

EM Algorithm for Factor Analysis

BY AMEER QAQISH

April 1, 2025

1 Proposal

Our proposal is to program factor analysis (<https://www.cs.columbia.edu/~blei/seminar/2020-representation/readings/TippingBishop1999.pdf>) to handle estimation of the parameters for datasets where there is missingness in all of the covariates, meaning each subject is missing several covariates. Assume we have an $N \times d$ design matrix $T = \begin{pmatrix} t_1^T \\ \vdots \\ t_N^T \end{pmatrix}$ and that we pick a dimension $q < d$. Using notation consistent with the paper, the model for the $N \times d$ design matrix $T = \begin{pmatrix} t_1^T \\ \vdots \\ t_N^T \end{pmatrix}$ is that

$$t_j = \mu + Wx_j + \varepsilon_j, \quad j=1, \dots, N,$$

where x_1, \dots, x_N are i.i.d. $N(0, I_q)$, W is a $d \times q$ matrix, $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_N$ are i.i.d. $N(0, \Psi)$, $\Psi = \text{diag}(\sigma_1^2, \dots, \sigma_d^2)$, independent of x_1, \dots, x_N , and $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Thus $(t_j, x_j, \varepsilon_j)$, $j=1, \dots, N$ are i.i.d.. Estimating the parameters $\mu, W, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d$ in the presence of missing data requires a nontrivial EM algorithm implementation detailed in Section 2.

The factor analysis model can be used for dimension reduction by using posterior means $z_j = E(x_j | T_{\text{obs}}, \hat{\mu}, \hat{W}, \hat{\sigma}_1^2, \dots, \hat{\sigma}_d^2) \in \mathbb{R}^q$ as the projections of the t_j s. The formula for $E(x | t_{\text{obs}}, \hat{\mu}, \hat{W}, \hat{\sigma}_1^2, \dots, \hat{\sigma}_d^2)$ derived in 2.2 shows that z_j is an affine transformation of t_j .

We will test the dimension reduction capability of factor analysis by the following:

- Take a dataset $(t_i, y_i)_{i=1}^N$ where t_i is the covariate vector and y_i is a categorical outcome associated to the i th subject.
- For each covariate (column of the design matrix T), uniformly randomly make a percentage of its present values missing so that the missingness percentage is $p\%$, say $p=0, 10, 20, \dots, 100$. The EM algorithm maximizes the likelihood of $\mu, W, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d$ ignoring the missing mechanism. This coincides with the full likelihood if the missing data are missing at random and the missingness probabilities do not depend on $\mu, W, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d$.
- Train factor analysis and use the low dimensional projections of the covariate vectors to train a logistic regression classifier for y .
- Compare the performance of this factor analysis classifier across various values of p and also compare it to other classification approaches that handle missing covariates.

2 EM Algorithm

The observed data are the vectors $t_{p,j} = Q_j^T t_j$, $j=1, \dots, N$ where Q_j has columns e_k for each k such that t_{jk} is observed.

2.1 M Step

Theorem 1. *The M step updates are*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mu & W \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} P_N \langle t \rangle & P_N \langle tx^T \rangle \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & P_N \langle x \rangle^T \\ P_N \langle x \rangle & P_N \langle xx^T \rangle \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

$$(\sigma_j^2)_{j=1}^d = P_N \text{diag}(\langle tt^T \rangle + \mu \mu^T + W \langle xx^T \rangle W^T - 2 \langle t \rangle \mu^T - 2 \langle tx^T \rangle W^T + 2 \mu \langle x \rangle^T W^T).$$

Here $\langle \rangle$ denotes conditional expectation with respect to the current values of the parameters conditional on the observed values $t_{p,j} = Q_j^T t_j$, $j=1, \dots, N$, and

$$P_N f(t, x) := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N f(t_j, x_j)$$

is the sample mean operator.

Proof. Set

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d) &= \text{diag}(\sigma_1^2, \dots, \sigma_d^2), \\ \Omega(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d) &= \Psi(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d)^{-1} \\ &= \text{diag}(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_d).\end{aligned}$$

