

LECTURE 2. RITT-RAUDENBUSH THEORY

Convention. All differential rings are commutative and contain \mathbb{Q} . If R is a differential ring, we denote by $R\{X\}$ the differential ring of differential polynomials with one variable and coefficients in R .

- $R\{X\}$ is characterized by the following universal property: whenever $R \rightarrow S$ is a morphism (of differential rings) and $s \in S$, there is a unique morphism $\phi : R\{X\} \rightarrow S$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \longrightarrow & R\{X\} \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \phi(X)=s & \\ S & & \end{array}$$

commutes and $\phi(X) = s$.

- $R\{X\}$ can be constructed as follows: as a ring,

$$R\{X\} = R[X_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}]$$

is the polynomial ring in infinitely many indeterminates. The derivation $\partial \in \text{Der}(R\{X\})$ is the unique one satisfying:

$$\partial|_R = \partial_R \text{ and } \partial(X_i) = X_{i+1}$$

where $\partial_R : R \rightarrow R$ is the given derivation on R . Because of the definition of the derivation, the variables X_i can be interpreted as the successive derivatives of the variable $X_0 = X$. To emphasize this, we will write

$$X = X_0, X' = X_1, \dots, X^{(n)} = X_n \dots$$

An element f of $R\{X\}$ is called a *differential polynomial of one variable with coefficients in R* . Starting from a differential field k , one obtains inductively the differential algebra $k\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ of differential polynomials with n variables for every $n \geq 1$. The goal of this section is to describe some foundational algebra of *differential polynomials*. This is the subject of Ritt-Raudenbush theory.

2.1. Terminology on differential polynomials. Let $f \in R\{X\}$. We define the *order* of f is the maximal $n = \text{ord}(f)$ such that $X^{(n)}$ appears nontrivially in f . It is convenient to set $\text{ord}(f) = -\infty$ if $f \in R$. Hence, if $\text{ord}(f) \geq 0$, we can write

$$(1) \quad f = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i \cdot (X^{(n)})^i$$

where all the $a_i \in R\{X\}$ have order $< n$. If $a_d \neq 0$, we say that f has *degree* d and that a_d is the *initial* of f . The degree of f will be denoted $\deg(f)$ and its initial will be denoted i_f . This information is organized as follows:

- the pair $(\text{ord}(f), \deg(f))$ defines a preorder on the set of differential polynomials

$$f \ll g \text{ iff } \text{ord}(f) < \text{ord}(g) \text{ or } \text{ord}(f) = \text{ord}(g) \text{ and } \deg(f) < \deg(g).$$

- any differential polynomial $f \in R\{X\}$ of order ≥ 0 can be written as

$$f = i_f \cdot (X^{(n)})^d + f_0$$

where $f_0 \in R[X]$, $f_0 \ll f$, $n = \text{ord}(f)$, $d = \deg(f)$ and i_f is the initial of f .

Definition 2.1. Assume that $\text{ord}(f) \geq 0$. The *separant* of $f \in R\{X\}$ denoted $s_f \in R\{X\}$ is the differential polynomial defined by

$$(2) \quad s_f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial X^{(n)}} \neq 0.$$

Explicitly, if f is given as in (1) then its separant s_f is given by

$$(3) \quad s_f = \sum_{i=0}^d i a_i \cdot (X^{(n)})^{i-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} (i+1) a_{i+1} (X^{(n)})^i.$$

It follows easily that we always have $s_f \ll f$.

Example 2.2. In practice, we often can reduce to study algebraic differential equations which are explicitly presented as

$$y^{(n)} = F(y, \dots, y^{(n-1)}).$$

It is defined as the solution set of the differential polynomial $P(X) = X^{(n)} - F(X, \dots, X^{(n-1)})$. P has order n , its initial term and its separant are both equal to 1.

Lemma 2.3. Assume that $n = \text{ord}(f) \geq 0$ and let $k \geq 1$. Then

$$f^{(k)} = s_f X^{(n+k)} + f_k \text{ with } \text{ord}(f_k) < \text{ord}(f^{(k)})$$

Hence, $\text{ord}(f^{(k)}) = \text{ord}(f) + k$, $\deg(f^{(k)}) = 1$ and that the initial of $f^{(k)}$ is the separant of f .

