# Problem Set #2

Reiko Laski

## Exercise 1

(i) Proof:

$$\begin{split} \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle &= \frac{1}{4} (||\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}||^2 - ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}||^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (\langle \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (2\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + 2\langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (4\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle) \\ &= 4\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \end{split}$$

(ii) Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} ||\mathbf{x}||^2 + ||\mathbf{y}||^2 &= \frac{1}{2}(||\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}||^2 + ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}||^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\langle \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(2\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + 2\langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \rangle) \\ &= \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + 2\langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \\ &= ||\mathbf{x}||^2 + ||\mathbf{y}||^2 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof:* 

$$\begin{split} \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle &= \frac{1}{4} (||\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}||^2 - ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}||^2 + i||\mathbf{x} - i\mathbf{y}||^2 - i||\mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y}||^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (||\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}||^2 - ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}||^2) + \frac{1}{4} (i||\mathbf{x} - i\mathbf{y}||^2 - i||\mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y}||^2) \\ &= \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + \frac{1}{4} (i||\mathbf{x} - i\mathbf{y}||^2 - i||\mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y}||^2) \\ &= \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + \frac{1}{4} (i\langle \mathbf{x} - i\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} - i\mathbf{y} \rangle - i\langle \mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y} \rangle) \\ &= \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + \frac{1}{4} (i\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} - i\mathbf{y} \rangle + i^2 \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} - i\mathbf{y} \rangle - i\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y} \rangle + (-i)^2 \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y} \rangle) \\ &= \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + \frac{1}{4} (i\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + i^2 \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + i^2 \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + i^3 \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \\ &- i\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + (-i)^2 \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + (-i)^2 \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + (-i)^2 \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \rangle) \\ &= \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + \frac{1}{4} (i^2 \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + i^2 \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + (-i)^2 \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + (-i)^2 \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \rangle) \\ &= \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + \frac{1}{4} (-\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \rangle) \\ &= \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \end{split}$$

#### Exercise 3

Exercise 3
(i) 
$$\cos \theta = \frac{\langle x, x^5 \rangle}{||x|| \cdot ||x^5||}$$
 $\langle x, x^5 \rangle = \int_0^1 x \cdot x^5 dx = \frac{1}{7}$ 
 $\langle x, x \rangle = \int_0^1 x \cdot x dx = \frac{1}{3} \implies ||x|| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ 
 $\langle x^5, x^5 \rangle = \int_0^1 x^5 \cdot x^5 dx = \frac{1}{11} \implies ||x^5|| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{11}}$ 
 $\implies \theta = \cos^{-1}(\frac{\sqrt{33}}{7})$ 

(ii) 
$$\cos \theta = \frac{\langle x^2, x^4 \rangle}{||x^2|| \cdot ||x^4||}$$
  
 $\langle x^2, x^4 \rangle = \int_0^1 x^2 \cdot x^4 dx = \frac{1}{7}$   
 $\langle x^2, x^2 \rangle = \int_0^1 x^2 \cdot x^2 dx = \frac{1}{5} \implies ||x^2|| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}$   
 $\langle x^4, x^4 \rangle = \int_0^1 x^4 \cdot x^4 dx = \frac{1}{9} \implies ||x^4|| = \frac{1}{3}$   
 $\implies \theta = \cos^{-1}(\frac{3\sqrt{5}}{7})$ 

(ii) 
$$||t|| = \langle t, t \rangle = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} t^2 dt = \frac{2\pi^2}{3}$$

(iii)

$$\begin{aligned} proj_X(\cos 3t) &= \langle \cos t, \cos 3t \rangle \cdot \cos t + \langle \sin t, \cos 3t \rangle \cdot \sin t \\ &+ \langle \cos 2t, \cos 3t \rangle \cdot \cos 2t + \langle \sin 2t, \cos 3t \rangle \cdot \sin 2t \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \cos t \cos 3t \ dt\right) \cdot \cos t + \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin t \cos 3t \ dt\right) \cdot \sin t \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \cos 2t \cos 3t \ dt\right) \cdot \cos 2t + \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin 2t \cos 3t \ dt\right) \cdot \sin 2t \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

