

 **  **

**Antibody Analysis Manual for Version 02 (May 2016)**

**Introduction**

HLAMatchmaker is an algorithm based on HLA epitopes identified by molecular structural modeling and amino acid sequence comparisons between HLA alleles. It considers each HLA allele as a series of small configurations of polymorphic residues referred to as eplets as essential components of HLA epitopes. The website-based International Registry of HLA Epitopes ([http://www.epregistry.com.br](http://www.epregistry.ufpi.br/)) describes the repertoires of HLA-ABC, -DRDQDP and -MICA eplets. An important question is which eplets correspond to actual epitopes specifically recognized by HLA antibodies.

Recent publications describe antibody-verified epitopes recorded so far in the HLA Epitope Registry. All of them correspond to eplets and there are two patterns. First, a specific antibody reacts with all alleles carrying a given eplet whereas the remaining alleles in the panel are non-reactive. In these cases, an eplet describes the epitope specifically recognized by antibody. Second, an epitope is defined by the combination of an eplet and another polymorphic residue configuration (eplet) uniquely shared between all antibody-reactive alleles. Such epitopes are referred to as eplet pairs. The repertoires of antibody-verified epitopes must be considered incomplete and we are continuously updating the website with new information.

All programs on the HLAMatchmaker website are in Microsoft Excel format. Epitopes are annotated according to the system in the HLA Epitope Registry and there are two general groups (1) antibody-verified epitopes identified experimentally with informative HLA antibodies and (2) eplets that are theoretically predicted as potential epitopes but we do not know yet which ones become antibody-verified.

Previous HLAMatchmaker programs on this website were called version 01. Because recent studies have identified newly antibody-verified epitopes, the new antibody analysis versions 02 have more antibody-verified epitopes. They have also additional features aimed to analyze antibodies recognizing new epitopes.

Three programs address the antibody analysis for HLA-ABC, HLA-DRDQDP and MICA epitopes and they are designed for reactivity with single allele panels. The Education section of the HLAMatchmaker website has a step-by step tutorial for antibody analysis.

There are now also provisional HLA epitope maps for HLA-ABC, HLA-DR, HLA-DQ and HLA-DP with the locations of antibody-verified eplets and polymorphic residues as potential candidates for epitopes. These maps are helpful in navigating the continents of “HLA Epitopia” while searching for new epitopes.

**HLA-ABC antibody analysis (Version 02)**

With this new version you can now enter SAB results for up to 120 instead of 100 alleles. This program has multiple worksheets, but only two of them, “Panel” sheet and “Enter” sheet can be used for entering data: the SAB panel used for testing, MFI values and HLA types of patient and if possible the immunizer. The program is based on epitope information shown on two password-protected sheets “Ep” for the antibody-verified eplets and “PrOth” for the antibody-verified pairs and ‘other’ eplets. Please notify us if there are inconsistencies in the epitope assignments. Future versions will have updated epitope profiles.

**“Panel” sheet**

This sheet has five columns (A-E) to describe the composition of the single allele kit used for testing. Copy the selected kit from the “OL kits” sheet (One Lambda, ThermoFischer) or the “LC kits” sheet (LifeCodes, Immucor) and paste it in columns A-E of the Panel sheet. You may also add new kits on these sheets for kits.

There are maximally 100 rows to enter the panel information. After entering the selected kit, the program generates for each allele a string of epitopes on columns F-KG. All of them have functional formulas necessary for the program and they should not be touched.

**“Enter” sheet**

This sheet now displays the panel and its alleles. Columns L, M and N show for each allele the corresponding antibody-verified epitopes, the antibody-verified pairs and “other” eplets, respectively. They are listed sequentially with no breaks between their annotations.

The first step is the entry of case information on row 1: patient id, serum data and test method used. Then, enter the HLA-ABC types of antibody producer and if possible the immunizer. The HLA types must be entered as alleles with 4-digit high-resolution types. They can only be selected from the allele lists used in this program. Any other allele entry will generate a message “wrong allele” and “try again”. Let us know if such allele is commonly found in your area and we will do our best to incorporate it in the program.

*In cases with only antigen or 2-digit type information, one can make estimates of 4-digit types from frequency tables in different populations in the “Freq” sheet.*

The program automatically determines which epitopes in columns L, M and N are mismatched for the patient. Note that the number of epitopes in each column is reduced.

