

Lucban, Quezon

PROJECT MONITORING AND EVALUATION (INITIAL EVALUATION REPORT)

Project Title: Development of GSM-controlled Bamboo Cutter Using Arduino

Proponents: Celio P. Seco

Edgardo E. Cedeno

Razel C. Tulod

Proposed Date of Implementation: June 2020 – June 2021

Proposed Duration of the Project: 12 months

Research Location: SLSU Lucena City Project Budget: PhP78,000.00

Actual Date of Implementation September 2020

	Criteria	Yes	No	Remarks	Supporting Documents Needed
1.	Did the different activities conducted lead			For verification	
	to the attainment of objectives?				
	 Design an embedded electronic device utilizing fritzing application 			➤ No specific design of the bamboo cutter to be constructed or fabricated	Technical drawing of the proposed machine or device to be constructed including the
	➤ Identify requirements of the end-user			 Considerations on how to come up with the design of the machine Machine to be constructed did not specify if it is for harvesting bamboo poles, cutting the pole into desired length, splitting the bamboo pole into strips, or obtaining the desired thinness/thickness of the strips 	 technical specifications of the said device Questionnaire for the prospect end users Literatures supporting the questions and the data to be gathered from respondents List of proposed respondents and their background focusing on the activities related to the proposed study Data gathered from the respondents



Lucban, Quezon

	Develop GSM-controlled bamboo cutter	 No specific data to be gathered to address the needs of the end-users No procedures or methodologies on how data will be gathered No end-users identified in the proposal No specific design of the bamboo cutter to be constructed or fabricated 	 Revised technical drawing showing the modifications done based on the data gathered from the respondents Pictures of the developed device showing the detailed parts of the device
	 Evaluate the proposed design: Cost effectiveness Functionality Usability Maintainability Marketability Portability 	➤ No evaluation criteria on the performance of the machine developed	➤ Specific parameters and criteria on how the machine will be evaluated; these criteria should be based on literatures
2.	Were the activities done as scheduled?	For verification	
	 Data gathering as basis for the design of the machine (Sep – Nov 2020) Design of the machine (Sep – Nov 2020) Fabrication of the machine (Sep – Nov 2020) Prototype testing (Dec 2020 – Feb 2021) Evaluation of the machine (Mar – May 2021) Submission of terminal report (Mar – May 2021) 		Documents showing the period when the different activities were accomplished; include photos as part of the documentation



Lucban, Quezon

	> Presentation to in-house review (Jun 2021)		
3.	Were the data gathered systematically?	For verification	
	 Design parameters Prototype tests parameters 	 No specific design parameters to be gathered No evaluation criteria on the performance of the machine developed 	 Technical data incorporated in the original design of the device Proposed original design plan of the device Data from the respondents wherein the modifications on the machine were based Modified design plan of the device
			> Test data regarding evaluation of the fabricated device
4.	Were the data filed systematically and were easily retrievable?	For verification	
5.	Was the project implemented in the recommended/proposed location(s)?	For verification	
	➤ SLSU Lucena City	➤ SLSU Lucena City	>
6.	Was the proposed research design followed? Sampling design Number of replications Statistical analysis methods	For verification	
		 No specific research design stated in the proposal Data analysis procedures were not stated in the proposal Methodology did not discuss how the machine will be constructed and evaluated 	➤ Procedures and methodologies followed from the initial up to the final stages of the project duration



Lucban, Quezon

7.	Were the equipment/supplies purchased	For verification	
	following the approved work and resource		
	plan and budget?		
	➤ Electrical components of the device	➤ PhP33,000.00 released as of March 2021	➤ Schedules and proofs of the procurements
	➤ Machine components of the device		done relative to the equipment and supplies
	➤ Design and development		stated in the proposal
8.	Were the project outputs accomplished?	For verification	
	➤ Fabricated device		➤ Documents showing that the expected
	➤ Evaluation results		outputs stated were accomplished
	> Terminal report		
	➤ Presentation in in-house review		
9.	Were there modifications made in any	For verification	➤ Attach narrative report, duly approved by
	aspect of the project?		the relevant authorities, if there were
			modifications in the project
10.	What was the percentage of project	For verification	
	accomplishment?		
11.	Suggestions to address the problem(s)		
	encountered		
Eval	uated by:	•	•

ARTEMIO RAJIE M. VILLENAS AITEMIO Kajie IVI. VIII enas	Amalia E. Almazol	Aprilette C. Devanadera
Carl Monterey	Editha E. de Jesus	Charly Lacorte

elix B. Lampos Jr. <u>Maria Luisa A. Enal</u>