Penbo Simplicity

Penbo Simplicity Test Plan

Version 1.0

| Penbo Simplicity | Version: 1.0 |
|------------------|------------------|
| Test Plan | Date: 25/04/2017 |

Revision History

| Date | Version | Description | Author |
|------------|---------|---|---------------------|
| 23/04/2017 | 1.0 | Creation and filling with basic information | Benedikt Bosshammer |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| Penbo Simplicity | Version: 1.0 |
|------------------|------------------|
| Test Plan | Date: 25/04/2017 |

Table of Contents

| 1. | Introduction | | |
|-----|--|---|--|
| | 1.1 Purpose | 4 | |
| | 1.2 Scope | 4 | |
| | 1.3 Intended Audience | 4 | |
| | 1.4 Document Terminology and Acronyms | 4 | |
| | 1.5 References | 4 | |
| 2. | Evaluation Mission and Test Motivation | 4 | |
| | 2.1 Background | 4 | |
| | 2.2 Evaluation Mission | 4 | |
| | 2.3 Test Motivators | 5 | |
| 3. | Test Approach | 5 | |
| | 3.1 User Interface Testing | 5 | |
| | 3.2 Unit-Testing | 5 | |
| | 3.3 Testing with end user | 5 | |
| 4. | Entry and Exit Criteria | 5 | |
| | 4.1 Test Plan | 5 | |
| | 4.1.1 Test Plan Entry Criteria | 6 | |
| | 4.1.2 Test Plan Exit Criteria | 6 | |
| 5. | Deliverables | 6 | |
| | 5.1 Test Evaluation Summaries | 6 | |
| | 5.2 Reporting on Test Coverage | 6 | |
| | 5.3 Perceived Quality Reports | 6 | |
| 6. | Testing Workflow | 6 | |
| 7. | Environmental Needs | 6 | |
| | 7.1 Base System Hardware | 6 | |
| | 7.2 Base Software Elements in the Test Environment | 6 | |
| | 7.3 Productivity and Support Tools | 6 | |
| 8. | Responsibilities, Staffing, and Training Needs | 7 | |
| | 8.1 People and Roles | 7 | |
| | 8.2 Staffing and Training Needs | 9 | |
| 9. | Iteration Milestones | 9 | |
| 10. | Metrics Analysis | 9 | |

| Penbo Simplicity | Version: 1.0 |
|------------------|------------------|
| Test Plan | Date: 25/04/2017 |

Master Test Plan

1. Introduction

1.1 Purpose

The purpose of the Iteration Test Plan is to gather all of the information necessary to plan and control the test effort for a given iteration. It describes the approach to testing the software, and is the top-level plan generated and used by managers to direct the test effort.

This Test Plan for the Alarm Clock supports the following objectives:

- Decrease the number of bugs to ensure trouble-free usage
- Provide an easy and comfortable use to the users

1.2 Scope

Our scope of the testing consists of the following two parts:

- Integration Testing with Xcode Server and Xcode Unit-Tests
- Xcode User Interface-Tests

In addition, we want to the test the user interface with end users before the first release.

1.3 Intended Audience

This document is intended for advanced readers. It's a technical document that doesn't describe the application for the users and should only be read by developers.

1.4 Document Terminology and Acronyms

n/a

1.5 References

n/a

2. Evaluation Mission and Test Motivation

Testing is done to guarantee that the software is stable and furthermore stays stable over the development of new features and bug fixes.

2.1 Background

By using continuous tests, we can monitor the effects that changes to the source code combined with user interactions cause to the functionality and performance of the app.

As a result, we can:

1. Ensure that a change do what it's supposed to do.

Testing guarantees that new implementations work as intended and detects possible conflicts between the new and old code.

2. Catch all possible edge cases.

No developer can ever think of all possible combination of user interactions possible in his system to still catch all possible bugs. User Interface-Test Scenarios cover all this cases.

2.2 Evaluation Mission

With Testing being one of the critical parts of a software project, it is necessary in order to exterminate technical bugs and give assurance of their absence to the stakeholders.