(iv)

$$proj_X(t) = \langle \cos t, t \rangle \cdot \cos t + \langle \sin t, t \rangle \cdot \sin t$$

$$+ \langle \cos 2t, t \rangle \cdot \cos 2t + \langle \sin 2t, t \rangle \cdot \sin 2t$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (\cos t) t dt\right) \cdot \cos t + \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (\sin t) t dt\right) \cdot \sin t$$

$$+ \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (\cos 2t) t dt\right) \cdot \cos 2t + \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (\sin 2t) t dt\right) \cdot \sin 2t$$

$$= 2 \sin t - \sin(2t)$$

## Exercise 9

The rotation matrix  $R_{\theta}$  is orthonormal since  $R_{\theta}R_{\theta}^{H} = I$ :

$$\begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos^2 \theta + \sin^2 \theta & \cos \theta \sin \theta - \sin \theta \cos \theta \\ \cos \theta \sin \theta - \sin \theta \cos \theta & \cos^2 \theta + \sin^2 \theta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

#### Exercise 10

(i)  $Q \in M_n(\mathbb{F}^n)$  is an orthonormal matrix if and only if  $Q^HQ = QQ^H = I$ . *Proof:* 

Let Q be an orthonormal matrix. Then we have that  $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = \langle Q\mathbf{x}, Q\mathbf{y} \rangle = (Q\mathbf{x})^H Q\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}^H Q^H Q\mathbf{y}$ . Since we know that  $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = \mathbf{x}^H \mathbf{y}$ , it must be that  $Q^H Q = I$ . Also,  $QQ^H = I$  since Q is invertible.

Now suppose that for a matrix Q, we have that  $Q^HQ = QQ^H = I$ . Consider the inner product  $\langle Q\mathbf{x}, Q\mathbf{y} \rangle = (Q\mathbf{x})^HQ\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}^HQ^HQ\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}^H\mathbf{y} = \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle$ . Therefore, Q must be an orthonormal matrix.

(ii) If  $Q \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$  is an orthonormal matrix, then  $||Q\mathbf{x}|| = ||\mathbf{x}||$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}^n$ . Proof:  $||Q\mathbf{x}|| = \sqrt{\langle Q\mathbf{x}, Q\mathbf{y} \rangle} = \sqrt{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle} = ||\mathbf{x}||$ .

(iii) If  $Q \in M_n(\mathbb{F}^n)$  is an orthonormal matrix, then so is  $Q^{-1}$ . *Proof:* 

Assume Q is an orthonormal matrix. Then we know that  $Q^HQ = QQ^H = I$ , so  $Q^{-1} = Q^H$ . To prove that  $Q^H$  is orthonormal, we can show that  $(Q^H)^HQ^H = Q^H(Q^H)^H = I$ .

(iv) The columns of an orthonormal matrix  $Q \in M_n(\mathbb{F}^n)$  are orthonormal. *Proof:* 

Assume that Q is orthonormal. Consider standard basis vectors  $e_i, e_j \in \mathbb{F}^n$ . The ith column of Q is  $Q\mathbf{e_i}$ . Since Q is orthonormal, we have that  $\langle Q\mathbf{e_i}, Q\mathbf{e_j} \rangle = \langle e_i, e_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ . Therefore the columns of Q must be orthonormal.

(v) If  $Q \in M_n(\mathbb{F}^n)$  is an orthonormal matrix, then |det(Q)| = 1. Proof: Since Q is orthonormal, we know that  $QQ^H = I$ . Then  $det(QQ^H) = det(I) = 1$ . By the properties of determinants, we have that  $det(QQ^H) = det(Q) \cdot det(Q^H) = 1$ . Using the fact that Q and  $Q^H$  have the same determinants, we conclude that |det(Q)| = 1. No. Consider the matrix

 $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

(vi) If  $Q_1, Q_2 \in M_n(\mathbb{F}^n)$  are orthonormal matrices, then the product  $Q_1Q_2$  is also an orthonormal matrix.

Proof:

$$(Q_1Q_2)^H Q_1Q_2 = Q_2^H Q_1^H Q_1 Q_2 = I$$
  

$$Q_1Q_2(Q_1Q_2)^H = Q_1Q_2Q_2^H Q_1^H = I.$$

Therefore  $Q_1Q_2$  is an orthonormal matrix.

#### Exercise 11

Let  $\{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, ..., \mathbf{x}_n\}$  be a linearly dependent set. Assume that the vector  $\mathbf{x}_k$  is linearly dependent on  $\{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, ..., \mathbf{x}_{k-1}\}$ , i.e.  $\mathbf{x}_k \in X = Span(\{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, ..., \mathbf{x}_{k-1}\})$ . When we apply the Gram-Schmidt orthonormalization process, we have that  $\mathbf{p}_{k-1} = proj_X(\mathbf{x}_k) = \mathbf{x}_k \implies \mathbf{q}_k = \mathbf{0}$ . If we remove all the zero vectors from the set, we have an orthonormal set of linearly dependent vectors.

