

1st Predicate Logic Exercises

1. Consider arbitrary PC-model $M = \langle D, I \rangle$.

Prove by induction that for all PC-uffs ϕ , for all variable assignments g and h for M , if g and h agree on the free variables in ϕ , then $V_{M,g}(\phi) = V_{M,h}(\phi)$.

Base case

$\Leftarrow \Rightarrow$ Consider arbitrary PC-uff ϕ such that $C(\phi) = 0$. Suppose that g and h agree on the free variables in ϕ . ϕ is a basic uff $\pi d_1 \dots d_n$. Each variable in ϕ is free. $I\pi|_{M,g} = I(\pi) = I\pi|_{M,h}$. For $\alpha \in \{d_1, \dots, d_n\}$, $I d_i|_{M,g} = I(d_i) = I d_i|_{M,h}$ if α is a constant, $I d_i|_{M,g} = g(\alpha) = h(\alpha) = I d_i|_{M,h}$ if α is a variable. $V_{M,g}(\phi) = 1$ iff $\langle I d_1|_{M,g}, \dots, I d_n|_{M,g} \rangle \in I\pi|_{M,g}$ iff $\langle I d_1|_{M,h}, \dots, I d_n|_{M,h} \rangle \in I\pi|_{M,h}$ iff $V_{M,h}(\phi) = 1$. By conditional proof, if g and h agree on the free variables in ϕ , then $V_{M,g}(\phi) = V_{M,h}(\phi)$.

Induction Hypothesis.

Given n , for all $m < n$, for all PC-uff ϕ such that $C(\phi) = m$, if g and h agree on the free variables in ϕ , then $V_{M,g}(\phi) = V_{M,h}(\phi)$.

Induction Step

Consider arbitrary PC-uff ϕ such that $C(\phi) = n$.

Suppose that g and h agree on the free variables in ϕ . Consider \dots

Suppose that $\phi = \forall x \psi$. $C(\psi) = n-1$. Every variable in ψ is free in ϕ . If g and h agree on free variables in ϕ , then they agree on free variables in ψ except at most x , then g_x and h_x agree on free variables in ψ . $V_{M,g}(\phi) = 1$ iff $\forall u \in D: V_{M,g_x}(\psi) = 1$ iff $\forall u \in D: V_{M,h_x}(\psi) = 1$ iff $V_{M,h}(\phi) = 1$. By conditional proof, if g and h agree on the free variables in ϕ , then $V_{M,g}(\phi) = V_{M,h}(\phi)$.

Suppose that $\phi = \neg \psi$. $C(\psi) = n-1$. If g and h agree on the free variables in ϕ , then they also agree on the free variables in ψ . Suppose g and h agree on the free variables in ϕ . $V_{M,g}(\phi) = 1$ iff $V_{M,g}(\psi) = 0$ iff by IH $V_{M,h}(\psi) = 0$ iff $V_{M,h}(\phi) = 1$. By conditional proof, if g and h agree on the free variables in ϕ then $V_{M,g}(\phi) = V_{M,h}(\phi)$.

Similarly for $\phi = \psi \rightarrow \chi$.

By cases, for all ϕ such that $C(\phi) = n$, if g and h agree on the free variables in ϕ then $V_{M,g}(\phi) = V_{M,h}(\phi)$.

By induction, for all ϕ , if g and h agree on the free variables in ϕ then $V_{M,g}(\phi) = V_{M,h}(\phi)$.

then, if for all ϕ containing no free variables for all g and h , $V_{M,g}(\phi) = V_{M,h}(\phi)$.