Proof. As in (1), we write $f = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i \cdot (X^{(n)})^i$ and using the Leibniz rule, we obtain:

$$f' = \sum_{i=0}^d \left(a'_i (X^{(n)})^i + i \cdot a_i (X^{(n)})^{i-1} X^{(n+1)} \right) = \sum_{i=0}^d a'_i (X^{(n)})^i + s_f X^{(n+1)}$$

Since $s_f \neq 0$, $X^{(n+1)}$ appears non trivially on the right-hand side but not on the left-hand side. Hence, $\text{ord}(f') = n + 1$ and

$$f' = \left(\sum_{i=0}^d i a_i (X^{(n)})^{i-1} \right) X^{(n+1)} + f_1 = s_f X^{(n+1)} + f_1$$

where f_1 is a differential polynomial of order $< n + 1$. The rest of the statement is obtained by induction on k noting that $s_f = s_{f'}$ by the previous formula. \square

2.2. Euclidean division in $R\{X\}$. If $f \in R\{X\}$, we denote by $\langle f \rangle$ the differential ideal of $R\{X\}$ generated by f , that is, the ideal

$$\langle f \rangle = (f, f', \dots, f^{(k)}, \dots)$$

of $R\langle X \rangle$ generated by f and all its derivatives.

Lemma 2.4. Assume that $n = \text{ord}(f) \geq 0$. For every $g \in R\{X\}$, there exists $m \geq 0$ and $r \in R\{X\}$ with $\text{ord}(r) \leq \text{ord}(f)$ such that

$$s_f^m \cdot g = r \bmod \langle f \rangle.$$

Proof. We may assume that $\text{ord}(g) > n = \text{ord}(f)$. Write

$$g = i_g \cdot (X^{(n+k)})^d + g_0 \text{ with } g_0 \ll g.$$

which after multiplication by s_f^d can be written as

$$s_f^d \cdot g = i_g \cdot (s_f \cdot X^{(n+k)})^d + s_f^d \cdot g_0$$

By Lemma 2.3, we can write

$$f^{(k)} = s_f X^{(n+k)} + f_k \text{ with } f_k \ll f$$

and combining the two, we obtain

$$s_f^d \cdot g = i_g \cdot (f^{(k)} - f_k)^d + s_f^d \cdot g_0 = (-1)^d f_k^d \cdot i_g + s_f^d \cdot g_0 \bmod \langle f \rangle.$$

After setting $g_1 = (-1)^d f_k^d \cdot i_g + s_f^d \cdot g_0$, an easy computation — using $\text{ord}(f) < \text{ord}(g)$ — shows that $g_1 \ll g$ and we conclude by induction on \ll . \square

Lemma 2.5. *Assume that $n = \text{ord}(f) \geq 0$. For every $g \in R\{X\}$, there exists $m, l \geq 0$ and $r \in R\{X\}$ with $r \ll f$ such that*

$$i_f^l \cdot s_f^m \cdot g = r \bmod \langle f \rangle.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.4, we can find r_1 with $\text{ord}(r_1) \leq \text{ord}(f)$ and m such that $s_f^m \cdot g = r_1 \bmod \langle f \rangle$. Now we can think about f and r_1 as elements of

$$R[X, \dots, X^{(n-1)}][X^{(n)}] = S[X^{(n)}]$$

The usual division algorithm in polynomial rings shows that we can find r_2 with $\deg(r_2) < \deg(f)$ and $l \geq 0$ such that

$$r_2 = i_f^l \cdot r_1 \bmod(f)$$

in $S[X]$. Putting everything together, we have obtain that

$$i_f^l \cdot s_f^m \cdot g = r_2 \bmod \langle f \rangle$$

and $r_2 \ll f$ as required. \square

2.3. Prime differential ideals of $k\{X\}$. Let k be a differential field.

Theorem 2.6. *For every irreducible differential polynomial f ,*

$$I(f) = \{g \in k\{X\} \mid \exists m \geq 0, s_f^m \cdot g \in \langle f \rangle\}$$

is a prime differential ideal of $k\{X\}$ and all the nonzero prime differential ideals of $k\{X\}$ are of this form.

Here, we say that a differential polynomial $f \in k\{X\}$ is irreducible if $n = \text{ord}(f) \geq 0$ and it is irreducible as a polynomial of $k[X, X', \dots, X^{(n)}]$.

Exercise 2.7. *Show that $f = (X'')^2 - 2X'$ is irreducible but that $\langle f \rangle$ is not a prime ideal.*

Proof of Theorem 2.6. Clearly, $I(f)$ is a differential ideal of $k\langle X \rangle$. To see that it is differential, note that

$$(s_f^{m+1} \cdot g)' = (m+1) \cdot (s_f^m \cdot g) + s_f^{m+1} \cdot g'$$

and hence that $s_f^m \cdot g \in \langle f \rangle \Rightarrow s_f^{m+1} \cdot g' \in \langle f \rangle$.

- **Step 1.** $I(f)$ is a prime differential ideal of $k\{X\}$ if f is irreducible.