- (i) Let  $Q_1R_1$  and  $Q_2R_2$  be distinct QR decompositions of a matrix A s.t.  $Q_2 = Q_1D$  and  $R_2 = D^{-1}R_2$  where D is a diagonal matrix with all its diagonal entries  $\pm 1$ . Then  $Q_2$  is orthonormal since  $Q_1$  is orthonormal. Also,  $R_2$  is upper triangular since  $R_1$  is upper triangular.
- (ii) Assume that  $A = Q_1 R_1 = Q_2 R_2$  s.t.  $Q_i$  is orthonormal and  $R_i$  is upper triangular with only positive diagonal entries. Thus  $R_i$  is invertible and  $Q_i Q_i^H = I$ . Then we have that  $R_1 R_2^{-1} = Q_1^H Q_2$ . Since the  $R_i$  are upper triangular with only positive entries on the diagonal,  $R_1 R_2^{-1}$  must be upper triangular with only positive entries on the diagonal as well. Also, since the  $Q_i$  are orthonormal,  $Q_1^H Q_2$  must be orthonormal. Then,  $R_1 R_2^{-1} = Q_1^H Q_2 = I$ , so  $R_1 = R_2$  and  $Q_1 = Q_2$ .

Let 
$$A = \hat{Q}\hat{R}$$
.  
 $A^{H}A\mathbf{x} = A^{H}\mathbf{b}$   
 $\Rightarrow (\hat{Q}\hat{R})^{H}\hat{Q}\hat{R}\mathbf{x} = (\hat{Q}\hat{R})^{H}\mathbf{b}$   
 $\Rightarrow \hat{R}^{H}\hat{Q}^{H}\hat{Q}\hat{R}\mathbf{x} = \hat{R}^{H}\hat{Q}^{H}\mathbf{b}$   
 $\Rightarrow \hat{Q}\hat{R}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$   
 $\Rightarrow \hat{R}\mathbf{x} = \hat{Q}^{H}\mathbf{b}$ 

#### Exercise 23

By the triangle inequality,

$$||\mathbf{x}|| = ||(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) + \mathbf{y}|| \le ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|| + ||\mathbf{y}|| \Leftrightarrow ||\mathbf{x}|| - ||\mathbf{y}|| \le ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}||.$$

Similarly and by scale preservation,

$$||\mathbf{y}|| = |-1| \cdot ||\mathbf{y}|| = ||-\mathbf{y}|| = ||(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) + \mathbf{x}|| \le ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|| + ||\mathbf{y}|| \Leftrightarrow ||\mathbf{y}|| - ||\mathbf{x}|| \le ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}||$$

$$\implies |||\mathbf{x}|| - ||\mathbf{y}||| \le ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}||$$

- (i)  $||f||_{L^1} = \int_a^b |f(t)| dt$
- 1. Positivity:  $|f(t)| \ge 0$  for all  $x \implies \int_a^b |f(t)| dt \ge 0$ . Also,  $\int_a^b |f(t)| dt = 0$  if and only if |f(t)| = 0.
- 2. Scale preservation:  $||af||_{L^1} = \int_a^b |af(t)|dt = |a| \int_a^b |f(t)|dt = |a| ||f||_{L^1}$
- 3. Triangle inequality: Consider  $f, g \in C[a, b]$ .

$$||f + g||_{L^{1}} = \int_{a}^{b} |f(t) + g(t)| dt$$

$$\leq \int_{a}^{b} (|f(t)| + |g(t)|) dt$$

$$= \int_{a}^{b} |f(t)| dt + \int_{a}^{b} |g(t)| dt$$

$$= ||f||_{L^{1}} + ||g||_{L^{1}}$$

- (ii)  $||f||_{L^2} = (\int_a^b |f(t)|^2 dt)^{\frac{1}{2}}$
- 1. Positivity:  $|f(t)|^2 \ge 0$  for all  $x \implies (\int_a^b |f(t)|^2 dt)^{\frac{1}{2}} \ge 0$ . Also,  $(\int_a^b |f(t)|^2 dt)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 0$  if and only if |f(t)| = 0.
- 2. Scale preservation:  $||af||_{L^2} = (\int_a^b |af(t)|^2 dt)^{\frac{1}{2}} = |a|(\int_a^b |f(t)|^2 dt)^{\frac{1}{2}} = |a|||f||_{L^2}$

