



<input type="checkbox"/> Female	3	optimization, with K-fold cross-validation (K=3). Results. The top-performing model was Random Forest, achieving an ROC AUC of 91.8%. An accuracy of 86.1%, precision of 86.0%, recall of 86.0%, and an F1 score of 85.1% were also achieved. Of the three techniques employed to address class imbalance, stratified sampling produced the best out-of-sample results. SHAP values were generated for the top 20 features, including spine curve length and linear regression error, with the most predictive features ranked at the top, enhancing model explainability. Conclusions. Feature engineering with classical ML methods offers an effective approach for classifying scoliosis severity based on Cobb angle ranges. The high interpretability of features in representing spinal pathology, along with the ease of use of classical ML techniques, makes this an attractive solution for developing automated tools to manage complex spinal measurements.
<b>Affiliation</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<input type="checkbox"/> Ningbo Zhentai People's Hospital Group	1	
<input type="checkbox"/> Walton Center of Neurosciences	1	<input type="checkbox"/> Article <b>Superior performance of a center-point AI model over VFLDNet in automated cobb angle estimation for scoliosis assessment</b> <a href="#">Lu, Q., Ni, L., Zhang, Z., ... Guo, L., Pan, Y.</a> <a href="#">European Spine Journal</a> , 33(12), 2024 pp. 4710–4719
<input type="checkbox"/> Ltd.	1	<a href="#">Hide abstract</a> <input type="checkbox"/> <a href="#">View at Publisher</a> <input type="checkbox"/> <a href="#">Related documents</a>
<input type="checkbox"/> Beijing United Imaging Research Institute of Intelligent Imaging	1	Purpose: Aims to establish the superiority of our proposed model over the state-of-the-art vertebra-focused landmark detection network (VFLDNet) in automating Cobb angle estimation from spinal radiographs. Methods: Utilizing a private dataset for external validation, we compared the performance of our center-point detection-based vertebra localization and tilt estimation network (VLTNNet) with the key-point detection-based VFLDNet. Both models' Cobb angle predictions were rigorously evaluated against manual consensus score using metrics such as mean absolute error (MAE), correlation coefficient, intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC), Fleiss' kappa, Bland-Altman analysis, and classification metrics [sensitivity (SN), specificity, accuracy] focusing on major curve estimation and scoliosis severity classification. Results: A retrospective analysis of 118 cases with 342 Cobb angle measurements revealed that our model achieved a MAE of 2.15° for total Cobb angles and 1.89° for the major curve, significantly outperforming VFLDNet's MAE of 2.80° and 2.57°, respectively. Both models demonstrated robust correlation and ICC, but our model excelled in classification consistency, particularly in predicting major curve magnitude (ours: kappa = 0.83; VFLDNet: kappa = 0.67). In subgroup analyses by scoliosis severity, our model consistently surpassed VFLDNet, displaying superior mean (SD) differences, narrower limits of agreement, and higher SN, specificity, and accuracy, most notably in moderate (ours: SN = 86.84%; VFLDNet: SN = 83.16%) to severe (ours: SN = 92.86%; VFLDNet: SN = 85.71%) scoliosis. Conclusion: Our model emerges as the superior choice for automated Cobb angle estimation, particularly in assessing major curve and moderate to severe scoliosis, underscoring its potential to revolutionize clinical workflows and enhance patient care.
<input type="checkbox"/> Show all		
<b>Funding sponsor</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<input type="checkbox"/> China Postdoctoral Science Foundation	1	
<input type="checkbox"/> Medtronic	1	
<input type="checkbox"/> Undefined	3	
<b>Country/territory</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<input type="checkbox"/> United States	3	<input type="checkbox"/> Article <b>Artificial Intelligence in Musculoskeletal Radiographs: Scoliosis, Hip, Limb Length, and Lower Extremity Alignment Measurements</b> <a href="#">Archer, H., Xia, S., Salzlechner, C., Götz, C., Chhabra, A.</a> <a href="#">Seminars in Roentgenology</a> , 59(4), pp. 510–517
<input type="checkbox"/> China	2	<a href="#">View at Publisher</a> <input type="checkbox"/> <a href="#">Related documents</a>
<input type="checkbox"/> Austria	1	
<input type="checkbox"/> Saudi Arabia	1	
<input type="checkbox"/> United Kingdom	1	
<b>Source type</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<input type="checkbox"/> Journal	5	
<b>Language</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<input type="checkbox"/> English	5	
<b>Open access</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<input type="checkbox"/> All open access	1	
<input type="checkbox"/> Gold	1	

[Export filter counts](#)

[Back to top](#)

## About Scopus

- [What is Scopus](#)
- [Content coverage](#)
- [Scopus blog](#)
- [Scopus API](#)
- [Privacy matters](#)

## Language

- [日本語版を表示する](#)
- [查看简体中文版本](#)
- [查看繁體中文版本](#)
- [Просмотр версии на русском языке](#)

## Customer Service

- [Help](#)
- [Tutorials](#)
- [Contact us](#)