## Reg Gonzalez | CS 4395.001

# Assignment 10: Author Attribution

## **▼** Step 1: Reading the csv file

```
import pandas as pd
df = pd.read csv('/content/federalist.csv')
df.author = df.author.astype('category') # Convert author column to categorical data
print(df.head())
print()
# Get the counts for each author and then display them
hamilton count = 0
jay count = 0
madison_count = 0
hamilton or madison count = 0
hamilton and madison count = 0
for i in range(83):
  if df.iloc[i, 0] == 'HAMILTON':
    hamilton count += 1
  elif df.iloc[i, 0] == 'JAY':
    jay_count += 1
  elif df.iloc[i, 0] == 'MADISON':
    madison count += 1
  elif df.iloc[i, 0] == 'HAMILTON OR MADISON':
    hamilton or madison count += 1
  elif df.iloc[i, 0] == 'HAMILTON AND MADISON':
    hamilton and madison count += 1
print("Hamilton count: ", hamilton_count)
print("Madison count: ", madison count)
print("Jay count: ", jay_count)
print()
print("Hamilton or Madison count: ", hamilton_or_madison_count)
print("Hamilton and Madison count: ", hamilton_and_madison_count)
          author
                                                                text
     0 HAMILTON FEDERALIST. No. 1 General Introduction For the...
     1
             JAY FEDERALIST No. 2 Concerning Dangers from Forei...
     2
             JAY FEDERALIST No. 3 The Same Subject Continued (C...
```

```
JAY FEDERALIST No. 4 The Same Subject Continued (C...
4 JAY FEDERALIST No. 5 The Same Subject Continued (C...

Hamilton count: 49

Madison count: 15

Jay count: 5

Hamilton or Madison count: 11

Hamilton and Madison count: 3
```

## → Step 2: Divide into train and test

```
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split

X = df.text  # feature
y = df.author  # target

X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size=0.2, train_size=0.8, ranc

# Display shape of train and test
print("X_train shape: ", X_train.shape)
print("X_test shape: ", X_test.shape)
print("y_train shape: ", y_train.shape)
print("y_test shape: ", y_test.shape)

X_train shape: (66,)
    X_test shape: (17,)
    y_train shape: (66,)
    y_test shape: (17,)
```

## **▼** Step 3: Process the text

```
import nltk
nltk.download('stopwords')
from nltk.corpus import stopwords
from sklearn.feature_extraction.text import TfidfVectorizer

# Set up vectorizer
english_stopwords = set(stopwords.words('english'))
tfidf_vectorizer = TfidfVectorizer(stop_words=english_stopwords)

# Apply the vectorizer
# Fit/transform on training data and only transform test data
X_train = tfidf_vectorizer.fit_transform(X_train)
X_test = tfidf_vectorizer.transform(X_test)

# Display shape of train and test
```

```
print("X_train shape: ", X_train.shape)
print("X_test shape: ", X_test.shape)

[nltk_data] Downloading package stopwords to /root/nltk_data...
[nltk_data] Unzipping corpora/stopwords.zip.
    X_train shape: (66, 7876)
    X_test shape: (17, 7876)
```

## 

```
from sklearn.naive_bayes import BernoulliNB
from sklearn.metrics import accuracy score, confusion matrix, precision score, recall score,
# Set up the Bernoulli Naive Bayes model
bernoulli nb = BernoulliNB()
bernoulli_nb.fit(X_train, y_train)
# Evaluate on the test data
pred = bernoulli nb.predict(X test)
print("Confusion matrix: ")
print(confusion_matrix(y_test, pred))
print()
print('Accuracy score: ', accuracy_score(y_test, pred))
     Confusion matrix:
     [[10 0 0 0]
      [3 0 0 0]
      [2 0 0 0]
      [2 0 0 0]]
     Accuracy score: 0.5882352941176471
```

## **▼** Step 5: Redoing the vectorization

If we compare the results from our previous tfidf vectorization and our new vectorization, we can see that there is a much better improvement. The previous accuracy score was  $\sim$ 0.59, while the new accuracy is  $\sim$ 0.94.

```
X = df.text # feature
y = df.author # target
X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size=0.2, train_size=0.8, ranc
# Apply the vectorizer
# Fit/transform on training data and only transform test data
X train = tfidf vectorizer.fit transform(X train)
X test = tfidf vectorizer.transform(X test)
# Set up the Bernoulli Naive Bayes model
bernoulli nb = BernoulliNB()
bernoulli_nb.fit(X_train, y_train)
# Evaluate on the test data
pred = bernoulli nb.predict(X test)
print("New confusion matrix: ")
print(confusion_matrix(y_test, pred))
print()
print('New accuracy score: ', accuracy_score(y_test, pred))
    New confusion matrix:
     [[10 0 0 0]
      [0 3 0 0]
      [1010]
      [0 0 0 2]]
     New accuracy score: 0.9411764705882353
```