3. Triangle inequality: Consider  $f, g \in C[a, b]$ .

$$||f+g|| = \left(\int_a^b |f(t)+g(t)|^2 dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq \left(\int_a^b |f(t)|^2 dt + \int_a^b |g(t)|^2 dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq ||f||_{L^2} + ||g||_{L^2}$$

- (iii)  $||f||_{L^{\infty}} = \sup_{x \in [a,b]|f(x)|}$ 1. Positivity:  $|f(x)| \ge 0$  for all  $x \implies \sup_{x \in [a,b]} |f(x)| \ge 0$ . Also,  $\sup_{x \in [a,b]} |f(x)| = 0$  if and only if |f(x)| = 0.
- 2. Scale preservation:  $||af||_{L^{\infty}} = \sup_{x \in [a,b]} |a||f(x)| = |a| \sup_{x \in [a,b]} |f(x)| = |a|||f||_{L^{\infty}}$
- 3. Triangle inequality: For any  $x \in [a, b]$

$$\begin{split} |f(x) + g(x)| &\leq |f(x)| + |g(x)| \\ &\leq \sup_{x \in [a,b]} |f(x)| + \sup_{x \in [a,b]} |g(x)| \\ &= ||f||_{L^{\infty}} + ||g||_{L^{\infty}} \\ &\implies \sup_{x \in [a,b]} |f(x) + g(x)| = ||f + g||_{L^{\infty}} \leq ||f||_{L^{\infty}} + ||g||_{L^{\infty}} \end{split}$$

#### Exercise 26

Prove that topological equivalence is an equivalence relation. We check that it satisfies the three conditions:

- 1. Reflexivity:  $||\cdot||_a \sim ||\cdot||_a$ We see that  $m||\mathbf{x}||_a \leq ||\mathbf{x}||_a \leq M||\mathbf{x}||_a$  holds for a choice of constants m=1 and M=2.
- 2. Symmetry:  $||\cdot||_a \sim ||\cdot||_b \implies ||\cdot||_b \sim ||\cdot||_a$ If  $m||\mathbf{x}||_a \leq ||\mathbf{x}||_b \leq M||\mathbf{x}||_a$ , then the relation holds for  $\frac{1}{M}||\mathbf{x}||_b \leq ||\mathbf{x}||_a \leq \frac{1}{m}||\mathbf{x}||_b$ .
- 3. Transitivity:  $||\cdot||_a \sim ||\cdot||_b$  and  $||\cdot||_b \sim ||\cdot||_c \implies ||\cdot||_a \sim ||\cdot||_c$ If  $m_1||\mathbf{x}||_a \leq ||\mathbf{x}||_b \leq M_1||\mathbf{x}||_a$  and  $m_2||\mathbf{x}||_b \leq ||\mathbf{x}||_c \leq M_2||\mathbf{x}||_b$ , then the relation holds for  $m_1 m_2 ||\mathbf{x}||_a \le ||\mathbf{x}||_c \le M_1 M_2 ||\mathbf{x}||_a$ .
- (i) Show that  $||\mathbf{x}||_2 \le ||\mathbf{x}||_1 \le \sqrt{n}||\mathbf{x}||_2$ .

$$||\mathbf{x}||_1^2 = (|x_1| + |x_2| + \dots + |x_n|)^2 \ge |x_1|^2 + |x_2|^2 + \dots + |x_n|^2$$

$$\implies ||\mathbf{x}||_1 \ge (|x_1|^2 + |x_2|^2 + \dots + |x_n|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = ||\mathbf{x}||_2$$

Also,

$$||\mathbf{x}||_1 = |\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{1} \rangle| \le ||\mathbf{x}||_2 \cdot ||\mathbf{1}||_2 \text{ where } ||\mathbf{1}||_2 = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n 1^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{n}$$

$$\implies ||\mathbf{x}||_2 \le ||\mathbf{x}||_1 \le \sqrt{n}||\mathbf{x}||_2$$

(ii) Show that  $||\mathbf{x}||_{\infty} \le ||\mathbf{x}||_2 \le \sqrt{n}||\mathbf{x}||_{\infty}$ .

$$||\mathbf{x}||_{\infty} = \sup_{i} |x_{i}| = (\sup_{i} |x_{i}|^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}} \le (|x_{1}|^{2} + |x_{2}|^{2} + \dots + |x_{n}|^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}} = ||\mathbf{x}||_{2}$$

