

## LECTURE 4. ELIMINATION OF IMAGINARIES

**Definition 4.1.** A complete theory  $T$  admits the *elimination of imaginaries* if for every definable equivalence relation  $E$  on some definable set  $D \subset M^n$  of some model  $M \models T$ , there exists a definable function  $f : D \rightarrow M^s$  such that

$$xEy \Leftrightarrow f(x) = f(y).$$

One can then identify  $D/E$  with the definable set  $f(D)$  and take quotient within the category of definable sets. The goal of this lecture is to prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 4.2** (Poizat, 1983). *The theory  $\text{DCF}_0$  eliminates imaginaries.*

There is an abstract procedure in model theory which from a theory  $T$  produces a theory  $T^{eq}$  which is bi-interpretable with  $T$  and eliminates imaginaries. Understanding this process explicitly is an important source of applications of model theory to algebra. The first example of theory which eliminates imaginaries is the theory  $\text{ACF}_0$  of algebraically closed fields of characteristic zero.

**Exercise 4.3.** Show that the theory of the infinite set with equality does not eliminate imaginaries.

**4.1. Description of the types.** Let  $T$  be a complete theory and  $R$  a substructure of some model  $K$  of  $T$  (e.g.  $K \models \text{DCF}_0$  and  $R$  is a differential subring).

**Definition 4.4.** A partial type over  $R$  with variables  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is a collection of formulas  $\pi(x) = \{\psi(x) \mid \psi(x) \text{ } \mathcal{L}(R) - \text{formula}\}$  such that for any finite subset  $S$  of  $\pi(x)$

$$K \models \exists x \bigwedge_{\psi(x) \in S} \psi(x)$$

A *complete type* is a partial which is maximal for the partial order defined by inclusion.

**Remark 4.5.** A partial type  $\pi(x)$  is complete if and only if for every formula  $\phi(x)$ , either  $\phi(x) \in \pi(x)$  or  $\neg\phi(x) \in \pi(x)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\pi(x)$  be a partial type and  $\phi(x)$  a formula then  $\pi(x) \cup \phi(x)$  or  $\pi(x) \cup \neg\phi(x)$  is a partial type. Indeed, otherwise, there are finite subsets  $S_+$  and  $S_-$  such that

$$K \models \neg(\exists x \bigwedge_{\psi(x) \in S_+} \psi(x) \wedge \phi(x)) \text{ and } K \models \neg(\exists x \bigwedge_{\psi(x) \in S_-} \psi(x) \wedge \neg\phi(x))$$

It follows that  $K \models \forall x (\bigwedge_{\psi(x) \in S_+ \cup S_-} \psi(x)) \rightarrow (\phi(x) \wedge \neg\phi(x))$  which contradicts that  $\pi(x)$  is a partial type.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.6.** Assume that  $T$  eliminates quantifiers. Then the notions of partial and complete types do not depend on the chosen model  $K$  extending  $R$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $L$  is another model extending  $R$ ,  $\pi(x)$  is a partial type in the model  $L$  and  $S$  a finite subset. By QE, there exists a quantifier-free sentence  $\phi$  with parameters from  $R$  such that

$$T \vdash \exists x \left( \bigwedge_{\psi(x) \in S} \psi(x) \right) \leftrightarrow \phi$$

Since  $\phi$  is quantifier-free, it is a boolean combination of sentences of the form

$$Q(t_1(r_1, \dots, r_s), \dots, t_k(r_1, \dots, r_s))$$

where  $Q$  is a  $k$ -ary relation symbol in the language,  $t_1, \dots, t_k$  are terms and  $r_1, \dots, r_s \in R$ . By definition of substructures, we have that

$$L \models \phi \text{ iff } (t_1^R(r), \dots, t_k^R(r)) \in Q^L \cap R^k = Q^R \text{ iff } K \models \phi$$

as required.  $\square$

**Example 4.7.** Let  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in K^n$ . Then

$$\text{tp}(a/R) = \{\phi(x) \mid K \models \phi(a)\}$$

is a complete type with  $n$  variables over  $R$ . The types of this form are said to be *realized in*  $K$ .