2. Consider arbitrary PC-model $M = \langle D, I \rangle$ and variable assignment g for M .

Suppose for reductio that

$$(1) V_{M,g}(\forall x(Fx \rightarrow (Fx \vee Gx))) = 0$$

$$(1), \forall \Rightarrow$$

$$(2) \exists u \in D: V_{M,g_x}(Fx \rightarrow (Fx \vee Gx)) = 0$$

$$(2), \rightarrow \Rightarrow$$

$$(3) \exists u \in D: V_{M,g_x}(Fx) = 1, V_{M,g_x}(Fx \vee Gx) = 0$$

$$(4) \exists u \in D: V_{M,g_x}(Fx \vee Gx) = 0$$

$$(3) \wedge \Rightarrow$$

$$(5) \exists u \in D: V_{M,g_x}(Fx) = 0$$

$$(6)$$

$$(4) \exists u \in D: V_{M,g_x}(Fx) = 1, V_{M,g_x}(Fx) = 0$$

$$(4), \text{reductio} \Rightarrow$$

$$(5) V_{M,g}(\forall x(Fx \rightarrow (Fx \vee Gx))) = 1$$

$$(5), \text{generalisation, definition of } F_{PC}$$

$$(6) F_{PC} \forall x(Fx \rightarrow (Fx \vee Gx))$$

Suppose for reductio that

$$(1) V_{M,g}(\forall x(Fx \wedge Gx) \rightarrow (\forall x Fx \wedge \forall x Gx)) = 0$$

$$(1), \rightarrow \Rightarrow$$

$$(2) V_{M,g}(\forall x(Fx \wedge Gx)) = 1$$

$$(3) V_{M,g}(\forall x Fx \wedge \forall x Gx) = 0$$

$$(2), \forall \Rightarrow$$

$$(4) \forall u \in D: V_{M,g_x}(Fx \wedge Gx) = 1$$

$$(4), \wedge \Rightarrow$$

$$(5) \forall u \in D: V_{M,g_x}(Fx) = 1$$

$$(6) \forall u \in D: V_{M,g_x}(Gx) = 1$$

$$(5), \forall \Rightarrow$$

$$(7) V_{M,g}(\forall x Fx) = 1$$

$$(8) V_{M,g}(\forall x Gx) = 1$$

$$(6), \forall \Rightarrow$$

$$(8) V_{M,g}(\forall x Gx) = 1$$

$$(7), (8), \wedge \Rightarrow$$

$$(9) V_{M,g}(\forall x Fx \wedge \forall x Gx) = 1$$

$$(3), (9), \text{reductio} \Rightarrow$$

$$(10) V_{M,g}(\forall x(Fx \wedge Gx) \rightarrow (\forall x Fx \wedge \forall x Gx)) = 1$$

$$(10), \text{generalisation, definition of } F_{PC}$$

$$(11) F_{PC} \forall x(Fx \wedge Gx) \rightarrow (\forall x Fx \wedge \forall x Gx)$$

c Consider ...

Suppose for ~~reductio~~ that conditional proof that

- (1) $\forall x(Fx \rightarrow Gx) \vdash \forall x(Fx \rightarrow Gx) = 1$
- (2) $\forall x(Gx \rightarrow Hx) \vdash \forall x(Fx \rightarrow Gx) = 1$

Suppose for reductio that

- (3) $\forall m, g (\forall x(Fx \rightarrow Hx)) = 0$
- (1), $\forall \Rightarrow$
- (4) $\forall u \in D: \forall m, g_u (Fx \rightarrow Gx) = 1$
- (2), $\forall \Rightarrow$
- (5) $\forall u \in D: \forall m, g_u (Gx \rightarrow Hx) = 1$
- (3), $\forall \Rightarrow$
- (6) $\exists u \in D: \forall m, g_u (Fx \rightarrow Hx) = 0$
- (6), $\rightarrow \Rightarrow$
- (7) $\exists u \in D: \forall m, g_u (Fx) = 1, \forall m, g_u (Hx) = 0$

~~(8) \exists~~ (5), (7) \Rightarrow

- (8) $\exists u \in D: \forall m, g_u (Fx) = 1, \forall m, g_u (Hx) = 0, \forall m, g_u (Fx \rightarrow Gx) = 1, \forall m, g_u (Gx \rightarrow Hx) = 1$
- (8), $\rightarrow \Rightarrow$

