## The Stacking Scheme

Team Proxima Centuari Danny, James, Kenny, Wenchang

March 6, 2018

Say we obtain the following results, using three different estimators:

Estimator	Performance	Prediction
Method 1	$\rho_1$	$\vec{y}_1$
Method 2	$ ho_2$	$ec{y}_2$
Method 3	$ ho_3$	$ec{y}_3$

We define the performance metric<sup>1</sup> in such a way that the smaller the metric  $\rho_i$ , the better the performance. Candidates for  $\rho_i$  may include

RMSE, 
$$1 - R^2$$
,  $\frac{\text{RMSE}}{R^2}$ , ...

Since a better performance is indicated by a smaller  $\rho_i$ , we propose the following stacking scheme, which gives more weights to better performing estimators:

$$\vec{y}_{\text{Stacked}} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{\rho_1}{s} \right) \vec{y}_1 + \left( 1 - \frac{\rho_2}{s} \right) \vec{y}_2 + \left( 1 - \frac{\rho_3}{s} \right) \vec{y}_3 \right] \tag{1}$$

where

$$s = \rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3$$
.

Check: suppose under the rarest situation<sup>2</sup> that

$$\vec{y}_1 = \vec{y}_2 = \vec{y}_3 = \vec{y}_{Test}$$

then by (1)

$$\vec{y}_{\text{Stacked}} = \frac{1}{2} \left( 3 - \frac{\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3}{s} \right) \vec{y}_{\text{Test}}$$
$$= \vec{y}_{\text{Test}}$$

which is the desired result.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The metric is computed from simulated validation tests.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>It is possible although improbable.