Entering alleles of the immunizer will generate information in Boxes J2:R7 about immunizer-specific mismatched epitopes. The “All Eps” boxes show all of them and the “Reactive Eps” boxes show epitopes that remain after the program has removed the eplets on negatively reacting SAB alleles (see below). Column H indicates which panel alleles belong to immunizer.

Now enter the MFI values for the Luminex panel. This can be done manually but the easiest way is to copy the numbers from the csv files of the Luminex software programs (you may need some instructions from the manufacturer). You could select the trimmed mean values and if shown in horizontal rows you can copy these numbers and use the paste-special-transpose command (the shortcut click: alt E, S, E). Enter the MFI values in column J starting on row 12 and make sure that they line up properly with the bead numbers, the controls and the alleles of the panel.

The newly copied MFI values may show up with multiple digits. To get nicely rounded values go to the Home tab to a “Font” command that leads you a “Number” tab a click on another “Number” tab so you can go to “Decimal places” and select “0”.

The program automatically identifies the MFI values for self HLA-ABC alleles of the patient, they are shown in column G. It calculates an average self count and the standard deviation. Statistically, any value above the mean+3SD would be significantly higher than a negative reaction and this information can be used to establish the cut-off MFI value.

Of course, you can enter in box J10 any cut-off MFI value. For sera with wide reactivity ranges it seems worthwhile to try out different cut-off values to see what happens.

After entering the cut-off value the program automatically identifies the negative alleles (column I now has “NEG” annotations) and removes all their epitopes from the panel and immunizer. Columns K, L and M show the remaining mismatched epitopes on the reactive alleles and boxes K2:K7 and N2:N7 show such epitopes on the immunizer alleles.

The next step is to sort out eplets on the reactive alleles.

**“Sort Ep” sheet**

This protected sheet is intended to determine which epitopes are specifically present on the reactive alleles. It permits a distinction between “immunizer-specific” and “third-party” epitopes (epitopes on non-immunizer alleles that the antibody producer was exposed to during another sensitization event). DO NOT ENTER ANY DATA ON THIS SHEET.

Columns K, L, and M show the mismatched immunizer epitopes (antibody-verified, antibody-verified pairs and other eplets) on the panel alleles and columns N, O and P has the same information for third-party epitopes. All data will be presented as third-party epitopes if the “Enter” sheet has no HLA typing information for the immunizer.

Now sort the data. Highlight rows 12-111 and under the Data tab click on the Sort Command which uses a certain consecutive order within the various columns. If this click leads to a signal “Protected Sheet” and you must first use the “Unprotect” command under the Review tab. Under the Review tab; no password is needed. Proceed to the “Custom Sort” command which includes multiple columns and press ‘OK’. Afterwards, you may wish to protect the sheet again for safety reasons.

In most cases, the sorted data show that the antibodies react with limited repertoires of epitopes and the program classifies them as immunizer-specific or third-party. You may wish to give preference to the antibody-verified epitopes. You may note that some epitopes are associated with high MFI values and others with low MFI values indicating weak reactivity. It is also possible that certain reactive alleles lack any demonstrable epitopes. These issues will be discussed below but let us first look at possible clues about differently reacting alleles.

**“Comparisons” worksheet**

This sheet describes residue differences between Luminex alleles within same 2-digit groups. Certain antibodies may react with some but not with other alleles within a group and these residue differences provide clues about the structural basis of an epitope recognized by a given antibody.

For instance, there are five A2 alleles on the list. Certain antibodies have been shown to react with A\*02:01, A\*02:03 and A\*02:06 but not with A\*02:02 and A\*02:05. The reactive alleles share 43Q thereby suggesting that this residue play an important role in the epitope whereas the presence of 43R correlates with non-reactivity. As another example, we have found antibodies that react with all A2 alleles except A\*02:03 whereas others react only with A\*02:03. This allele has a distinct residue difference in position 149, namely 149T versus 149A. This position is important for the epitope recognized by these antibodies.

As another example, A\*24:02 and A\*24:03 differ from each other in two positions which correspond to the 166DG and 166EW eplets. The antibody-verified 166DG is also on A\*01:01, A\*23:01, A\*23:02, A\*80:01 and B\*15:12.

