

What went well:

Productivity on implementing the frontend has been quick, with most notable views in the app built. Because of this, we are only a week or two away from being able to start in earnest on the building of the backend and database.

What didn't go well:

Feature planning did not go well and could be done more ahead of time. The current codebase's views are pretty stylistically different from each other based on who wrote the code.

What could be improved:

Proper planning on sprint and project tasks from the backlog could be improved. In addition, there could be tighter collaboration on the overall features and data structures designed and used for similar features across views. For example, two versions of the lesson struct were implemented for the lesson card components of the frontend.

Challenges:

Keeping code style consistent among several different programmers working on multiple different features. Being diligent about planning ahead what features we're going to work on prior to the sprint. Spinning up a database from scratch and properly implementing that backend.