# The University of Texas at Dallas

CS 6375.001 Machine Learning

# Assignment 2:

K-Means Unsupervised Learning Algorithm

Student: Reg Gonzalez rdg170330 *Instructor:* Anurag Nagar

Due Date: April 28, 2024

# **Assignment 2 - Theoretical Part**

### Question #1.

#### Given:

$$E_{agg}(x) = E\left[\left\{\frac{1}{M}\sum_{i=1}^{M} \epsilon_{i}(x)\right\}^{2}\right]$$

$$E_{avg}(x) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} E(\epsilon_i(x)^2)$$

### Assumptions:

- (1) Each of the errors has a 0 mean (i.e.,  $E(\epsilon_i(x)) = 0$  for all i)
- (2) Errors are uncorrelated (i.e.,  $E(\epsilon_i(x)\epsilon_j(x)) = 0$  for all  $i \neq j$

Want to prove: 
$$E_{agg} = \frac{1}{M} E_{avg}$$
.

First, let's expand  $E_{aqq}(x)$ :

$$E_{agg}(x) = E[\{\frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \epsilon_i(x)\}^2] = E[(\frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \epsilon_i(x)) * (\frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \epsilon_j(x))]$$

According to assumption (2), we only take into account the instance where i = j, since the error is 0 when  $i \neq j$ :

$$E_{agg}(x) = E[(\frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \epsilon_i(x)) * (\frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \epsilon_j(x))] = E[\frac{1}{M^2} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \epsilon_i(x) \epsilon_j(x)]$$

Since we're assuming that i = j, we can rewrite the simplified equation above to be:

$$E_{agg}(x) = E\left[\frac{1}{M^{2}}\sum_{i=1}^{M}\sum_{j=1}^{M}\epsilon_{i}(x)\epsilon_{j}(x)\right] = E\left[\frac{1}{M^{2}}\sum_{i=1}^{M}\epsilon_{i}(x)^{2}\right] = \frac{1}{M^{2}}\sum_{i=1}^{M}E\left[\epsilon_{i}(x)^{2}\right]$$

# **Assignment 2 - Theoretical Part**

Remember that we're trying to prove that  $E_{agg} = \frac{1}{M}E_{avg}$ .

From above, we got  $E_{agg}(x) = \frac{1}{M^2} \sum_{i=1}^{M} E[\epsilon_i(x)^2]$ , and from the given equations, we know

that  $E_{avg}(x) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} E(\epsilon_i(x)^2)$ . If we multiply  $E_{avg}(x)$  by  $\frac{1}{M}$ , we get  $\frac{1}{M^2} \sum_{i=1}^{M} E(\epsilon_i(x)^2)$ , which is exactly what we proved  $E_{agg}$  is.

# **Assignment 2 - Theoretical Part**

### Question #2.

### Given:

$$E_{agg}(x) = E\left[\left\{\frac{1}{M}\sum_{i=1}^{M} \epsilon_{i}(x)\right\}^{2}\right]$$

$$E_{avg}(x) = \frac{1}{M}\sum_{i=1}^{M} E(\epsilon_{i}(x)^{2})$$

#### Assumptions:

(1) Each of the errors has a 0 mean (i.e.,  $E(\epsilon_i(x)) = 0$  for all i)

(2) Jensen's inequality (i.e., 
$$f(\sum_{i=1}^{M} \lambda_i x_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{M} \lambda_i f(x_i)$$
)

<u>Want to prove</u>:  $E_{agg} \le E_{avg}$ .

First, let's expand  $E_{agg}(x)$ :

$$E_{agg}(x) = E\left[\left\{\frac{1}{M}\sum_{i=1}^{M} \epsilon_{i}(x)\right\}^{2}\right] = E\left[\frac{1}{M^{2}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{M} \epsilon_{i}(x)\right)^{2}\right] = \frac{1}{M^{2}}E\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{M} \epsilon_{i}(x)\right)^{2}\right]$$

Next, expand  $E_{ava}(x)$ :

$$E_{avg}(x) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} E(\epsilon_i(x)^2) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} E((f(x) - h_i(x))^2)$$

We can replace the  $\epsilon_i(x)$  term with  $f(x) - h_i(x)$  because that was given to us in the problem description as well:  $\epsilon_i(x) = f(x) - h_i(x)$ .

Likewise, we can also apply this fact to the equation we got for  $E_{agg}(x)$  above:

$$E_{agg}(x) = \frac{1}{M^2} E[(\sum_{i=1}^{M} \epsilon_i(x))^2] = \frac{1}{M^2} E[(\sum_{i=1}^{M} f(x) - h_i(x))^2]$$

# **Assignment 2 - Theoretical Part**

Now we have the two equations:

$$E_{avg}(x) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} E((f(x) - h_i(x))^2)$$

$$E_{agg}(x) = \frac{1}{M^2} E[(\sum_{i=1}^{M} f(x) - h_i(x))^2]$$

Remember that one of our assumptions is Jensen's inequality, which states:

$$f(\sum_{i=1}^{M} \lambda_i x_i) \le \sum_{i=1}^{M} \lambda_i f(x_i)$$

And we want to prove that  $E_{agg} \leq E_{avg}$ .

