# Contingency Tables

Ryan Miller

### Introduction

- Univariate summaries are the first step in a statistical analysis, but most analyses involve establishing relationships between multiple variables
  - ► These slides focus on methods for expressing relationships between *two categorical variables*

### Association

Two variables, X and Y, are **associated** if the distribution of X depends upon the distribution of Y

- Usually, we designate an explanatory variable (suspected cause) and a response variable (suspected outcome)
  - ► This is done using prior knowledge (ie: Exam #1 score could cause final grade, but not vice versa)

### Association

Two variables, X and Y, are associated if the distribution of X depends upon the distribution of Y

- Usually, we designate an explanatory variable (suspected) cause) and a **response variable** (suspected outcome)
  - ▶ This is done using prior knowledge (ie: Exam #1 score could cause final grade, but not vice versa)

#### Note:

1. Association is general term, we'll soon cover specific types of association (ie: linear, non-linear, etc.)

### Association

Two variables, X and Y, are associated if the distribution of X depends upon the distribution of Y

- Usually, we designate an explanatory variable (suspected) cause) and a **response variable** (suspected outcome)
  - ▶ This is done using prior knowledge (ie: Exam #1 score could cause final grade, but not vice versa)

#### Note:

- 1. Association is general term, we'll soon cover specific types of association (ie: linear, non-linear, etc.)
- 2. Observing an association between X and Y doesn't mean that X causes Y, or that Y causes X, causation is a complex topic that we'll discuss soon

# Contingency Tables

- For two categorical variables, we can display frequencies for each combination of the variables in a contingency table (also called a two-way frequency table)
- ▶ Below is a two-way frequency table describing the historic 2015-16 Golden State Warriors season:

	Win	Loss
Home	39	2
Away	34	7

### Practice

What do you think the raw data that was used to construct this table looks like? Try writing out a few rows.

	Win	Loss
Home	39	2
Away	34	7

Recognize you're only able to discern the last two columns from the contingency table on the prior slide

Date	Орр	Location	Win
10/27/2015	NOP	Home	W
10/30/2015	HOU	Away	W
10/31/2015	NOP	Away	W
11/2/2015	MEM	Home	W
11/4/2015	LAC	Home	W
11/6/2015	DEN	Home	W
11/7/2015	SAC	Away	W
11/9/2015	DET	Home	W
11/11/2015	MEM	Away	W
11/12/2015	MIN	Away	W
11/14/2015	BRK	Home	W
11/17/2015	TOR	Home	W
11/19/2015	LAC	Away	W
11/20/2015	CHI	Home	W
11/22/2015	DEN	Away	W
11/24/2015	LAL	Home	W
11/27/2015	PHO	Away	W
11/28/2015	SAC	Home	W
11/30/2015	UTA	Away	W
12/2/2015	CHO	Away	W
12/5/2015	TOR	Away	W
12/6/2015	BRK	Away	W
12/8/2015	IND	Away	W
12/11/2015	BOS	Away	W
12/12/2015	MIL	Away	L
12/16/2015	PHO	Home	W
12/18/2015	MIL	Home	W

# Margins

A useful step when working with contingency tables is to add *table margins*:

	Win	Loss	Row Total
Home	39	2	41
Away	34	7	41
Column Total	73	9	82

# Margins

A useful step when working with contingency tables is to add table margins:

	Win	Loss	Row Total
Home	39	2	41
Away	34	7	41
Column Total	73	9	82

- Row and column totals are sometimes called marginal distributions
  - The marginal distribution of the "win" variable (win/loss) is characterized by the frequencies {73, 9} and the proportions  $\{0.89, 0.11\}$
  - The marginal distribution of the "location" variable (home/away) is characterized by the frequencies {41,41} and the proportions  $\{0.5, 0.5\}$

### Conditional Proportions

- From a contingency table, **conditional proportions** allow us to determine whether the two variables displayed are associated
- ► There are two types of conditional proportions: row **proportions** are calculated using each row's total, the bottom table show how to calculate these

