

# Bayesian neural network estimation of next-to-leading-order cross sections

by

René Alexander Ask

THESIS

for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE



Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences  
University of Oslo

Autumn 2021



# Bayesian neural network estimation of next-to-leading-order cross sections

René Alexander Ask



© 2021 René Alexander Ask

Bayesian neural network estimation of next-to-leading-order cross sections

<http://www.duo.uio.no/>

Printed: Reprosentralen, University of Oslo



# Abstract

This is my abstract.





# Acknowledgments

Acknowledgments yo



# Contents

<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1 Machine Learning: Preliminaries</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1 Basic concepts in regression	3
1.1.1 Bias-variance trade-off	3
<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Appendices</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Appendix A</b>	<b>9</b>
A.1 Appendix 1 title	9



# Introduction

Motivation, context and problem.

## **Outline of the Thesis**

Give outline of thesis



# Chapter 1

## Machine Learning: Preliminaries

Machine learning is a field of study concerned with learning from known observations and of unseen ones. In this thesis, we'll focus on *supervised* machine learning, which is a subfield of machine learning that fits models on data points  $x$  with definite targets  $y$ . We'll confine ourselves even further and only study *regression* problems, which is a class of problems where the function we're trying to learn produces a continuous output, i.e a function  $f : \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

### 1.1 Basic concepts in regression

The basic conceptual framework of a supervised machine learning problem is as follows. Assume a dataset  $\mathcal{D}$  built up of  $n$  datapoints  $(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i)$ , where  $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^p$  is the set of *features* and  $y_i \in \mathbb{R}$  is the *target*. I'll introduce a shorthand notation to represent the dataset as  $\mathcal{D} = (X, \mathbf{y})$  where  $X$  is the set of features and  $\mathbf{y}$  is the set of targets. The next ingredient is to assume the targets are of the form

$$y_i = f(\mathbf{x}_i) + \epsilon_i, \tag{1.1}$$

for some true function  $f(\mathbf{x}_i)$  (also known as the ground truth), where  $\epsilon_i$  is introduced to account for random noise. To approximate the outputs  $y_i$ , the standard approach is to choose a model class  $\hat{f}(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta})$  combined with a procedure to choose parameters  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  such that the model is as close to  $f(\mathbf{x}_i)$  as possible. This typically involves choosing a *metric*  $\mathcal{C}$  to quantify the error, usually called a *cost*-function or a *loss*-function, and minimize it with respect to the parameters of the model.

#### 1.1.1 Bias-variance trade-off

From eq. (1.1), we can deduce a general feature of machine learning problems that proves challenging. We cannot directly probe the true function  $f(\mathbf{x}_i)$ , because only  $y_i$  is observed. Because of this, choosing a model class is a delicate process. If the model class is too simple (i.e few parameters  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ ), it is likely to capture very general features of the ground truth whilst more nuances properties are missed entirely. Then we say that the model has a high bias and a low variance. Increasing the model complexity (i.e increasing number of parameters) allows the model to reproduce a growing number of nook-and-crannies of the data. A model that is too complex is said to have a low bias and a high variance.





# Conclusion

Conclusion here.

# Appendices



# Appendix A

## A.1 Appendix 1 title

Some appendix stuff.



# Bibliography