, 1, "-",
              "-", "-", "-", "-", 1, 1, 1, 1, "-"),
      "% missing" = c(0, 100, 64.38, 58.50, "-", "-",
                     95.09, 86.05, 0.00024, "-", "-",
                     "-", "-", "-", 94.98, 90.74, 90.74,
                     90.71, "-")) %>%

gt()

uganda_1 <- uganda_clean %>%
  dplyr::select(educ, ageofsex, ageofcohab, ageofbirth, spagedif, speducedif,
               spearndif, fsexmar, workck, bjustout, bjustchild, bjustarg,
               bjustsex, bjustfood, drearnings2, drhealth2, dlrgpurch2,
               dfamvisit2, dhusearnings2)

basic_summary_5 <- summary(uganda_1)

std_4 <- stat.desc(uganda_1) %>%
  slice(13:13)

uganda_table <- data.table(Variable = c("Schooling attainment (years)",
    "Age at first sex",
    "Age at first cohabitation",
    "Age at first birth",
    "Spouse age difference (positive = wife is older",
    "Spouse schooling attainment difference (high = wife has greater
    schooling attainment",
    "Spouse earning difference (categorical high = wife earns more)",
    "First sex at marriage",

```

```

"Work for cash and/or in-kind",
"Beating justified (0 = yes, 1 = no) if wife goes out without
telling husband)",
"if wife neglects child",
"if wife argues with spouse",
"if wife refuses sex",
"if wife burns food",
"Decision-maker for respondent earnings",
"Decision-maker for respondent's health care",
"Decision maker for large purchases",
"Decision-maker for family/friends visits",
"Decision-maker for husband earnings"),
Mean = c(6.17, "-", 17.76, 18.21, "-", "-", 2.29,
          1, 0.69, "-", "-", "-", "-", "-", 0.86,
          0.61, 0.57, 0.62, 0.41),
"Std. Dev" = c(4.06, "-", 3.77, 3.30, "-", "-",
               0.68, 0, 0.46, "-", "-", "-", "-",
               "-", 0.35, 0.49, 0.49, 0.49, 0.49),
Min = c(0, "-", 8, 8, "-", "-", 1, 1, 0, "-", "-",
        "-", "-", "-", 0, 0, 0, 0, 0),
Max = c(18, "-", 46, 43, "-", "-", 4, 1, 1, "-",
        "-", "-", "-", 1, 1, 1, 1, 1),
"% missing" = c(0, 100, 39.49, 38.41, 100, 100,
                78.35, 82.26, 0.00089, 100, 100,
                100, 100, 100, 77.17, 59.39, 59.36,
                59.36, 60.02)) %>%

```

```
gt()
```

```

ethiopia_1_rm <- ethiopia_1 %>%
  select(-ageofsex, -spagedif, -speducdif, -bjustout, -bjustchild, -bjustsex,
         -bjustfood, - bjustarg)

#correlation_ethiopia <- round(cor(ethiopia_1_rm, use="complete.obs"),2)

#correlation_plot <- corrplot(cor(ethiopia_1_rm, use="complete.obs"), color = TRUE)

fit_ethiopia <- princomp(~ ., data = ethiopia_1_rm)

```

```

#plot_ethiopia <- plot(fit_ethiopia,type="lines")

kenya_1_rm <- kenya_1 %>%
  select(-ageofsex, -spagedif, -speducdif, -bjustout, -bjustchild, -bjustsex,
    -bjustfood, - bjustarg)

fit_kenya <- princomp(~ ., data = kenya_1_rm)

#plot_kenya <- plot(fit_kenya,type="lines")

rwanda_1_rm <- rwanda_1 %>%
  select(-ageofsex, -spagedif, -speducdif, -bjustout, -bjustchild, -bjustsex,
    -bjustfood, - bjustarg)

fit_rwanda <- princomp(~ ., data = rwanda_1_rm)

#plot_rwanda <- plot(fit_rwanda,type="lines")

tanzania_1_rm <- tanzania_1 %>%
  select(-ageofsex, -spagedif, -speducdif, -bjustout, -bjustchild, -bjustsex,
    -bjustfood, - bjustarg)

#fit_tanzania <- princomp(~ ., data = tanzania_1_rm)

#plot_tanzania <- plot(fit_tanzania,type="lines")

uganda_1_rm <- uganda_1 %>%
  select(-ageofsex, -spagedif, -speducdif, -bjustout, -bjustchild, -bjustsex,
    -bjustfood, - bjustarg)

fit_uganda <- princomp(~ ., data = uganda_1_rm)

#plot_uganda <- plot(fit_uganda,type="lines")