**Exercise 4.8.** Every complete type is realized in some elementary extension  $L$  of  $K$ .

For now on, if  $R$  is a differential ring, we denote by  $S_n(R)$  the space of types with  $n$  variables and parameters in  $R$  in the sense of the theory DCF<sub>0</sub>.

**Corollary 4.9** (Description of types). *Assume that  $k$  is a differential field. The correspondence*

$$p \mapsto I = \{f \in k\{X_1, \dots, X_n\} \mid "f(x) = 0" \in p\}$$

*is a bijection between  $S_n(k)$  and the set of prime differential ideals of  $k\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ .*

*Proof.* We first show that  $I$  is a prime ideal. Take  $a \models p$ . By enlarging  $K$  if necessary, we can find a realization  $a$  of  $p$  in a model  $L$  of DCF<sub>0</sub>. By construction of  $a$ , we have that

$$I = I(a/k) = \{f \in k\{X_1, \dots, X_n\} \mid f(a) = 0\}.$$

This means that  $I$  is the kernel of the evaluation map at  $a$  so that  $I$  is a prime differential ideal. Now since every formula is equivalent to boolean combination of formulas of the form  $f(x) = 0$ , a type  $p \in S_n(k)$  is determined by the function

$$f(x) \mapsto \chi_p : \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } "f(x) = 0" \in p \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

which is the characteristic function of the subset  $I$  in  $k\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ . Surjectivity follows from the differential Nullstellensatz. Indeed, if  $g_1, \dots, g_n \notin I$  then  $J = \{I, g_1 \cdots g_n\}$  is a radical differential ideal distinct from  $I$  so that  $V(J) \subsetneq V(I)$ . It follows that the collection of formulas

$$f(x) = 0 \text{ for } f \in I \text{ and } g(x) \neq 0 \text{ for } g \notin I$$

is finitely consistent.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.10** (Definable and algebraic closure). *Let  $A$  be a subset of  $K$ . Then*

$$\text{dcl}(A) = \mathbb{Q}\langle A \rangle \text{ and } \text{acl}(A) = \mathbb{Q}\langle A \rangle^{\text{alg}}$$

where  $\mathbb{Q}\langle A \rangle$  is the differential field generated by  $A$ ,  $\text{dcl}$  is the model-theoretic definable closure and  $\text{acl}$  denotes the model-theoretic algebraic closure.

*Proof.* Clearly,  $\mathbb{Q}\langle A \rangle \subset \text{dcl}(A)$  and  $\mathbb{Q}\langle A \rangle^{\text{alg}} \subset \text{acl}(A)$  and we prove the reverse inclusions. Set  $k = \mathbb{Q}\langle A \rangle$  and consider  $x \in \text{acl}(A)$ ,  $I(x/k) \subset k\{X\}$  the associated ideal and  $f$  the minimal polynomial in  $I(x/k)$  with respect to  $\ll$ . To show that  $x \in k^{\text{alg}}$ , we need to show that  $d = \text{ord}(f) = 0$ .

Assume otherwise. We claim that by induction on  $n$ , the conditions

$$x_1 \neq \dots \neq x_n, f(x_i) = 0 \text{ and } g(x_i) \neq 0 \text{ for all } g \text{ with } \text{ord}(g) < d\}$$

are consistent (exercise). Since  $I(x_i/k) = I(x/k)$  for all  $i$ , this contradicts that  $x_i \in \text{acl}(k)$ .  $\square$

**4.2. Saturated models.** Instead of working in arbitrary models of the theory  $\text{DCF}_0$ , it is convenient to work in saturated models.