Assume that $u \cdot v \in I(f)$ for some $u, v \in k\{X\}$. Using Lemma 2.4, we can write

$$s_f^{n_1} \cdot u = r \bmod \langle f \rangle \text{ and } s_f^{n_2} \cdot v = s \bmod \langle f \rangle$$

with $\text{ord}(r), \text{ord}(s) \leq \text{ord}(f)$. Now since $u \cdot v \in I(f)$, for some $m \geq 0$ we have

$$s_f^m \cdot r \cdot s \in \langle f \rangle$$

and $\text{ord}(s_f^m \cdot r \cdot s) \leq \text{ord}(f) = n$. The following claim shows that f must divides $s_f^m \cdot r \cdot s$. Since f is irreducible and $s_f \ll f$, we conclude that f must divides r or s . This finishes the proof of Step 1.

Claim. *Assume that f is irreducible and that $g \in I(f)$ is a differential polynomial of order $\leq \text{ord}(f)$ then f divides g in $k\{X\}$.*

Proof of the claim. We can write

$$(4) \quad s_f^n \cdot g = a_0 f + \cdots + a_k f^{(k)}$$

If $k \geq 1$ in this expression, using Lemma 2.3, we can write

$$f^{(k)} = s_f X^{(n+k)} + f_k$$

Since $X^{(n+k)}$ does not appear on the left-hand side of (4), after making the substitution

$$X^{(n+k)} \mapsto -f_k/s_f$$

and clearing the denominators, we obtain a new expression of the form

$$s_f^{n+N} \cdot g = b_0 f + \cdots + b_{k-1} f^{(k-1)}.$$

Repeating this process, we can assume that $k = 1$. Since f divides $s_f^n \cdot g$ and $s_f \ll f$, this implies that f divides g . \square

- **Step 2.** *Every nonzero prime differential ideal of $k\{X\}$ is of this form.*

Consider I a nonzero prime ideal and set f to be a minimal nonzero polynomial in I with respect to \ll . Since I is prime, f is irreducible. We show that $I = I(f)$.

- ▷ Since $s_f \ll f$, $s_f \notin I$. Hence if $g \in I(f)$ then for some m , $s_f^m \cdot g \in \langle f \rangle \subset I$ and since I is prime, we conclude that $g \in I$.

- ⊐ Take $g \in I$. Applying Lemma 2.5 to g , we can write

$$i_f^l \cdot s_f^k \cdot g = g_0 \bmod \langle f \rangle$$

for some $g_0 \ll f$. The previous equality implies that $g_0 \in I$ and hence that $g_0 = 0$ by minimality of f .

This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

The geometric interpretation of this theorem can be phrased as follows: If f is an irreducible differential polynomial of order $n \geq 1$ then f seen as an ordinary polynomial of several variables cuts off an hypersurface

$$Z(f) := \{(x_0, \dots, x_n) \mid f(x_0, \dots, x_n) = 0\} \subset \mathbb{A}_k^{n+1}$$

The restriction of the projection on the first n coordinates to Z defines a morphism

$$(5) \quad f : Z(f) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^n$$

The ramification locus of f — that is the set of points where the differential df is not invertible is equal to

$$\text{Sing}(f) = \{(x_0, \dots, x_n) \mid f(x_0, \dots, x_n) = s_f(x_0, \dots, x_n) = 0\} \subsetneq Z(f).$$

Outside of $\text{Sing}(f)$, f is an étale morphism. If R denotes the ring of regular focus on $Z(f) \setminus \text{Sing}(f)$, this means the module of Kähler differentials (see Lecture 5) satisfy that $\Omega^1(R/k[X_0, \dots, X_{n-1}])$ is trivial. In other words,

every derivation of $k[X_0, \dots, X_{n-1}]$ with values in a R -module M uniquely to a derivation of R with values in M .

Applied to

$$D = X_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial X_0} + \cdots + X_n \frac{\partial}{\partial X_{n-1}} : k[X_0, \dots, X_{n-1}] \rightarrow k[X_0, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow R$$

this produces a differential ring structure on R isomorphic to $k\{X\}/I(f)$. In particular, this geometric proof shows that in fact that $k\{X\}/I(f)$ is not only an integral domain but also a finitely generated k -algebra.

2.4. Radical ideals of $R\{X\}$. Pursuing the analogy, it is natural to ask whether $k\{X\}$ is noetherian with respect to differential ideals, that is,

(ACC): every increasing chain of differential ideals is stationary.

Exercise 2.8. Show that the sequence of differential ideals $I_n = \langle X^2, (X')^2, \dots, (X^{(n)})^2 \rangle$ is an infinite increasing chain of differential ideals of $k\{X\}$.

