

Optimization Method Project

Markus Reiter, Josef Gugglberger & Michael Kaltschmid

July 4, 2021

This document provides some documentation and analysis of the project that is part of the course *nature inspired optimisation methods*. We implemented the *Firefly* algorithm [1], and the *Artificial Bee Colony* [2] algorithm. In the following we will briefly describe those algorithms, and show some performance comparisons to other optimisation methods.

1 Firefly Algorithm

The firefly algorithm is a nature-inspired, meta-heuristic optimisation algorithm. The algorithm, presented by Yang et al., is derived from the flashing behaviour of fireflies. We will not go into biological details of this phenomena, but instead will focus on the simplified set of rules that artificial fireflies follow, as presented in [1]. First, any firefly is attracted by any other firefly. Second, the attraction depends on the brightness of the fireflies, and last, the attraction decreases with increasing distance. Each firefly represents one solution to the optimisation problem. We initialise the fireflies randomly over the search space. The brightness of a firefly is determined by the firefly's error (or its reciprocal, depending if we seek for maximisation or minimisation). At each iteration each firefly moves a little step into the direction of each other firefly that has a higher brightness. The formula of the movement is given as:



$$\mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{x}_i + \beta_0 e^{-\gamma r_{ij}^2} + \alpha(rand - \frac{1}{2}) \quad (1)$$

where \mathbf{x}_i is the current position, β_0 is the attractiveness at distance 0, γ is the absorption coefficient, r_{ij} is the distance between the two fireflies, α is a randomisation parameter, and $rand$ a random number between 0 and 1.

1.1 Experiments

In this section we compare our implementation of the firefly algorithm to the reference evolutionary algorithm. For our tests we initialised 50 fireflies and optimise over 275 iterations. We used following parameters for all experiments: $\alpha = 0.001$, $\beta_0 = 1$, and $\gamma = 0.05$.

As table 1 and figure 1 are showing, the firefly algorithm outperforms the reference evolutionary algorithm on the DTLZ1 problem, even with a smaller number of evaluations and a shorter runtime. We adapted the number of iterations we used in the firefly algorithm, such that the number of evaluations is roughly the same as in the reference algorithm, to allow for a fair comparison. We averaged the results of 10 subsequent runs and give the standard deviation in table 1. The number of evaluations done in the firefly algorithm is also averaged over 10 runs, because as opposed to the reference algorithm, the number of evaluations is not constant in each iteration.



(a) Error over iterations using the reference evolutionary algorithm. (b) Error over iterations using the firefly algorithm.

Figure 1: Comparison of firefly and evolutionary algorithm on the DTLZ1 problem. 1.

Optimizer	Error	Evaluations	Runtime [ms]
Firefly Algorithm	781.63 ± 42.28	227912	8.39
Reference Evolutionary Algorithm	3003.58 ± 87.35	250000	24.53

Table 1: Minimal errors after the given number of evaluations produced by optimisers on the DTLZ1 problem. Results are averaged over 10 subsequent runs.

References

- [1] Dr. Praveen Srivastava, B. Mallikarjun, and Xin-She Yang. “Optimal test sequence generation using firefly algorithm”. In: *Swarm and Evolutionary Computation* 8 (Feb. 2013), pp. 44–53. DOI: 10.1016/j.swevo.2012.08.003.
- [2] scholarpedia. 2010. URL: http://www.scholarpedia.org/article/Artificial_bee_colony_algorithm (visited on 07/04/2021).