**Lemma 4.11.** *The theory  $\text{DCF}_0$  is  $\omega$ -stable, that is, for any differential ring  $R$ , we have*

$$|S_n(R)| = |R|.$$

*Proof.* A theory  $T$  in a countable language if for any infinite set of parameters  $A$ , we have that  $|S_1(A)| \leq |A|$ . Denote by  $k$  the differential field generated by  $A$ . Since  $A$  is infinite, we have  $|k| = |A|$  and the restriction morphism

$$S_1(k) \rightarrow S_1(A)$$

is a bijection. Using the previous corollary together with the first theorem of Ritt (Lecture 2), we obtain that  $|S_1(A)| = |S_1(k)| \leq |k| = |A|$  as required.  $\square$

**Fact 4.12.** *Let  $\kappa$  be a regular cardinal. There exists a model  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $\text{DCF}_0$  of cardinal  $\kappa$  which is saturated in the sense that*

*for every differential subfield  $k$  of size less than  $\kappa$ , every type  $p \in S(k)$  is realized in  $\mathcal{U}$*

*and this model is unique up to non unique isomorphism. A saturated model has the following properties*

- (i) universality: *every differential field of size less than  $\kappa$  embeds in  $\mathcal{U}$*
- (ii) homogeneity: *any two tuples of elements which realize the same type over some differential field  $k$  of size less than  $\kappa$  are conjugated by automorphism  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_{\partial}(\mathcal{U}/k)$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  fixing  $k$ .*

We refer to [MMP96, Section 4.3] for a proof of this fact valid for arbitrary  $\omega$ -stable theory. The existence part of the proof is similar to the proof of existence of differentially closed fields but requires some nontrivial cardinal arithmetic.

**Model-theoretic convention.** Fix  $\kappa$  a regular cardinal which is greater than all the differential fields we are interested in (e.g. take  $\kappa > |\mathbb{C}| = |\mathcal{M}(U)|$  for every open domain  $U$  inside of  $\mathbb{C}$ ) and fix  $\mathcal{U}$  a saturated model of  $\text{DCF}_0$ . Unless otherwise stated, by a differential field, we always mean a differential subfield of  $\mathcal{U}$ .

**Exercise 4.13.** Show that  $b \in \text{dcl}(A)$  if and only if  $b$  is fixed by every automorphism of  $\mathcal{U}$  fixing  $A$  pointwise. Show that  $b \in \text{acl}(A)$  if and only if  $b$  has a finite orbit under the group of automorphisms of  $\mathcal{U}$  fixing  $A$  pointwise.

4.3. **Canonical parameters.** Fix once for all  $K \models \text{DCF}_0$  a saturated model.

**Definition 4.14.** Let  $\phi(x, a)$  be a formula. We say that  $\phi(x, a)$  is defined over a differential subfield  $k \subset K$  such that there exists a formula  $\psi(x, b)$  with parameters  $b = b_1, \dots, b_n$  from  $k$  such that

$$K \models \forall x (\psi(x, b) \leftrightarrow \phi(x, a)).$$

**Lemma 4.15.** Let  $\phi(x, a)$  be a formula and let  $k$  be a differential subfield of  $K$ . Then  $\phi(x, a)$  is defined over  $k$  if and only if for every  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_\partial(K)$ ,

$$\sigma \text{ fixes } k \text{ pointwise} \Rightarrow \sigma(D) = D \text{ setwise}$$

where  $D = \phi(K, a)$  is the definable set defined by  $\phi(x, a)$ .

*Proof.* The direct implication is obvious. To prove the converse, consider a differential subfield  $k$  such that for every  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_\partial(K)$ , if  $\sigma$  fixes  $k$  pointwise then  $\sigma(D) = D$  setwise. Fix  $b \in D$ . By homogeneity of  $K$ , the assumption implies that any other realization of  $p = \text{tp}(b/k)$  also lies in  $D$ . So that

$$\text{DCF}_0 \vdash p(x) \rightarrow \phi(x, a)$$

By compactness, we can find a formula  $\psi_b(x) \in p(x)$  with parameters from  $k$ , such that  $\text{DCF}_0 \vdash \psi_b(x) \rightarrow \phi(x, a)$  and  $K \models \psi_b(b)$ . Since this is true for any  $b \in D$ , we conclude that

$$\text{DCF}_0 \vdash \phi(x, a) \leftrightarrow \bigvee_{b \in D} \psi_b(x)$$

Using compactness again, we obtain that  $\text{DCF}_0 \vdash \phi(x, a) \leftrightarrow \bigvee_{i=1}^n \psi_{b_i}(x)$  which shows that  $k$  is a field of definition of  $\phi(x, a)$ .  $\square$

