ar Adolem Set 8

In $\Delta x = 80 + 4t + 8x + -1 + \sum_{i=1}^{12} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2$

Estimate the parameters of the regression by DCS,

HO: 5=0 HI: 500 840

colouicte the t-statistic +(0) = \$ / 3 (3)

under the nour, t(0) = DFtr.
Reject the nour & 1(0) < contract value ca, where
a is the rever of significance, and ca is such
that it a= P(DFtr < ca).

If we fail to reject the num, Ext3 is a unit not custorecycessive process, and the first difference of the can be described by an AR(PD) moder.

If we reject the num, Ext3 is a (trend) stationary process, hence xt~ I(0).

- b many of the candidate sense for unit roots exhibit a deterministic trend as well as a stochastic not included in the regression, the case where maded in the new took not instituted in the new took not instituted in the new took not instituted in the new took not use fail to alternative. Thus, it is possible that we fail to presence of a deterministic drift in a sense that he presence of a deterministic drift in a sense that is in a sense that in a sense that it is possible that we fail to presence of a deterministic drift in a sense that
- c since the sampling distributions of it's are appositionately normal in large samples, the top order of hor regression can be deter the familiar methods of statistical inference on it's are valid, and the log order of hor regression can be determined by a stepuise testing dawn procedure or the information afteria.
- d From table T, ##21 the optimal large order of the ADF recgression, by the ALC, is 2. For this made, the test statistic for the hypothesis of a cush root, t-adf is -1.697. Assuming that the ADF reconsision includes a constant and linear trend, this to reject the new hypothesis that it is a cush root authoreopersive process, an authoreopessive model is appropriate for DT.

From table by, the optimal log order of the ADE regression, by the AIC is 1. For this model, the test statistic for the hypothesis of a unit root, +-adf 15 -7.119. Assuming that the ADF regression includes a constant and linear treat, reject the null hypothess that & Dif is a unit root autoreexercisive process at the 5% level of significance. Dit is (frend) stationary. Y ~ I(1).

From table c, the optimal lop order of the ADF regression, by the AIC 15 3. For this model, the test sicuristic for the hypothesis of a unit root, t-act 13 - 1.859. Assuming that the ADF req reepession includes a constant and linear trend, fail to reflect the null hypothesis that Ct is a unit root autorepressive process at the 5% level of significance. An autoregressive moder is appropriate for set.

From table oc, the sprimar lace order of the ADF regression, by the AIC is 2. For this model, the test statistic for the hypothesis of a conit root, +-adf 15-5.075. Assuming that the ADF regression includes a constant and linear trend, refect the noul hypothesis that DC+ is a certif root autoregressive process at the 5% level of significance. Let is (then stectionary). Ct or ICU.

- ei (ampute Et= 74-1854 and conduct an ADF test for a unit root in Et. If we reject the now, we conclude that \$+ ~ I(0) and "+ and C+ are cointegrated with cointegrating coefficient 0=1
- i Estimate 8 by ous and compute \(\hat{\xi}_t = \tau_t \hat{\xi}_c \) what are regression is this? It seems quite and conduct an ADF test for a unit root in \(\hat{\xi}_t \) complicated robscure in the notes. Do we comp adjusted (Engle-Granger) critical values need to know the details of this repression? If we reject the null , we conclude that E+~I(0) and it and it are cointerpreted with coint countecriting coefficient 3=3.

what our regression is this? It seems quite

20 Ho: B,=0 H1: B110 where B, is the coefficient of on TH-1 in the given regression. 4-stcaistic +(0)= B,150 (B,)= 0.8410.04=21 it rever of significance d= 0.05, criman value ca, assuming man 1002 M(0,1) under the null is such that a = 30(5x-8) Ca = 1.96 t(0) > ca, the probability of observity a test-Statistic as unfavourable to the null, under the new, as that actually observed, is uchanical sum. Refea the null hypothesisthat lapped infication is useful in forecasting future infliction on the 5% well of significance. 14 & appropriate to use critical values taken from the M(0,1) distribution it the test statistic & counsides in greatifaction to MO(1) anger the new , which is the case of \$ at is stationary and cet noids. not sure about the bickes 6 # 72017321201771 = 0.68+0.84(0.02), = 0.68+0.84(2) = 2.36% c 30 cet 3, be the coefficient on 17+4 in the ADF regression (2) + StG+18 Ho: 31=0 H1: 3-+0 3, 40 +-statistic 8703.6-5 140.0 1701.0-1(15) \$21,5 160.0+ Under the null, +(0) = DFcn. Fail to, reflect, cut the 5% level of significance At the 5% level of significance, critical value so is such their # d = P(DFcn x Ca) Ca = - 2.86. Fail to reject, at the 5% lavel of significance, the null hypothesis that The is a cent root cultoregressive process, honce that TH is statishony. Estimated if the is a unit root culturegressive process, estimates of regression coefficients in (1) are brased. We thus have reason to think that (2) will gield more accurate regression forecasts of inflation.

