## 2020 STATA ECONOMETRICS WINTER SCHOOL

## Regression Exercise

For this exercise use CPS78\_85.dta, available at http://fmwww.bc.edu/ec-p/data/wooldridge/cps78\_85.dta

[in stata type: use http://fmwww.bc.edu/ec-p/data/wooldridge/cps78\_85.dta]

If you do not have internet connection, use the dataset in the folder. Consider the model:

$$log(wage) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 educ + \beta_2 exper + \beta_3 exper^2 + \beta_4 union + \beta_5 female + u$$
 (1)

- 1. Create a table with summary statistics
- $2.\ {\rm Run}$  the regression for year 85 and year 78 separately. Create one table with the output.

*Hint*: use the commands:

reg lwage educ exper expersq union female if year==78 est store W78 reg lwage educ exper expersq union female if year==85 est store W85 est table W78 W85, b(%7.4f) se(%7.4f) stats(N r2\_a) outreg2 [W78 W85] using exer\_reg\_w, word excel replace.

Alternatively: asdoc

- 3. Consider the 85 model. Test that experience is relevant to explain wage variation.
- 4. Estimate the marginal effect of experience on wages, evaluated at the mean. Use the operator ## and the command margins.
  - 5. Consider the model:

$$log(wage) = \beta_0 + \delta_0 y 85 + \beta_1 e duc + \beta_2 e x p e r + \beta_3 e x p e r^2 +$$

$$+ \beta_4 u n i o n + \beta_5 f e m a l e + \delta_1 y 85 * f e m a l e + u$$
(3)

- 5.1. How do you interpret  $\delta_0$  and  $\delta_1$ ?
- 5.2. What is the wage difference between female in 1978 and female in 1985?
- 5.3. Can we use this model with non-deflated wage data to get the "correct" coefficient estimators? Why?