This becomes true after restricting to *radical* differential ideals.

Theorem 2.9. Let R be a differential ring satisfying (ACC) for radical differential ideals. The differential ring $R\{X\}$ also satisfies (ACC) for radical differential ideals.

Recall that an ideal I of R is *radical* if the ring R/I does not contain any nonzero nilpotent element¹ or equivalently if $a^n \in I \Rightarrow a \in I$. Every ideal I of R is contained in a smaller radical ideal called the *radical* of I and denoted \sqrt{I} . Explicitly, we have:

$$\sqrt{I} = \{x \in R \mid x^n \in I \text{ for some } n\}.$$

Lemma 2.10. Assume that R is a differential ring and that I is a differential ideal. Then \sqrt{I} is a radical differential ideal.

Proof. Assume that $x^n \in I$ for some n . Since I is a differential ideal, we have

$$\partial(x^n) = nx^{n-1}\partial(x) \in I$$

so that $x^{n-1}\partial(x) \in I$. Taking the derivative again, we see that $(n-1)x^{n-2}(\partial(x))^2 + x^{n-1}\partial^2(x) \in I$ which after multiplying by $\partial(x)$ implies that

$$(n-1)x^{n-2}(\partial(x))^3 + (x^{n-1}\partial(x))\partial^2(x) \in I$$

and that $x^{n-2}(\partial(x))^2 \in I$. Iterating the process, we obtain that for every $1 \leq k \leq n$,

$$x^{n-k}(\partial(x))^{2k-1} \in I$$

and hence that $\partial(x)^n \in I$ as required. \square

¹an element a is nilpotent if and only if $a^n = 0$ for some $n \geq 1$.

Notation 2.11. For any $S \subset R$, we denote by $\{S\}$ the smallest radical differential ideal containing S . By the previous lemma, we have

$$\{S\} = \sqrt{\langle S \rangle}$$

where as previously $\langle S \rangle$ denotes the differential ideal generated by S .

Lemma 2.12 (Lemma of radical ideals). *For any $S, T \subset R$, we have $\{S\} \cdot \{T\} \subset \{S \cdot T\}$ where $S \cdot T$ denotes the set of products of elements of S and elements of T .*

Proof. We prove the lemma in two steps: we first prove that $a \cdot \{S\} \subset \{a \cdot S\}$ for any $a \in R$: consider

$$T = \{x \in R \mid a \cdot x \in \{a \cdot S\}\}$$

Clearly, T is an ideal and we claim that it is a radical differential ideal:

- *differential.* take $x \in T$. Since $\{a \cdot S\}$ is a differential ideal, we have taking the derivative and multiplying by $a\partial(x)$ that

$$a\partial(x) \cdot \partial(a \cdot x) = (a\partial(x))^2 + \partial(x)\partial(a) \cdot (a \cdot x) \in \{a \cdot S\}$$

which implies that $(a\partial(x))^2 \in \{a \cdot S\}$. Hence so does $a\partial(x)$ and $\partial(x) \in T$ as required.

- *radical.* Assume $x^n \in T$

$$ax^n \in \{a \cdot S\} \Rightarrow a^n x^n \in \{a \cdot S\} \Rightarrow a \cdot x \in \{a \cdot S\}$$

and hence $x \in T$ as required.

By minimality of $\{S\}$, it follows that $a \cdot \{S\} \subset \{a \cdot S\}$. To finish the proof of the lemma, it is then enough to repeat the same argument to conclude that

$$T_2 = \{x \in R \mid x \cdot \{T\} \subset \{S \cdot T\}\}$$

is a radical differential ideal which contains S by the first step (exercise). \square

Proof of Theorem 2.9. It is enough to prove that every radical differential ideal is finitely generated (exercise). Assume otherwise and consider

$$\mathcal{S} = \{I \subset R\{X\} \mid I \text{ is a radical differential ideal NOT finitely generated}\}.$$

\mathcal{S} is partially ordered by inclusion, inductive and nonempty by assumption. By Zorn's lemma, we can take a maximal element I of \mathcal{S} .

