

# ~~Ö~~ving 9

oppo  
4.1

$$1) \quad V = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} : x \geq 0, y \geq 0 \right\}$$

$$a) \quad \text{let } \vec{u} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \vec{v} = \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ \text{with } \vec{u}, \vec{v} \in V.$$

Then  $x_1, y_1 \geq 0$  and  $x_2, y_2 \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{u} + \vec{v} &= \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + x_2 \\ y_1 + y_2 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $x_1 \geq 0$  and  $x_2 \geq 0$ ,  $x_1 + x_2 \geq 0$ .

The same goes for  $y_1 + y_2$ , thus

$$\vec{u} + \vec{v} \in V.$$

$$b) \quad \vec{u} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } c = -1.$$

$$\text{Then } c\vec{u} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \notin V.$$

$$9) \quad H = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} s \\ 3s \\ 2s \end{pmatrix} : s \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} s \\ 3s \\ 2s \end{pmatrix} = s \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow H = \text{span} \left\{ \underline{\underline{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}}} \right\}$$

This shows that  $H$  is a line in  $\mathbb{R}^3$   
and since  $H$  is also a ~~subspace~~ vectorspace,  
it must then be a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

$$11) \quad W = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 5b+2c \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : b, c \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 5b+2c \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} = b \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + c \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{If } \vec{u} = \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \vec{v} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ then } W = \text{span}\{\vec{u}, \vec{v}\}$$

Since this span is a plane <sup>in  $\mathbb{R}^3$</sup>  and a vectorspace, it is also a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

32) Let ~~H~~ H and K be subspaces of a vector space V. We want to show that the intersection of H and K, that is  $H \cap K$ , is also a subspace of V.

Choose an arbitrary element from  $H \cap K$ .

$a \in H \cap K$ . By set laws,  $a \in H$  and  $a \in K$ . Since  $a \in H$ , a is also in V because H is a subspace/subset of V.

The same goes for K. Since a was arbitrary and  $a \in V$ , the rule of universal generalization gives that  $H \cap K \subseteq V$ .  $\square$

---

$\text{span}\left\{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}\right\} \cup \text{span}\left\{\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}\right\}$  is the union of two subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Both  $\vec{u} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\vec{v} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  will be in this union, ~~but~~ but  $\vec{u} + \vec{v} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is not, so it is not a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

opp  
4.2

$$6) \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 5 & -4 & -3 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$R_1 \leftarrow R_1 - 5R_2 \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 6 & -8 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$x_3, x_4, x_5$  are free

$$x_1 = -6x_3 + 8x_4 - x_5$$

$$x_2 = 2x_3 - x_4$$

We write this in vector parametric form

$$\begin{pmatrix} -6x_3 + 8x_4 - x_5 \\ 2x_3 - x_4 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \\ x_5 \end{pmatrix} = x_3 \begin{pmatrix} -6 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + x_4 \begin{pmatrix} 8 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + x_5 \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

From this we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Nul}(A) &= \text{span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -6 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 8 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \\ &= t_1 \begin{pmatrix} -6 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + t_2 \begin{pmatrix} 8 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + t_3 \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$21) \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -6 \\ -1 & 3 \\ -4 & 12 \\ 3 & -9 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} R_2 &\leftarrow R_2 + \frac{1}{2}R_1 \\ R_3 &\leftarrow R_3 + 2R_1 \\ R_4 &\leftarrow R_4 - \frac{3}{2}R_1 \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -6 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$x_2$  is free and  $x_1 = 3x_2$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Nul}(A) = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

We also get that

$$\text{col}(A) = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ -4 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

$$\text{So } \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Nul}(A) \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ -4 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{col}(A)$$

24) Have to reduce the aug. matrix  $(A \mid \vec{w})$ .

