

fac_validation_check

November 3, 2025

1 Performing Validation of generatin FAC coordinate transformation matrix

Date Created: 31-Oct-2025

Date Modified: 3-Nov-2025

Author: Regis John

```
[12]: import pyspedas
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
```

```
[13]: trange = ['2015-12-09/05:03:55', '2015-12-09/05:03:59']
```

Reading in magnetic and electric field data:

```
[14]: fgm_vars = pyspedas.mms.fgm(trange=trange, probe=1, data_rate='brst',
                                 varformat='mms1_fgm_b_gse_brst_12', time_clip=True)
```

```
03-Nov-25 17:47:47: Loading files for group: probe: 1, drate: brst, level: 12,
datatype: , after sorting and filtering:
03-Nov-25 17:47:47: /Users/rejohn/Data_Speedas/mms/mms1/fgm/brst/12/2015/12/09/m
ms1_fgm_brst_12_20151209050044_v4.22.0.cdf
03-Nov-25 17:47:47: /Users/rejohn/Data_Speedas/mms/mms1/fgm/brst/12/2015/12/09/m
ms1_fgm_brst_12_20151209050044_v4.22.0.cdf
```

```
[15]: edp_vars = pyspedas.mms.edp(trange=trange,
                                  probe=1,
                                  data_rate='brst',
                                  varnames=['mms1_edp_dce_gse_brst_12', u
                                         'mms1_edp_dce_par_epar_brst_12'],
                                  time_clip=True)
```

```
03-Nov-25 17:47:47: Loading files for group: probe: 1, drate: brst, level: 12,
datatype: dce, after sorting and filtering:
03-Nov-25 17:47:47: /Users/rejohn/Data_Speedas/mms/mms1/edp/brst/12/dce/2015/12/
09/mms1_edp_brst_12_dce_20151209050044_v2.2.0.cdf
03-Nov-25 17:47:47: /Users/rejohn/Data_Speedas/mms/mms1/edp/brst/12/dce/2015/12/
09/mms1_edp_brst_12_dce_20151209050044_v2.2.0.cdf
```

```
[16]: pyspedas.tplot_names()

0 : mms1_fgm_b_gse_brst_12
1 : bvec_gse
2 : mms1_fgm_b_gse_brst_12_btot
3 : evec_gse
4 : epar_gse
5 : mms1_fgm_b_gse_brst_12_bvec
6 : mms1_edp_dce_gse_brst_12
7 : mms1_edp_dce_par_epar_brst_12
```

```
[16]: ['mms1_fgm_b_gse_brst_12',
       'bvec_gse',
       'mms1_fgm_b_gse_brst_12_btot',
       'evec_gse',
       'epar_gse',
       'mms1_fgm_b_gse_brst_12_bvec',
       'mms1_edp_dce_gse_brst_12',
       'mms1_edp_dce_par_epar_brst_12']
```

```
[17]: pyspedas.tplot_rename('mms1_fgm_b_gse_brst_12_bvec', 'bvec_gse')
pyspedas.tplot_rename('mms1_edp_dce_gse_brst_12', 'evec_gse')
pyspedas.tplot_rename('mms1_edp_dce_par_epar_brst_12', 'epar_gse')
```

1.0.1 Downsampling to DES cadence of 30 ms

```
[18]: # Define target cadence
des_cadence = 0.03 # seconds or 30 ms
```

```
[19]: # 1. Downsample magnetic field (native ~128 Hz → 33 Hz)
pyspedas.avg_data('bvec_gse', res=des_cadence, newname='bvec_gse_avg')
```

03-Nov-25 17:47:49: avg_data was applied to: bvec_gse_avg

```
[19]: ['bvec_gse_avg']
```

```
[20]: # 2. Downsample electric field (native 8192 Hz → 33 Hz)
pyspedas.avg_data('evec_gse', res=des_cadence, newname='evec_gse_avg')
```

03-Nov-25 17:47:50: avg_data was applied to: evec_gse_avg

```
[20]: ['evec_gse_avg']
```

```
[21]: # 2. Downsample parallel electric field (native 8192 Hz → 33 Hz)
pyspedas.avg_data('epar_gse', res=des_cadence, newname='epar_gse_avg')
```

03-Nov-25 17:47:51: avg_data was applied to: epar_gse_avg

```
[21]: ['epar_gse_avg']
```

1.1 Testing FAC matrix implementation

To validate the inbuilt fac subroutine in PySPEDAS, we perform the following checks:

1. Plot the raw field-aligned electric field (E_{\parallel}) from MMS data.
2. Use the fac subroutine to compute E_{\parallel} and plot the result.
3. Independently estimate the magnetic field direction, project the electric field onto it, and normalize by $|B|$ to obtain E_{\parallel} .