Up to additive terms independent of the parameters $\mu, W, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d$, the complete data log-likelihood is

$$\begin{aligned}\ell(\mu, W, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d | T, X) &= \log f(T, X | \mu, W, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N \log f(t_j, x_j | \mu, W, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N (\log f(x_j | \mu, W, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d) + \log f(t_j | x_j, \mu, W, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d)) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N \left(-\frac{1}{2} |x_j|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \log \det(\Omega) - \frac{1}{2} (t_j - \mu - Wx_j)^T \Omega (t_j - \mu - Wx_j) \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N \left(\frac{1}{2} \log \det(\Omega) - \frac{1}{2} (t_j - \mu - Wx_j)^T \Omega (t_j - \mu - Wx_j) \right).\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{\mu} \ell(\mu, W, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d | T, X) &= \sum_{j=1}^N \Omega(t_j - \mu - Wx_j) \\ \langle \nabla_{\mu} \ell(\mu, W, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d | T, X) \rangle &= \sum_{j=1}^N \Omega(\langle t_j \rangle - \mu - W \langle x_j \rangle) \\ &= \Omega \left(\sum_{j=1}^N \langle t_j \rangle - N\mu - W \sum_{j=1}^N \langle x_j \rangle \right).\end{aligned}$$

The differential with respect to W is

$$\begin{aligned}d_W \ell(\mu, W, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d | T, X) &= \sum_{j=1}^N -(-dWx_j)^T \Omega(t_j - \mu - Wx_j) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^T dW^T \Omega(t_j - \mu - Wx_j) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N \text{Tr}(dW^T \Omega(t_j - \mu - Wx_j) x_j^T).\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_W \ell(\mu, W, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d | T, X) &= \sum_{j=1}^N \Omega(t_j - \mu - Wx_j) x_j^T \\ \langle \nabla_W \ell(\mu, W, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d | T, X) \rangle &= \Omega \left(\sum_{j=1}^N (\langle t_j x_j^T \rangle - \mu \langle x_j \rangle^T - W \langle x_j x_j^T \rangle) \right) \\ &= \Omega \left(\sum_{j=1}^N \langle t_j x_j^T \rangle - \mu \sum_{j=1}^N \langle x_j \rangle^T - W \sum_{j=1}^N \langle x_j x_j^T \rangle \right).\end{aligned}$$

Setting $\langle \nabla_{\mu} \ell(\mu, W, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d | T, X) \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \nabla_W \ell(\mu, W, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d | T, X) \rangle = 0$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} P_N \langle t \rangle - \mu - W P_N \langle x \rangle &= 0 \\ P_N \langle tx^T \rangle - \mu P_N \langle x \rangle^T - W P_N \langle xx^T \rangle &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

In matrix form,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mu & W \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & P_N \langle x \rangle^T \\ P_N \langle x \rangle & P_N \langle xx^T \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} P_N \langle t \rangle & P_N \langle tx^T \rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mu & W \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} P_N \langle t \rangle & P_N \langle tx^T \rangle \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & P_N \langle x \rangle^T \\ P_N \langle x \rangle & P_N \langle xx^T \rangle \end{pmatrix}^{-1}.$$

The transpose of this equation is a $(q+1) \times (q+1)$ positive definite matrix inverse times a $(q+1) \times d$, so the equation is very efficiently solved in $O(q^2 d)$ time using the `scipy.linalg.solve` function from Python with the `assume_a = "pos"` option.

For Ω , we expand the log-likelihood as

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(\mu, W, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d | T, X) &= \sum_{j=1}^N \left(\frac{1}{2} \log \det(\Omega) - \frac{1}{2} (t_j - \mu - W x_j)^T \Omega (t_j - \mu - W x_j) \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^d \log \omega_k - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^d (t_j - \mu - W x_j)_k^2 \omega_k \right) \end{aligned}$$

to get

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\omega_k} \ell(\mu, W, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d | T, X) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N (\omega_k^{-1} - (t_j - \mu - W x_j)_k^2) \\ \langle \partial_{\omega_k} \ell(\mu, W, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d | T, X) \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \left(N \omega_k^{-1} - \sum_{j=1}^N \langle (t_j - \mu - W x_j)_k^2 \rangle \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\omega_k^{-1} = P_N \langle (t - \mu - W x)_k^2 \rangle, \quad k=1, \dots, d.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (t - \mu - W x)_k^2 \rangle &= \langle e_k^T (t - \mu - W x) (t - \mu - W x)^T e_k \rangle \\ &= e_k^T \langle (t - \mu - W x) (t - \mu - W x)^T \rangle e_k \\ &= e_k^T (\langle tt^T \rangle + \mu \mu^T + W \langle xx^T \rangle W^T - 2 \langle t \rangle \mu^T - 2 \langle tx^T \rangle W^T + 2 \mu \langle x \rangle^T W^T) e_k. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(\sigma_j^2)_{j=1}^d = P_N \text{diag}(\langle tt^T \rangle + \mu \mu^T + W \langle xx^T \rangle W^T - 2 \langle t \rangle \mu^T - 2 \langle tx^T \rangle W^T + 2 \mu \langle x \rangle^T W^T).$$