The “Comparisons” worksheet is a useful tool to explain reactivity differences between alleles within certain groups. It might identify residues that must play an important role in a given antibody-reactive epitope either as a part of mismatched eplet or as a self-configuration which serves as a critical contact site within the structural epitope.

*What to do with sera with uninterpretable reactivity patterns?*

We must first rule out certain problem factors such as “natural” antibodies, prozone effect and interference by other Ig-type antibodies, etc. Such sera need to be treated by various methodologies to remove such factors so that “clean” IgG-type HLA antibodies can be produced.

Three scenarios are considered and each one can be best approached if HLA information about the immunizer is available.

The first scenario reflects a rather common antibody reactivity pattern whereby some alleles carrying a specific eplet have high MFI values but others have much lower MFI values some of which might be even be close to the cut-off point. It is possible that such antibodies react with epitopes defined by eplets but other yet unidentified nearby residue configurations affect reaction strengths. How can they be identified?

Columns T-DQ on the “Sort Ep” sheet are the places to look. They show for each allele the residues in all polymorphic and locus-specific sequence positions. The search can be best done with a separate Excel document. Under the File tab use the “New” command to get a blank Excel document. Use the Copy command for the entire “Sort” sheet and then under the” Home” tab of the new document, go to “Paste” and use the “Paste values” command. The short-cut is Alt-I-E-V.

The new Excel document should only have values and no formulas. Each allele has its immunizer and third-party repertoires of eplets and select the eplet you want to analyze. For each allele, record this eplet in column J. Then select rows 14-113 and under the Data tab use the “Sort” command to select column J (in ascending order) and a second column I (in descending order). You will see all the eplet-carrying alleles with their MFI values in descending order. Under the “Home” tab use the highlight command to mark the rows with the highly reactive alleles in yellow and the rows with the lowly reactive alleles in orange; intermediate MFI values might be without color.

Next, scroll through columns T to DQ to determine which positions have residue differences between yellow and orange; there will not be many of them. These positions may play a role in the epitope defined by the eplet but they must be antibody-accessible.

According to the structural epitope concept which considers all six CDRs of antibody make contact with an epitope, such residue positions must be within 15 Angstroms of the eplet. Such positions can be determined on HLA molecular structures analyzed with the Cn3D program as described on the HLAMatchmaker website. This approach has been used to demonstrate how the reactivity of certain eplet-specific antibodies can be influenced by nearby residue differences within corresponding structural epitopes. It may also show the so-called Landsteiner type of serological cross-reactivity whereby certain residue substitutions within an eplet have no major effect on the reactivity with antibody.

The second scenario is that certain reactive alleles have no identifiable immunizer-specific epitopes. Such alleles cannot have non-HLA epitopes recognized by so-called natural antibodies but they should have an epitope defined by an eplet paired with other residue configurations. However, we do not know which eplet is involved.

This problem might be resolved by lowering the cut-off MFI value on the “Enter” sheet. This may lead to the appearance of additional eplets on these reactive alleles although other alleles with very low MFI values have the same eplet. By practicing you can find out how low you must go! Copy the resulting “Sort Ep” sheet on a new Excel document and as described above, follow the same directions to identify relevant residues which must be within 15 Angstroms of the eplet.

The newly identified eplet pair must be shared by reactive informative alleles and the immunizing allele. Most often, the critical configurations consist of self-residues present on the alleles of the antibody producer. This approach has frequently been used to identify antibody-verified epitopes defined by eplet pairs and recorded in the HLA Epitope Registry.

In the third scenario, the antibody reactivity pattern cannot be interpreted with the current version of HLAMatchmaker. The application of the so-called nonself-self paradigm of epitope immunogenicity can be useful in epitope specificity determination but this type of analysis is much more difficult.

E-mail a copy of the “Sort Ep” sheet to [Duquesnoyr@upmc.edu](mailto:Duquesnoyr@upmc.edu) and [Marrmx@upmc.edu](mailto:Marrmx@upmc.edu) if you want us to do an epitope analysis of a complex serum.

**“Acc Mm” sheet**

The major purpose of serum HLA antibody analysis is the determination mismatch acceptability of HLA alleles at the epitope level.