This motivates the following definition:

**Definition 4.16.** Let  $\phi(x, a)$  be a formula. We say that a tuple  $\alpha$  from  $K$  is a *canonical parameter* for  $\phi(x, a)$  if whenever  $\sigma$  is an automorphism of  $K$  then  $\sigma$  fixes  $\alpha$  pointwise if and only if  $\sigma$  fixes the definable set  $D = \phi(K, a)$  setwise.

**Exercise 4.17.** Show that if  $\alpha$  is a canonical parameter for  $\phi(x, a)$  then  $\phi(x, a)$  is defined over  $\mathbb{Q}\langle\alpha\rangle$ .

**Proposition 4.18.** The following properties are equivalent:

- (i)  $T = \text{DCF}_0$  admits the elimination of imaginaries,
- (ii) every formula admits a canonical parameter,
- (iii) every Kolchin-closed set admits a canonical parameter.

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Let  $\phi(x, a)$  be a formula with  $a = a_1, \dots, a_n$ . Consider the definable equivalence relation  $E(y, z)$  on  $K^n$  defined by

$$E(y, z) \text{ iff } K \models \forall x (\phi(x, y) \leftrightarrow \phi(x, z))$$

and denote by  $f_E : K^n \rightarrow K^m$  the function witnessing elimination of imaginaries. We claim that  $\alpha = f_E(a)$  is a canonical parameter of  $\phi(x, a)$ . Indeed, by construction

$$\sigma(\alpha) = \alpha \text{ iff } K \models \phi(x, a) \leftrightarrow \phi(x, \sigma(a)) \text{ iff } \sigma(D) = D.$$

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Let  $E(y, z)$  be a definable equivalence relation on some definable set  $D$  defined over  $k$ . For  $a \in D$ , denote by

$$[a]_E = \{x \in D \mid x E a\}$$

and by  $\overline{[a]_E}$  its Kolchin-closure. We first claim that

**Claim.**  $\overline{[a]_E} = \overline{[b]_E}$  iff  $a E b$ .

*Proof of the claim.* Clearly  $a E b \Rightarrow [a]_E = [b]_E \Rightarrow \overline{[a]_E} = \overline{[b]_E}$ . Conversely, if  $\overline{[a]_E} = \overline{[b]_E}$  then  $[a]_E$  contains a dense open Kolchin-subset  $U_a$  of  $\overline{[a]_E}$  and so does  $[b]_E$ . Since any two dense Kolchin subset intersect, we have  $U_a \cap U_b \neq \emptyset$  which implies (by transitivity) that  $a E b$  as required.  $\square$

Now fix  $a \in D$ ,  $p = \text{tp}(a/k)$  and fix:

- (i)  $\alpha$  for a canonical parameter for  $\overline{[a]_E}$ ,
- (ii)  $\psi(x, y)$  a formula such that  $\overline{[a]_E} = \psi(K, a)$ .

Note that since  $[a]_E$  is  $k\langle a \rangle$ -definable so is  $\overline{[a]_E}$  and therefore  $\alpha \in k\langle a \rangle$  and there exists a  $k$ -definable function  $f_a : D \rightarrow K^m$  such that  $f_a(a) = \alpha$ .

**Claim.** If  $b_1, b_2 \models p$  then  $b_1 E b_2$  iff  $f_a(b_1) = f_a(b_2)$ .

*Proof of the claim.* Using an automorphism argument, if  $b \models p$  and  $\beta = f_a(b)$  then  $\beta$  satisfies the analogue of (i) and (ii) for  $\overline{[b]_E}$ .