□

2.2 E Step

This is the harder part due to the missing data. Abusing notation somewhat, let $\mu, W, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d$ denote the current value of the parameters. First, notice that the updates for the parameters only depend on the sample averages of $\langle x \rangle$, $\langle t \rangle$, $\langle xx^T \rangle$, $\langle tx^T \rangle$, and $\text{diag}(\langle tt^T \rangle)$. Recall that the observed data are the vectors $t_{p,j} = Q_j^T t_j$, $j=1, \dots, N$ where Q_j has columns e_k for each k for which t_{jk} is observed. The missing data are $t_{m,j} = R_j^T t_j$, where R_j has columns e_k for each k for which t_{jk} is missing.

Since we are calculating $\langle x \rangle$, $\langle t \rangle$, $\langle xx^T \rangle$, $\langle tx^T \rangle$, and $\text{diag}(\langle tt^T \rangle)$, we focus on a single sample (t, x, ε) . Define permutation τ by

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{t} &= \begin{pmatrix} t_p \\ t_m \end{pmatrix} \\ \tilde{t}_j &= t_{\tau(j)} \\ t_j &= \tilde{t}_{\tau^{-1}(j)}.\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \tilde{t} \rangle &= \begin{pmatrix} t_p \\ \langle t_m \rangle \end{pmatrix} \\ \langle \tilde{t} x^T \rangle &= \begin{pmatrix} t_p \langle x \rangle^T \\ \langle t_m x^T \rangle \end{pmatrix} \\ \langle \tilde{t} \tilde{t}^T \rangle &= \begin{pmatrix} t_p t_p^T & t_p \langle t_m \rangle^T \\ \langle t_m \rangle t_p^T & \langle t_m t_m^T \rangle \end{pmatrix} \\ \langle t \rangle_j &= \tilde{t}_{\tau^{-1}(j)} \\ \langle tx^T \rangle_{j,k} &= \langle \tilde{t} x^T \rangle_{\tau^{-1}(j),k} \\ \text{diag}(\langle tt^T \rangle)_j &= \text{diag} \begin{pmatrix} t_p t_p^T & t_p \langle t_m \rangle^T \\ \langle t_m \rangle t_p^T & \langle t_m t_m^T \rangle \end{pmatrix}_{\tau^{-1}(j)} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} t_p \odot t_p \\ \text{diag}(\langle t_m t_m^T \rangle) \end{pmatrix}_{\tau^{-1}(j)}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence we just need $\langle x \rangle$, $\langle t_m \rangle$, $\langle xx^T \rangle$, $\langle t_m x^T \rangle$, and $\text{diag}(\langle t_m t_m^T \rangle)$. Define the submatrices

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_p &= Q^T \mu \\ \mu_m &= R^T \mu \\ W_p &= Q^T W Q \\ W_m &= R^T W R \\ \Psi_p &= Q^T \Psi Q \\ \Psi_m &= R^T \Psi R.\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2. *Let*

$$\Sigma = (I + W_p^T \Psi_p^{-1} W_p)^{-1}.$$

Then conditional on t_p ,

$$x \sim N(\Sigma W_p^T \Psi_p^{-1} (t_p - \mu_p), \Sigma).$$

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}t_p &= Q^T (\mu + Wx + \varepsilon) \\ &= \mu_p + W_p x + \varepsilon_p.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, up to additive terms that don't depend on x ,

$$\begin{aligned}\log f(x|t_p) &= \log f(x) + \log f(t_p|x) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} x^T x - \frac{1}{2} (t_p - \mu_p - W_p x)^T \Psi_p^{-1} (t_p - \mu_p - W_p x) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} x^T x - \frac{1}{2} x^T W_p^T \Psi_p^{-1} W_p x + x^T W_p^T \Psi_p^{-1} (t_p - \mu_p) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} x^T (I + W_p^T \Psi_p^{-1} W_p) x + x^T W_p^T \Psi_p^{-1} (t_p - \mu_p).\end{aligned}$$