## **▼** Step 6: Try logistic regression

Here, we try logistic regression to classify the different texts. The first model we made doesn't have any parameters in it, as sort of a baseline. The second model has 3 new parameters that we added for multi\_class, solver, and class\_weight.

The first model's accuracy was pretty bad, with only an accuracy score of  $\sim$ 0.59. The second model's accuracy, however, was much better. It had a score of  $\sim$ 0.99.

```
from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression
from sklearn.pipeline import Pipeline
import numpy as np
from sklearn import metrics

# Set up the logistic regression models.
# The first model will have no parameters, while the second model will
# have parameters to hopefully make our results better.
logreg model1 = Pipeline([
```

```
('tfidf', TfidfVectorizer()),
        ('logreg', LogisticRegression()),
])
logreg_model2 = Pipeline([
        ('tfidf', TfidfVectorizer()),
        ('logreg', LogisticRegression(multi class='multinomial', solver='lbfgs',class weight=
])
# Fit the models
logreg model1.fit(df.text, df.author)
logreg_model2.fit(df.text, df.author)
# Predict on the data
pred1 = logreg_model1.predict(df.text)
pred2 = logreg model2.predict(df.text)
# Output the results
print("Confusion matrix for logreg model1:\n", metrics.confusion matrix(df.author, pred1))
print("\nAccuracy for logreg_model1: ", np.mean(pred1 == df.author))
print()
print("Confusion matrix for logreg_model2:\n", metrics.confusion_matrix(df.author, pred2))
print("\nAccuracy for logreg_model2: ", np.mean(pred2 == df.author))
     Confusion matrix for logreg model1:
      [[49 0 0 0 0]
      [3 0 0 0 0]
      [11 0 0 0 0]
      [5 0 0 0 0]
      [15 0 0 0 0]]
    Accuracy for logreg model1: 0.5903614457831325
     Confusion matrix for logreg model2:
      [[49 0 0 0 0]
      [0 3 0 0 0]
      [0 0 11 0 0]
      [0 0 0 5 0]
      [001014]]
    Accuracy for logreg_model2: 0.9879518072289156
```

## 

Here, we try neural networks for classifying different texts. The first model has several parameters, which are the lbfgs solver, alpha = 1e-5, two hidden layers (one of size 15 and the other of size 2), a random state of 1234, etc. The second model has the parameters for the lbfgs solver, two hidden layers (one of size 36 and the other of size 15), max iterations = 1000, and the same random state of 1234.

The first model's accuracy wasn't good, with only a score of  $\sim$ 0.59. The second model's score was better, but it still could be improved; it's score was  $\sim$ 0.76.

```
from sklearn.neural network import MLPClassifier
# Preprocess the text
english_stopwords = set(stopwords.words('english'))
tfidf vectorizer = TfidfVectorizer(stop words=english stopwords, binary=True)
# Set up X and y & divide into train and test
X = tfidf vectorizer.fit transform(df.text)
y = df.author
X train, X test, y train, y test = train test split(X, y, test size=0.2, train size=0.8, ranc
# Set up and train the NN models.
regr1 = MLPClassifier(solver='lbfgs', alpha=1e-5, hidden_layer_sizes=(15, 2), random_state=12
regr2 = MLPClassifier(solver='lbfgs', hidden layer sizes=(36, 15), max iter=1000, random stat
regr1.fit(X_train, y_train)
regr2.fit(X_train, y_train)
# Predict on the test data
pred1 = regr1.predict(X test)
pred2 = regr2.predict(X_test)
# Output the results
print("Accuracy for regr1: ", accuracy_score(y_test, pred1))
print()
print("Accuracy for regr2: ", accuracy_score(y_test, pred2))
     Accuracy for regr1: 0.5882352941176471
```

Accuracy for regr2: 0.7647058823529411

Colab paid products - Cancel contracts here

✓ 4s completed at 9:54 AM

• ×