This protected sheet has the information about mismatched epitopes on class I alleles, including many that are not in single allele panels. Columns B-G list the immunizer-specific alleles whereas columns H-M list all epitopes shared with reactive alleles. Row 4 has a filter command that allows you to display only those alleles with certain numbers of mismatched epitopes. Click on the filter symbol for the desired epitope group(s) and select the number(s) of epitopes to be included in the resulting list. Depending on the determination of the cut-off MFI value and the interpretation of which epitope is clinically important, the program can readily determine which alleles are acceptable mismatches.

**Polymorphic residue sequences of ABC alleles**

The “Seq” worksheet shows for all ABC alleles the polymorphic residues shared between alleles in SAB panels. They cover all sequence positions and the residue notations follow standard single letter codes. Several alleles have incomplete sequence data; in such cases positions with unknown residues are indicated by asterisks such as 207\* and 253\*.

This sheet is useful in the identification of residues in newly identified epitopes as described above.

HLA-DRDQDP antibody analysis (Version 02, May 2016)

Version 02 includes many newly antibody-verified class II epitopes which have been experimentally documented with informative antibodies. They have been recorded in the International HLA Epitope Registry ([www.epregistry.com.br](http://www.epregistry.com.br/) ) together with the antibody reactivity data.

This program has also additional worksheets with residue comparisons between related alleles and DRB, DQ and DP polymorphic residue sequences. As discussed below, these sheets might be helpful in the analysis of difficult antibody reactivity patterns.

Important: You can only enter data on the Panel and Enter sheets.

**“Panel” sheet**

Enter the four-digit types of the single allele panel of DRB1/3/4/5 and the DQA-DQB and DPA-DPB heterodimers as well as other information about the kit. A few lot-specific panels are shown on the One Lambda “OL” and LifeCodes “LC” sheets, and columns A-H can be copied to the Panel sheet. Additional commercial panels can be entered on the OL and LC sheets; use the same format as is shown.

You can enter other panels but the upper limit for this analysis is 100 rows for each analysis. Each allele has a list of epitopes classified as antibody-verified eplets, antibody-verified pairs and other eplets. They are listed on the “Ep”sheet (for DR and DQ) and “DPep” sheet (for DP).

**“Enter” sheet**

This sheet now shows the panel and its alleles. Separate columns describe the corresponding antibody-verified epitopes and other eplets. First, enter patient name, serum date and method used. Then, enter the HLA types of antibody producer and if possible the immunizer; the HLA types must be entered as alleles with 4-digit high-resolution types. They can only be selected from the allele lists used in this program. Any other allele entry will generate a message “wrong allele” and try again. Let us know if such allele is commonly found in your area and we will do our best to incorporate it in the program.

Besides DRB1 alleles it is important to enter the DRB3/4/5 alleles. If this information is not available, you can go to the “DRB345DQBassoc” sheet to make your best estimate. DQ allele typing information is important. If such data are not available, then go to the “DRB345DQBassoc” and “DQABassoc” sheets to come up with your best estimates. These sheets reflect information about more common class II allele associations (they do not apply to DP) but you can never be certain that the DQ allele assignments are correct unless actual typing has been done. You can enter allelic typing data for one or all class II loci. Enter ‘y’ as the second allele if only one allele has been identified for a locus.

Boxes J2:S13 now show which donor epitopes (AbVerEp, AbVerPrs and Other Ep) are mismatched for the recipient. The “All Eps” boxes show all of them and the “Reactive Eps” boxes will show epitopes that remain after the program has removed the eplets on negatively reacting SAB alleles (see below).

Boxes P18: AB117 show for the DR, DQ and DP alleles in the SAB panel which epitopes (AbVerEp, AbVerPrs and Other Ep) are mismatched for the recipient.

The next step is entering the MFI values in column N; this can be done manually but it is much easier to copy these numbers from the CSV files in the Luminex software programs of the SAB kits of the manufacturers. Be sure that the MFI values line up properly with the controls and the alleles in the panel. Under the Home tab, click in the Number box, then click on ‘Number’ in the list and set the decimal places to “0” to get nicely rounded MFI values.

The program automatically identifies the MFI values for self DRB1/3/4/5, DQB and DQA alleles and it calculates an average self count and the standard deviation (boxes F14:I16). Statistically, any value above the mean+3SD would be significantly above a negative reaction and this information can be used as a guide to establish the cut-off MFI value. The MFI value for self DRB is probably the best choice. Some DQ (and DP) heterodimers may give a high MFI if one chain is self but the other is nonself and recognized as a mismatch.

