Minimum wage and financially distressed firms: another one bites the dust*

F. Alexandre[†] P. Bação[‡] J. Cerejeira[§] H. Costa[¶] M. Portela[∥]
June 26, 2022

Abstract

Since late 2014, Portuguese Governments adopted ambitious minimum wage policies. Using linked employer-employee data, we provide an econometric evaluation of the impact of those policies. Our estimates suggest that minimum wage increases reduced employment growth and profitability, in particular for financially distressed firms. We also conclude that minimum wage increases had a positive impact on firms' exit, again amplified for financially distressed firms. According to these results, minimum wage policies may have had a supply side effect by accelerating the exit of low profitability and low productivity firms and, thus, contributing to improve aggregate productivity through a cleansing effect.

Keywords: minimum wages, financially distressed firms, productivity

JEL Classification: J38, L25

1 Introduction

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero,

^{*}We are grateful to Ana Rute Cardoso from IAE-CSIC and Barcelona GSE, João Pereira dos Santos from Nova SBE, João Sousa Andrade from the University of Coimbra, Marta Silva and Pedro Portugal from the Bank of Portugal, and participants in a NIPE-University of Minho seminar for their comments. This work is financed by National Funds of the FCT – Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology, projects UID/ECO/03182/2020, UIDB/05037/2020 and PTDC/EGE-ECO/29822/2017 ('It's All About Productivity: contributions to the understanding of the sluggish performance of the Portuguese economy'). Hélder Costa acknowledges the funding by FCT, scholarship 2020.04643.BD.

[†]NIPE/Universidade do Minho.

[‡]Universidade de Coimbra, CeBER, FEUC.

[§]NIPE/Universidade do Minho.

[¶]NIPE/Universidade do Minho.

 $^{{}^{\|} \}mbox{Corresponding author; miguel.portela@eeg.uminho.pt.}$ NIPE/Universidade do Minho and IZA Bonn.

nonummy eget, consectetuer id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

Nam dui ligula, fringilla a, euismod sodales, sollicitudin vel, wisi. Morbi auctor lorem non justo. Nam lacus libero, pretium at, lobortis vitae, ultricies et, tellus. Donec aliquet, tortor sed accumsan bibendum, erat ligula aliquet magna, vitae ornare odio metus a mi. Morbi ac orci et nisl hendrerit mollis. Suspendisse ut massa. Cras nec ante. Pellentesque a nulla. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Aliquam tincidunt urna. Nulla ullamcorper vestibulum turpis. Pellentesque cursus luctus mauris.

Dependent Variable:	Euros				
Model:	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
Variables					
(Intercept)	24.71***				
	(1.125)				
$\log(\mathrm{dist}_{\underline{}}\mathrm{km})$	-1.029***	-1.029***	-1.226***	-1.518***	
	(0.1580)	(0.1581)	(0.2045)	(0.1282)	
Fixed-effects					
Year		Yes	Yes	Yes	
Destination			Yes	Yes	
Origin				Yes	
Fit statistics					
Observations	$38,\!325$	$38,\!325$	$38,\!325$	38,325	
Squared Correlation	0.05511	0.05711	0.16420	0.38479	
Pseudo \mathbb{R}^2	0.18502	0.18833	0.35826	0.59312	
BIC	4.85×10^{12}	4.83×10^{12}	3.82×10^{12}	2.42×10^{12}	

Clustered (Origin & Destination) standard-errors in parentheses Signif. Codes: ***: 0.01, **: 0.05, *: 0.1

Dependent Variable:	Euros					
Model:	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
Variables						
(Intercept)	24.71***					
	(1.125)					
$\log(\mathrm{dist_km})$	-1.029***	-1.029***	-1.226***	-1.518***		
	(0.1580)	(0.1581)	(0.2045)	(0.1282)		
Fixed-effects						
Year		Yes	Yes	Yes		
Destination			Yes	Yes		
Origin				Yes		
Fit statistics						
Observations	38,325	38,325	38,325	38,325		
Squared Correlation	0.05511	0.05711	0.16420	0.38479		
Pseudo \mathbb{R}^2	0.18502	0.18833	0.35826	0.59312		
BIC	4.85×10^{12}	4.83×10^{12}	3.82×10^{12}	2.42×10^{12}		
Clustered (Origin & Destination) standard-errors in parentheses						
C C 1						

Signif. Codes: ***: 0.01, **: 0.05, *: 0.1

Dependent Variable:	Euros					
Model:	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
Variables						
Constant	24.71***					
	(1.125)					
$\log({\rm dist_km})$	-1.029***	-1.029***	-1.226***	-1.518***		
	(0.1580)	(0.1581)	(0.2045)	(0.1282)		
Fixed-effects						
Year		Yes	Yes	Yes		
Destination			Yes	Yes		
Origin				Yes		
Fit statistics						
Observations	38,325	38,325	38,325	38,325		
Squared Correlation	0.05511	0.05711	0.16420	0.38479		
Pseudo \mathbb{R}^2	0.18502	0.18833	0.35826	0.59312		
BIC	4.85×10^{12}	4.83×10^{12}	3.82×10^{12}	2.42×10^{12}		
Clustered (Origin & Destination) standard-errors in parentheses						

Signif. Codes: ***: 0.01, **: 0.05, *: 0.1