# unsuccessful exploratory factor analysis

factor_ethiopia <- ethiopia_1_rm %>%

```

```

drop_na() %>%
sjlabelled::as_numeric() %>%
dplyr::select(-educ)

factor_kenya <- kenya_1_rm %>%
  drop_na() %>%
  sjlabelled::as_numeric()

factor_rwanda <- rwanda_1_rm %>%
  drop_na() %>%
  sjlabelled::as_numeric()

factor_tanzania <- tanzania_1_rm %>%
  drop_na() %>%
  sjlabelled::as_numeric()

factor_uganda <- uganda_1_rm %>%
  drop_na() %>%
  sjlabelled::as_numeric()

#factor_fit_ethiopia <- factanal(vec, factors = 2, rotation = "none")

#factor_fit_ethiopia <- factanal(factor_kenya, 3)

#factor_fit_ethiopia <- factanal(factor_ethiopia_v, 3)

#by(factor_ethiopia[, -1], factor_ethiopia[, 1], factanal, factors = 1)

#factor.pa(ethiopia_1_rm, nfactors=3)

#factor_ethiopia_v <- factor_ethiopia %>%
  #mutate(ageofcohab = as_vector(ageofcohab),
    #ageofbirth = as_vector(ageofbirth),
    #spearndif = as_vector(spearndif),
    #fsexmar = as_vector(fsexmar),
    #workck = as_vector(workck),
    #drearings2 = as_vector(drearings2),
    #drhealth2 = as_vector(drhealth2),

```

```

    #dlrgpurch2 = as_vector(dlrgpurch2),
    #dfamvisit2 = as_vector(dfamvisit2),
    #3dhusearnings2 = as_vector(dhusearnings2))

#vec <- ethiopia_1_rm %>%
  #as_vector()

ethiopia_model <- ethiopia_clean %>%
  dplyr::select(educ, ageofcohab, ageofbirth,
    spearndif, fsexmar, workck, drearnings2, drhealth2, dlrgpurch2,
    dfamvisit2, dhusearnings2)

imputed_data_ethiopia <- mice(ethiopia_model, m = 5, seed = 500)

imputed_data_ethiopia <- complete(imputed_data_ethiopia)

model_e1 <- lm(educ ~ ageofcohab + ageofbirth, data = imputed_data_ethiopia)

e1 <- tbl_regression(model_e1, intercept=TRUE)

model_e2 <- lm(workck ~ ageofcohab + ageofbirth, data = imputed_data_ethiopia)

e2 <- tbl_regression(model_e2, intercept=TRUE)

model_e3 <- lm(educ ~ drearnings2 + drhealth2 + dlrgpurch2 + dfamvisit2 +
  dhusearnings2, data = imputed_data_ethiopia)

e3 <- tbl_regression(model_e3, intercept=TRUE)

model_e4 <- lm(workck ~ drearnings2 + drhealth2 + dlrgpurch2 + dfamvisit2 +
  dhusearnings2, data = imputed_data_ethiopia)

e4 <- tbl_regression(model_e4, intercept=TRUE)

model_e5 <- lm(educ ~ workck, data = imputed_data_ethiopia)

e5 <- tbl_regression(model_e5, intercept=TRUE)

```

```

kenya_model <- kenya_clean %>%
  dplyr::select(educ, ageofcohab, ageofbirth,
               spearndif, fsexmar, workck, drearnings2, drhealth2, dlrpurch2,
               dfamvisit2, dhusearnings2)

imputed_data_kenya <- mice(kenya_model, m = 5, seed = 500)

imputed_data_kenya <- complete(imputed_data_kenya)

model_k1 <- lm(educ ~ ageofcohab + ageofbirth, data = imputed_data_kenya)
k1 <- tbl_regression(model_k1, intercept=TRUE)

model_k2 <- lm(workck ~ ageofcohab + ageofbirth, data = imputed_data_kenya)
k2 <- tbl_regression(model_k2, intercept=TRUE)

model_k3 <- lm(educ ~ drearnings2 + drhealth2 + dlrpurch2 + dfamvisit2 +
               dhusearnings2, data = imputed_data_kenya)
k3 <- tbl_regression(model_k3, intercept=TRUE)

model_k4 <- lm(workck ~ drearnings2 + drhealth2 + dlrpurch2 + dfamvisit2 +
               dhusearnings2, data = imputed_data_kenya)
k4 <- tbl_regression(model_k4, intercept=TRUE)

model_k5 <- lm(educ ~ workck, data = imputed_data_kenya)
k5 <- tbl_regression(model_k5, intercept=TRUE)