If all three methods yield consistent E_{\parallel} profiles, the transformation is verified.

1.1.1 1. Raw field-aligned electric field (E_{\parallel}) from MMS data:

```
[22]: times, epar_gse_avg = pyspedas.get_data('epar_gse_avg')
epar_gse_avg_noerr = epar_gse_avg[:, 1] # Exclude error component
pyspedas.store_data('epar_gse_avg_noerr', data={'x': times, 'y': epar_gse_avg_noerr})
```

```
[22]: True
```

1.1.2 2. Estimating E_{\parallel} using the inbuilt FAC functions:

```
[23]: # Using inbuilt FAC functions:
pyspedas.fac_matrix_make(mag_var_name='bvec_gse_avg', other_dim='Xgse', newname='fac_matrix')
```

03-Nov-25 17:47:52: store_data: Neither data array nor newname supplied, nothing to do.

```
[23]: 'fac_matrix'
```

```
[24]: # Rotate the interpolated E vector using the created matrix
print("Rotating evec_gse_avg to FAC coordinates...")
pyspedas.tvector_rotate(mat_var_in='fac_matrix', vec_var_in='evec_gse_avg',
newname='evec_gse_fac')
```

03-Nov-25 17:47:52: Setting coordinate system for evec_gse_fac

Rotating evec_gse_avg to FAC coordinates...

```
[24]: ['evec_gse_fac']
```

```
[25]: _, evec_gse_fac = pyspedas.get_data('evec_gse_fac')
```

```
[26]: epar_gse_fac = evec_gse_fac[:, 2] # Z component (parallel to B in FAC)
```

```
[27]: pyspedas.store_data('epar_gse_fac', data={'x': times, 'y': epar_gse_fac})
```

```
[27]: True
```

1.1.3 3. Computing E_{\parallel} using projection:

We normalize the B-field (bvec_gse) to get the unit vector (bhat_gse).

```
[28]: pyspedas.tnormalize('bvec_gse_avg', newname='bhat_gse')
```

```
[28]: 'bhat_gse'
```

```
[31]: times, evec_gse_avg = pyspedas.get_data('evec_gse_avg')
times, bhat_gse = pyspedas.get_data('bhat_gse')
```

```
[ ]: times.shape, evec_gse_avg.shape, bhat_gse.shape
```

```
[ ]: ((133,), (133, 3), (133, 3))
```

Then dot product the E-field (evec_gse_s) with the B-field unit vector (bhat_gse):

```
[33]: epar_gse_dotp = np.einsum('ij,ij->i', evec_gse_avg, bhat_gse)
```

```
[34]: pyspedas.store_data('epar_gse_dotp', data={'x': times, 'y': epar_gse_dotp})
```

```
[34]: True
```

NOTE: pyspedas.tdotp('evec_gse_avg', 'bhat_gse', newname='epar_gse_dotp') would also work but it has strict time alignment requirements between the two tplot variables. In our case after downsampling both electric and magnetic field data to 30 ms cadence, the time arrays were identical in most locations (as it should be) but it had differences of the order of 1e-7, which is too small but enough for the pyspedas dot product to fail.

1.1.4 Comparing the plots:

```
[43]: # Combine the three tplot variables into one
pyspedas.store_data('combined_epar', data=['epar_gse_avg_noerr', 'epar_gse_fac',
                                             'epar_gse_dotp'])

# Set legend names
pyspedas.options('combined_epar', 'legend_names', ['Raw $E_{\parallel}$',
                                                       'FAC $E_{\parallel}$',
                                                       'Projection $E_{\parallel}$'])

# Set line colors
pyspedas.options('combined_epar', 'colors', ['black', 'red', 'blue'])

# Set line styles (0: solid, 1: dashed, 2: dotted)
pyspedas.options('combined_epar', 'line_style', ['solid_line', 'dot', 'dash_dot'])

# Set line width
```

```

pyspedas.options('combined_epar', 'line_width', 1.5)

# Set y-axis label
pyspedas.options('combined_epar', 'ytitle', '$E_{\parallel}$')

# Plot
pyspedas.tplot('combined_epar', xsize=12, ysize=3.5,
                save_png='Fig4_Nov3_fac_comparison.png')

```