Box N16 is set with the value of 0 (zero) but it is used enter the cut-off MFI value of your choice. The program automatically identifies as negative the alleles with MFI values below the cutoff value. After clearing the epitopes from them, boxes P18: AB117 will show the mismatched epitopes that remain on the positive alleles.

For sera with widely ranging reactivity patterns it seems worthwhile to try out different cut-off values to see what happens with the mismatched epitopes on the reactive alleles. You might see that some epitopes associate with strong reactivity but others with low MFI values.

The next step is to sort out the different loci and determine which eplets are specific for the immunizer if typing information has been entered. Three sheets distinguish immunizer-specific and third-party epitopes in three class II groups.

**“SortDR”, “SortDQ” and “SortDP” sheets**

These sheets show the reactivity of the entire panel but allow you to arrange the data according to locus and the presence of immunizer-specific and third-party epitopes on reactive alleles using preset sort commands. DO NOT ENTER ANY DATA IN THESE SHEETS.

For example, on the “SortDR” sheet select rows 12:111. On the Home tab, go the Editing tab and select Sort & Filter, then Custom Sort, then “OK”. You should readily see the epitopes shared between reactive alleles and how these epitope specificities correlate with MFI value. Column I shows the antibody-verified eplets and Column J shows the antibody-verified pairs shared by alleles of the immunizer. Column K shows the “other” eplets and you might see look for reactive alleles that uniquely carry them; such epitopes which might become candidates for antibody-verification.

Other reactive alleles may lack immunizer-specific eplets and it possible that that the serum has antibodies induced during a different sensitization event. Columns L, M and N show the so-called “third-party” epitopes that might be recognized.

The “SortDQ” and “SortDP” sheets can be used for a similar analysis of the other class II reactivity patterns with the SAB panel. The program considers the fact that both A and B chains of DQ and DP have epitopes and that certain epitopes can be defined by eplet pairs on both chains. can be done on; select rows 12-111 and 10-109, respectively. These data provide data about epitope specificities and this information permits the determination of mismatch acceptability of class II alleles as shown the following sheets.

**“Comparisons” worksheet**

This new sheet describes residue differences between Luminex alleles in the same 2-digit group. Certain antibodies react with some but not with other alleles and these residue differences may provide clues about the structural basis of the epitopes recognized. They must play an important role in the epitope either as a non-self eplet or a self-configuration as a critical contact site within the structural epitope.

For instance, DRB1\*01 has three alleles in most panels. A serum might react with DRB1\*01:01 and DRB1\*01:03 but not with DRB1\*01:02. The first two alleles have distinct 67L, 70Q and 71R residues and alternatively, the reactivity of only DRB1\*01:01 and DRB1\*01:03 can be explained with 85V and 86G.

As another example, DQB1\*02:01 and DQB1\*02:02 differ from each other by only one residue 135D versus 135G. Reactivity with only one of these two alleles can only be explained by the difference in position 135 which must play an important role in the epitope.

The “Comparisons” worksheets have complete residue descriptions that distinguish two or more related alleles encoded by DRB, DQB and DQA.

Please note for DQA that there are no differences for DQA1\*03:02 versus DQA1\*03:03 and for DQA1\*05:01 versus DQA1\*05:05 except in their leader sequences which are not recognized by antibodies.

*What to do if the antibody reactivity pattern is uninterpretable?*

It is possible that certain reactive alleles have just blank spaces, i.e. they lack any immunizer or third-party epitope listed on the epitope sheet. Such alleles may have a technical problem or they may have non-HLA epitopes recognized by so-called natural antibodies. Experience has shown that some alleles in certain SAB kits are more frequently involved.

Another possibility is the serum has antibodies against one or more yet unrecognized HLA epitope(s) which cannot be detected with the current version of HLAMatchmaker. The analysis can only be done on a separate Excel document.

This can be done as follows: under the File tab use the “New” command to get a blank Excel file. Use the Copy command for the entire “Sort” sheet and then under the ”Home” tab of the new file, go to “Paste” and use the “Paste values” command . The short-cut is Alt-I-E-V.

The new Excel file should only have values and two approaches can be used to identify a new epitope recognized by patient’s antibodies. The idea is to find informative alleles that are reactive but lack any immunizer-specific epitope recognized by other antibodies in patient’s serum.