- (9) $\exists u \in D: \forall m, g_u (Hx) = 1, \forall m, g_u (Hx) = 0$
- (9), reductio \Rightarrow
- (10) $\forall m, g (\forall x(Fx \rightarrow Hx)) = 1$
- ~~(10)~~, conditional \neq conditional proof, generalisation, ~~def~~, definition of $F_{PC} \Rightarrow$
- (11) $\forall x(Fx \rightarrow Gx), \forall x(Gx \rightarrow Hx) \vdash_{PC} \forall x(Fx \rightarrow Hx)$

d Consider ...

Suppose for reductio that

- (1) $\forall m, g (\exists x \forall y Rxy \rightarrow \forall y \exists x Rxy) = 0$
- (1), $\rightarrow \Rightarrow$
- (2) $\forall m, g (\exists x \forall y Rxy) = 1$
- (3) $\forall m, g (\forall y \exists x Rxy) = 0$
- (2), $\exists \Rightarrow$
- (4) $\exists u \in D: \forall m, g_u (\forall y Rxy) = 1$
- ~~(4)~~, $\forall \Rightarrow$
- (5) $\exists u \in D: \forall v \in D: \forall m, g_{u,v} (\forall y Rxy) = 1$
- (3), $\forall \Rightarrow$
- (6) $\exists v \in D: \forall m, g_{u,v} (\exists x \forall y Rxy) = 0$
- (6), $\exists \Rightarrow$

- (7) $\exists v \in D: \forall u \in D: \forall m, g_{u,v} (\forall y Rxy) = 0$
- $= \forall u \in D: \exists v \in D: \forall m, g_{u,v} (\forall y Rxy) = 0$
- $= \forall u \in D: \exists v \in D: \forall m, g_{u,v} (\forall y Rxy) = 1$
- $= \exists u \in D: \forall v \in D: \forall m, g_{u,v} (\forall y Rxy) = 1$

~~(4), (7), reductio \Rightarrow~~

~~(8) $\forall m, g (\exists x \forall y Rxy \rightarrow \forall y \exists x Rxy) = 1$~~
~~(8), generalisation, definition of F_{PC}~~
~~(9) $\vdash_{PC} \exists x \forall y Rxy \rightarrow \forall y \exists x Rxy$~~
~~(5) \Rightarrow~~

- (8) $\exists u \in D$, namely $u^*: \forall v \in D: \forall m, g_{u^*,v} (\forall y Rxy) = 1$
- ~~(6) \Rightarrow~~ (7) \Rightarrow

- (9) $\exists v \in D$, namely $v^*: \forall u \in D: \forall m, g_{u,v^*} (\forall y Rxy) = 0$
- (8) \Rightarrow

- (10) $\forall m, g_{u^*,v^*} (Rxy) = 1$
- (9) \Rightarrow

- (11) $\forall m, g_{u^*,v^*} (Rxy) = 0$
- (10), (11), reductio \Rightarrow

- (12) $\forall m, g (\exists x \forall y Rxy \rightarrow \forall y \exists x Rxy) = 1$
- (12), generalisation, definition of $F_{PC} \Rightarrow$
- (13) $\vdash_{PC} \exists x \forall y Rxy \rightarrow \forall y \exists x Rxy$

3a Consider the countermodel $M = \langle D, I \rangle$
 $D = \{0, 1\}$
 $I(F) = \{0\}, I(G) = \{0, 1\}$

consider ~~any~~ arbitrary variable assignment g
 $\forall m, g (\forall x(Fx \rightarrow Gx) \rightarrow \forall x(Gx \rightarrow Fx)) = 0 \Rightarrow$
 $\not\vdash_{PC} \forall x(Fx \rightarrow Gx) \rightarrow \forall x(Gx \rightarrow Fx)$

b Consider the countermodel $M = \langle D, I \rangle$
 $D = \{0, 1\}$
 $I(F) = \{0\}, I(G) = \{0\}$

