Reinelle Jan C. Bugnot
G2304329L

AI6101 – Introduction to AI and Ethics
November 2023

Can we spell Authenticity without A.I.?

What is Authenticity? In the era of Artificial Intelligence (AI), the very essence of the simple word *authentic*, is becoming an increasingly nuanced discussion. Simply put, authenticity refers to the quality of being genuine, true, and real. However, the advent of AI and AI products has propelled us into uncharted territory, challenging our traditional notions of what is genuine, true, and real; and what is not. Indeed, in many ways, we are witnessing how the boundaries blur between human ingenuity and machine-generated creations. As we navigate this new landscape, it becomes imperative that we examine the intricate interplay between authenticity and artificial. This essay aims to delve deeply into the profound philosophical implications of recent advancements in the field of artificial intelligence and explore how these advancements shape our understanding of ethics. [1].

But before going further into the discussion, it is crucial to acknowledge that the opening lines you just read were not crafted by a human mind. In the spirit of transparency, the entire paragraph was generated *completely* using ChatGPT, a state-of-the-art language model developed by OpenAI. Complex prompting strategies and supplementary techniques were employed to produce an output surpassing the quality derived from standard use, allowing me to "program" the model to mimic my typical writing style. Whether or not this revelation comes as a surprise may reflect how well the reader has integrated into the new AI landscape.

Through this acknowledgment, I argue that it's imperative that we reevaluate our preconceptions about the authenticity of written-word and other forms of human-created media. The lines between human and machine-generated content are becoming increasingly blurred, challenging our ability to discern the true owners of ideas. This prompts us to reconsider the criteria by which we ascribe value to human products. This intersection between artificiality and authenticity invites us to reexamine our ethical frameworks, drawing inspiration from normative philosophical schools of thought; namely consequentialism and deontology.

Consequentialism, championed by philosophers such as Jeremy Bentham and John Stuart Mill, posits that the morality of an action is determined by its consequences [2]. Many utilitarian consequentialists, for example, may argue that technological progress will ultimately benefit humanity in general, and thus, is for the greater good [3]. The use of advanced AI tools introduces new and improved ways to further enhance the quality and efficiency of human work processes at unprecedented levels. Take climate change, for instance. AI can optimize resource utilization and energy efficiency on a large scale. Smart grid systems, bolstered by advanced machine learning algorithms, can intelligently manage and distribute electricity, reducing waste and promoting the integration of renewable energy sources into existing power grids [4]. Meanwhile, in the field of healthcare, the application of artificial intelligence in diagnostics and personalized medicine holds immense promise. AI-driven medical advancements have the potential to revolutionize patient care by enabling early detection of diseases [5], tailoring treatments based on individual genetic profiles [6], and significantly improving overall healthcare outcomes. Furthermore, consequentialists may

argue that technological progress fosters economic growth and prosperity. The advent of automation and AI in various industries has the potential to streamline production processes, increase efficiency, and drive economic development [7]. This, in turn, can lead to the creation of new job opportunities and the overall improvement of living standards.

However, other consequentialists may argue that AI has an equal capacity to produce profound negative consequences. The rapid dissemination of false information, the shaping of public opinion, and the potential erosion of trust in written (and soon, spoken) communication all hang in the balance in the advent of generative AI and Large Language Models. For instance, how does a professor prove if any of the essays she's been reading is an authentic product of her students' minds? As AI-generated content slowly becomes indistinguishable from human-generated material, the consequences thus extend beyond mere deception; they delve into the heart of our societal structures and values.

On the flip side, deontological ethics, championed by the likes of Immanuel Kant, emphasizes on the inherent morality of actions, instead of their consequences. Kantian philosophy emphasizes that duty and moral obligation prompts us to scrutinize the act of creating content itself [2]. In a world where AI plays an increasingly significant role in content generation, the deontological lens directs our attention to the ethical responsibilities of those who wield these tools. Are there moral boundaries that should guide the creation and deployment of AI-generated content? Should there be a duty to disclose when content is crafted with the assistance of AI? The deontological approach also raises questions about the potential dehumanization that may result from the widespread integration of AI, especially in the creative process. As AI blurs the lines between human and machine creation, our perception of what makes something uniquely human is consequently being challenged.

Are any of these photos AI generated?

The act of creative and artistic expression, once considered a hallmark of human ingenuity, now becomes a shared endeavor between human and machine. In this collaborative landscape, the deontological question emerges: do we have a duty to preserve the distinctiveness of human creativity, or are we obligated to embrace the evolution of creative processes, even if it means relinquishing some aspects of what we believe makes us unique as human beings?

It becomes rapidly evident that the tension between consequentialism and deontology mirrors a broader societal debate surrounding the ethics of AI. The consequences of AI being a catalyst for reshaping our understanding of authenticity extend beyond the realms of philosophy; they permeate interpersonal spaces. The mere fact that an AI can craft a compelling introductory paragraph for this essay that convincingly mirrors human writing—specifically, my writing—challenges our preconceived notions about the authenticity of literary expression. If you as a reader were not able to tell whether the first paragraph is written by a human or AI, then how can you know for certain if the rest of the essay is authentically written by me? How do we distinguish a finely written human product from the product of a finely tuned machine?

In the pursuit of authenticity, the classical philosophical frameworks offer valuable insights into the ethical considerations that should guide our interaction with AI. Personally, I think the advantages of modern AI systems will far outweigh the consequences, only if we observe and enforce responsible use and development of AI as a society and as individuals, which I believe resonates with the ideas posited by both consequentialist and deontological school of thought. Ultimately, this discourse surrounding AI and authenticity beckons us to critically examine our values and principles, and revisit the very essence of what it means for us to be authentic and human.

References

[1] OpenAI. (2023). ChatGPT (Mar 14 version) [Large language model].

[2] G. Boey-Yong-Ai., The Philosophical Foundations of AI Ethics, Week 8 Lecture Powerpoint. AI6101 -Introduction to AI & Ethics, Nanyang Technological University. Accessed November 2023.

[3] J. Bentham, *An Introduction to the Principles of Morals and Legislation*, Week 8 Required Readings. AI6101 - Introduction to AI & Ethics, Nanyang Technological University. Accessed November 2023.

[4] Omitaomu OA, Niu H. *Artificial Intelligence Techniques in Smart Grid: A Survey. Smart Cities*. 2021; 4(2):548-568. https://doi.org/10.3390/smartcities4020029

[5] Kumar Y, Koul A, Singla R, Ijaz MF. Artificial intelligence in disease diagnosis: a systematic literature review, synthesizing framework and future research agenda. J Ambient Intell Humaniz Comput. 2023;14(7):8459-8486. doi:10.1007/s12652-021-03612-z

[6] Mathur S, Sutton J. Personalized medicine could transform healthcare. Biomed Rep. 2017;7(1):3-5. doi:10.3892/br.2017.922

[7] *Generative AI could raise global GDP by 7%*, Goldman Sachs. Published Apr 2023. Accessed Nov 2023.