Comparing this to the $N(m, \Sigma)$ log-likelihood $-\frac{1}{2}(x-m)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x-m) = -\frac{1}{2}x^T \Sigma^{-1}x + x^T \Sigma^{-1}m$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} x &\sim N(m, \Sigma) \\ \Sigma &= (I + W_p^T \Psi_p^{-1} W_p)^{-1} \\ m &= \Sigma W_p^T \Psi_p^{-1} (t_p - \mu_p). \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x \rangle &= \Sigma W_p^T \Psi_p^{-1} (t_p - \mu_p) \\ \langle t_m \rangle &= \mu_m + W_m \langle x \rangle \\ \langle x x^T \rangle &= \Sigma + \langle x \rangle \langle x \rangle^T \\ \langle t_m x^T \rangle &= W_m \Sigma + \langle t_m \rangle \langle x \rangle^T \\ \langle t_m t_m^T \rangle &= W_m \Sigma W_m^T + \Psi_m + \langle t_m \rangle \langle t_m \rangle^T. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have

$$t_m = \mu_m + W_m x + \varepsilon_m,$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \langle t_m \rangle &= E(t_m | t_p) \\ &= E(E(t_m | x, t_p) | t_p) \\ &= E(E(\mu_m + W_m x + \varepsilon_m | x, t_p) | t_p) \\ &= E(\mu_m + W_m x | t_p) \\ &= \mu_m + W_m \langle x \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

since ε_m and (x, t_p) are independent. Similarly, since ε_m and (x, t_p) are independent,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(t_m, x | t_p) &= E(\text{Cov}(t_m, x | x, t_p) | t_p) + \text{Cov}(E(t_m | x, t_p), E(x | x, t_p) | t_p) \\ &= \text{Cov}(\mu_m + W_m x, x | t_p) \\ &= W_m \Sigma \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(t_m | t_p) &= E(\text{Var}(t_m | x, t_p) | t_p) + \text{Var}(E(t_m | x, t_p) | t_p) \\ &= E(\text{Var}(\mu_m + W_m x + \varepsilon_m | x, t_p) | t_p) + \text{Var}(\mu_m + W_m x | t_p) \\ &= \Psi_m + W_m \Sigma W_m^T. \end{aligned}$$

□

2.3 Implementation

The E step is implemented in the following order. For each an observation index $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, do the following: calculate the following matrices; the time complexity is listed on the right.

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma &= (I + W_p^T \Psi_p^{-1} W_p)^{-1} & q^2 d. \\ \langle x \rangle &= \Sigma W_p^T \Psi_p^{-1} (t_p - \mu_p) & qd \\ \langle x x^T \rangle &= \Sigma + \langle x \rangle \langle x \rangle^T & q^2 \\ \langle t_m \rangle &= \mu_m + W_m \langle x \rangle & qd \\ W_m \Sigma &= W_m \Sigma & q^2 d \\ \langle t_m x^T \rangle &= W_m \Sigma + \langle t_m \rangle \langle x \rangle^T & qd \\ \text{diag}(\langle t_m t_m^T \rangle) &= \text{rowSums}(W_m \odot (W_m \Sigma)) + \text{diag}(\Psi_m) + \langle t_m \rangle \odot \langle t_m \rangle & qd. \end{aligned}$$

Then use these to form

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \tilde{t} \rangle &= \begin{pmatrix} t_p \\ \langle t_m \rangle \end{pmatrix} \\
\langle \tilde{t} x^T \rangle &= \begin{pmatrix} t_p \langle x \rangle^T \\ \langle t_m x^T \rangle \end{pmatrix} \\
\langle t \rangle_j &= \tilde{t}_{\tau^{-1}(j)} \\
\langle t x^T \rangle_{j,k} &= \langle \tilde{t} x^T \rangle_{\tau^{-1}(j),k} \\
\text{diag}(\langle t t^T \rangle)_j &= \begin{pmatrix} t_p \odot t_p \\ \text{diag}(\langle t_m t_m^T \rangle) \end{pmatrix}_{\tau^{-1}(j)}
\end{aligned}$$

in qd time. The M step updates are obtained by summing over N :

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mu \ W) &= (P_N \langle t \rangle \ P_N \langle t x^T \rangle) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & P_N \langle x \rangle^T \\ P_N \langle x \rangle & P_N \langle x x^T \rangle \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \\
(\sigma_j^2)_{j=1}^d &= P_N \text{diag}(\langle t t^T \rangle) + \mu \mu^T + W \langle x x^T \rangle W^T - 2 \langle t \rangle \mu^T - 2 \langle t x^T \rangle W^T + 2 \mu \langle x \rangle^T W^T.
\end{aligned}$$

The total time complexity is $O(Nq^2d)$. Note that $\text{diag}(AB)$ is implemented efficiently in code as $\text{rowSums}(A \odot B^T)$. We note that if there is no missing data, the complexity can be improved to $O(Nqd)$, but since typically $q \ll d$, $O(Nq^2d)$ is good.