# Practical issues in the estimation of high-dimensional fixed effects models

Miguel Portela Paulo Guimarães

Porto, June 26, 2022

#### Introduction

- we live on the era of "big data"
- availability of microdata for researchers is better than ever
- easy to gain access to large administrative data sets
- these "large data sets" open up research possibilities
- but they also pose many technical challenges

#### Introduction

- large and more detailed data sets make it possible to control for different sources of unobserved heterogeneity
- examples are:
  - Employer-employee level data
  - Hospital-doctor-patient data
  - School-class-teacher-student level data
  - Loan-borrower-bank data
- these data are sometimes described as multilevel or hierarchical
- repeated observations allow for the introduction of additional error components that account for unobserved heterogeneity

#### Introduction

- if error components are uncorrelated with observed explanatory variables we can use mixed models (error components are treated as random effects)
- if error components are correlated with observed explanatory variables then they need to be treated as fixed effects
- introducing fixed effects in a linear regression amounts to modelling the error component using dummy variables
- with only one error component this is the usual fixed effects panel data regression

## Single fixed effects model

suppose that you want to estimate the model

$$y_{it} = \mu + \mathbf{x}'_{it}\beta + \mathbf{u}_i\eta + \alpha_i + u_{it}$$

where you have observations of multiple individuals observed over time. The subscript i indexes individual and t stands for time. Strict exogeneity,  $E(u_{it}|\mathbf{x}'_{it},\mathbf{u}_i,\alpha_i)=0$ , is assumed.

 $\mathbf{x}_{it}$  - time-varying individual level observed explanatory variables

 $\mathbf{u}_i$  - time invariant individual level observed explanatory variables

 $lpha_{\it i}$  - time invariant individual level unobserved explanatory variables

# Single fixed effects model

ullet the vector eta can be estimated by running the regression

$$y_{it} - \overline{y}_i = (\mathbf{x}'_{it} - \overline{\mathbf{x}}'_i)\beta + \widetilde{u}_{it}$$

- ullet the estimates for eta are the same as if we had included a dummy variable per individual
- time invariant individual level observed variables are absorbed
- this will work regardless of the number of individuals (high-dimensional)

## Two high dimensional fixed effects

an example: the AKM wage regression:

$$y_{it} = \mu + \mathbf{x}'_{it}\beta + \mathbf{w}_{j(i,t)}\gamma + \mathbf{u}_{i}\eta + \mathbf{q}_{j(i,t)}\rho + \alpha_{i} + \phi_{j(i,t)} + \mu_{t} + u_{it}$$

 $y_{it}$  - wage of worker i at time t

 $\mathbf{x}_{it}$  - time-varying worker observed explanatory variables

 $\mathbf{w}_{i(i,t)}$  - time-varying firm observed explanatory variables

 $\mathbf{u}_i$  - time invariant worker observed explanatory variables

 $\mathbf{q}_{j(i,t)}$  - time invariant firm observed explanatory variables

 $lpha_i$  - time invariant worker unobserved explanatory variables

 $\phi_{j(i,t)}$  - time invariant firm unobserved explanatory variables

 $\mu_t$  - unobserved time effect

uit - usual error term

# Two high dimensional fixed effects

• in practice this is what is estimated:

$$y_{it} = \mu + \mathbf{x}_{it}'\beta + \mathbf{w}_{j(i,t)}\gamma + \theta_i + \psi_{j(i,t)} + \mu_t + u_{it}$$
 where  $\theta_i \equiv \alpha_i + \mathbf{u}_i \eta$  and  $\psi_j \equiv \mathbf{q}_j \rho + \phi_j$ 

#### Estimation with two FEs

• rewrite the two fixed-effects model in matrix form:

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{D}_1\boldsymbol{\alpha} + \mathbf{D}_2\boldsymbol{\gamma} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$$

- $\mathbf{D}_1$  is  $n \times G_1$  and  $\mathbf{D}_2$  is  $n \times G_2$  and both  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are large numbers
- direct estimation of this model is complicated

