

State-feedback control systems

University of Ulsan

Prof. KIM

©2023-2024 All rights reserved

4 Robust state-feedback control

- The considered system model is as follows:

$$\dot{x}(t) = (A + \Delta A)x(t) + (B + \Delta B)u(t). \quad (21)$$

- The parameter uncertainties $\Delta A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $\Delta B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ are described as, respectively,

$$\Delta A = E\Upsilon H_1, \quad \Delta B = E\Upsilon H_2 \quad (22)$$

where $E \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$, $H_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times n}$, and $H_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times m}$ are known real constant matrices; and $\Upsilon \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times q}$ is an unknown matrix but satisfies

$$\Upsilon^T \Upsilon \leq I. \quad (23)$$

- Let us employ the following state-feedback control law:

$$u(t) = Kx(t) \quad (24)$$

where $K \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ denotes the control gain to be designed later.

- As a result, the closed-loop control system is described as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}(t) &= (A + E\Upsilon H_1)x(t) + (B + E\Upsilon H_2)Kx(t) \\ &= (A + BK + E\Upsilon(H_1 + H_2K))x(t) \\ &= (\bar{A} + E\Upsilon\bar{H})x(t) \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

by defining

$$\bar{A} = A + BK, \quad \bar{H} = H_1 + H_2K.$$

Theorem 3. System (21) is robustly stable at the origin if there exist matrices $\bar{P} = \bar{P}^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $\bar{K} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, and a scalar $\epsilon > 0$ satisfying

$$\bar{P} > 0 \quad (26)$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{He}\{A\bar{P} + B\bar{K}\} + \epsilon EE^T & \star \\ \hline H_1\bar{P} + H_2\bar{K} & -\epsilon I \end{array} \right] < 0. \quad (27)$$

Furthermore, the control gain can be reconstructed as follows:

$$K = \bar{K}\bar{P}^{-1}.$$

Example 4.1. Obtain the linear matrix inequalities (LMI) needed to design a robust LQR state-feedback controller for system (21).

5 \mathcal{H}_∞ state-feedback control

- This state-feedback control is designed with the aim of minimizing the effect of disturbances on the closed-loop system while using feedback of the state variables.
- To begin with, let us consider the following linear system with external disturbances belonging to the \mathcal{L}_2 space:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t) + Nw(t) \\ z(t) = Cx(t) + Du(t). \end{cases} \quad (34)$$

- Let us employ the following state-feedback control law:

$$u(t) = Kx(t)$$

where $K \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is the control gain to be designed later.

- As a result, the closed-loop control system is described as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = \bar{A}x(t) + Nw(t) \\ z(t) = \bar{C}x(t) \end{cases} \quad (35)$$

where

$$\bar{A} = A + BK, \quad \bar{C} = C + DK.$$

Theorem 4. System (34) is stable and has an \mathcal{H}_∞ disturbance attenuation level γ , if there exist symmetric matrices $\bar{P} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $\bar{K} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, and a scalar $\gamma > 0$ satisfying

$$\bar{P} > 0 \quad (36)$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc|c} \mathbf{He}\{A\bar{P} + B\bar{K}\} & \star & \star \\ N^T & -\gamma^2 I & 0 \\ \hline C\bar{P} + D\bar{K} & 0 & -I \end{array} \right] < 0. \quad (37)$$

Furthermore, the control gain can be reconstructed as follows:

$$K = \bar{K}\bar{P}^{-1}.$$