~~For~~ For arbitrary variable assignment g ,
 $\forall m, g (\forall x(Fx \vee \neg Gx) \rightarrow (\forall x Fx \vee \neg \exists x Gx)) = 0 \Rightarrow$
 $\not\vdash_{PC} \forall x(Fx \vee \neg Gx) \rightarrow (\forall x Fx \vee \neg \exists x Gx)$

c Consider the countermodel $M = \langle D, I \rangle$
 $D = \{0, 1\}$
 $I(R) = \{ \langle 0, 1 \rangle \}, I(a) = 0, I(b) = 1$

d Consider the counterexample $M = \langle D, I \rangle$, g .
 $D = \{0, 1\}$
 $I(F) = \{0\}$
 $g(x) = 0$

e Consider the countermodel $M = \langle D, I \rangle$
 $D = \mathbb{N}$
 $I(R) = \{ \langle n_1, n_2 \rangle : n_1 < n_2 \}$

5 ~~Let~~ Let $T' = \{ \neg \forall x \neg \exists x F, \exists x F, \exists x F, \exists x F, \dots \}$
Consider T' 's

Consider arbitrary $T' \neq T$. ~~such that~~ T' is finite. Suppose that T' is finite. Then, there is some $\exists n F \in T'$ such that there is no $\exists n F \in T'$ such that $n' > n$. Then the ~~domain~~ model $M = \langle D, I \rangle$, where $D = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $I(F) = D$ satisfies T' . By conditional proof, generalisation, ~~T' is finitely satisfiable.~~

Consider arbitrary model ~~$M = \langle D, I \rangle$~~ . The set of D is countable, so if it is finite it is some natural number, then it is either infinite or some n . In any model $M = \langle D, I \rangle$, the number of F things is countable, so it is either some natural number or infinite. Then either $\exists n F$ is false or $\neg \forall x F$ is false, so T' is not satisfiable.

1a consider arbitrary \mathcal{PC} model $M = \langle D, I \rangle$ and arbitrary variable assignment g for M .

Suppose for conditional proof that

$$(1) \forall m, g (Fcb) = 1$$

Suppose for reductio that

$$(2) \forall m, g (\forall x (x=a \rightarrow Fxb)) = 0$$

$$(2), \forall \Rightarrow$$

$$(3) \forall u \in D: \forall m, g_u (x=a \rightarrow Fxb) = 0$$

$$\neg (3) \Rightarrow$$

$$\neg (4) \forall m, g_\alpha (x=a \rightarrow Fxb) = 0$$

$$\text{where } \alpha = I(a)$$

$$\neg (4), \rightarrow \Rightarrow$$

$$(5) \forall m, g_\alpha (x=a) = 1$$

$$(3) \nexists u \in D: \forall m, g_u (x=a \rightarrow Fxb) = 1$$

$$(3) \exists u \in D: \forall m, g_u (x=a \rightarrow Fxb) = 0$$

$$(1) \Rightarrow$$

$$(4) \forall m, g_\alpha (Fxb) = 1$$

$$\text{where } \alpha = I(a)$$

$$\neg (4), \rightarrow \Rightarrow$$

$$(5) \forall m, g_\alpha (x=a \rightarrow Fxb) = 1$$

$$(6) \forall \beta \neq I(a): \forall m, g_\beta (x=a) = 0$$

$$(6), \rightarrow \Rightarrow$$

$$(7) \forall \beta \neq I(a): \forall m, g_\beta (x=a \rightarrow Fxb) = 1$$

$$(5), (7) \Rightarrow$$

$$(8) \forall u \in D: \forall m, g_u (x=a \rightarrow Fxb) = 1$$

$$(3) \Rightarrow$$

$$(9) \nexists u \in D: \forall m, g_u (x=a \rightarrow Fxb) = 0$$

$$(3), (9), \text{reductio} \Rightarrow$$

$$(10) \forall m, g (\forall x (x=a \rightarrow Fxb)) = 1$$

$$(10), \text{conditional proof, reductio, definition of } \models$$

$$(11) Fcb \models_{\mathcal{PC}} \Rightarrow$$

$$\neg (11) \Rightarrow$$

$$(11) Fcb \models_{\mathcal{PC}} \forall x (x=a \rightarrow Fxb)$$

S: ... and ... share ...