Also,

$$||\mathbf{x}||_2 = (|x_1|^2 + |x_2|^2 + \dots + |x_n|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le (n|x_n|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{n}||\mathbf{x}||_2$$
  

$$\implies ||\mathbf{x}||_{\infty} \le ||\mathbf{x}||_2 \le \sqrt{n}||\mathbf{x}||_{\infty}$$

#### Exercise 28

(i) 
$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}||A||_2 \le ||A||_1 \le \sqrt{n}||A||_2$$
  
Proof:

From Exercise 26(i), we know that

$$||A\mathbf{x}||_{2} \leq ||A\mathbf{x}||_{1} \leq \sqrt{n}||A\mathbf{x}||_{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}||\mathbf{x}||_{2} \leq ||\mathbf{x}||_{1} \leq ||\mathbf{x}||_{2}$$

$$\implies \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{||A\mathbf{x}||_{2}}{||\mathbf{x}||_{2}} \leq \frac{||A\mathbf{x}||_{1}}{||\mathbf{x}||_{1}} \leq \sqrt{n} \frac{||A\mathbf{x}||_{2}}{||\mathbf{x}||_{2}}$$

$$\implies \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} ||A||_{2} \leq ||A||_{1} \leq \sqrt{n} ||A||_{2}$$

(ii) 
$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}||A||_{\infty} \le ||A||_2 \le \sqrt{n}||A||_{\infty}$$
  
Proof:

From Exercise 26(ii), we know that

$$||A\mathbf{x}||_{\infty} \leq ||A\mathbf{x}||_{2} \leq \sqrt{n}||A\mathbf{x}||_{\infty} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}||\mathbf{x}||_{\infty} \leq ||\mathbf{x}||_{2} \leq ||\mathbf{x}||_{\infty}$$

$$\implies \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{||A\mathbf{x}||_{\infty}}{||\mathbf{x}||_{\infty}} \leq \frac{||A\mathbf{x}||_{2}}{||\mathbf{x}||_{2}} \leq \sqrt{n} \frac{||A\mathbf{x}||_{\infty}}{||\mathbf{x}||_{\infty}}$$

$$\implies \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} ||A||_{\infty} \leq ||A||_{2} \leq \sqrt{n} ||A||_{\infty}$$

#### Exercise 29

Any orthonormal matrix  $Q \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$  has ||Q|| = 1. Proof:

$$||Q|| = \sup_{\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}} \frac{||Q\mathbf{x}||_2}{||\mathbf{x}||_2} = \frac{||\mathbf{x}||_2}{||\mathbf{x}||_2} = 1$$

For any  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}^n$ , let  $R_x : M_n(\mathbb{F} \to \mathbb{F}^n)$  be the linear transformation  $A \mapsto A\mathbf{x}$ . The induced norm of the transformation  $R_x$  is equal to  $||\mathbf{x}||_2$ .

*Proof:* 

Trooj. 
$$||R_x|| = \sup_{||A||_2 \neq 0} \frac{||A\mathbf{x}||_2}{||A||} = \sup_{||A||_2 \neq 0} \frac{||A\mathbf{x}||_2||\mathbf{x}||_2}{||A|||\mathbf{x}||_2} \leq \sup_{||A||_2 \neq 0} \frac{||A\mathbf{x}||_2||\mathbf{x}||_2}{||A\mathbf{x}||_2} = ||\mathbf{x}_2||$$
 Since A is orthonormal, we have that  $||A|| = 1$  and  $||A\mathbf{x}||_2 = ||\mathbf{x}||_2 \implies ||R_x|| = ||\mathbf{x}_2||$ .

### Exercise 30

Let  $S \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$  be an invertible matrix. Given any matrix norm  $||\cdot||$  on  $M_n$ , define  $||\cdot||_S$  by  $||A||_S = ||SAS^{-1}||$ . Then  $||\cdot||_S$  is a matrix norm on  $M_n$ . *Proof:* 

- 1. Positivity:  $||SAS^{-1}|| \ge 0$  by definition. Also,  $||SAS^{-1}|| = 0$  if and only if A = 0.
- 2. Scale preservation: Let  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $||aA||_S = ||aSAS^{-1}|| = |a|||SAS^{-1}|| =$  $|a||A||_S$ .
- 3. Triangle inequality: Let  $A_1, A_2 \in M_n$ . Then  $||A_1 + A_2||_S = ||S(A_1 + A_2)S^{-1}|| =$  $||SA_1S^{-1} + SA_2S^{-1}|| \le ||SA_1S^{-1}|| + ||SA_2S^{-1}|| = ||A_1||_S + ||A_2||_S.$
- 4. Submultiplicative property:  $||A_1A_2||_S = ||SA_1A_2S^{-1}|| = ||SA_1S^{-1}SA_2S^{-1}|| < ||SA_1S^{-1}S^{ ||SA_1S^{-1}|| \cdot ||SA_2S^{-1}|| = ||A_1||_S \cdot ||A_2||_S.$

