

Sider Model Propositional Logic Semantics Exercises

Base case

Consider arbitrary MPL-iff ϕ such that complexity, i.e. number of connectives $(\neg, \rightarrow, \Box)$ in ϕ is 0. Consider arbitrary ω . Then ϕ is a sentence letter.

Suppose that

consider arbitrary MPL-iff ϕ . Suppose that $\models_{SS} \phi$, then $V_M(\phi, \omega) = 1$ for all SS-models $M = \langle W, R, I \rangle$ and all $\omega \in W$. Every 0-model is a SS-model, because if R is total on W , then it is also an equivalence relation on W . So $V_M(\phi, \omega) = 1$ for all 0-models $M = \langle W, R, I \rangle$ and all $\omega \in W$, hence $\models_0 \phi$.

Suppose that $\not\models_0 \phi$. Suppose for reductio that $\models_{SS} \phi$. Then, by definition, for some SS-model $M = \langle W, R, I \rangle$, and some world $\omega \in W$, $V_M(\phi, \omega) = 0$. Let M^0 be the 0-model $\langle W^0, R^0, I^0 \rangle$ such that $W^0 = \{u \in W : R\omega u\}$, $R^0 = \{ \langle u, v \rangle : u, v \in W^0 \}$, $I^0(\alpha, \omega^0) = I(\alpha, \omega)$ for all sentence letters α and $\omega^0 \in W^0$. $V_{M^0}(\phi, \omega^0) = 0$ (proof below), so $\not\models_0 \phi$.

By biconditional proof, $\models_0 \phi$ iff $\models_{SS} \phi$

Base case

consider arbitrary MPL-iff ϕ such that complexity, i.e. number of connectives $(\neg, \rightarrow, \Box)$ in ϕ is 0.

consider arbitrary SS-model $M = \langle W, R, I \rangle$ and arbitrary $\omega \in W$. Let M^0 be the 0-model $\langle W^0, R^0, I^0 \rangle$ such that $W^0 = \{u \in W : R\omega u\}$, $R^0 = \{ \langle u, v \rangle : u, v \in W^0 \}$, $I^0(\alpha, \omega^0) = I(\alpha, \omega)$ for all sentence letters α , worlds $\omega^0 \in W^0$.

consider arbitrary MPL-iff ϕ such that complexity, i.e. number of connectives $(\neg, \rightarrow, \Box)$ in ϕ is 0.

Base case.

consider arbitrary MPL-iff ϕ such that complexity, i.e. number of connectives $(\neg, \rightarrow, \Box)$ in ϕ is 0.

then ϕ is a sentence letter α . $V_M(\phi, \omega) = I(\alpha, \omega) = I^0(\alpha, \omega^0) = V_{M^0}(\alpha, \omega^0)$. For all ϕ by generalization, for all MPL-iffs ϕ such that $C(\phi) = 0$, $V_M(\phi, \omega) = V_{M^0}(\phi, \omega^0)$ for all $\omega^0 \in W^0$.

Induction Hypothesis

For given n , for all $m < n$, for all MPL-iffs ϕ such that $C(\phi) = m$, $V_M(\phi, \omega) = V_{M^0}(\phi, \omega^0)$ for all $\omega^0 \in W^0$.

Induction step

consider arbitrary MPL-iff ϕ such that $C(\phi) = n$.

then $\phi = \neg \psi$, $\psi \rightarrow \chi$, or $\Box \psi$.

suppose $\phi = \neg \psi$, then $C(\psi) = C(\phi) - 1 = n - 1 < n$. $V_M(\phi, \omega) = 1$ iff by definition of $V_M(\phi, \omega)$ \neg clause $V_M(\psi, \omega) = 0$ iff by IH $V_{M^0}(\psi, \omega^0) = 0$ iff $V_{M^0}(\phi, \omega^0) = 1$, so $V_M(\phi, \omega) = V_{M^0}(\phi, \omega^0)$.

suppose $\phi = \psi \rightarrow \chi$, then $C(\psi) + C(\chi) + 1 = C(\phi)$ so $C(\psi), C(\chi) < n$. $V_M(\phi, \omega) = 1$ by \rightarrow clause, $V_M(\psi, \omega) = 0$ or $V_M(\chi, \omega) = 1$ iff by IH $V_{M^0}(\psi, \omega^0) = 0$ or $V_{M^0}(\chi, \omega^0) = 1$ iff $V_{M^0}(\phi, \omega^0) = 1$. so $V_M(\phi, \omega) = V_{M^0}(\phi, \omega^0)$ for all $\omega^0 \in W^0$.

suppose $\phi = \Box \psi$, then $C(\psi) = C(\phi) - 1 = n - 1 < n$. $V_M(\phi, \omega) = 1$ iff $V_M(\psi, u) = 1$ for all u such that $R\omega u$ iff by IH $V_{M^0}(\psi, u^0) = 1$ for all u^0 such that $R^0\omega^0 u^0$ iff by construction of M^0 , $V_{M^0}(\psi, \omega^0) = 1$ for all ω^0 such that $R^0\omega^0 \omega^0$ iff $V_{M^0}(\phi, \omega^0) = 1$. so $V_M(\phi, \omega) = V_{M^0}(\phi, \omega^0)$ for all $\omega^0 \in W^0$.

