

Microeconomic Analysis Problem Set 2

a By the (ϵ, δ) definition of continuity, function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is continuous at \vec{x}_0 iff $\forall \epsilon > 0 \in \mathbb{R}: \exists \delta > 0 \in \mathbb{R}: \text{if } \|\vec{x}_1 - \vec{x}_0\| < \delta \text{ then } \|f(\vec{x}_1) - f(\vec{x}_0)\| < \epsilon$.

$$f(x, y) = xy$$

$$\|(x, y) - (0, 0)\| = \|(x, y)\| = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$$

$$\|f(x, y) - f(0, 0)\| = \|xy\| = xy$$

$$x, y \leq \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$$

$$xy \leq (\sqrt{x^2 + y^2})^2$$

If $\|(x, y) - (0, 0)\| < \delta$, then $\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} < \delta$, then

$xy < \delta^2$, then $\|f(x, y) - f(0, 0)\| < \delta^2$.

So if $\forall \epsilon > 0: \exists \delta > 0$ namely $\delta = \sqrt{\epsilon}$ such that if

$\|(x, y) - (0, 0)\| < \delta$ then $\|f(x, y) - f(0, 0)\| < \epsilon$.

By the (ϵ, δ) definition of continuity, $f(x, y) = xy$ is continuous at $0, 0$.

b $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous at \vec{x}_0 ①

$g: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous at \vec{x}_0 ②

From ①, by the (ϵ, δ) definition of continuity,

$\forall \epsilon > 0: \exists \delta > 0: \text{if } \|\vec{x}_1 - \vec{x}_0\| < \delta \text{ then } \|f(\vec{x}_1) - f(\vec{x}_0)\| < \epsilon$ ③

$\forall \epsilon' > 0: \exists \delta' > 0: \text{if } \|\vec{x}_1 - \vec{x}_0\| < \delta' \text{ then } \|g(\vec{x}_1) - g(\vec{x}_0)\| < \epsilon'$ ④

From ③ and ④

$\forall \epsilon, \epsilon' > 0: \exists \delta, \delta' > 0: \text{if } \|\vec{x}_1 - \vec{x}_0\| < \delta \text{ then } \|f(\vec{x}_1) - f(\vec{x}_0)\| < \epsilon$

and if $\|\vec{x}_1 - \vec{x}_0\| < \delta' \text{ then } \|g(\vec{x}_1) - g(\vec{x}_0)\| < \epsilon'$ ⑤

From ⑤

$\forall \epsilon, \epsilon' > 0: \exists \delta^* > 0$, namely $\exists \delta, \delta' > 0: \text{if } \|\vec{x}_1 - \vec{x}_0\| < \min\{\delta, \delta'\} \equiv \delta^* \text{ then } \|f(\vec{x}_1) - f(\vec{x}_0)\| < \epsilon$ and

$\|g(\vec{x}_1) - g(\vec{x}_0)\| < \epsilon'$ ⑥

From ⑥

$\forall \epsilon, \epsilon' > 0: \exists \delta^* > 0: \text{if } \|\vec{x}_1 - \vec{x}_0\| < \delta^* \text{ then}$

$\|(f+g)(\vec{x}_1) - (f+g)(\vec{x}_0)\| < \epsilon + \epsilon' \equiv \epsilon^*$ ⑦

From ⑦

$\forall \epsilon^* > 0: \exists \delta^* > 0: \text{if } \|\vec{x}_1 - \vec{x}_0\| < \delta^* \text{ then}$

$\|(f+g)(\vec{x}_1) - (f+g)(\vec{x}_0)\| < \epsilon^*$ ⑧

From ⑧, by the (ϵ, δ) definition of continuity,

$f+g$ is continuous at \vec{x}_0 .