## Exercise 37

We define  $S = \{1, x, x^2\}$  to be the basis of the space V. Then we can evaluate L on the basis vectors:  $L[1] = 0, L[x] = 1, L[x^2] = 2$ . Every function  $p \in V$  can be written as a linear combination of the basis vectors:

$$p = a_1 + a_2 x + a_3 x^2 \implies L[p] = a_1 L[1] + a_2 L[x] + a_3 L[x^2]$$

$$L[p] = \begin{bmatrix} L[1] & L[x] & L[x^2] \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \end{bmatrix} = \langle (0, 1, 2), x \rangle$$

## Exercise 38

We again define  $S = \{1, x, x^2\}$  to be the basis of the space V, and we evaluate D on the basis vectors: D[1](x) = 0, D[x](x) = 1,  $D[x^2](x) = 2x$ . The matrix representation of D with respect to this basis is

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Using intergration by parts,

$$\langle q, D[p] \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} q(x)p'(x)dx = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} q'(x)p(x)dx = -\langle D[q], [p] \rangle.$$

Therefore the matrix representation of the adjoint of D with respect to the basis is

$$D^* = -D = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

#### Exercise 39

Let V and W be finite-dimensional inner product spaces. The adjoint has the following properties:

(i) If  $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V; W)$ , then  $(S + T)^* = S^* + T^*$  and  $(\alpha T)^* = \bar{\alpha} T^*, \alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ . *Proof:* 

$$\langle \mathbf{w}, (S+T)(\mathbf{v}) \rangle = \langle \mathbf{w}, S(\mathbf{v}) \rangle + \langle \mathbf{w}, T(\mathbf{v}) \rangle$$
$$= \langle S^*(\mathbf{w}), \mathbf{v} \rangle + \langle T^*(\mathbf{w}), \mathbf{v} \rangle$$
$$= \langle (S+T)^*(\mathbf{w}), \mathbf{v} \rangle$$

$$\langle (\alpha T)(\mathbf{w}), \mathbf{v} \rangle = \alpha \langle T(\mathbf{w}), \mathbf{v} \rangle$$
  
=  $\alpha \langle \mathbf{w}, T^*(\mathbf{v}) \rangle$   
=  $\langle \mathbf{w}, \bar{\alpha} T^*(\mathbf{v}) \rangle$ 

(ii) If  $S \in \mathcal{L}(V; W)$ , then  $(S^*)^* = S$ .

Proof:

$$\langle \mathbf{w}, (S^*)^*(\mathbf{v}) \rangle = \langle S^*(\mathbf{w}), \mathbf{v} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{w}, S(\mathbf{v}) \rangle$$

(iii) If  $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ , then  $(ST)^* + T^*S^*$ .

Proof:

$$\langle \mathbf{w}, (ST)^*(\mathbf{v}) \rangle = \langle (ST)(\mathbf{w}), \mathbf{v} \rangle = \langle T(\mathbf{w}), S^*(\mathbf{v}) \rangle = \langle \mathbf{w}, T^*S^*(\mathbf{v}) \rangle$$

(iv) If  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  and T is invertible, then  $(T^*)^{-1} = (T^{-1})^*$ .

Proof:

By (iii), 
$$T^*(T^*)^{-1} = (TT^{-1})^* = I^* = I$$
.

#### Exercise 40

Let  $M_n(\mathbb{F})$  be endowed with the Frobenius inner product. Any  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$  defines a linear operator on  $M_n(\mathbb{F})$  by left multiplication:  $B \mapsto AB$ .

(i) Show that  $A^* = A^H$ .

*Proof:* 

Let  $B, C \in M_n$ . Then the Frobenius inner product is  $\langle B, AC \rangle = tr(B^H AC) = tr((A^H B)^H C) = \langle A^H B, C \rangle$ .