d 40: 13=0 H1: 13 +0 where is is the coefficient on DIT+3 in (2). 4- STCHISHC 1(の: ならを(でる)= 0.19610.075 = 2.6183 under the null +(0) = N(0,1) At the level of significance d.5%, critical value # Sa such that d = 20 (=150) - Ca) Ca = - \$-1 (a/2) = 1.96 +(0) > cd Re Reject the null hypothesis that STITES does not is not usedict in predicting ATIT at the 5% love of significance. ATT, does not Porlaw on AR(3) moder, which excludes DTT+-3. e The given claim suggests that the distributions of infliction in the sounds believe nound with time, in particular, thich the distributions of infliction # during volcker's tenure differs from the distribution before and the distribution offer. The claim suggests two breakpoints in the series, one around the beginning of Vactor's tenure and are around the end. the can investigate the earth of a breakpoint when level of detail is appropriete for around the beginning of locker's tenure by this question? identifying some interval within which this breakpoint is prouside, computing the all using the Chair breakpoint statistics for the times - periods in this interval, to these the arent tout to earticopy and test or to cosytheaps is a breakpoint in this interval.

around the end of voicker's texture can be

tested by sim- a similar approach.

a. (v)	
acily is now a conit root iff 18,1482=1	
- 74 - 74-1	
= Bo+B, 1/4-1 + B=1/4-2 + Ut - 1/4	
= Bo+ (B1-1) Y+-1+ B2 Y+-2 + U+	
= Bo - B27+-(+B27+-2+U+	
Since Bi+Bz=1	
= Bo-B2DY+1 +44	
$\gamma_0 = \beta_0 \gamma_1 = \beta_2$	
c canon man Est+3 is standary, the order of	
interrection of 21+5 is the order of interrection	
of a process Etis is defined as the smathest	
26 21, 21 3 such that Jak is stationary	
Given that Exts has a unit root Exts is non	
Trationary. Given further that Edit 3 is	
stationary, the order of integration of ET+3 is	
1. The order of integration of a process \$7+3 is	
defined as the smallest de 21, 2, 3 such	
that galt is stanonary.	
2 Given that SY is stationary, ECST) is time-	
mvariant.	
	
(CEX Y:= E(DYX) and Y:= DYX-Y	
since sit is stationary and t is a constant,	
Ut is stationary.	
E(ut) = E(2/4-4) = E(2/4) = E(2/4) = E(2/4) = O	
Ty = The + 20 YH	
= Y4-1 +254	
~ 14-2 + 2/4-1 + 2/4	
= 70 + Z + 2/1	
= Yo + Z = 1 + 4 V;	
= Yo + p+ + Z = vi	
unere "+ is a mean-zero stationary process	
O PE	
= E(L)(+)	-,-!-!-!-!
= E(Bo- B24)+-1+(4)	
= Bo# BJE(DY+-1)	
SINCO E(CH) = E(E(CH/ Y+-1, Y4-2,)) = E(0)=0	
by linearity of expectations	
= Bo - Boyn	
since EES Y:= E(SYX) is time-involunt	
(1+B=)4=B0	
$V = \beta_0/(1+\beta_2)$	
Vt = 25+ - E(-07+)	

= A/+ - 41 Pas = BO - BOXX+ + 44 - BO/(1+BS) AVF =4+-17-1 =-B2(-2/4-1-2/4-E)+4-4-1 - B3 (T+- T+-1 (Y+-13 - Y1 - 2 e Isails is a random walk if up is a sequence of statisticity uncorrelated raindom variables. Given that Dit is stationary, ECDY+) is threeinvariant, hence "+=25+=E(55+) is stationary. 4 is sensily unconsisted if 27t is seriouy uncorrelated, which is the case iff \$2=0. f If $\mu=0$, it is decomposed into an initial value and a stochastic trend, which is the partial sum of a stationary process mean-zero stationary frocess. if 4 + 0, 4+ is decomposed into an initial value, a linear deterministic trend, and a stochastic trend.

4a At the d=5% love of significance, the ADF critical values are -286 for the DFan distribution and -3.41 for the DFth distribution.

that chark is elongound on I

At the 5% level of significance, fail to reject the noul hypotheses that cusually has a unit root and that cusual cusual has a unit root.

At the 5% level of significance, reject the null hypotheses that - subsult has a unit root and that useful has a unit root.

THE CUSCEKT ~ I(1) CREAT ~ I(1)

be the 5% level of skynificance, fail to reject the fruit hypothresis that work - Luselly has a unit root, but reject the new hypothresis that alusely - Allusely has a unit root. (Lusury - Ulsely) u I(1)

c since (usuch a I(1) and (usely a I(1), because there is a tendency to find statistically zidnytom a colosziou isromowske papason appara series even owner they are entirely independent peaces ICI) selles have a stocketic though and thus a tendency to exhibit long owners of increase or decrease such that movements in our series obbear to alidu matu workwerp in the other. As a rescut, estimates of regression coefficients are not consistent for sero +-oxclistic +(0) diverges in magnitude as the sample size Stone and goes us settle to any distribution, and R2 does not conveye to sero and will be high with a non-negligible probability. Because of opurous correlation between I(1) series, the high t-statistics on the regressions and high Rs relationship between Woukt and Custout.

d test for contegration between Useful and Usluk

at the three notions by estimating cointegrating
coefficient of by Ols, compating the estimated
equilibrium error êt: Useful on ADF test of
for i e ê = 1,7,21 & performing on ADF test of
the null that êt nos a with root agentist the
alternative that êt is stationary want adjusted
eugle-Grayer critical values. If the null is
repeated, we conclude that the the null is
whether and Useux t-1 are cointegrative, the
absenced relationarily is 'evenume', and cusux t-:
is able to foreast WBEUt.