Hence if  $\beta_i = f_a(b_i)$  and  $\beta_1 = \beta_2$  then

$$\overline{[b_1]_E} = \psi(K, b_1) = \psi(K, b_2) = \overline{[b_2]_E}$$

and  $b_1 E b_2$  by the previous claim. Conversely, assume that  $b_1 E b_2$  so that  $\overline{[b_1]_E} = \overline{[b_2]_E}$ . Consider  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_\delta(K/k)$  such that  $\sigma(b_1) = b_2$  then by definition

$$\sigma(\overline{[b_1]_E}) = \overline{[b_2]_E} = \overline{[b_1]_E}$$

and it follows using that  $\beta_1$  is fixed by every automorphism fixing  $\overline{[b_1]_E}$  that

$$\beta_1 = \sigma(\beta_1) = \sigma(f_a(b_1)) = f_a(\sigma(b_1)) = f_a(b_2) = \beta_2$$

as required.  $\square$

Since this is true for any point  $a \in D$ , we can find by compactness a decomposition

$$D = D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_r \text{ and } k\text{-definable functions } f_i : D_i \rightarrow K^{n_i}$$

such that for every  $b, c \in D_i$ ,  $b E c$  iff  $f_i(b) = f_i(c)$ . We conclude the proof by building by induction on  $i \leq r$  a  $k$ -definable function  $g_i : D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_i \rightarrow K^{m_i}$  with the same property: assume that  $g_i$  has been already build for  $i < r$  and consider  $S_{i+1}$  the  $k$ -definable subset of  $D_{i+1}$  given by

$$S_{i+1} = \{x \in D_{i+1} \mid \exists z \in D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_i \text{ such that } z E x\}$$

and consider  $G \subset S_{i+1} \times K^{m_i}$  defined by

$$G := \{(x, y) \in S_{i+1} \times K^{m_i} \mid \exists z \in D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_i \mid z E x \text{ and } g_i(z) = y\}$$

By the induction hypothesis,  $G$  is the graph of a  $k$ -definable function  $g$ . The function

$$g_{i+1}(x) = \begin{cases} g_i(x) & \text{if } x \in D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_i \\ g(x) & \text{if } x \in S_{i+1} \\ f_{k+1}(x) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

is an extension of  $g_i$  satisfying the required properties. This concludes the proof of the proposition.  $\square$

#### 4.4. Field of definition of an ideal.

**Theorem 4.19** (André Weil). *Every ideal  $I$  of  $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  admits a smallest field of definition, that is, there is a smallest subfield  $k$  of  $K$  with the property that  $I$  is generated by polynomials with coefficients in  $k$ . Furthermore,  $k$  is fixed pointwise by every automorphism of  $K$  fixing  $I$  setwise.*

*Proof.* Denote by  $M$  a basis of monomials of  $K[\bar{X}]/I$  as a  $K$ -vector space. Each monomial  $u$  of  $K[\bar{X}]$  can be uniquely written as

$$u = \sum_{m \in M} a_{u,m} m + f_u$$

where  $f_u \in I$ ,  $a_{u,m} \in K$ .

**Claim.** *The field*

$$k = \mathbb{Q}[a_{u,m} \mid u \text{ monomial of } K[\bar{X}], m \in M]$$

*is the smallest field of definition of  $I$ .*

- Step 1. *We show that  $k$  is a field of definition of  $I$ .*

For  $f \in I$ , we can write

$$f = \sum_{u \text{ mon. of } K[\bar{X}]} b_u u = \sum_{u \text{ mon. of } K[\bar{X}]} b_u \cdot \left( u - \sum_{m \in M} a_{u,m} m \right) + \sum_{m \in M} \left( \sum_{u \text{ mon. of } K[\bar{X}]} b_u a_{u,m} \right) \cdot m$$

Since by definition the left term lies in  $I$  and  $M$  is a  $K$ -basis of  $K[\bar{X}]/I$ , we conclude that all the coefficients of the right term must be zero and hence that

$$f = \sum_{u \text{ mon. of } K[\bar{X}]} b_u \cdot \left( u - \sum_{m \in M} a_{u,m} m \right).$$

It follows that  $I$  is generated by the  $u - \sum_{m \in M} a_{u,m} m \in k[\bar{X}]$  where  $u$  ranges over all monomials of  $K[\bar{X}]$  so that  $k$  is indeed a field of definition for  $I$ .