(ii) Show that for any  $A_1, A_2, A_3 \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$  we have  $\langle A_2, A_3 A_1 \rangle = \langle A_2 A_1^*, A_3 \rangle$ . *Proof:* 

$$\langle A_2, A_3 A_1 \rangle = tr(A_2^H A_3 A_1) = tr(A_1 A_2^H A_3) = tr((A_2 A_1^H)^H A_3) = \langle A_2 A_1^H, A_3 \rangle = \langle A_2 A_1^H, A_3 \rangle$$
 by the result of (i).

Given  $A \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$  and  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{F}^m$ , either  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  has a solution  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}^n$  or there exists  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{N}(A^H)$  such that  $\langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{b} \rangle \neq 0$  (Fredholm alternative).

First, we must show that if  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  has a solution, then  $\forall \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{N}(A^H)$  we have that  $\langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{b} \rangle = 0$ . We know that  $\mathcal{N}(A^H)$  is orthogonal to  $\mathcal{R}(A)$  so  $\forall \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{N}(A^H)$  and  $\forall \mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{R}(A)$ , we have that  $\langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{b} \rangle = 0$  by definition.

On the other hand, we want to show that if  $\exists \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{N}(A^H)$  s.t.  $\langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{b} \rangle \neq 0$ , then  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  has no solution. This follows similarly to the proof of the first claim; if  $\exists \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{N}(A^H)$  s.t.  $\langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{b} \rangle \neq 0$  then  $b \notin \mathcal{R}(A)$ , so  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  must have no solution.

#### Exercise 45

Consider the vector space  $M_n(\mathbb{R})$  with the Frobenius inner product. Show that  $Sym_n(\mathbb{R})^{\perp} = Skew_n(\mathbb{R})$ .

Proof:

## Exercise 46

Let A be an  $m \times n$  matrix.

(i) If  $\mathbf{x} = \mathcal{N}(A^H A)$ , then  $A\mathbf{x}$  is in both  $\mathcal{R}(A)$  and  $\mathcal{N}(A^H)$ . Proof:

By definition,  $A\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{R}(A)$ . Additionally,  $A^H A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ , so  $A\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{N}(A^H)$ .

(ii)  $\mathcal{N}(A^H A) = \mathcal{N}(A)$ . Proof:

Let  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{N}(A)$ . Then  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0} \implies A^H A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ . Now let  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{N}(A^H A)$ . Then  $A^H A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ . To show that  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ , we take its norm  $||A\mathbf{x}||^2 = \langle A\mathbf{x}, A\mathbf{x} \rangle = \mathbf{x}^H A^H A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ . Recall that this happens if and only if  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ .

(iii) A and  $A^H A$  have the same rank. Proof:

Let rank(A) = r. Then we know that  $rank(A^H) = r$ . Since  $rank(A^HA) \le \min\{rank(A), rank(A^H)\} = r$ . By part (ii), we know that  $dim(\mathcal{N}(A^HA)) = dim(\mathcal{N}(A))$ , so  $rank(A) = rank(A^H)$ .

(iv) If A has linearly independent columns, the  $A^HA$  is nonsingular. *Proof:* 

If A has linearly independent columns, then  $rank(A) = rank(A^H A) = n$ . Since we know that  $A^H A$  has full rank, it must be nonsingular.

Let 
$$P = A(A^{H}A)^{-1}A^{H}$$
  
(i)  $P^{2} = P$ 

Proof:

$$P^{2} = A(A^{H}A)^{-1}A^{H}A(A^{H}A)^{-1}A^{H}$$

$$= AI_{n}(A^{H}A)^{-1}A^{H}$$

$$= A(A^{H}A)^{-1}A^{H}$$

(ii)  $P^H = P$ Proof:

$$P^{H} = (A(A^{H}A)^{-1}A^{H})^{H}$$

$$= A((A^{H}A)^{-1})^{H}A^{H}$$

$$= A((A^{H}A)^{H})^{-1}A^{H}$$

$$= A(A^{H}A)^{-1}A^{H}$$

(iii) rank(P) = nProof:

Since P is idempotent, so  $tr(P) = tr(A(A^HA)^{-1}A^H) = tr((A^HA)^{-1}A^HA) = tr(I_n) = n = rank(P)$ 

## Exercise 48

Consider the vector space  $M_n(\mathbb{R})$  with the Frobenius inner product. Let  $P(A) = \frac{A+A^T}{2}$  be the map  $P: M_n(\mathbb{R}) \to M_n(\mathbb{R})$ . Then

(i) P is linear.