I: ... is in ...

$$d \exists x_1 \exists x_2 \exists x_3 \exists x_4 \exists x_5 (Dx_1 \wedge Dx_2 \wedge Dx_3 \wedge Dx_5$$

$$\wedge x_1 \neq x_2 \wedge x_1 \neq x_3 \wedge x_1 \neq x_4 \wedge x_1 \neq x_5$$

$$\wedge x_2 \neq x_3 \wedge x_2 \neq x_4 \wedge x_2 \neq x_5$$

$$\wedge x_3 \neq x_4 \wedge x_3 \neq x_5$$

$$\wedge x_4 \neq x_5)$$

D: ... is a dinosaur

5a consider arbitrary \mathcal{PC} model $M = \langle D, I \rangle$ and variable assignment g for M .

Suppose for reductio that

$$(1) \forall m, g (\forall x (Lx \rightarrow \forall y (Fxy \rightarrow \exists z (Lyz))) = 0$$

$$(1) \forall m, g (\forall x (Lx \rightarrow \forall y (Fxy \rightarrow \exists z (Lyz))) = 0$$

$$(1), \rightarrow \Rightarrow$$

$$(2) \forall m, g (\forall x (Lx \rightarrow \forall y (Fxy))) = 1$$

$$(3) \forall m, g (\forall x \exists y (Lxy)) = 0$$

$$(2), \forall \Rightarrow$$

$$(4) \forall u \in D: \forall m, g_u (Lx \rightarrow \forall y (Fxy)) = 1$$

$$(4) \Rightarrow$$

$$(5) \forall u \in D: \langle |x|^{m, g_u}, |y Fxy|^{m, g_u} \rangle \in |L|^{m, g_u}$$

$$(5) \Rightarrow$$

$$(6) \forall u \in D: \langle |x|^{m, g_u}, |y Fxy|^{m, g_u} \rangle \in |L|^{m, g_u}$$

$$\text{where } u \in D: \exists v \in D: \langle u, v \rangle \in |L|^{m, g_u}$$

$$(6) \Rightarrow$$

$$(7) \forall u \in D: \exists v \in D: \forall m, g_{uv} (Lxy) = 1$$

$$(7), \exists, \forall \Rightarrow$$

$$(8) \forall m, g (\forall x \exists y (Lxy)) = 1$$

$$(3), (8), \text{reductio} \Rightarrow$$

$$(9) \forall m, g (\forall x (Lx \rightarrow \forall y (Fxy \rightarrow \exists z (Lyz))) = 1$$

$$(9), \text{generalisation, definition of } \models_{\mathcal{PC}} \Rightarrow$$

$$(10) \models_{\mathcal{PC}} \forall x (Lx \rightarrow \forall y (Fxy \rightarrow \exists z (Lyz)))$$

b consider the following countermodel $M = \langle D, I \rangle$

$$D = \{0, 1, 2\}$$

$$I(F) = \{0, 1, 2\}$$

$$I(G) = \emptyset$$

$$I(H) = \{0, 1, 2\}$$

$$2a \forall x (Px \rightarrow (\exists y (x \neq y \wedge Lxy)) \rightarrow \forall z (Pz \rightarrow Lxz))$$

$$\forall x (Px \rightarrow (\exists y (Py \wedge x \neq y \wedge Lxy) \rightarrow \forall z (Pz \rightarrow Lxz)))$$

P: ... is a person

L: ... loves ...