Claim. *I is prime.*

Proof of the claim. Otherwise, one can find $a, b \in R$ such that $a, b \notin I$ and $ab \in I$. It follows that $\{I, a\}$ and $\{I, b\}$ are finitely generated. A straightforward computation shows that we can find generators of the form

$$\{I, a\} = \{a = f_0, f_1, \dots, f_s\} \text{ and } \{I, b\} = \{b = g_0, g_1, \dots, g_r\}$$

where the f_i and g_j belong to I for every $i, j \geq 1$. Since I is an ideal and $ab \in I$, it follows that

$$I \subset \{f_i \cdot g_j \mid i = 0, \dots, s, j = 0, \dots, r\}.$$

Conversely if $c \in I$, the lemma of radical ideals implies that

$$c^2 \in \{I, a\} \cdot \{I, b\} = \{f_0, \dots, f_s\} \cdot \{g_0, \dots, g_r\} \subset \{f_i \cdot g_j \mid i = 0, \dots, s, j = 0, \dots, r\}$$

so that $c \in \{f_i \cdot g_j \mid i = 0, \dots, s, j = 0, \dots, r\}$. So I is finitely generated which is a contradiction. Hence, I is prime. \square

By assumption on R , $I \cap R$ is a radical ideal of R and hence finitely generated. It follows that the $R\{X\}$ -ideal $J \subset I$ generated by $I \cap R$ is also finitely generated. Consider f a differential polynomial minimal with respect to \ll in $I \setminus J$.

Claim. $i_f \cdot s_f \notin I$

Proof of the claim. Since I is prime, it is enough to show that $i_f \notin I$ and $s_f \notin I$.

- *Case of the initial.* assume $i_f \in I$. Since $i_f \ll f$, we obtain $i_f \in J$. Writing $f = i_f X^{(n)} + f_0$ with $f_0 \ll f$ we also obtain that $f_0 \in I$ and therefore in J . This is a contradiction as this implies $f \in J$.
- *Case of the separant.* assume $s_f \in I$. The same argument shows that $s_f \in J$ and also

$$g = f - \frac{1}{d} X^{(n)} s_f \ll f$$

also belongs to I and hence in J . This again implies that $f \in J$.

□

By maximality of I , $\{I, i_f \cdot s_f\}$ is therefore finitely generate and one can find a system of generators $g_1, \dots, g_m \in I$ such that

$$\{I, i_f \cdot s_f\} = \{g_0 = i_f \cdot s_f, g_1, \dots, g_m\}$$

Claim. $I = \{J, f, c_1, \dots, c_m\}$

Proof of the claim. The inclusion \supset is clear. Conversely, consider $g \in I$ and write using Lemma 2.5

$$i_f^s \cdot s_f^t \cdot g = g_0 \bmod \langle f \rangle$$

with $g_0 \ll f$. Since $g_0 \in I$, we must have $g_0 \in J$ by minimality of f . Hence, $i_f \cdot s_f \cdot g \in \{J, f\}$ and we have shown that $i_f \cdot s_f \cdot I \subset \{J, f\}$. It follows using the lemma of radical ideals that

$$I^2 \subset I \cdot \{i_f \cdot s_f, I\} = I \cdot \{i_f \cdot s_f, c_1, \dots, c_m\} \subset \{J, f, c_1, \dots, c_m\}$$

Since the latter is a radical ideal, we conclude that $I \subset \{J, f, c_1, \dots, c_m\}$ as required. □

As J is finitely generated, we conclude that I is finitely generated which is our final contradiction. This completes the proof of the theorem. □

2.5. Kolchin's primitive element theorem. Finally, we have Kolchin's primitive element theorem whose proof will be the subject of the homework.

Theorem 2.13. *Let k be a nonconstant differential field and let $K = k\langle\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\rangle$ be a finitely generated differential field extension of k such that each α_i is a solution of a nonzero differential polynomial $A_i \in k\{X\}$. Then there exists $\gamma \in K$ such that*

$$K = k\langle\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\rangle = k\langle\gamma\rangle.$$

2.6. References. The results presented in this lecture constitutes the modern foundation of differential algebra and are due to the Joseph Ritt [Rit30]. Essentially, all the results of this section extends to the case of *partial differential fields*: differential fields equipped with n commuting derivations. The partial case was treated later on by Ritt in [Rit45]. This lecture follows the presentation of Ritt's results of Irving Kaplansky in [Kap76] and Dave Marker in [MMP96].

REFERENCES

- [Kap76] Irving Kaplansky. *An introduction to differential algebra*. Publications de l’Institut de Mathématique de l’Université de Nancago, No. V. Hermann, Paris, second edition, 1976. Actualités Scientifiques et Industrielles [Current Scientific and Industrial Topics], No. 1251.
- [MMP96] D. Marker, M. Messmer, and A. Pillay. *Model theory of fields*, volume 5 of *Lecture Notes in Logic*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
- [Rit30] J. F. Ritt. Manifolds of functions defined by systems of algebraic differential equations. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 32(4):569–599, 1930.
- [Rit45] J. F. Ritt. On the manifold of partial differential polynomials. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 46:102–112, 1945.