rwanda_model <- rwanda_clean %>%
  dplyr::select(educ, ageofcohab, ageofbirth,
               spearndif, fsexmar, workck, drearnings2, drhealth2, dlrpurch2,
               dfamvisit2, dhusearnings2)

imputed_data_rwanda <- mice(rwanda_model, m = 5, seed = 500)

```

```

imputed_data_rwanda <- complete(imputed_data_rwanda)

model_r1 <- lm(educ ~ ageofcohab + ageofbirth, data = imputed_data_rwanda)

r1 <- tbl_regression(model_r1, intercept=TRUE)

model_r2 <- lm(workck ~ ageofcohab + ageofbirth, data = imputed_data_rwanda)

r2 <- tbl_regression(model_r2, intercept=TRUE)

model_r3 <- lm(educ ~ drearnings2 + drhealth2 + dlrpurch2 + dfamvisit2 +
              dhusearnings2, data = imputed_data_rwanda)

r3 <- tbl_regression(model_r3, intercept=TRUE)

model_r4 <- lm(workck ~ drearnings2 + drhealth2 + dlrpurch2 + dfamvisit2 +
              dhusearnings2, data = imputed_data_rwanda)

r4 <- tbl_regression(model_r4, intercept=TRUE)

model_r5 <- lm(educ ~ workck, data = imputed_data_rwanda)

r5 <- tbl_regression(model_r5, intercept=TRUE)

tanzania_model <- tanzania_clean %>%
  dplyr::select(educ, ageofcohab, ageofbirth,
               spearndif, fsexmar, workck, drearnings2, drhealth2, dlrpurch2,
               dfamvisit2, dhusearnings2)

imputed_data_tanzania <- mice(tanzania_model, m = 5, seed = 500)

imputed_data_tanzania <- complete(imputed_data_tanzania)

model_t1 <- lm(educ ~ ageofcohab + ageofbirth, data = imputed_data_tanzania)

t1 <- tbl_regression(model_t1, intercept=TRUE)

model_t2 <- lm(workck ~ ageofcohab + ageofbirth, data = imputed_data_tanzania)

```



```

t2 <- tbl_regression(model_t2, intercept=TRUE)

#model_t3 <- lm(educ ~ drearnings2 + drhealth2 + dlrpurch2 + dfamvisit2 +
  #dhusearnings2, data = imputed_data_tanzania)

#tbl_regression(model_t3)

#model_t4 <- lm(workck ~ drearnings2 + drhealth2 + dlrpurch2 + dfamvisit2 +
  #dhusearnings2, data = imputed_data_tanzania)

#tbl_regression(model_t4)

model_t5 <- lm(educ ~ workck, data = imputed_data_tanzania)

t5 <- tbl_regression(model_t5, intercept=TRUE)

uganda_model <- uganda_clean %>%
  dplyr::select(educ, ageofcohab, ageofbirth,
    spearndif, fsexmar, workck, drearnings2, drhealth2, dlrpurch2,
    dfamvisit2, dhusearnings2)

imputed_data_uganda <- mice(uganda_model, m = 5, seed = 500)

imputed_data_uganda <- complete(imputed_data_uganda)

model_u1 <- lm(educ ~ ageofcohab + ageofbirth, data = imputed_data_uganda)

u1 <- tbl_regression(model_u1, intercept=TRUE)

model_u2 <- lm(workck ~ ageofcohab + ageofbirth, data = imputed_data_uganda)

u2 <- tbl_regression(model_u2, intercept=TRUE)

model_u3 <- lm(educ ~ drearnings2 + drhealth2 + dlrpurch2 + dfamvisit2 +
  dhusearnings2, data = imputed_data_uganda)

u3 <- tbl_regression(model_u3, intercept=TRUE)

```

```

model_u4 <- lm(workck ~ drearnings2 + drhealth2 + dlrpurch2 + dfamvisit2 +
               dhusearnings2, data = imputed_data_uganda)

u4 <- tbl_regression(model_u4, intercept=TRUE)

model_u5 <- lm(educ ~ workck, data = imputed_data_uganda)

u5 <- tbl_regression(model_u5, intercept=TRUE)

tab_options(table_1_formatted, table.width = pct(25), container.width = pct(75))

knitr::include_graphics("table_3.png")

knitr::include_graphics("table_4_5.png")
ethiopia_table
kenya_table
rwanda_table
tanzania_table
uganda_table
e1
e2
e3
e4
e5
k1
k2
k3
k4
k5
r1
r2
r3
r4
r5
t1
t2
t5

```

u1
u2
u3
u4
u5