- Step 2. *Consider  $l$  another field of definition of  $I$ . We show that  $k \subset l$ .*

Note that every automorphism of  $K$  extends to an automorphism of  $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  by setting:

$$\sigma \left( \sum_{m \in \text{mon. } k[X]} f_m \cdot m \right) = \sum_{m \in \text{mon. } k[X]} \sigma(f_m) \cdot m$$

Since  $l$  is a field of definition of  $I$ , for every  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(K/l)$ , we have  $\sigma(I) = I$ . It follows that for every monomial  $u$ , we have

$$u = \sigma(u) = \sum_{m \in M} \sigma(a_{u,m}) \cdot m + \sigma(f_u)$$

By uniqueness of the decomposition, it follows that  $\sigma(a_{u,m}) = a_{u,m}$  for every  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(K/l)$  and every  $u, m$ . We have therefore shown that  $k$  is a subset of  $l$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.20.** *Every radical differential ideal  $I$  of  $K\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$  admits a smallest differential field of definition. Furthermore,  $k$  is fixed pointwise by every differential automorphism of  $K$  fixing  $I$  setwise.*

*Proof.* By the Ritt-Raudenbush Theorem, we can find a finite set of differential polynomial such that

$$I = \{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$$

Consider  $N$  large enough so that  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in K[X, X', \dots, X^{(N)}]$  and set  $J$  for the ideal they generate. By Theorem 4.19,  $J$  has a smallest field of definition  $k \subset K$ . The differential field generated by  $k$  is the smallest differential field of definition of  $I$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 4.21.** *Using the differential Nullstellensatz, the previous corollary and Proposition 4.18, show that  $\text{DCF}_0$  admits the elimination of imaginaries.*

**Example 4.22.** Denote by  $\mathcal{C}$  the field of constants of  $K$ . Consider the action of an algebraic group  $G$  on an algebraic variety  $X$  and the equivalence relation on  $X(K)$  given by:

$$xEy \text{ if and only if } x \text{ and } y \text{ lie in the same } G(\mathcal{C})\text{-orbit.}$$

There is a definable differential-algebraic function

$$h = X(K) \rightarrow K^n$$

which realizes the quotient  $X(K)/G(\mathcal{C}) = X/E$ . Taking  $G = X = \text{GL}_n$  acting on itself on the left, we can take

$$h : P \mapsto P' \cdot P^{-1}$$

Indeed, setting  $A = P' \cdot P^{-1}$ , the solutions of  $h(Y) = A$  are the fundamental systems of solutions of the linear differential equations  $Y' = AY$ . Hence any two of them differ by multiplication by a constant matrix in  $\text{GL}_n(\mathcal{C})$ . Another more subtle example is obtained by taking  $G = \text{PSL}_2$  and  $X = \mathbb{P}^1$ . In that case, we can take

$$h : y \mapsto (y''/y')' - 1/2(y''/y')^2.$$

This is called the Schwarzian derivative. The Schwarzian derivative is used in differential geometry to study projective structures on curves.

**4.5. References.** The elimination of imaginaries for differentially closed fields was defined and proved by Poizat in [Poi83]. We follow here the presentation from [Mar00].

## REFERENCES

- [Mar00] David Marker. Model theory of differential fields. In *Model theory, algebra, and geometry*, volume 39 of *Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ.*, pages 53–63. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2000.
- [MMP96] D. Marker, M. Messmer, and A. Pillay. *Model theory of fields*, volume 5 of *Lecture Notes in Logic*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
- [Poi83] Bruno Poizat. Une théorie de Galois imaginaire. *J. Symbolic Logic*, 48(4):1151–1170 (1984), 1983.