Let's rewrite  $E_{agg} \le E_{avg}$  in terms of the equations we got above:

$$\frac{1}{M^2} E[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{M} f(x) - h_i(x)\right)^2] \le \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} E((f(x) - h_i(x))^2)$$

Not only does this equation hold true, but it also matches our assumption involving Jensen's inequality.

# **Assignment 2 - Theoretical Part**

### Question #3.

#### Given:

• Final hypothesis for a Boolean classification problem at the end of T iterations:

$$H(x) = sign(\sum_{t=1}^{T} \alpha_t h_t(x))$$

- Weight for the point i at step t+1:  $D_{t+1}(i) = \frac{D_t(i)}{Z_t} * e^{-\alpha_t h_t(i)y(i)}$
- At step 1, points have equal weights:  $D_1 = \frac{1}{N}$
- Total error of  $h_t$ :  $\varepsilon_t = \frac{1}{2} \gamma_t$

Want to prove: The overall training error, at the end of T steps, is bounded by:

$$exp(-2\sum_{i=1}^{T}\gamma_i^2)$$

$$D_{t+1}(i) = \frac{D_t(i)}{Z_t} * e^{-\alpha_t h_t(i)y(i)} \rightarrow$$

Can be rewritten as  $D_{t+1}(i) = \frac{D_t(i)}{Z_t} * e^{-\alpha_t h_t(x_i)y(i)} \rightarrow$ 

Can be expanded to 
$$D_{t+1}(i) = \left[\frac{e^{-\alpha_1 h_1(i)y(i)}}{Z_1}\right] * \left[\frac{e^{-\alpha_2 h_2(i)y(i)}}{Z_2}\right] * ... * \left[\frac{e^{-\alpha_r h_r(i)y(i)}}{Z_T}\right] \to ...$$

Can be rewritten as 
$$D_{t+1}(i) = (\frac{1}{N})(\frac{e^{-y(i)*f(x_i)}}{\prod\limits_{i=1}^T Z_T})$$
, where  $f(x_i) = \sum\limits_{i=1}^T \alpha_t h_t(x_i)$ 

(2)

The training error (H) is defined as:  $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i} \{1 \ if \ y_{i} \neq H(x_{i}) \ or \ else \ 0\} \rightarrow$ 

Can be rewritten as  $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i} \{1 \ if \ y_{i}^{*} \ f(x_{i}) \leq 0 \ or \ else \ 0 \rightarrow$ 

$$\leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} e^{(-y_i^* f(x_i))}$$
, where  $f(x_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{T} \alpha_t h_t(x_i) \rightarrow$ 

# **Assignment 2 - Theoretical Part**

$$= \sum_{i}^{T} D_{T+1}(i) * \prod_{t}^{T} Z_{t} = \prod_{t} Z_{t}.$$

(3)

From given equation:  $D_{t+1}(i) = \frac{D_t(i)}{Z_t} * e^{-\alpha_t h_t(i)y(i)} \rightarrow$ 

Rewrite to compute  $Z_t$ :  $Z_t = \sum_i D_t(i) * \{e^{\alpha_t} if h_t(x_i) \neq y_i \text{ or } e^{-\alpha_t} if h_t(x_i) = y_i\} \rightarrow 0$ 

Can be rewritten as  $Z_t = \sum_{i; if \ h_t(x_i) \neq y_i} D_t(i) * e^{\alpha_t} + \sum_{i; if \ h_t(x_i) = y_i} D_t(i) * e^{-\alpha_t} \rightarrow D_t(i) * e^{-\alpha_t}$ 

Can be rewritten as  $Z_t = (e^{\alpha_t})(\sum_{i; if \ h_t(x_i) \neq y_i} D_t(i)) + (e^{-\alpha_t})(\sum_{i; if \ h_t(x_i) = y_i} D_t(i)) \rightarrow$ 

Can be rewritten as  $Z_t = e^{\alpha_t} \varepsilon_t + e^{-\alpha_t} (1 - \varepsilon_t) \rightarrow$ 

$$= 2\sqrt{(1-\varepsilon_t)\varepsilon_t} = \sqrt{1-4\gamma_t^2} \rightarrow$$

$$\leq e^{-2\gamma_t^2}.$$

The result from (2) was  $\prod_t Z_t$ . If we combine this with the result from (3),  $Z_t \leq e^{-2\gamma_t^2}$ , we can see that this proves the claim that the training error is bounded by  $exp(-2\sum_{i=1}^T\gamma_i^2)$ .