$$\left( \begin{array}{ccc|c} -8 & -2 & -9 & 2 \\ 6 & 4 & 8 & 1 \\ 4 & 0 & 4 & -2 \end{array} \right) \begin{array}{l} R_2 \leftarrow R_2 + \frac{3}{4} R_1 \\ R_3 \leftarrow R_3 + \frac{1}{2} R_1 \end{array}$$

$$\sim \left( \begin{array}{ccc|c} -8 & -2 & -9 & 2 \\ 0 & \frac{5}{2} & \frac{5}{4} & \frac{5}{2} \\ 0 & -1 & -\frac{1}{2} & -1 \end{array} \right) \begin{array}{l} R_1 \leftarrow -R_1 \\ R_2 \leftarrow \frac{2}{5} R_2 \\ R_3 \leftarrow -2R_3 \end{array}$$

$$\sim \left( \begin{array}{ccc|c} 8 & 2 & 9 & -2 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 2 \end{array} \right) \begin{array}{l} R_3 \leftarrow R_3 - R_2 \\ R_1 \leftarrow R_1 - R_2 \end{array}$$

$$\sim \left( \begin{array}{ccc|c} 8 & 0 & 8 & -4 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

The system is consistent so  $\vec{w} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix}$  is in  $\text{col}(A)$ .

To check  $\text{Nul } A$ , we compute  $A \cdot \vec{w}$

$$\begin{aligned} A \cdot \vec{w} &= 2 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} -8 \\ 6 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} + 1 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ 4 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - 2 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} -9 \\ 8 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -16 & -2 & 18 \\ 12 & 4 & -16 \\ 8 & -8 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

So  $\vec{w}$  is also in  $\text{Nul}(A)$



28) If we describe the systems with a matrix  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 1 & -3 \\ -9 & 2 & 5 \\ 4 & 1 & -6 \end{pmatrix}$ , then the first system is  $A\vec{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 9 \end{pmatrix}$  and the second is  $A\vec{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 5 \\ 45 \end{pmatrix}$ .

The first system has a solution so  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 9 \end{pmatrix}$  is in  $\text{col}(A)$ .

This gives that  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 9 \end{pmatrix} = t_1 \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ -9 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} + t_2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + t_3 \begin{pmatrix} -3 \\ 5 \\ -6 \end{pmatrix}$

Multiply both sides by 5 and let  $t'_i = 5t_i$ , then we get that

$$5 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 9 \end{pmatrix} = 5 \cdot \left( t_1 \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ -9 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} + t_2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + t_3 \begin{pmatrix} -3 \\ 5 \\ -6 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 5 \\ 45 \end{pmatrix} = t'_1 \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ -9 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} + t'_2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + t'_3 \begin{pmatrix} -3 \\ 5 \\ -6 \end{pmatrix}$$

It is clear from this that  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 5 \\ 45 \end{pmatrix}$  is also in  $\text{col}(A)$  so the second system must then also have a solution.

4.3

- 1) The matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is in echelon form and has 3 pivots ( $3 \times 3$ ) so its columns are independent.

3)  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ -4 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -3 \\ -5 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$

Have to reduce the matrix:  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & -3 \\ 0 & 2 & -5 \\ -2 & -4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

$$\begin{aligned} R_3 &\leftarrow R_3 + 2R_2 & R_3 &\leftarrow R_3 - R_2 & R_2 &\leftarrow R_2/2 \\ \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & -3 \\ 0 & 2 & -5 \\ 0 & 2 & -5 \end{pmatrix} & \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & -3 \\ 0 & 2 & -5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & -3 \\ 0 & 1 & -5/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &\leftarrow R_1 - 3R_2 \\ \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 9/2 \\ 0 & 1 & -5/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

The set does not form a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^3$  since the set is linearly dependent. Using this dependency we can see that

$$\text{span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ -4 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -3 \\ -5 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ -4 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Two vectors ~~cannot~~ can't span  $\mathbb{R}^3$  so the set can't be a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

$$8) \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -4 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ -5 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

Note: four vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  so this a dependent set and thus not a basis.