## Estimation with two FEs

• but a "zigzag" approach is simple to implement:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \beta^{(j+1)} = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} \, \mathbf{X}' \left( \mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{D}_1 \alpha^{(j)} - \mathbf{D}_2 \gamma^{(j)} \right) \\ \alpha^{(j)} = (\mathbf{D}_1' \mathbf{D}_1)^{-1} \, \mathbf{D}_1' \left( \mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X} \beta^{(j)} - \mathbf{D}_2 \gamma^{(j)} \right) \\ \gamma^{(j)} = (\mathbf{D}_2' \mathbf{D}_2)^{-1} \, \mathbf{D}_2' \left( \mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X} \beta^{(j)} - \mathbf{D}_1 \alpha^{(j)} \right) \end{bmatrix}$$

- take advantage of the Frisch-Waugh-Lovell theorem
- standard errors (clustered or not) can also be easily calculated
- the degrees of freedom of the regression are

$$dof = n - (k + G_1 + G_2 - M)$$

where M is the number of coefficients dropped (or mobility groups)

## Extending the 2hdfe linear model

 this iterative estimation technique can be extended to several sets of fixed effects

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{D}\boldsymbol{\alpha} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$$

where  $\mathbf{D} = [\mathbf{D}_1 \mathbf{D}_2 ... \mathbf{D}_F]$  is a matrix containing several fixed effects or interacted fixed effects. Eg:

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\beta + \mathbf{D}\alpha + \mathbf{L}\mathbf{D}\gamma + \epsilon$$

LD are interactions between the fixed effect and the variables

- we can use the same approach as for 2hdfe to estimate these models
- with more than 2 hdfes calculation of the d.o.f. is complicated. But do we really care?

## General considerations

- remember that with short-panels the estimates of the fes may be inconsistent
- but averages, kernel-densities, etc should be fine!
- with 2 hdfe estimates of the fes may only be compared within each mobility group. That is why researchers work with the "largest connected set"
- with more than 2 fes one can find a connected set using the algorithm of Weeks and Williams (1964). Within this set estimates of fes are comparable
- correlation between the estimates of the fixed effects may be biased (eg: limited mobility)
- is mobility endogenous?

#### What about nonlinear models?

- many nonlinear models are estimated using iterative algorithms based on linear regression
- an example are Generalized Linear Models estimated by Iteratively Reweighted Least Squares (IRLS)
- another example are nonlinear models that can be estimated recursively using linear regression (the NLS algorithm)
- ability to estimate does not translate into consistency of estimators

## GLM models can be estimated by IRLS

- examples of GLM models where we can add fes:
  - Poisson regression
  - logit regression
  - probit regression
  - cloglog regression
  - negative binomial
  - gamma regression
- we need to worry about the incidental parameter problem (IPP)
- the IPP is not a concern for Poisson regression
- There are approaches to correct the IPP bias

## Advice for estimation

- prepare a "clean and lean dataset"
  - Keep only needed variables
  - Drop missing values
  - Drop singletons
- if you plan on doing secondary analysis of fes restrict your data to a "connected set"
- if you use clustered standard errors make sure the number of clusters is high enough (+50)
- make sure you understand well the package you are using

# Commands for estimation of high dimensional models

#### Stata

- reghdfe OLS with hdfe by Sergio Correia
- ppmlhdfe PPML with hdfe by Sergio Correia, Paulo Guimarães and Thomas Zylkin

#### R

• fixest - OLS and GLM with hdfe - by Laurent Bergé

#### Julia

• FixedEffectModels - OLS with hdfe - by Matthieu Gomez

#### Python

pyhdfe - OLS with hdfe - by Jeff Gortmaker

## References

- Abowd, J, Kramarz, F. and Margolis, D. "High wage workers and high wage firms", Econometrica, vol. 67(2), 251-233 (1999).
- Guimarães, P. and Portugal, P. "A simple feasible procedure to fit models with high-dimensional fixed effects", the Stata Journal, 10(4) 628-649, 2010.
- Correia, S. "Singletons, cluster robust standard errors and fixed effects: a bad mix", unpublished paper.