By covering not only Unit-Tests but also UI-Tests and Integration Test we cover as much possible issues as possible in an iOS Project.

| Penbo Simplicity | Version: 1.0 |
|------------------|------------------|
| Test Plan | Date: 25/04/2017 |

2.3 Test Motivators

Due to the number of components and complexity the project has, we have to maintain and refactor our code base on a regular basis. It is important that we prepare tests for every new Usecase before starting the development process to:

- Reduce technical risks.
- Fulfill functional and no-functional requirements
- Realize the Usecase faster

3. Test Approach

3.1 User Interface Testing

| Technique Objective: | Navigation through the user interface of the app |
|-------------------------|--|
| Technique: | Manually implement UI-Tests testing User Interactions (tabs, keyboard inputs, navigation, menu) executed by Xcode's UI-Test environment in the simulator |
| Oracles: | Manually executed with the help of Xcode |
| Required Tools: | Xcode's UI-Test environment |
| Success Criteria: | All test pass successfully. |
| Special Considerations: | n/a |

3.2 Unit-Testing

| Technique Objective: | Make sure that the new features work properly | |
|-------------------------|---|--|
| Technique: | Manually implement tests for the new coding | |
| Oracles: | Manually executed with the help of Xcode | |
| Required Tools: | Xcode's Unit-Test environment | |
| Success Criteria: | All test pass successfully. We strive for 40% tests coverage. | |
| Special Considerations: | Not everything can be covered with unit tests! | |

3.3 Testing with end user

| Technique Objective: | Testing the user experience of the app. |
|-------------------------|---|
| Technique: | Work out test scenarios. |
| Oracles: | The test users love the experience of usage. Our app is easy to understand and self-explaining. |
| Required Tools: | A Device capable of navigating and interacting with the app (iPhone 5 - 8). |
| Success Criteria: | Users confirm our goals of efficiency and ease of use. |
| Special Considerations: | Is done manually by asking a different person to use and test the app. |

4. Entry and Exit Criteria

4.1 Test Plan

| Penbo Simplicity | Version: 1.0 |
|------------------|------------------|
| Test Plan | Date: 25/04/2017 |

4.1.1 Test Plan Entry Criteria

This Test Plan begins as soon as the development is setup correctly and all Use Cases are defined properly.

4.1.2 Test Plan Exit Criteria

If the work on the project "Simple Habits" ends, there is no further need for a Test Plan. This can either occur after a successful release or because the project got cancelled.

5. Deliverables

5.1 Test Evaluation Summaries

The Test Log is visible on our Mac OS Server Report.

5.2 Reporting on Test Coverage

The Test Coverage is visible on our Mac OS Server Report.

5.3 Perceived Quality Reports

For Source Code Quality-Measurement we are using the Codacy service to show the code quality as a badge in our GitHub <u>project</u>.

6. Testing Workflow

All tests can be run manually by every developer from out of the IDE.

7. Environmental Needs

7.1 Base System Hardware

The following table lists the system resources for the test effort presented in the Test Plan.

| Resource | Quantity | Name and Type |
|----------------|----------|---|
| iPhone | 2 | Test deployment device |
| iMac / MacBook | 2 | Local test Macs running latest Mac OS X |
| Mac | 1 | Running Mac OS Server and Xcode for integration testing |

7.2 Base Software Elements in the Test Environment

The following base software elements are required in the test environment for this *Test Plan*.

| Software Element Name | Type and Other Notes |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| Apple iOS | Operating System |
| Xcode | Local Test Runner / IDE |
| Mac OS Server | Test Server OS |

7.3 Productivity and Support Tools

The following tools support the test process for this Test Plan.

| Tool Category or Type | Tool Brand Name | Vendor or In-house | |
|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--|
| Test Management | Mac OS Server | Vendor | |
| Issue Tracking | JIRA | Vendor | |
| Source Code Management | GitHub | Vendor | |
| Metrics | Taylor, TaylorParser | Vendor, Inhouse | |

| Penbo Simplicity | Version: 1.0 | |
|------------------|------------------|--|
| Test Plan | Date: 25/04/2017 | |