Lets reduce the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ -4 & 3 & -5 & 2 \\ 3 & 1 & 4 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{array}{l} R_2 \leftarrow R_2 + 4R_1 \\ R_3 \leftarrow R_3 - 3R_1 \end{array}$$

$$\sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & -7 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -5 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{array}{l} R_3 \leftarrow R_3 - \frac{1}{3}R_2 \end{array}$$

$$\sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & -7 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{2}{3} & -\frac{8}{3} \end{pmatrix}$$

So it has 3 pivot columns, and thus it will span  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

$$24) \mathbb{R}^4 = \text{span}\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_4\}$$

For  $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_4\}$  to be a basis, the set has to be linearly independent and span  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . We know it spans  $\mathbb{R}^4$ , so we

only need to determine if it is independent. Let  $A = (\vec{v}_1 | \dots | \vec{v}_4)$  be the  $4 \times 4$  matrix formed the set of vectors. Then

$$\text{col}(A) = \text{span}\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_4\} = \mathbb{R}^4.$$

To span  $\mathbb{R}^4$ ,  $A$  must have 4 pivot columns.

Since  $A$  does span  $\mathbb{R}^4$  and  $A$  only has 4 columns, it must be an independent set of vectors, so  $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_4\}$  are then independent.

29) Let  $S = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k\}$  and look at the  $n \times k$  matrix  $A = (\vec{v}_1 | \dots | \vec{v}_k)$  with  $n > k$ . There are  $n$  rows and  $k$  columns. Since  $n > k$ , when  $A$  is row reduced it will have some empty rows. By theorem 4 of section 1.4, since  $A$  does not have a pivot in every row, the ~~columns~~ columns of  $A$  does not span  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and ~~there for~~ thus  $S$  is not a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

30) Let  $S = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k\}$  be a set of  $k$  vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , with  $k > n$ .

This is a set with more vectors than there are entries in each vector, so we can use theorem 8 of section 1.7, which gives us that  $S$  is a dependent set. A dependent set can't (by definition) form a basis, so  $S$  is not a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .



32) Let  $V, W$  be vector spaces.

Let  $T: V \rightarrow W$  be a linear transformation.

Let  $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_p\} \subseteq V$  be a subset of  $V$ .

Suppose  $T$  is one-to-one such that

$$T(\vec{u}) = T(\vec{v}) \Rightarrow \vec{u} = \vec{v}$$

We want to show that if the set  $\{T(\vec{v}_1), \dots, T(\vec{v}_p)\}$  is linearly dependent, then  $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_p\}$  is also linearly dependent.

Since  $\{T(\vec{v}_1), \dots, T(\vec{v}_p)\}$  is linearly dependent, at least one of its elements  $T(\vec{v}_k), 1 \leq k \leq p$  can be written as a linear combination of the others, so

$$T(\vec{v}_k) = a_1 T(\vec{v}_1) + a_2 T(\vec{v}_2) + \dots + a_p T(\vec{v}_p)$$

By linearity, we can write this as

$$T(\vec{v}_k) = T(a_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_p \vec{v}_p)$$

which implies

$$\vec{v}_k = a_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_p \vec{v}_p$$

Since  $T$  is one-to-one. It is clear from this that  $\vec{v}_k$  is also a linear combination of the set  $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_p\}$ , and thus the set is linearly dependent.  $\square$

Extra

- 1)  $A$  is  $m \times n$  and such that there exists a  $C$  such that  $CA = I$

Assume there is an  $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$A\vec{x} = \vec{0}.$$

Multiply both sides with  $C$  and we get

$$(C \cdot A)\vec{x} = C \cdot \vec{0}$$

$$\vec{x} = \vec{0}$$

This shows that  $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$  ~~only~~ has only the trivial solution, which is equivalent with the columns of  $A$  being independent.

- 2)  $A$  is  $m \times n$  and has a right inverse  $C$ , so  $AC = I$ .

Want to show that  $\text{col } A = \mathbb{R}^m$ .

The equation  $AC\vec{x} = \vec{b}$  has a solution for all  $\vec{b}$ , since  $AC = I$  so  $AC\vec{x} = \vec{x}$ .

That is,  $\vec{x} = \vec{b}$  is the solution to this equation. This implies that  $A\vec{y} = \vec{b}$  has a solution for all  $\vec{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , just let  $\vec{y} = C\vec{b}$ .

So from this we can see that  ~~$A\vec{y} = \vec{b}$~~   $\text{col } A = \mathbb{R}^m$ , which is what we wanted to prove.