

Innlevering 3
Rendell Cale

Opgave 1

a) Siden lysene er seriekoblet vil

$$U = \min \{ X_i \}_{i=1}^{36}$$

Dette gir

$$P(U < t) = 1 - P(U \geq t)$$

$$= 1 - P(X_1 \geq t \cap \dots \cap X_{36} \geq t)$$

$$\stackrel{x_i \text{ uavhengige}}{=} 1 - P(X_1 \geq t) \cdot \dots \cdot P(X_{36} \geq t)$$

$$= 1 - (P(X_1 \geq t))^{36}$$

$$= 1 - (1 - P(X_1 < t))^{36}$$

Siden $X_i \sim \exp(\beta=\mu)$ har vi

$$P(X_1 < t) = 1 - e^{-t/\mu}$$

Dette gir

$$P(U < t) = 1 - (1 - (1 - e^{-t/\mu}))^{36}$$

$$= 1 - e^{-36t/\mu}$$

$$= 1 - e^{-t/\mu_{36}}$$

Så $V \sim \exp\left(\frac{\mu}{36}\right)$ og forventningsverdien til V er da

$$\underline{E(V) = \frac{\mu}{36}}$$

$$\mu = 5000 \text{ timer} \Rightarrow$$

$$\underline{\underline{E(V) = \frac{5000}{36} \text{ timer} = 139 \text{ timer}}}$$

b) Siden $Y = 0,072 \cdot V$ vil Y være eksponentielt fordelt

$$E(Y) = E(0,072 \cdot V)$$

$$= 0,072 \cdot E(V)$$

$$= 0,072 \cdot \frac{\mu}{36}$$

$$\mu = 5000 \text{ timer gir}$$

$$E(Y) = 0,072 \cdot \frac{5000}{36} = \underline{\underline{10 \text{ kWh}}}$$

Oppgave 2

a)

$$\hat{P} : E(\hat{P}) = \frac{1}{2n_1} E(X_1) + \frac{1}{2n_2} E(X_2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2n_1} n_1 p + \frac{1}{2n_2} n_2 p$$

$$= p$$

$$Var(\hat{P}) = \left(\frac{1}{2n_1}\right)^2 Var(X_1) + \left(\frac{1}{2n_2}\right)^2 Var(X_2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{n_1 p (1-p)}{n_1^2} + \frac{n_2 p (1-p)}{n_2^2} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{p (1-p)}{n_1} + \frac{p (1-p)}{n_2} \right)$$

$$P^* : E(P^*) = \frac{1}{n_1+n_2} (E(X_1) + E(X_2))$$

$$= \frac{n_1 p + n_2 p}{n_1+n_2}$$

$$Var(P^*) = \frac{1}{(n_1+n_2)^2} (Var(X_1) + Var(X_2))$$

$$= \frac{1}{(n_1+n_2)^2} (n_1 p (1-p) + n_2 p (1-p))$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Var}(\hat{p}^*) = \frac{p(1-p)}{n_1+n_2}$$

Ønsker at størrelsen skal ha innvirkning så ville valgt $\underline{\hat{p}^*}$.



$$\hat{p} = \hat{p}^* = \frac{\underline{\chi_1 + \chi_2}}{2n}$$

$$Z = \frac{\hat{p} - p}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{2n} \hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}} \approx Z \sim N(0,1).$$

$$P\left(-Z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} < Z < Z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right) = 1 - \alpha$$

$$\Leftrightarrow P\left(-Z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} < \frac{\hat{p} - p}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{2n} \hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}} < Z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right) = 1 - \alpha$$

$$\Leftrightarrow P\left(-Z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2n} \hat{p}(1-\hat{p})} - \hat{p} < -p < Z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2n} \hat{p}(1-\hat{p})} - \hat{p}\right) = 1 - \alpha$$

Et $100 \cdot (1 - \alpha)\%$ konfidensintervall er dermed:

$$\left(\hat{p} - Z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2n} \hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}, \hat{p} + Z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2n} \hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}\right)$$

$\alpha = 0,05$ gir det vi ønsker men får ikke regnet ut uten \hat{p} .

b) Siden $n=1000$ er vesentlig større enn 30 er det rimelig at X_1, X_2 og X_3 er normalfordelte.

$$Y = X_3 - n \left(\frac{X_1 + X_2}{2n} \right) = X_3 - \frac{1}{2}(X_1 + X_2)$$

Y er summen av tre tilhørende normalfordelte variabler, så Y er tilhørende normalfordelt.

$$\text{Var}(Y) = \underbrace{\text{Var}(X_3)}_{\text{antar } p_3=p} + \frac{1}{(-2)^2} (\text{Var}(X_1) + \text{Var}(X_2))$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= np(1-p) + \frac{1}{4} (np(1-p) + np(1-p)) \\ &= \underline{\frac{3}{2}np(1-p)} \end{aligned}$$

Siden $E(X_3) = np$ vil

$$Y = X_3 - n \hat{P} \sim N(0, \text{Var}(Y))$$

$$Z = \frac{Y}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(Y)}} \sim N(0, 1)$$

$$P\left(-Z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} < Z < Z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right) = 1-\alpha$$

$$\Leftrightarrow P\left(-Z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{\text{Var}(Y)} < Y < Z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{\text{Var}(Y)}\right) = 1-\alpha$$

$$\sigma_Y^2 = \text{Var}(Y)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow P\left(-Z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sigma_Y < X_3 - n \hat{P} < Z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sigma_Y\right) = 1-\alpha$$

Dette gir et $100 \cdot (1-\alpha)\%$ konfidansintervall for \hat{p}
som følger:

$$\left(n\hat{p} - Z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sigma_{\hat{p}}, n\hat{p} + Z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sigma_{\hat{p}} \right)$$

