


Shortest Path CSCI 232





What is the shortest path between vertex 0 and vertex 6?

Path with the smallest sum of edge weights.




Assumptions:

- Graph is directed.
- Graph is edge-weighted.
- Edge weights are non-negative.
- Graph need not be simple (though our example will be).

What is the shortest path between vertex 0 and vertex 6?

Path with the smallest sum of edge weights.




Assumptions:

- Graph is directed.
- Graph is edge-weighted.
- Edge weights are non-negative.
- Graph need not be simple (though our example will be).




What is the shortest path between vertex 0 and vertex 6?

Path with the smallest sum of edge weights.




We are going to find the shortest path between vertex 0 and every other vertex, flooding out from 0.




Distance from 0

0	?	
1	?	
2	?	
2	?	
4		
5	?	
6	?	
7	?	




How can we keep track of routes?


Distance from 0



Graphs - Paths


int[] previousVertex







How do we determine the path from 0 to 6?


Start at vertex 6. Find its previous vertex. Find its previous vertex... until we get back to the start (0).







How can we keep track of routes?







How can we keep track of routes?







If this is the shortest path from 0 to 6, what can we say about the shortest path from 0 to 3?













Claim: There cannot possibly be a shorter path from 0 to 2 than the edge from 0 to 2 because...?






Claim: There cannot possibly be a shorter path from 0 to 2 than the edge from 0 to 2 because non-negative edge weights mean every other path is at least 0.38 or 0.26.







I.e., Could there be a shorter path from 0 to 4 other than the edge from 0 to 4?

Claim: There cannot possibly be a shorter path from 0 to 2 than the edge from 0 to 2 because non-negative edge weights mean every other path is at least 0.38 or 0.26.





Claim: There cannot possibly be a shorter path from 0 to 2 than the edge from 0 to 2 because non-negative edge weights mean every other path is at least 0.38 or 0.26.




We need some process for progressing through the graph.


Distance

from 0


Previous


vertex





We need some process for progressing through the graph. What if we prioritized neighbors based on path (not edge) distance?






Previous


Priority

Distance

We need some process for progressing through the graph. What if we prioritized neighbors based on path (not edge) distance? vertex (distance)


distance?





Distance







Priority queue


We need some process for progressing through the graph. What if we prioritized neighbors based on path (not edge)

6






Distance




Priority

queue


What can we reach from connected vertices and at what distance (from 0)?






Priority queue 2 (0.26) 4 (0.38)


What can we reach from connected vertices and at what distance (from 0)?






Priority queue 2 (0.26) 4 (0.38)

What can we reach from connected vertices and at what distance (from 0)?





Priority queue 2 (0.26) 4 (0.38)

What can we reach from connected vertices and at what distance (from 0)?



Priority queue



3

3

4

5

6


7

0.26

 ∞

$$\infty$$

What can we reach from connected vertices and at what distance (from 0)?





Priority queue

4 (0.38)



0

3

3

4

5


6

7


0.26


 ∞





What can we reach from connected vertices and at what distance (from 0)?







Priority queue


3


4

6












Repeat.







0

2

3


4


5

6


Priority queue


7 (0.60)














The 0 to 4 edge has to be the shortest path between 0 and 4, since any other path would go from 0 -> 2 -> 7 -> ? at cost at least 0.26 + 0.34 = 0.6 > 0.38







The 0 to 4 edge has to be the shortest path between 0 and 4, since any other path would go from 0 -> 2 -> 7 -> ? at cost at least 0.26 + 0.34 = 0.6 > 0.38





Add neighbors to queue/previous.





Add neighbors to queue/previous.






from 0 0 0 ∞ 2 0.26 3 ∞ 0.38 4 5 0.73 6 ∞ 0.60


Distance

Previous

Add neighbors to queue/previous.


We have another route to 7!






Add neighbors to queue/previous.

We have another route to 7! Check to see if it is shorter!








Add neighbors to queue/previous.


We have another route to 7! Check to see if it is shorter! It's not (0.38 + 0.37 = 0.75 > 0.60).















distance[v] + weight(v, u) < distance[u]</pre>



queue top =



0 0 ∞ 0.26 2 3 ∞ 0.38 4 5 0.73 6 ∞ 0.60


Distance

from 0


Previous


Priority

Repeat.





Repeat.





We have another route to 5, and at cost 0.7 < 0.73.





Previous		
vertex		
0	-	
1		
2	0	
3	7	
4	0	
5	4	


6

Priority queue


```
5 (0.73)
3 (0.99)
```

Repeat.

We have another route to 5, and at cost 0.7 < 0.73.
i.e., distance[v] + weight(v, u) < distance[u]




We have another route to 5, and at cost 0.7 < 0.73. So updated queue/previous/distance.




0.93


We have another route to 5, and at cost 0.7 < 0.73. So updated queue/previous/distance.




We have another route to 5, and at cost 0.7 < 0.73. So updated queue/previous/distance.

Shortest Path CSCI 232






Distance




Priority

queue


What can we reach from connected vertices and at what distance (from 0)?






Priority queue 2 (0.26) 4 (0.38)


What can we reach from connected vertices and at what distance (from 0)?






Priority queue 2 (0.26) 4 (0.38)

What can we reach from connected vertices and at what distance (from 0)?





Priority queue 2 (0.26) 4 (0.38)

What can we reach from connected vertices and at what distance (from 0)?