	Win	Loss	Row Total
Home	39	2	41
Away	34	7	41
Column Total	73	9	82

	Win	Loss	Row Total
Home	39/41 = 0.95	2/41 = 0.05	1
Away	34/41 = 0.83	7/41 = 0.17	1
Column Total	73/82 = 0.89	9/82 = 0.11	1

# Conditional Proportions

### **Column proportions** are calculated in a similar way:

	Win	Loss	Row Total
Home	39	2	41
Away	34	7	41
Column Total	73	9	82

	Win	Loss	Row Total
Home	39/73 = 0.53	2/9 = 0.22	41/82 = 0.5
Away	34/73 = 0.47	7/41 = 0.78	41/82 = 0.5
Column Total	1	1	1

### Conditional Distributions and Association

- ► Two variables are **associated** if the distribution of one variable depends upon that of the other variable
- So, we might compare the distribution of win/loss proportions conditional upon a game being at home with the distribution of win/loss proportions conditional upon a game being away
  - If these distributions differ, the variables "location" and "win" are associated

### Practice

- 1. Using the row proportions given below, do you think there is an association between whether the Warriors were home/away and winning?
- 2. How would you explain this association?

	Win	Loss	Row Total
Home	0.95	0.05	1
Away	0.83	0.17	1
Column Total	0.89	0.11	1

- 1. Yes, there is an association between "location" and "win"
- The warriors look to be more likely to win when playing at home. In other words, the distribution of wins/losses for home games differs from the distribution of wins/losses for away games.

### Remarks

- Recognize that row and column proportions tell you fundamentally different things about your data
  - ▶ In our example, row proportions can describe the proportion of wins conditional on the game being at home
  - Contrast that with column proportions, which can describe the proportion of home games conditional on that game being a win

### Remarks

- ► Recognize that row and column proportions tell you fundamentally different things about your data
  - ▶ In our example, row proportions can describe the proportion of wins conditional on the game being at home
  - Contrast that with column proportions, which can describe the proportion of home games conditional on that game being a win
- The row proportions suggest how often home games were won, while the column proportions suggest how often wins were home games
  - ► This distinction doesn't seem to matter much here, but let's look at another example

### Practice

- ► Were crew members on the Titanic more likely to survive than 1st class passengers?
  - Use row or column proportions from the contingency table below to support your answer

	Survived	Died
Crew	212	673
1st Class	203	122

No, using *row proportions* we see that  $\frac{212}{623+212}=0.24$ , or 24% of the crew survived; while  $\frac{203}{122+203}=0.62$ , or 62% of first class passengers survived

	Survived	Died
Crew	212	673
1st Class	203	122

No, using row proportions we see that  $\frac{212}{623+212} = 0.24$ , or 24% of the crew survived; while  $\frac{203}{122+203} = 0.62$ , or 62% of first class passengers survived

	Survived	Died
Crew	212	673
1st Class	203	122

- Notice that this particular question cannot be answered using column proportions
  - ► The proportion of survivors who were crew is  $\frac{212}{212+203} = 0.51$ , while the proportion of survivors who were first class passengers is  $\frac{203}{212+203} = 0.49$

No, using row proportions we see that  $\frac{212}{623+212} = 0.24$ , or 24% of the crew survived; while  $\frac{203}{122+203} = 0.62$ , or 62% of first class passengers survived

	Survived	Died
Crew	212	673
1st Class	203	122

- Notice that this particular question cannot be answered using column proportions
  - The proportion of survivors who were crew is  $\frac{212}{212+203} = 0.51$ , while the proportion of survivors who were first class passengers is  $\frac{203}{212+203} = 0.49$
  - Conditioning on the column variable is problematic here because the marginal distribution of 1st class/crew is skewed towards crew
  - In other words, most of the survivors were crew members because there were so many more crew members, not because individual crew members were more likely to survive



### Conclusions

- 1) Contingency tables display the possible combinations of two categorical variables
- 2) Row proportions or column proportions within a contingency are used to find and describe associations
- 3) Just because an association exists does not mean that one variable caused changes in the other