8. Responsibilities, Staffing, and Training Needs

8.1 People and Roles

This table shows the staffing assumptions for the test effort.

| Human Resources | | |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Role | Minimum Resources Recommended | Specific Responsibilities or Comments |
| | (number of full-time roles allocated) | |
| Test Manager | | Provides management oversight. |
| | | Responsibilities include: |
| | | • planning and logistics |
| | | agree mission |
| | | identify motivators |
| | | acquire appropriate resources |
| | | present management reporting |
| | | advocate the interests of test |
| | | evaluate effectiveness of test effort |
| Test Analyst | | Identifies and defines the specific tests to be conducted. |
| | | Responsibilities include: |
| | | identify test ideas |
| | | define test details |
| | | determine test results |
| | | document change requests |
| | | evaluate product quality |
| Test Designer | | Defines the technical approach to the implementation of the test effort. |
| | | Responsibilities include: |
| | | define test approach |
| | | define test automation architecture |
| | | verify test techniques |
| | | define testability elements |
| | | • structure test implementation |

| Penbo Simplicity | Version: 1.0 | |
|------------------|------------------|--|
| Test Plan | Date: 25/04/2017 | |

| | Human Resou | rces |
|---|---|---|
| Role | Minimum Resources Recommended (number of full-time roles allocated) | Specific Responsibilities or Comments |
| Tester | | Implements and executes the tests. |
| | | Responsibilities include: |
| | | implement tests and test suites |
| | | execute test suites |
| | | log results |
| | | analyze and recover from test failures |
| | | document incidents |
| Test System Administrator | | Ensures test environment and assets are managed and maintained. |
| | | Responsibilities include: |
| | | administer test management system |
| | | install and support access to, and recovery of, test environment configurations and test labs |
| Database Administrator, Database Manager | | Ensures test data (database) environment and assets are managed and maintained. |
| | | Responsibilities include: |
| | | support the administration of test data and test beds (database). |
| Designer | | Identifies and defines the operations, attributes, and associations of the test classes. |
| | | Responsibilities include: |
| | | defines the test classes required to support testability requirements as defined by the test team |
| Implementer | | Implements and unit tests the test classes and test packages. |
| | | Responsibilities include: |
| | | creates the test components required to support testability requirements as defined by the designer |

| Penbo Simplicity | Version: 1.0 |
|------------------|------------------|
| Test Plan | Date: 25/04/2017 |

8.2 Staffing and Training Needs

This section outlines how to approach staffing and training the test roles for the project.

9. Iteration Milestones

| Milestone | Planned Start Date | Actual Start Date | Planned End Date | Actual End Date |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| > 40% Test Coverage | (Semester 2, Week 4) 30.04.2017 | 30.04.2017 | (Semester 2, Week 8) 10.06.2017 | 13.06.2017 |
| Unit test implemented | | | (Semester 2, Week 4) 30.04.2017 | 30.04.2017 |
| User Interface Tests implemented | | | (Semester 1, Week 6) 30.04.2017 | (Semester 1, Week 6) |
| End User Tests prepared and executed | | | | |

10. Metrics Analysis


As we already described before we use a combination of a vendor tool (<u>Taylor</u>) and our own tool (<u>TaylorParser</u>) which is available on npm for metrics measurement.

Our measurement looks for violations of the following metrics:

- Excessive Class Length
- Excessive Method Length
- Too Many Methods
- Cyclomatic Complexity
- Nested Block Depth
- N-Path Complexity
- Excessive Parameter List

We don't use automated deployment due to the fact that deployment means publishing to the app store in our case. That means that our too doesn't not is a part of the deployment process.

On May 18th we ran our tools and got the following result:



| Penbo Simplicity | Version: 1.0 | |
|------------------|------------------|--|
| Test Plan | Date: 25/04/2017 | |

As a reaction (HW: Semester 2, Week 7) we changed the number of methods of the Class *AlarmListViewController*. (details)

More details information can be gathered from our <u>blog post</u> concerning this topic.