First, the epitope could be defined by a new eplet pair shared by informative reactive alleles and the immunizing allele. To identify the eplet pair, one could enter a much lower MFI cut-off value on the “Enter” sheet to see if another eplet (let us call it Eplet X) appears on the reactive alleles that were unexplained with the original cut-off value. Eplet X must be shared between the informative alleles and at least one immunizing allele but Eplet X may also be on other reactive alleles and even non-reactive alleles. The new “Sort” sheet should also be copied on another sheet of the new Excel file which now can be used for further analysis.

In a new column enter Eplet X for each allele which has it. Highlight all rows with the SAB alleles and under the Home and Editing tabs use the “Sort & FIlter” command for the Eplet X column (in ascending order) and add the column with the MFI (in descending order).

Relevant data have been selected and now the question must be raised which Eplet X-carrying alleles have distinct residues associated with reactivity or non-reactivity. They can be identified with Columns P-BE.

According to the structural epitope concept which considers that all six CDRs of antibody make contact with an epitope, the residue differences between Eplet X-carrying alleles must be in sequence positions within a radius of 15 Ångstroms. Such positions can be determined on HLA structures analyzed with the Cn3D program as described in publications on the HLAMatchmaker website. This exercise requires some practice.

Another method to identify a new epitope is based on the so-called nonself-self paradigm of epitope immunogenicity. This analysis can be done with the same Excel sheet used to identify pairs but it is much more difficult. We suggest that you contact us about your case so we can help you out.

**“AcMmDR”, “AcMmDQ” and “AcMmDP” sheets**

These sheets show the epitope mismatch information on class II alleles including many that are not in single allele panels. They can be used as guidelines to identify alleles with acceptable mismatches.

Columns B-F list the immunizer-specific epitopes on reactive SAB alleles. They have been grouped as antibody-verified eplets and eplet pairs and the third group consists of “other” eplets that have not been identified as specifically antibody-reactive.

Columns G-K list all epitopes, i.e. immunizer-specific and third-party epitopes. Again distinctions have been made between antibody-verified epitopes, eplet pairs and “other” eplets.

Row 5 has a filter command which allows displays of alleles with certain numbers of mismatched epitopes.

This program is a useful tool to determine mismatch acceptability at the epitope level but any clinical decision must include a careful analysis of patient’s sera tested in various assays and should assess reaction strengths of antibodies specific for detected epitopes and even if such epitopes would be clinically significant.

**Worksheets with DRB, DQ and DP polymorphic residue sequences**

Complete descriptions of HLA epitope repertoires are important for the clinical application of HLA epitope-based matching for transplantation. The antibody reactivity patterns of most class II reactive sera can be explained with the presence of antibody-verified epitopes shared between reactive alleles but other sera will have antibodies recognizing different epitopes. HLAMatchmaker has already lists of so-called other eplets which have not been verified yet. Certain sera may have a reactivity pattern that correlates with the presence of such eplet and might be used as experimental evidence for the antibody-verified status of the epitope. But we must also expect that other sera have a reactivity pattern that is too complex for HLAMatchmaker. In such cases, an analysis of polymorphic residue sequences might be useful.

The “DRBres”, “DQres” and “DPres” worksheets show the polymorphic residues shared between alleles in SAB panels. They cover all sequence positions and the residue notations follow standard single letter codes. Several alleles have incomplete sequence data; in such cases positions with unknown residues are indicated by asterisks such as 140\* and 203\*.

HLAMatchmaker antibody analysis for MICA (Version 1.0)

This Excel program applies the same features as used in the ABC and DRDQDP antibody analysis programs. First, it is based on the complete repertoire of MICA epitopes listed on the International HLA Epitope Registry website [http://www.epregistry.com.br](http://www.epregistry.ufpi.br/) . Second, it distinguishes between antibody-verified epitopes including eplet pairs and other epitopes not (yet) defined by antibodies. Third, after entering the cut-off MFI values it automatically identifies the reactive alleles and their mismatched epitopes. Fourth, there is a separate sheet with a sort command that readily identifies immunizer-specific and third-party epitopes. Fifth, another sheet shows for the current repertoire MICA alleles which epitopes are mismatched, this information is useful in the determination of mismatch acceptability.

Future versions will have updated MICA repertoires and newly antibody-verified epitopes will be added.