Microeconomics Paper 150529

In consider arbitrary set of social outcomes  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ . Consider arbitrary set set of preference relations  $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$  ear for in egents. social outcome  $x_1$  the for all  $x_2$  the for all  $x_3$  the for all  $x_4$  the for some  $x_4$  the for some  $x_4$  the formula  $x_4$ 

ane advantage of the Pareto anterior is that Pareto dominates if x1 Pareto dominates x; each agent prefers x; to x; and some agent attictly so, and any outcome that is not Pareto dominated is such that no great can be made better of without making another worse off.

The discolutiontoges of the Pareto Criterian: (1)

It is not necessarily the case that any two outcomes are threto-comparable, it could be the case that some egant straty prefers one = 10 the other and another agent has opposite preferences. (2) Pareto dominant outcomes could be distributibly inequitable.

6 B. E. G are Pareto - efficient because at these points, indifference curves are to at a tangent to each other. So marginal rates of substitution are equal, there is no mutually profitable excharge between a and b, there is no allocation that hies above the indifference curves of both a and b such that both are tetter off.

B. E. D are Pareto superior to A. Ecan of these lies weakly above both indifference curves through A and strictly above at least one indifference cure through A, so both consumers are weakly better off and at least one is strictly so.

C is fare to inferior to A. It was strictly below both indifference curves through A.

c true. From the above a is Pareto efficient.

A is not because it is (from the above)

Pareto dominated by D (for example). The

A and a are not Pareto comparable because
a otherty prefers A to G (A lies on a

Inigher indifference and for a) but bostrictly

prefers G to A (G lies on a higher

indifference are).

A social planner could choose between it and a wring a latilitarian criterion, which selects the allocation that maximises total citility.

d the allocation it and price ratio po constitute a competitive equilibrium because at this pallocation and price ratio, a's excess supply of good x, given by the horizonted distance between it aild it is equal to b's excess demand of good x, given by the same introducted distance. Similarly for good y, b's excess supply equals a's excess demand so both neckets clear.

Price ratio positions a competitue equilibrium price ratio because given endownent M, markets to not chear at this price ratio. as excess supply of x given by the horizontal distance between M and C (which gives as domands at price ratio p' with endownent in) is less than o's excess demand for x, given by the von sontal distance between M and J. Similiarly, o's excess supply of y is grea exceeds a's excess demand for y.

e Transfer some amount of x from a to b

such that the resulting allocation (res a)

the price line that (1) passes through k and

(2) is tangent to both indifference cures at

K.

the equilibrium price rates will be greater than p. This is sown that as excess supply of x increases and bs excess demand for x decreases and the market for x aleans. Buy workness can, the market for y then also ciecus.