Priority queue



3

3

4

5

6


7

0.26

 ∞

$$\infty$$

What can we reach from connected vertices and at what distance (from 0)?





Priority queue

4 (0.38)



0

3

3

4

5


6

7


0.26


 ∞





What can we reach from connected vertices and at what distance (from 0)?







Priority queue


3


4

6












Repeat.







0

2

3


4


5

6


Priority queue


7 (0.60)















The 0 to 4 edge has to be the shortest path between 0 and 4, since any other path would go from $0 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 7 \rightarrow 2$ at cost at least 0.26 + 0.34 = 0.6 > 0.38









The 0 to 4 edge has to be the shortest path between 0 and 4, since any other path would go from $0 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 7 \rightarrow 2$ at cost at least 0.26 + 0.34 = 0.6 > 0.38





Add neighbors to queue/previous.





Add neighbors to queue/previous.






from 0 0 0 ∞ 2 0.26 3 ∞ 0.38 4 5 0.73 6 ∞ 0.60


Distance

Previous

Add neighbors to queue/previous.


We have another route to 7!






Add neighbors to queue/previous.

We have another route to 7! Check to see if it is shorter!








Add neighbors to queue/previous.


We have another route to 7! Check to see if it is shorter! It's not (0.38 + 0.37 = 0.75 > 0.60).

















distance[v] + weight(v, u) < distance[u]</pre>







Repeat.







Repeat.





We have another route to 5, and at cost 0.7 < 0.73.







Previous Priority vertex queue

Repeat.

We have another route to 5, and at cost 0.7 < 0.73.
i.e., distance[v] + weight(v, u) < distance[u]




We have another route to 5, and at cost 0.7 < 0.73. So updated queue/previous.




0.93


We have another route to 5, and at cost 0.7 < 0.73. So updated queue/previous.




We have another route to 5, and at cost 0.7 < 0.73. So updated queue/previous.





Repeat.








Repeat.














from 0 vertex 0 0 0 0.92 0.26 2 3 0.99 3 0.38 4 4 5 0.70 5 6 6 ∞ 0.60

Previous


Distance


Priority queue

1 (0.92) 3 (0.99)

What about neighbor 4?








Priority queue

1 (0.92)
3 (0.99)

Repeat.

What about neighbor 4?

distance[5] + weight(5, 4) = 0.70 + 0.35 = 1.05 < 0.38 = distance[4]



Distance from 0 0 0 0.92 0.26 0.99 0.38 4 5 0.70 6 ∞ 0.60

Previous


Priority queue


1 (0.92)
3 (0.99)


Repeat.

What about neighbor 7?

distance[5] + weight(5, 7) = 0.70 + 0.28 = 0.98 < 0.60 = distance[7]







0 0 0.92 2 0.26 3 0.99 0.38 4 5 0.70 6 ∞ 0.60


Distance


from 0


Previous


Repeat.


What about neighbor 3? 0.92 + 0.29 = 1.21 > 0.99

















0 0 0.92 0.26 3 0.99 0.38 4 5 0.70 6 1.51 0.60


Distance

from 0

Previous

Priority

Repeat?



Distance from 0 0 0 0.92 0.26 3 0.99 0.38 4 5 0.70 6 1.51 0.60


Previous

Priority queue

Repeat?

Neighbor 4?

1.51 + 0.93 > 0.83



Distance from 0 0 0 0.92 0.26 3 0.99 0.38 4 5 0.70 6 1.51 0.60

Previous


Priority queue

7 3 2

Repeat?

Neighbor 0?

1.51 + 0.58 > 0



Distance from 0 0 0 0.92 0.26 3 0.99 0.38 4 5 0.70 6 1.51 0.60

Previous


Priority

queue

Repeat?

Neighbor 2?

1.51 + 0.4 > 0.26




from 0 0 0 0.92 0.26 3 0.99 0.38 4 5 0.70 6 1.51 0.60

Distance

Previous

Priority queue

When are we done?




Distance from 0 0 0 0.92 0.26 0.99 0.38 4 5 0.70 6 1.51 0.60

Previous


Priority queue

When are we done?

When the queue is empty!




When the queue is empty!



Assumptions:

- Graph is directed.
- Graph is edge-weighted.
- Edge weights are non-negative.
- Graph need not be simple (though our example will be).

What happens if there are self-loops?




Assumptions:

- Graph is directed.
- Graph is edge-weighted.
- Edge weights are non-negative.
- Graph need not be simple (though our example will be).

What happens if there are self-loops?


They are never taken, since they will never lower the cost of a path.



Assumptions:

- Graph is directed.
- Graph is edge-weighted.
- Edge weights are non-negative.
- Graph need not be simple (though our example will be).

What happens if there are parallel edges?




Assumptions:

- Graph is directed.
- Graph is edge-weighted.
- Edge weights are non-negative.
- Graph need not be simple (though our example will be).

What happens if there are parallel edges?


The cheapest one is taken and all others are ignored.



Assumptions:

- Graph is directed.
- Graph is edge-weighted.
- Edge weights are non-negative.
- Graph need not be simple (though our example will be).

What happens if there are negative weights?



Assumptions:

- Graph is directed.
- Graph is edge-weighted.
- Edge weights are non-negative.
- Graph need not be simple (though our example will be).

What happens if there are negative weights?