2a. The k^{th} partial derivative of the function f at $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$\partial f / \partial x_k(\vec{x})$ is formally defined as $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} (1/h) [f(x_1, \dots, x_k + h, \dots, x_n) - f(\vec{x})]$

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} (1/h) [f(x_1, \dots, x_k + h, \dots, x_n) - f(\vec{x})]$$

$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} x^3 / x^2 + y^2 & \text{if } (x, y) \neq (0, 0) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\partial f / \partial x = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} (1/h) [f(h, 0) - f(0, 0)]$$

$$(0, 0) \neq \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} (1/h) [h - 0]$$

$$= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} 1$$

$$= 1$$

$$\partial f / \partial y = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} (1/h) [f(0, h) - f(0, 0)]$$

$$(0, 0) \neq \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} (1/h) [0]$$

$$= 0$$

$$g(x, y) = \begin{cases} x^{1/2} y^{1/2} & \text{if } x \geq 0 \text{ and } y \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\partial g / \partial x(0, 0) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} (1/h) [g(h, 0) - g(0, 0)]$$

$$= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} (1/h) [0]$$

$$= 0$$

$$\text{By symmetry, } \partial g / \partial y = 0$$

$$b. f_x = x^3 (-1)(x^2 + y^2)^{-2} (2x) + 3x^2 (x^2 + y^2)^{-1} \text{ if } (x, y) \neq (0, 0)$$

$$= -2x^4 (x^2 + y^2)^{-2} + 3x^2 (x^2 + y^2)^{-1}$$

$$= x^2 (x^2 + y^2)^{-2} [-2x^2 + 3(x^2 + y^2)]$$

$$= x^2 (x^2 + y^2)^{-2} [x^2 + 3y^2] \text{ if } (x, y) \neq (0, 0)$$

$$f_y = x^3 (x^2 + y^2)^{-2} (-1)(x^2 + y^2)^{-2} (2y) \text{ if } (x, y) \neq (0, 0)$$

$$= -2x^3 y (x^2 + y^2)^{-2} \text{ if } (x, y) \neq (0, 0)$$

$$g_x = (1/2) x^{-1/2} y^{1/2} \text{ if } x \geq 0 \text{ and } y \geq 0$$

$$g_y = (1/2) y^{-1/2} x^{1/2} \text{ if } x \geq 0 \text{ and } y \geq 0$$

$$\partial u = \sum_{i=1}^L d_i \ln x_i, \quad d_i > 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^L d_i = 1$$

$$\partial u = (\partial_1 u \quad \partial_2 u \quad \dots \quad \partial_L u)$$

$$= (d_1/x_1 \quad d_2/x_2 \quad \dots \quad d_L/x_L)$$

$$b) \partial^2 u = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_1 \partial_1 u & \partial_1 \partial_2 u & \dots & \partial_1 \partial_L u \\ \partial_2 \partial_1 u & \partial_2 \partial_2 u & \dots & \partial_2 \partial_L u \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \partial_L \partial_1 u & \partial_L \partial_2 u & \dots & \partial_L \partial_L u \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} -d_1 x_1^{-2} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & -d_2 x_2^{-2} & & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & -d_L x_L^{-2} \end{pmatrix}$$

c) let $\partial^2 u_{ij}$ denote the element in the i th row and j th column of $\partial^2 u$. By definition of $\partial^2 u$, $\partial_{ij} \in \{1, \dots, L\}$:
 $\partial^2 u_{ij} = \partial_i \partial_j u = \partial_i (d_j/x_j) = 0$ for all $i \neq j$. Then $\partial^2 u$ is a diagonal matrix so $\partial^2 u$ is a symmetric matrix. This is confirmed by inspection.

Let $\partial^2 u^k$ denote the square submatrix of $\partial^2 u$ with only the first k rows and columns retained. Then $\partial^2 u^1 = (-d_1 x_1^{-2})$

$$\partial^2 u^2 = \begin{pmatrix} -d_1 x_1^{-2} & 0 \\ 0 & -d_2 x_2^{-2} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and so on.}$$

$$\det (-1)^1 \det \partial^2 u^1 = d_1 x_1^{-2}$$

$$(-1)^2 \det \partial^2 u^2 = (d_1 x_1^{-2}) (d_2 x_2^{-2})$$

$$(-1)^k \det \partial^2 u^k = (-1)^k \prod_{i=1}^k (-d_i x_i^{-2})$$

Since the determinant of a diagonal matrix is the product of its diagonal elements

$$= \prod_{i=1}^k (d_i x_i^{-2})$$

$$> 0 \text{ for all } k$$

Given $d_i > 0$, assuming that u is well-defined then $d_i \ln x_i$ is well-defined then $d_i : x_i \neq 0$ then $d_i x_i^{-2} > 0$.

