User Needs + Defining Success Chapter worksheet



Instructions

Block out time to get as many cross-functional leads as possible together in a room to work through these exercises & checklists.

Exercises

1. Evidence of user need [multiple sessions]

Gather existing research and make a case for using AI to solve your user need.

2. Augmentation versus automation [multiple sessions]

Conduct user research to understand attitudes around automation versus augmentation.

3. Design your reward function [~1 hour]

Weigh the trade offs between precision and recall for the user experience.

4. Define success criteria [~1 hour]

Agree on how to measure if your feature is working or not, and consider the second order effects.

1. Evidence of user need

Before diving into whether or not to use AI, your team should gather user research detailing the problem you're trying to solve. The person in charge of user research should aggregate existing evidence for the team to reference in the subsequent exercises.

User research summary

List out the existing evidence you have supporting your user need. Add more rows as needed.

| Date | Source | Summary of findings |
|------|--------|---------------------|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Make a case for and against your Al feature

Meet as a team, look at the existing user research and evidence you have, and detail the user need you're trying to solve.

Next, write down a clear, focused statement of the user need and read through each of the statements below to identify if your user need is a potential good fit for an AI solution.

At the end of this exercise your team should be aligned on whether AI is a solution worth pursuing and why.



| How might we solve{ our user need }? |
|--------------------------------------------|
| Can AI solve this problem in a unique way? |

| Al probably better | Al probably not better |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| The core experience requires recommending different content to different users. The core experience requires | ☐ The most valuable part of the core experience is its predictability regardless of context or additional user input. |
| prediction of future events. Personalization will improve the user experience. | The cost of errors is very high and outweighs the benefits of a small increase in success rate. |
| User experience requires natural language interactions. | Users, customers, or developers need to understand exactly everything that happens in the code. |
| Need to recognize a general class of things that is too large to articulate every case. | Speed of development and getting to market first is more important than anything else, including the value using |
| □ Need to detect low occurrence events that are constantly evolving. | Al would provide. Deople explicitly tell you they don't |
| An agent or bot experience for a particular domain. | want a task automated or augmented. |
| ☐ The user experience doesn't rely on predictability. | |

| We think Al { can / c | ean not } help solve _ | { user need } | , because |
|------------------------------|------------------------|---------------|-----------|
| | | | |
| | | | |

2. Augmentation versus automation

Conduct research to understand user attitudes

If your team has a hypothesis for why AI is a good fit for your user's need, conduct user research to further validate if AI is a good solution through the lens of automation or augmentation.

If your team is light on field research for the problem space you're working in, contextual inquiries can be a great method to understand opportunities for automation or augmentation.

Below are some example questions you can ask to learn about how your users think about automation and augmentation.

Research protocol questions

- If you were helping to train a new coworker for a similar role, what would be the most important tasks you would teach them first?
- Tell me more about that action you just took, is that an action you repeat:
 - Hourly
 - Daily
 - Weekly
 - Monthly
 - Quarterly
 - Annually
- If you had a human assistant to work with on this task, what, if any, duties would you give them to carry out?

If going to meet your users in context isn't feasible, you can also look into prototyping a selection of automation and augmentation solutions to understand initial user reactions.

The <u>Triptech method</u> is an early concept evaluation method that can be used to outline user requirements based on likes, dislikes, expectations, and concerns.

Research protocol questions

- Describe your first impression of this feature.
- How often do you encounter the following problem: [insert problem/need statement here]?
 - Daily
 - o Often (a few times a week)
 - Sometimes (a few times a month)
 - o Rarely (a few times a year)
 - Never
- How important is it to address this need or problem?
 - Not at all important
 - Somewhat important
 - Moderately important
 - Very important
 - Extremely important

3. Design your reward function

Once your team has had a chance to digest your recent research on user attitudes towards automation and augmentation, meet as a team to design your Al's **reward function**. You'll revisit this exercise as you continue to iterate on your feature and uncover new insights about how your Al performs.

Use the template below to list out instances of each reward function dimension.

Reward function template

Prediction

| | Positive | Negative |
|----------|----------------|----------------|
| | True Positive | False Negative |
| Positive | {Example 1} | {Example 1} |
| Pos | {Example 2} | {Example 2} |
| _ | {Example 3} | {Example 3} |
| | | |
| O | False Positive | True Negative |
| Negative | {Example 1} | {Example 1} |
| Se | {Example 2} | {Example 2} |
| | {Example 3} | {Example 3} |
| | | |

Reference

Take a look at the false positives and false negatives your team has identified.

- If your feature offers the most user benefit for **fewer false positives**, consider optimizing for **precision**.
- If your feature offers the most user benefit for **fewer false negatives**, consider optimizing for **recall**.

| We understand | I that the tradeoff for choosing this method means o |
|---------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| | {user impact } |

4. Define success criteria

Now that you've done the work to understand whether AI is a good fit for your user need and identified the tradeoffs of your AI's reward function, it's time to meet as a team to define success criteria for your feature. Your team may come up with multiple metrics for success by the end of this exercise.

By the end of this exercise, everyone on the team should feel aligned on what success looks like for your feature, and how to alert the team if there is evidence that your feature is failing to meet the success criteria.

Success metrics framework

Start with this template and try a few different versions:

If { specific success metric }

| | (opeome adoces metric) |
|-------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| | for { your team's specific Al driven feature } |
| | { drops below/goes above } { meaningful threshold } |
| | we will{ take a specific action } |
| Versi | ion 1 |
| | |
| | |
| Versi | ion 2 |
| | |
| | |



| Version 3 |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| |
| |
| Statement iteration |
| Take each version through this checklist: |
| ☐ Is this metric meaningful for all of our users? |
| ☐ How might this metric negatively impact some of our users? |
| ☐ Is this what success means for our feature on day 1? |
| ☐ What about day 1,000? |
| Final version |
| |
| |
| |
| Schedule regular reviews |
| Once you've agreed upon your success metric(s), put time on the calendar to hold your team |
| accountable to regularly evaluate whether your feature is progressing towards and meeting your |
| defined criteria. |
| Success metric review |
| Date: |
| Attendees: |
| |

