

FeRec: Automatic Features Recommendation Model to support Bug Reporting

Md. Rejaul Karim

Nara Institute of Science and Technology (NAIST)

Nara, Japan

rejaul.karim.qw4@is.naist.jp

ABSTRACT

Bug reports are the primary means through which developers triage and fix bugs. To achieve this effectively, bug reports need to clearly describe those features that are important for the developers. However, previous studies have found that reporters do not always provide such features. Therefore, we first perform an exploratory study to identify the key features that reporters frequently miss in their initial bug report submissions. Then, we plan to propose an automatic approach for supporting reporters to make a good bug report. For our initial studies, we manually examine bug reports of five large-scale projects from two ecosystems such as Apache (Camel, Derby, and Wicket) and Mozilla (Firefox and Thunderbird). As initial results, we identify five key features that reporters often miss in their initial bug reports and developers require them for fixing bugs. We build and evaluate classification models using four different text-classification techniques. The evaluation results show that our models can effectively predict the key features. Our ongoing research focuses on developing an automatic features recommendation model to improve the contents of bug reports.

CCS CONCEPTS

• Software and its engineering → Software Bug Report Analysis.

KEYWORDS

Bug Report, High-Impact Bug (HIB), Open-Source Projects, Prediction Models

ACM Reference Format:

Md. Rejaul Karim. 2019. FeRec: Automatic Features Recommendation Model to support Bug Reporting. In *27th ACM Joint European Software Engineering Conference and Symposium on the Foundations of Software Engineering*, August 26–30, 2019, Tallinn, Estonia. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 2 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1122445.1122456>

1 INTRODUCTION

Bug reports are the primary means through which developers triage and fix bugs [1]. To achieve this effectively, bug reports need to clearly describe those features that are important for the developers.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or commercial use, by registered users, is granted by ACM for non-profit educational institutions and individuals, provided that the fee of \$15.00 is paid directly to ACM. This permission is granted without fee or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

Unpublished working draft. Not for distribution. ESEC/FSE '19, August 26–30, 2019, Tallinn, Estonia. © 2019 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM. ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-9999-9/18/06...\$15.00 <https://doi.org/10.1145/1122445.1122456>

2019-04-15 13:59. Page 1 of 1–2.

However, previous studies have found that reporters do not always provide such features. Therefore, we first perform an exploratory study to identify the key features that reporters frequently miss in their initial bug report submissions. Then, we plan to propose an automatic approach for supporting reporters to make a good bug report. For our initial studies, we manually examine bug reports of five large-scale projects from two ecosystems such as Apache (Camel, Derby, and Wicket) and Mozilla (Firefox and Thunderbird). As initial results, we identify five key features that reporters often miss in their initial bug reports and developers require them for fixing bugs. We build and evaluate classification models using four different text-classification techniques. The evaluation results show that our models can effectively predict the key features. Our ongoing research focuses on developing an automatic features recommendation model to improve the contents of bug reports.

2 BACKGROUND AND MOTIVATION

Bug reports are the primary means through which developers triage and fix bugs. To achieve this effectively, bug reports need to clearly describe those features that are important for the developers. However, previous studies have found that reporters do not always provide such features. Therefore, we first perform an exploratory study to identify the key features that reporters frequently miss in their initial bug report submissions. Then, we plan to propose an automatic approach for supporting reporters to make a good bug report. For our initial studies, we manually examine bug reports of five large-scale projects from two ecosystems such as Apache (Camel, Derby, and Wicket) and Mozilla (Firefox and Thunderbird). As initial results, we identify five key features that reporters often miss in their initial bug reports and developers require them for fixing bugs. We build and evaluate classification models using four different text-classification techniques. The evaluation results show that our models can effectively predict the key features. Our ongoing research focuses on developing an automatic features recommendation model to improve the contents of bug reports.

3 APPROACH

Bug reports are the primary means through which developers triage and fix bugs. To achieve this effectively, bug reports need to clearly describe those features that are important for the developers. However, previous studies have found that reporters do not always provide such features. Therefore, we first perform an exploratory study to identify the key features that reporters frequently miss in their initial bug report submissions. Then, we plan to propose an automatic approach for supporting reporters to make a good bug report. For our initial studies, we manually examine bug reports of five large-scale projects from two ecosystems such as Apache

(Camel, Derby, and Wicket) and Mozilla (Firefox and Thunderbird). As initial results, we identify five key features that reporters often miss in their initial bug reports and developers require them for fixing bugs. We build and evaluate classification models using four different text-classification techniques. The evaluation results show that our models can effectively predict the key features. Our ongoing research focuses on developing an automatic features recommendation model to improve the contents of bug reports.

4 EVALUATION AND FUTURE WORK

Bug reports are the primary means through which developers triage and fix bugs. To achieve this effectively, bug reports need to clearly describe those features that are important for the developers. However, previous studies have found that reporters do not always provide such features. Therefore, we first perform an exploratory study to identify the key features that reporters frequently miss in their initial bug report submissions. Then, we plan to propose an automatic approach for supporting reporters to make a good bug report. For our initial studies, we manually examine bug reports of five large-scale projects from two ecosystems such as Apache (Camel, Derby, and Wicket) and Mozilla (Firefox and Thunderbird). As initial results, we identify five key features that reporters often miss in their initial bug reports and developers require them for fixing bugs. We build and evaluate classification models using four different text-classification techniques. The evaluation results show that our models can effectively predict the key features. Our ongoing research focuses on developing an automatic features recommendation model to improve the contents of bug reports.

5 CONCLUSION

Bug reports are the primary means through which developers triage and fix bugs. To achieve this effectively, bug reports need to clearly describe those features that are important for the developers. However, previous studies have found that reporters do not always provide such features. Therefore, we first perform an exploratory study to identify the key features that reporters frequently miss in their initial bug report submissions. Then, we plan to propose an automatic approach for supporting reporters to make a good bug report. For our initial studies, we manually examine bug reports of five large-scale projects from two ecosystems such as Apache (Camel, Derby, and Wicket) and Mozilla (Firefox and Thunderbird). As initial results, we identify five key features that reporters often miss in their initial bug reports and developers require them for fixing bugs. We build and evaluate classification models using four different text-classification techniques. The evaluation results show that our models can effectively predict the key features. Our ongoing research focuses on developing an automatic features recommendation model to improve the contents of bug reports.

6 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Identification of funding sources and other support, and thanks to individuals and groups that assisted in the research and the preparation of the work should be included in an acknowledgment section, which is placed just before the reference section in your document.

REFERENCES

[1] Nicolas Bettenburg, Rahul Premraj, Thomas Zimmermann, and Sunghun Kim. 2008. Extracting Structural Information from Bug Reports. In *2008 International Working Conference on Mining Software Repositories (MSR '08)*.