By cases, for all ϕ such that $C(\phi) = n$, $V_M(\phi, \omega) = V_{M^0}(\phi, \omega^0)$ for all $\omega^0 \in W^0$.

By induction, for all MPL-iffs ϕ , $V_M(\phi, \omega) = V_{M^0}(\phi, \omega^0)$ for all $\omega^0 \in W^0$.

so if for some SS-model $M = \langle W, R, I \rangle$ and $\omega \in W$, if $V_M(\phi, \omega) = 0$ then $V_{M^0}(\phi, \omega^0) = 0$.

$$2a \models_D [\Box P \wedge \Box (\neg P \vee Q)] \rightarrow \Box Q$$

consider: arbitrary D-model $M = \langle W, R, \rangle$, and arbitrary world $w \in W$. Suppose for reductio that

$$(1) \forall m ([\Box P \wedge \Box (\neg P \vee Q)] \rightarrow \Box Q, w) = 0$$

$$(1), \rightarrow \Rightarrow$$

$$(2) \forall m (\Box P \wedge \Box (\neg P \vee Q), w) = 1$$

$$(3) \forall m (\Box Q, w) = 0$$

$$(2), \text{ derived } \wedge \Rightarrow$$

$$(4) \forall m (\Box P, w) = 1$$

$$(5) \forall m (\Box (\neg P \vee Q), w) = 1$$

$$(4), \Box \Rightarrow$$

$$(6) \forall u \in W, Rww : \forall m (P, u) = 1$$

$$(5), \Box \Rightarrow$$

$$(7) \forall u \in W, Rww : \forall m (\neg P \vee Q, u) = 1$$

$$(6), (7), \vee, \text{ derived } \vee \Rightarrow$$

$$(8) \forall u \in W, Rww : \forall m (Q, u) = 1$$

$$(3), \text{ derived } \Box \Rightarrow$$

$$(9) \forall u \in W, Rww : \forall m (Q, u) = 0$$

$$\text{Serialness of } R \text{ on } W \Rightarrow$$

$$(10) \exists u \in W : Rww$$

$$(8), (9), (10) \Rightarrow$$

$$(11) \exists u \in W : Rww, \forall m (Q, u) = 1, \forall m (Q, u) = 0$$

$$(11), \text{ reductio}$$

$$(12) \forall m ([\Box P \wedge \Box (\neg P \vee Q)] \rightarrow \Box Q, w) = 1$$

$$(12), \text{ generalisation, definition of } \models_D$$

$$(13) \models_D [\Box P \wedge \Box (\neg P \vee Q)] \rightarrow \Box Q$$

$$b \models_{st} \Box (\Box (P \wedge Q)) \rightarrow \Box Q$$

consider arbitrary st-model $M = \langle W, R, \rangle$ and ~~for~~ arbitrary world $w \in W$. Suppose for reductio that

$$(1) \forall m (\Box (\Box (P \wedge Q)) \rightarrow \Box Q, w) = 0$$

$$(1), \rightarrow \Rightarrow$$

$$(2) \forall m (\Box (\Box (P \wedge Q)), w) = 1$$

$$(3) \forall m (\Box Q, w) = 0$$

$$(2), \text{ derived } \Box \Rightarrow$$

$$(4) \exists u \in W, Rww : \forall m (\Box (P \wedge Q), u) = 1$$

$$(4), \text{ derived } \Box \Rightarrow$$

$$(5) \exists u, v \in W, Rww, Ruv : \forall m (P \wedge Q, v) = 1$$

$$(5), \text{ derived } \wedge \Rightarrow$$

$$(6) \exists u, v \in W, Rww, Ruv : \forall m (Q, v) = 1$$

$$(6), \text{ transitivity of } R \text{ on } W \Rightarrow$$

$$(7) \exists v \in W, Ruv : \forall m (Q, v) = 1$$

$$(3), \text{ derived } \Box \Rightarrow$$

$$(8) \nexists v \in W, Ruv : \forall m (Q, v) = 1$$

$$(7), (8), \text{ reductio}$$

$$(9) \forall m (\Box (\Box (P \wedge Q)) \rightarrow \Box Q, w) = 1$$

$$(9), \text{ generalisation, definition of } \models_{st}$$

$$(10) \models_{st} \Box (\Box (P \wedge Q)) \rightarrow \Box Q$$

