



# escaping batpigday

Charles T. Gray<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics and Statistics, La Trobe University, Victoria, Australia



## batpigday

**batpigday** *noun* The coding equivalent of groundhogday.

## the problem

Simulating data is a bitch.

Debugging frequently dominates the time of students in mathematical science. These students know how to solve equations, and next to nothing about code.

New tools are emerging daily to enable researchers to avoid these timesink pitfalls. These tools have lowered the programmatic barrier for researchers, but it still a learning curve.

We consider a case study in meta-analysis.

**meta-analysis** Statistical methodology for combining the results of several studies.

## meta-analysis of medians

Conventional meta-analytic tools, such as `metafor::rma`, require an **effect** and a **variance** of that effect.

But what if the reported statistics are **median** and **interquartile** range?

To test our proposed estimator for the variance of the sample median, I found myself repeating tasks and checks in the algorithms.

I tried to find a better way of debugging and writing simulations. This lead to:

1. a packaged analysis, `varameta::*`, which is built on
2. the simulation package for meta-analysis data, `metasim::*`.

(\*in development)

## escaping batpigday

coding is the easiest part of coding

- Modular code, break the code into chunks.
- Reproducibility is more than `set.seed()`: accessibility, refactoring, integratability, versioning,

```
library(tidyverse)
library(metasim)
```

Generate sample sizes for  $k$  studies.

```
# simulate 2 studies where most have at most 25
sim_n(k = 2, min_n = 10, max_n = 25) %>% output_table()
```

| Table 1: |              |    |
|----------|--------------|----|
| study    | group        | n  |
| study_1  | control      | 20 |
| study_2  | control      | 15 |
| study_1  | intervention | 36 |
| study_2  | intervention | 14 |

```
# generate simulation dataframe
sim_df() %>% head(2) %>% select(-n) %>% output_table()
```

| Table 2: |           |              |      |       |                           |       |             |
|----------|-----------|--------------|------|-------|---------------------------|-------|-------------|
| k        | tau2_true | median_ratio | prop | rdist | parameters                | id    | true_effect |
| 3        | 0         | 1            | 0.3  | norm  | list(mean = 67, sd = 0.3) | sim_1 | 67.0        |
| 3        | 0         | 1            | 0.3  | exp   | list(rate = 2)            | sim_2 | 0.3         |

Each **row** of this dataframe represents a set of **simulation** parameters.

Each simulation runs a **trial** function.

```
metatrial() %>% output_table()
```

| Table 3: |           |        |   |        |         |             |          |      |
|----------|-----------|--------|---|--------|---------|-------------|----------|------|
| conf_low | conf_high | tau_sq | k | effect | measure | true_effect | coverage | bias |
| 23.5     | 65.0      | 69.9   | 3 | 44.2   | m       | 50.0        | TRUE     | -5.8 |
| -0.5     | 1.4       | 0.2    | 3 | 0.5    | lr      | 0.2         | TRUE     | 0.3  |

Each **simulation** runs the trial function  $| \text{trials} |$  times.

```
metasim() %>% pluck("results") %>% select(-coverage_count) %>% output_table()
```

| Table 4: |        |          |      |                   |          |             |
|----------|--------|----------|------|-------------------|----------|-------------|
| measure  | tau_sq | ci_width | bias | successful_trials | coverage | id          |
| lr       | 0.7    | 3.7      | 0.0  | 4                 | 1        | simulation1 |
| m        | 668.4  | 110.0    | 4.7  | 4                 | 1        | simulation1 |

## the maths

## references

This poster was created with `posterdown::`.