By the determinant test, $\partial^2 u$ is negative definite.

d) $\vec{y}^T \partial^2 u \vec{y}$ is well-defined only if \vec{y} is a vector of length L . Let $\vec{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_L)^T$

$$\partial^2 u \vec{y} = \begin{pmatrix} -d_1 x_1^{-2} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & -d_2 x_2^{-2} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & -d_L x_L^{-2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_L \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} -y_1 d_1 x_1^{-2} & -y_2 d_2 x_2^{-2} & \dots & -y_L d_L x_L^{-2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\vec{y}^T \partial^2 u \vec{y} = \begin{pmatrix} -y_1 d_1 x_1^{-2} \\ -y_2 d_2 x_2^{-2} \\ \vdots \\ -y_L d_L x_L^{-2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\vec{y}^T \partial^2 u \vec{y} = (y_1 \ y_2 \ \dots \ y_L) \begin{pmatrix} -y_1 d_1 x_1^{-2} \\ -y_2 d_2 x_2^{-2} \\ \vdots \\ -y_L d_L x_L^{-2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= -y_1^2 d_1 x_1^{-2} - y_2^2 d_2 x_2^{-2} - \dots - y_L^2 d_L x_L^{-2}$$

$$= -\sum_{i=1}^L d_i y_i^2 x_i^{-2}$$

$$< 0 \text{ for all } \vec{y} \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}^L$$

Given $d_i > 0$, assuming that u is well-defined hence $d_i : x_i^{-2} > 0$

By checking $\vec{y}^T \partial^2 u \vec{y}$, $\partial^2 u$ is negative definite.

$$e) u(\vec{x}') = u(\vec{x}) + \partial u(\vec{x})(\vec{x}' - \vec{x}) + \frac{1}{2}(\vec{x}' - \vec{x})^T \partial^2 u(\vec{x})(\vec{x}' - \vec{x})$$

$$= 0 + (d_1/x_1 \quad d_2/x_2 \quad \dots \quad d_L/x_L) (x'_1 - x_1 \quad x'_2 - x_2 \quad \dots \quad x'_L - x_L)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} (x'_1 - x_1 \quad x'_2 - x_2 \quad \dots \quad x'_L - x_L) \partial^2 u(x'_1 - x_1 \quad x'_2 - x_2 \quad \dots \quad x'_L - x_L)^T$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= d_1(x'_1-1) + d_2(x'_2-1) + \dots + d_L(x'_L-1) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} [-d_1(x'_1-1)^2 - d_2(x'_2-1)^2 + \dots + d_L(x'_L-1)^2] \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^L d_i (x'_i-1) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^L d_i (x'_i-1)^2
 \end{aligned}$$

