Miss Irene Sara Spalletti

2nd STATEMENT

of the applicant: Miss I - S Spalletti

n° of Exhibits: 1

Dated: 02/01/2025

Case number: ED24F00300

IN THE FAMILY COURT AT EDMONTON IN THE MATTER OF THE FAMILY LAW ACT 1996

BETWEEN:

Miss Irene Sara Spalletti

- and -

Applicant

Respondent

Mr Alexander Michael Luke Wolf Walker

2nd STATEMENT

of the applicant Miss Irene Sara Spalletti

I understand that proceedings for contempt of court may be brought against anyone who makes, or causes to be made, a false statement in a document verified by a statement of truth without an honest belief in its truth. I believe that the facts stated in this form and any continuation sheets are true.

I am seeking clarification and protection regarding the challenges I continue to face following the court's order. I believe I may have been misled during the proceedings and not granted the full occupation rights I understood to be in place. If this is accurate, I request a new hearing, as I believe I was denied a fair hearing. I only became aware of this on 13th December, which has prevented me from exercising my right to vary or appeal the order within the appropriate time frame.

Mr. Walker's ongoing non-compliance with both protective orders has significantly strained my already precarious situation, further impeding my ability to recover and rebuild my life. His actions have caused severe mental, physical, and financial distress.

The abuse has already forced me to lose our home, and I am now being pressured to agree to an immediate deadline to vacate the property, which would leave me homeless.

Furthermore, I was unprepared for the complexities of dealing with solicitors. I had been assured that these matters would typically be resolved during hearings. However, I now face significant legal costs due to the respondent's solicitor's aggressive and unreasonable conduct, which has hindered my ability to focus on rebuilding my life.

Concerns About Misleading Representation

I spent two months preparing detailed evidence for my case. I would never have walked out of that courtroom without being assured of my occupation rights. The court acknowledged my fear of Mr. Walker's response to these rights by including a power of arrest should he threaten me. Despite this, he continues to coerce and intimidate me, now primarily through legal and financial threats communicated by his solicitor.

Non Adherence to Court Orders

Mr. Walker is consistently avoiding his financial responsibilities related to the property, including his refusal to contribute to the costs associated with maintaining the house. Given his responsibility for damaging the front door, which is causing significant issues with the heating, I should not be held responsible for covering the costs of heating a three-floor house with single-glazed windows while he is under investigation for criminal offences committed against me, or while protective orders resulting from his abuse remain in place.

Despite the court's clear decision and the judge's agreement that Mr. Walker's "workman" should not undertake further work on the property, Mr. Walker's solicitor is blatantly disregarding the court orders and attempting to coerce me into agreeing to the opposite.

Impact on My Stability

Since the court's order, I have been working hard to regain stability—seeking employment and resuming my studies. However, Mr. Walker's persistent coercion and his solicitor's threatening communications have disrupted my progress and continue to undermine my mental and emotional well-being.

If I am forced to leave the property before achieving financial stability, I will face homelessness. I have three pets, no savings—since the respondent stole them all—no immediate family in the UK, and no resources to move. Furthermore, all three floors are filled with my furniture, and the house is currently a building site that requires substantial costs to make it sellable.

Financial Disparity

I believe it is important for the court to consider the financial disparity between myself and Mr. Walker. I am in no position to afford a solicitor or to contribute to selling the property under the current conditions. However, Mr. Walker has the financial means to resolve this matter, including the option to buy me out.

His failure to adhere to the court's orders is the sole reason I require legal assistance, as evidenced by my financial statements compared to his solicitor's mounting legal bills. Mr. Walker has the financial means to afford £10,000 for a single hearing's representation by a barrister based in Chancery Lane, further underscoring the disparity in our financial situations.

The respondent has an annual salary of £109.560, £146,988.69 in stock shares, various crypto accounts with large sums in it, an unknown amount to me of other savings spread over multiple bank accounts and, I believe, multiple investments with various friends and acquaintances.

I am currently unemployed, although my limited company remains active. I am receiving Universal Credit (which would amount to £380 per month) and am relying on financial support from my family.

Costs

I request that the respondent reimburse me £942.40 for the costs incurred in printing statements and exhibit folders, as well as all further legal costs resulting from Mr. Walker's repeated non-compliance with both judicial and police orders.

My financial situation is dire; my bank accounts currently hold less than £100, leaving me in a precarious position exacerbated by the respondent's actions.

If this harassment continues, it will further impair my ability to secure and sustain a job and finish my studies, ultimately affecting my long-term career prospects. I respectfully request that the respondent be held responsible for any ongoing damage to both my health and employment opportunities resulting from his abusive behaviour.

Ongoing Harassment

Despite the non-molestation order and Mr. Walker being on bail for controlling and coercive behaviour, the abuse has not ceased. The nature of his threats has shifted but remains equally harmful. His solicitor's communications are harassing and coercive, further destabilizing my peace of mind and sense of safety.