Proof:

Let  $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{R}), \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ .

$$P(\alpha A + \beta B) = \frac{(\alpha A + \beta B) + (\alpha A + \beta B)^T}{2}$$
$$= \frac{\alpha A + \beta B + \alpha A^T + \beta B^T}{2}$$
$$= \alpha \frac{A + A^T}{2} + \beta \frac{B + B^T}{2}$$

(ii) 
$$P^2 = P$$

Proof:

Let  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ .

$$P^{2} = \frac{\frac{A+A^{T}}{2} + (\frac{A+A^{T}}{2})^{T}}{2} = \frac{A+A^{T}}{2} = P$$

(iii) 
$$P^* = P$$

Proof:

Let  $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ .

$$\langle A, P(B) \rangle = tr(A^T P(B))$$

$$= tr\left(A^T \frac{B + B^T}{2}\right)$$

$$= tr\left(A^T \frac{B}{2} + A^T \frac{B^T}{2}\right)$$

$$= tr\left(\frac{A^T}{2}B + \frac{A^T}{2}B^T\right)$$

$$= tr\left(\frac{A^T}{2}B\right) + tr\left(\frac{A^T}{2}B^T\right)$$

$$= tr\left(\frac{A}{2}B^T\right) + tr\left(\frac{A^T}{2}B^T\right)$$

$$= tr\left(\frac{A + A^T}{2}B^T\right)$$

$$= tr\left(\left(\frac{A + A^T}{2}B^T\right)\right)$$

$$= \langle P(A), B \rangle$$

(iv) 
$$\mathcal{N}(P) = Skew_n(\mathbb{R})$$

Proof:

First suppose that  $A \in \mathcal{N}(P)$ . Then we know that

$$P(A) = \frac{A+A^T}{2} = 0 \implies A^T = -A$$
. Therefore  $A \in Skew_n(\mathbb{R})$ .

Now assume that  $A \in Skew_n(\mathbb{R})$ . Then  $A^T = A \implies \frac{A+A^T}{2} = \frac{A-A}{2} = 0$ . Therefore  $A \in \mathcal{N}(P)$ , and so  $\mathcal{N}(P) = Skew_n(\mathbb{R})$ .

$$(v) \mathcal{R}(P) = Sym_n(\mathbb{R})$$

Proof:

First suppose that  $A \in \mathcal{R}(P)$ . Then  $\exists B \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$  s.t.  $P(B) = \frac{B+B^T}{2} = A$ . We know that  $A^T = (\frac{B+B^T}{2})^T = \frac{B+B^T}{2} = A$ , and therefore,  $A \in Sym_n(\mathbb{R})$ . Now suppose that  $A \in Sym_n(\mathbb{R})$ . Then we know that  $A = A^T$ . Then  $P(A) = \frac{B^T}{2} = \frac$ 

 $\frac{A+A^T}{2} = \frac{2A}{2} = A$ ,  $soA \in \mathcal{R}(P)$ , and therefore  $\mathcal{R}(P) = Sym_n(\mathbb{R})$ .

(v) 
$$||A - P(A)||_F = \sqrt{\frac{tr(A^T A) - tr(A^2)}{2}}$$
  
Proof:

$$||A - P(A)||_{F} = \sqrt{tr((A - P(A))^{T}(A - P(A)))}$$

$$= \sqrt{tr((A^{T} - P(A))(A - P(A)))}$$

$$= \sqrt{tr((A^{T} - \frac{A + A^{T}}{2})(A - \frac{A + A^{T}}{2}))}$$

$$= \sqrt{tr((\frac{A^{T} - A}{2})(\frac{A - A^{T}}{2}))}$$

$$= \sqrt{tr((\frac{A^{T} A - A^{2} + AA^{T} - (A^{T})^{2}}{4}))}$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{tr(A^{T} A) - tr(A^{2})}{2}}$$

Let  $(x_i, y_i)_{i=1}^n$  be a collection of data points lying roughly on an ellipse of the form  $rx^2 + sy^2 = 1$ . Find the least squares approximation for r and s. Write  $A, \mathbf{x}$ , and  $\mathbf{b}$  for the corresponding normal equation in terms of the data  $x_i$  and  $y_i$  and the unknowns r and s.

Rewriting the ellipse equation, we get  $rx^2 + sy^2 = 1 \implies \frac{1}{s} - \frac{r}{s}x^2 = y^2$ . We can estimate this system using OLS on the following matrices:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_1^2 \\ 1 & x_2^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_n^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{s} \\ -\frac{r}{s} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}$$

The cooresponding normal equation for the system is  $A^H A \hat{\mathbf{x}} = A^H \mathbf{b}$ .