4a Let $f(x, y, z) = z^2 + xz + yx^2 + y^3$

$$f(x, y, z) = 0$$

$$z^2 + xz + yx^2 + y^3 = 0$$

$$z^2 + xz = -yx^2 - y^3$$

$$(z + x/2)^2 - x^2/4 = -yx^2 - y^3$$

$$(z + x/2) = \sqrt{-yx^2 - y^3 + x^2/4}$$

$$z = g(x, y) = \sqrt{-yx^2 - y^3 + x^2/4} - x/2$$

$$f_x = z + 2xy$$

$$f_y = x^2 + 3y^2$$

$$f_z = 2z + x$$

By inspection, f_x , f_y , and f_z are continuous, so f is C^1

$f_x(s^*) = f_z(s^*) = 1 \neq 0$. Then, by the implicit function

theorem, $f(x, y, z) = 0$ defines a $z = g(x, y)$ in the

neighbourhood of $(x^*, y^*) = (1, 0)$ such that $z^* = g(x^*, y^*)$,

$$f(x^*, y^*, g(x^*, y^*)) = 0 \text{ and } g_x(x, y, z) =$$

$$g_x(x, y, z) = -f_x(x, y, z)/f_z(x, y, z) \text{ and}$$

$$g_y(x, y, z) = -f_y(x, y, z)/f_z(x, y, z)$$

b ~~$g_x(x=1, y=0) =$~~

$$z = g(x=1, y=0) = 0$$

$$g_x(x=1, y=0) =$$

$$g_x(1, 0, 0) = -0/0 = 0$$

$$g_y(1, 0, 0) = -1/1 = -1$$

a) Let $f_1(x, y, u, v) = x^2 - y^2 - u^2 + v^2 + 4$,
 $f_2(x, y, u, v) = 2xy + y^2 - 2u^2 + 3v^2 + 8$,
 and $f = (f_1, f_2)$

Given $f(s^*) = (0, 0)$

$$Df = \begin{pmatrix} \partial f_1 / \partial x & \partial f_1 / \partial y & \partial f_1 / \partial u & \partial f_1 / \partial v \\ \partial f_2 / \partial x & \partial f_2 / \partial y & \partial f_2 / \partial u & \partial f_2 / \partial v \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 2x & -2y & -2u & 2v \\ 2y & 2x & -4u & 6v \end{pmatrix}$$

By inspection, each element of Df is continuous, so f is C_1

$$D_{u,v}f = \begin{pmatrix} -2u & 2v \\ -4u & 6v \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\det D_{u,v}f = -36u^2v^2 + 8uv$$

$$\text{At } s^*, \det D_{u,v}f = -36(2^2)(1^2) + 8(2)(1)$$

$$= -144 + 16$$

$$= -128 \neq 0$$

So $D_{u,v}f$ is invertible at s^*

Then, by the implicit function theorem, $f(x, y, u, v) = (0, 0)$

defines a function $(u, v) = g(x, y)$ such that (u^*, v^*)

$$= g(x^*, y^*), f(x^*, y^*, g(x^*, y^*)) = (0, 0)$$

$$D_x g = -[D_{u,v}f]^{-1} D_x f \text{ and } D_y g = -[D_{u,v}f]^{-1} D_y f$$

b) $(u, v) = g(2, -1) = (2, 1)$

$$\text{At } (2, -1, 2, 1), D_{u,v}f = \begin{pmatrix} -12 & 2 \\ -8 & 12 \end{pmatrix}, \det D_{u,v}f = -128$$

$$\text{By Cramer's rule, } [D_{u,v}f]^{-1} = -\frac{1}{128} \begin{pmatrix} 12 & -2 \\ 8 & -12 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$D_x f = \begin{pmatrix} \partial f_1 / \partial x \\ \partial f_2 / \partial x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2x \\ 2y \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{At } (2, -1, 2, 1), D_x f = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$D_x g = -[D_{u,v}f]^{-1} D_x f = \frac{1}{128} \begin{pmatrix} 12 & -2 \\ 8 & -12 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{128} (52, 56)$$

$$= \frac{1}{32} (13, 14)$$

$$\partial u / \partial x = 13/32, \partial v / \partial x = 14/32$$

$$6 \quad f(x,y) = x^4 + x^2 - 6xy + 3y^2$$

$$Df(x,y) = (4x^3 + 2x - 6y, -6x + 6y)$$

FOCs:

$$4x^3 + 2x - 6y = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$-6x + 6y = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$\text{From (2), } x = y \quad (3)$$

Sub (3) into (1)

$$4x^3 - 4x = 4x(x+1)(x-1) = 0, x = -1, 0, \text{ or } 1$$

critical points: $(-1, -1), (0, 0), (1, 1)$

$$D^2f(x,y) = \begin{pmatrix} 12x^2 + 2 & -6 \\ -6 & 6 \end{pmatrix}$$

JOs:

For $(-1, -1)$

$$\det D^2f(-1, -1) = \det \begin{pmatrix} 14 & -6 \\ -6 & 6 \end{pmatrix} = 48 > 0$$

$$\det [D^2f(-1, -1)]_1 = \det (14) = 14 > 0$$

$D^2f(-1, -1)$ is positive definite, $(-1, -1)$ is a strict local minimum

$$\det D^2f(0, 0) = \det \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -6 \\ -6 & 6 \end{pmatrix} = -24 < 0$$

$D^2f(0, 0)$ is indefinite, $(0, 0)$ is a saddle point.

$$\det D^2f(1, 1) = \det \begin{pmatrix} 14 & -6 \\ -6 & 6 \end{pmatrix} = 48 > 0$$

$$\det [D^2f(1, 1)]_1 = \det (14) = 14 > 0$$

$D^2f(1, 1)$ is positive definite, $(1, 1)$ is a strict local minimum.

21 \forall, \exists notation is good

Make explicit the intermediate step that
 $\sqrt{x^2+y^2} < \delta \Rightarrow \sqrt{x^2} < \delta$ and $\sqrt{y^2} < \delta \Rightarrow xy < \delta^2$
so if ... namely ... notation is correct

Note: $|f(x_0) - f(x)| + |g(x_0) - g(x)| \geq |f(x_0) - f(x) + g(x_0) - g(x)|$
Not =

Easier to construct problems to show continuity

Do not need to prove continuity where obvious

22 ~~$\partial_x f$~~ $\partial_x f$ at $(x,y) \neq (0,0)$ found simply by
differentiating $x^3 / (x^2+y^2)$

Can simply claim that f_x is continuous by
inspection

Arithmetic error in f_x

Partial derivative can be written as $\begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (x,y) = (0,0) \\ \dots & \text{else} \end{cases}$

can show ~~$\lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (0,0)} f_x$~~ f_x is non-continuous by
finding some sequence ~~to show~~ f such that
 $\lim f_x$ is not 1 from some direction.

Sequences are useful to find a counterexample

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{n} \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \rightarrow (0,0), \quad \left\{ f\left(0, \frac{1}{n}\right) \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \neq 1$$

C^1 implies differentiable, but not the reverse

$$\text{Differentiable} \iff \lim_{\|(h_1, h_2)\| \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(h_1, h_2) - f(0,0) - Df(0,0)(h_1, h_2)}{\|(h_1, h_2)\|} = 0$$

simplify then check diagonal $\left\{ \frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n} \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, also
 $\frac{1}{n}, \frac{2}{n}$, etc.

Find partial derivatives at $(0,0)$ by limit formula
also compute pds elsewhere

Find partial derivatives at $(0,y)$ $(x,0)$ by limit method

Partial derivatives don't exist on the axes
can show partial derivatives not continuous to
 $(0,0)$ from diagonals

* Extra continuity weaker requirement than differentiability
can be not C^1 but still differentiable

f^n not continuous $\Rightarrow f^n$ not differentiable

differentiable: "anywhere you can approximate w
a line"

Continuity nec for differentiability
 C^1 not nec for differentiability

4 Correct to first check if f is C^1
Can be given "by inspection"
Correct to check $f_2(x^*) \neq 0$
Then by implicit fn theorem
 $z = g(x, y)$
 ~~$0 = g(x, y)$~~ $z^* = g(x^*, y^*)$
 g has cont pt at (x^*, y^*)
Not necessary to find the function

5 All polynomials are C^1

- (a) is all correct
- (b) is all correct

6 Saddle: incr in some directions, decr in others
(informally)
Correct

How to check global?

- Find counterexample
- Prove function is bounded

3 When providing Taylor approx, evaluate ~~the~~
Jacobian & Hessian at the point that you
are approximating.
(c) can check definiteness in many ways
 \approx notation is correct

Taylor approx gives intuition behind definiteness
check.