Domestic Abuse

(1) When did the behaviour start and how long did it continue:

As soon as the respondent moved in with me, October 2023 - he began to assert a position of power and control to dominate me. He often shouted at me pressing forward until I was backed against the wall.

Nature of behaviour/what happened:

The respondent would harass me by invading my personal space and dominating the air around me with relentless screaming and shouting. This verbal abuse included name-calling to keep quiet. Gender role expectations.

(2) When did the behaviour start and how long did it continue:

When we moved into our new purchased home, March 2024 - start of physical violence, punishments, imposition of rules, control through threats, some involving hurting my pets or my mother.

Nature of behaviour/what happened:

The respondent pushed me so hard from behind that I fell off the bed, grabbed me, threw me onto the bed pinned me down, and used his body weight to restrain me; blocking my shoulders with both hands.

(3) When did the behaviour start and how long did it continue:

June 2024 - start of financial control abuse became daily I had to move out, threats towards pets constant I had to take them to Europe to be kept safe until his arrest.

Nature of behaviour/what happened:

The respondent stole $\mathfrak{L}10,000$ from me, then threatened to put locks in parts of the property so I couldn't have access if I asked for my money back. Controlled me with lies about having more power over our property, making threats to get me out in seconds.

(4) When did the behaviour start and how long did it continue:

November 2024 - Upon receiving the non-molestation order, I got harassed from his solicitor, with almost daily emails, 13 between the 5th and 22nd November, which included threats, repeated references to legal costs and proceedings. Financial and coercive control.

Nature of behaviour/what happened:

The respondent solicitor's emails: "If Mr. Walker is forced to attend the hearing then my client will have no choice but to seek an order that Ms Spalletti pay £10,000 for his legal costs associated with preparing for and attending that hearing".

(5) When did the behaviour start and how long did it continue:

13 December 2024 – Response to Judge's order and occupation rights with repeated threats of legal costs and references to applications under the TOLATA to harass and coerce me with obligation to agree by no later 13/01/25.

Nature of behaviour/what happened:

Obligation to agree to the property to be sold forthwith and on an estate agent by 20 January 2025 to list the property for sale or face an application pursuant to TOLATA with the imposition of financial responsibility on me for all costs despite the fact that the house has been valued £60,000 less than the purchase price in February 2024, further compounding the financial strain caused by the respondent's behaviour.

Request for Review and for a New Hearing

Given these circumstances, I kindly request the following:

- A hearing to confirm whether occupation rights were granted in full until 25 October 2025, as I
 was led to believe. I respectfully request that the court hold a fact-finding hearing to establish
 Mr. Walker's accountability and determine his guilt in relation to the matters of domestic abuse.
- That the order be extended until the end of our mortgage agreement, 31 March 2026.
- A review of whether additional protective measures are required to ensure compliance with the court's orders and to prevent further harassment.
- A clear definition and enforcement of property-related financial obligations, including Mr. Walker's responsibilities for maintaining the property and covering associated costs.

If the current conditions are not sufficient to protect me from harassment and intimidation, I respectfully request appropriate amendments to the protective orders. I trust the court will recognize the profound impact this situation has had on my ability to recover and rebuild my life.

URGENT I AM BEING THREATENED <ED24F00300> Irene Sara Spalletti vs Alexander Walker -Hearing 26.11.2024 D





On Wed, 13 Nov 2024, 2 :35 Irene Spalletti, <irene.spalletti@gmail.com> wrote:

As anticipated following my application for a non-molestation and occupation order without notice, the respondent, Alexander Walker, has been attempting to dissuade me from proceeding with the scheduled hearing on 26th November. He has also been exerting daily pressure on me to provide evidence directly, rather than through the appropriate channels.

I have contacted the detective overseeing his prosecution, as Mr Walker appears to have breached his bail conditions by contacting me indirectly through his solicitor regarding matters that were not previously agreed upon. In addition, he and his solicitor have used scare tactics and made unreasonable offers in an effort to either remove me from the property or press me to purchase it and have provided misleading information regarding the respondent's contributions toward house renovations.

Could you kindly add a note to my court file that my financial circumstances have changed; as of today, I am registered with Enfield Council as at risk of homelessness if I am to be removed from the property (I will bring the necessary paperwork to the hearing, as these documents have not yet been provided to me).

Additionally, I have attached the following documents to this email:

- 11 emails sent by the respondent's solicitor dated November 5th, 8th, 11th, and 12th, demonstrating breaches of bail conditions and attempts to discourage me from proceeding with the hearing on 26th November regarding this order.
- A screenshot showing that my Universal Credit application has been granted (proof of the amount will be provided once I receive my first payment).
- A receipt for £942, which represents the cost of printing three copies of 360 pages documenting the abuse I have experienced from the respondent. I respectfully request that the respondent be held liable to reimburse me for this expense in full.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Yours faithfully,

brong Let.

Irene Sara Spalletti