Vi har

$$\hat{p} = \frac{x_1 + x_2}{2n} = \frac{645 + 692}{2 \cdot 1000} = 0,6685$$

$$Z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} = Z_{0,025} = 1,960$$

$$\sigma_{\hat{p}} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2} \cdot n \cdot \hat{p} \cdot (1-\hat{p})} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2} \cdot 1000 \cdot 0,6685 \cdot (1-0,6685)} = 18,232$$

$$\Rightarrow (1000 \cdot 0,6685 - 1,960 \cdot 18,232, 1000 \cdot 0,6685 + 1,960 \cdot 18,232)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \underline{(633, 704)}$$

Oppgave 3

$$\begin{aligned}
 a) P(M=m) &= P(m < Z < m+1) \\
 &= F(m+1; \lambda) - F(m; \lambda) \\
 &= 1 - e^{-\lambda(m+1)} - (1 - e^{-\lambda m}) \\
 &= e^{-\lambda m} - e^{-\lambda m} e^{-\lambda} \\
 &= \underline{(1 - e^{-\lambda}) e^{-\lambda m}}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 b) L(M_1, \dots, M_n; \lambda) &= \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - e^{-\lambda}) e^{-\lambda M_i} \\
 &= (1 - e^{-\lambda})^n e^{-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n M_i} \\
 \ln(L) &= n \ln(1 - e^{-\lambda}) - \lambda \sum M_i \\
 \frac{\partial \ln(L)}{\partial \lambda} &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{n e^{-\lambda}}{1 - e^{-\lambda}} - \sum M_i = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \underbrace{\frac{1}{n} \sum M_i}_{\bar{M}} = \frac{1}{e^\lambda - 1}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{\bar{M}} = e^\lambda - 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\lambda} = \ln\left(\frac{1}{\bar{n}} + 1\right)$$

Oppgave 4

a) En god estimator for μ er \bar{x} siden

$$E(\bar{x}) = E(x_1) = \mu.$$

Punktestimaten blir

$$\hat{\mu} = \bar{x} = 13,18$$

Lager en "normalisert" variabel $T = \frac{\bar{x} - \hat{\mu}}{s_x / \sqrt{n}} \sim t(n-1)$

Får 100(1- α)% konfidensintervall $\hat{\mu} \pm t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{s_x}{\sqrt{n}}$ av

$$\left(-t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}, t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \right)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \left(\bar{x} - t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{s_x}{\sqrt{n}}, \bar{x} + t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{s_x}{\sqrt{n}} \right)$$

Med $\alpha=0,1$ og data som gitt for vi

$$\left(13,18 - 1,833 \cdot \frac{4,44}{\sqrt{10}}, 13,18 + 1,833 \cdot \frac{4,44}{\sqrt{10}} \right)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \underline{(10,61, 15,75)}$$

b) Går rett til resultatene

$$\hat{\eta} = \bar{y} = 8.00$$

$\alpha = 0.01$:

$$\left(8.00 - 3.250 \cdot \frac{2.77}{\sqrt{10}}, 8.00 + 3.250 \cdot \frac{2.77}{\sqrt{10}} \right)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \underline{(5.15, 10.85)}$$

Det vil være smakere siden for å være sikker på intervallet må man gjøre intervallet større.

c) $\delta = \mu - \eta$

En god estimator er

$$\hat{\delta} = \hat{\mu} - \hat{\eta} = \bar{x} - \bar{y}$$

Punktestimatet värk blir

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\delta} &= \bar{x} - \bar{y} = 13.18 - 8.00 \\ &= 5.18\end{aligned}$$

ta $D = \bar{x} - \bar{y}$ slik at $E(D) = \delta$ og

$$\text{Var}(D) = \text{Var}(\bar{x}) + \text{Var}(\bar{y})$$

$$= \frac{\sum x^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sum y^2}{n_2}$$

$$\Rightarrow S_D = \sqrt{\text{Var } D} = \sqrt{\frac{S_x^2}{n_1} + \frac{S_y^2}{n_2}}$$

Konfidensintervallet blir da

$$(\bar{x} - \bar{y} - \frac{z}{2} S_D, \bar{x} - \bar{y} + \frac{z}{2} S_D)$$

$$S_D = \sqrt{\frac{4,44^2}{10} + \frac{2,77^2}{10}} = 1,65$$

Vært 95% konf. int. blir da

$$(\bar{x} - \bar{y} - t_{0,025} \cdot S_D, \bar{x} - \bar{y} + t_{0,025} \cdot S_D)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (5,18 - 2,262 \cdot 1,65, 5,18 + 2,262 \cdot 1,65)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \underline{(1,45, 8,91)}$$

Ja. Dersom de hadde hatt lik effekt burde vi i hvertfall sett 0 i konf. intervallet over, og generelt sett et mer symmetrisk intervall om 0.

Oppgave 5

a) Da $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$

$$S_x^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2$$

$$n = 1$$

$$\alpha = 0,1$$

Da vil konfidensintervallot for μ være

$$\left(\bar{x} - t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{s_x}{\sqrt{n}}, \bar{x} + t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{s_x}{\sqrt{n}} \right)$$

- b) 90% prosent av konfidensintervallene forventes å inneholde den samme verdien for μ , altså 270 stk.

Kjører mer eller mindre samme kode og får at antall stem er innenfor i en serie er:

269, 272, 264, 262, 266, 267, 273
Så det ovenfor stemmer godt.

Utviklet programmet til å kjøre i 30 000 dager og fikk da

26 979 (89,93%)
Som stemmer bra.