$$b \forall x (Gx \leftrightarrow x=a)$$

G: ... is a truly great player in the NBA

a: Allan Iverson

$$c \forall x (Px \rightarrow (\exists y (Gy \wedge x \neq y \wedge Lxy) \rightarrow \forall z (Gz \rightarrow Lxz)))$$

$$\forall x (Px \rightarrow (\exists y (\exists z (Gy \wedge Lxz \wedge \neg Sxyz \rightarrow Ixz \wedge Iyz) \wedge \neg \exists x' (x' \neq x \wedge x' \neq y \wedge Px' \wedge Iyzx') \wedge \neg \exists x' (x' \neq x \wedge x' \neq y \wedge Px' \wedge Iyzx'))))$$

P: ... is a person

G: ... is a guard

L: ... is a cell

b consider ...

Suppose for conditional proof that

$$(1) \nexists x (Lx) \rightarrow \nexists x (Lx)$$

$$\forall m, g (F \rightarrow \exists x (Lx)) = 1$$

Suppose for reductio that

$$(2) \forall m, g (\forall x (Lx \rightarrow (\forall z (Lxz \wedge \forall z (Lyz) \rightarrow x=y))) = 0$$

$$(1) \Rightarrow$$

$$(3) | \exists x (Lx) |^{m, g} \in |F|^{m, g}$$

$$(3) \Rightarrow$$

$$(4) | \exists x (Lx) |^{m, g} \in \text{defined}$$

$$(4) \Rightarrow$$

$$(5) \exists \text{ unique } u \in D: \forall m, g_u (\exists x (Lx)) = 1$$

$$(5), \forall \Rightarrow$$

$$(6) \nexists u \in D: \exists v \in D: \forall m, g_{uv} (\forall z (Lxz \wedge \forall z (Lyz) \rightarrow x=y)) = 0$$

$$(6) \Rightarrow$$

$$(6), \rightarrow, \wedge \Rightarrow$$

$$(7) \exists u \in D: \exists v \in D: \forall m, g_{uv} (\forall z (Lxz) = 1,$$

$$\forall m, g_{uv} (\forall z (Lyz)) = 1$$

$$\forall m, g_{uv} (x=y) = 0$$

$$(7), (5), (7), \text{reductio,}$$

$$(8) \forall m, g (\forall x (Lx \rightarrow (\forall z (Lxz \wedge \forall z (Lyz) \rightarrow x=y))) = 1$$

(2), conditional proof, generalisation, ~~the~~
definition of \models \Rightarrow

$$(9) \models x \forall y Lxy \models \forall x \forall y ((\exists z(Lxz \wedge \exists z(Lyz)) \rightarrow x=y)$$

c Consider the countermodel $M = \langle D, I \rangle$

$$D = \{0, 1\}$$

$$I(F) = \{0\}$$

$$I(G) = \{0, 1\}$$

$$7a \forall x (Px \wedge Cx \rightarrow Wxy (Jy \wedge Syx))$$

P: ... is a person

C: ... commits a crime

W: ... wears a wig

J: ... is a judge

S: ... sentences ...

$$b \models \exists x (Sx \wedge \forall y (Sy \wedge x \neq y \rightarrow Fxy))$$

S: ... is a spy

T: ... is taller than ...

$$8 \sim H \exists x Tx$$

H: ... is happy

T: ... is ten feet tall

$$\exists x [Tx \wedge \forall x' (Tx' \leftrightarrow x=x') \wedge \sim Hx]$$

$$\sim \exists x [Tx \wedge \forall x' (Tx' \leftrightarrow x=x') \wedge Hx]$$

The latter formalisation is equivalent to the i
formalisation.

The former is true iff there exists a unique ~~te~~
ten foot tall man and he is not happy. The
latter is true iff it is not the case that there
exists a unique ten foot tall man and he is
happy. The two formalisations agree when a
unique ten foot tall man exists, but not when
he does not, then the former is false but the
latter is true.