$\overline{Q} = o_1 o_2 o_3 \dots o_t \dots o_T$ observation sequence $\overline{q} = q_1 q_2 q_3 \dots q_t \dots q_T$ state sequence

Problem 1: Given λ and \overline{O} ,

find $P(\overline{O}|\lambda)$ =Prob[observing \overline{O} given λ]

Direct Evaluation: considering all possible state sequence \overline{q}

$$\begin{split} P(\overline{O}\,|\,\overline{q},\lambda) \\ P(\overline{O}|\lambda) &= \sum_{all\;\overline{q}} \bigl([b_{q_1}(o_1) \bullet b_{q_2}(o_2) \bullet \ldotsb_{q_T}(o_T)] \bullet \\ \bigl[\pi_{q_1} \bullet a_{q_1q_2} \bullet a_{q_2q_3} \bullet \ldotsa_{q_{T-1}q_T} \bigr] \bigr) \\ & \qquad \qquad \Box \\ P(\overline{q}|\lambda) \end{split}$$

total number of different $\overline{q}: N^T$ huge computation requirements

Forward Procedure: defining a forward variable $\alpha_t(i)$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_t(i) &= P(o_1o_2....o_t\,,\,q_t=i|\lambda) \\ &= Prob[observing\ o_1o_2...o_t\,,\,state\ i\ at\ time\ t|\lambda] \end{aligned}$$

- Initialization

$$\alpha_1(i) = \pi_i b_i(o_1) , \quad 1 \le i \le N$$

- Induction

$$\alpha_{t+1}(j) = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{t}(i)a_{ij}\right] b_{j}(o_{t+1})$$

$$1 \le t \le T-1$$

$$1 \le j \le N$$

- Termination

$$P(\overline{O}|\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{T}(i)$$

See Fig. 6.5 of Rabiner and Juang

- All state sequences, regardless of how long previously, merge to the N state at each time instant t

Problem 2: Given λ and $\overline{O} = o_1 o_2 ... o_T$, find a best state sequence $\overline{q} = q_1 q_2 ... q_T$

Backward Procedure : defining a backward variable $\beta_t(i)$

$$\beta_{t}(i) = P(o_{t+1}, o_{t+2}, ..., o_{T} | q_{t}=i, \lambda)$$

$$= Prob[observing o_{t+1}, o_{t+2}, ..., o_{T} | state i$$
at time t, \lambda]

- Initialization

$$\beta_{\mathbf{T}}(i) = 1, 1 \le i \le N$$

- Induction

$$\beta_{t}(i) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{ij} b_{j}(o_{t+1})\beta_{t+1}(j)$$

$$t = T-1, T-2, ..., 2, 1, 1 \le i \le N$$

See Fig. 6.6 of Rabiner and Juang

Combining Forward/Backward Variables

$$\begin{split} &P(\overline{O}, q_t = i \mid \lambda) \\ &= \text{Prob [observing o_1, o_2, ..., o_t, ..., o_T, q_t = i \mid \lambda]} \\ &= \alpha_t(i)\beta_t(i) \\ &P(\overline{O}\mid \lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^N P(\overline{O}, q_t = i \mid \lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^N \left[\alpha_t(i)\beta_t(i)\right] \end{split}$$

Approach 1 — Choosing state q_t^* individually as the most likely state at time t

- Define a new variable $\gamma_t(i) = P(q_t = i \mid O, \lambda)$

$$\gamma_{t}(i) = \frac{\alpha_{t}(i)\beta_{t}(i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{t}(i)\beta_{t}(i)} = \frac{P(\overline{O}, q_{t}=i|\lambda)}{P(\overline{O}|\lambda)}$$

- Solution

$$q_t^* = \arg \max_{1 \le i \le N} [\gamma_t(i)], 1 \le t \le T$$

- Problem

maximizing the probability at each time t individually

 $\overline{q}^* = q_1^* q_2^* \dots q_T^*$ may not be a valid sequence (e.g. $a_{q_t^* q_{t+1}^*} = 0$)

Approach 2 — Viterbi Algorithm - finding the single best sequence $\overline{q}^* = q_1^* q_2^* \dots q_T^*$

- Define a new variable $\delta_t(i)$

$$\delta_{t}(i) = \max_{q_{1},q_{2},\dots,q_{t-1}} P[q_{1},q_{2},\dots,q_{t-1},\ q_{t}=i,\ o_{1},o_{2},\dots,o_{t}\ | \lambda]$$

- = the highest probability along a certain single path ending at state i at time t for the first t observations, given λ
- Induction

$$\delta_{t+1}(j) = \max_{i} \left[\delta_{t}(i) a_{ij} \right] \bullet b_{j}(o_{t+1})$$

- Backtracking

$$\psi_{\mathbf{t}}(j) = \arg \max_{1 \le i \le N} [\delta_{\mathbf{t-1}}(i)a_{ij}]$$

the best previous state at t-1 given at state j at time t

keeping track of the best previous state for each j and t

Complete Procedure for Viterbi Algorithm

- Initialization

$$\delta_1(i) = \pi_i b_i(o_1) , \quad 1 \le i \le N$$

- Recursion

$$\begin{split} \delta_{t}(j) &= \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \left[\delta_{t\text{-}1}(i) a_{ij} \right] \bullet b_{j}(o_{t}) \\ & 2 \leq t \leq T, \quad 1 \leq j \leq N \\ \psi_{t}(j) &= \arg\max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \left[\delta_{t\text{-}1}(i) a_{ij} \right] \\ & 2 \leq t \leq T, \quad 1 \leq j \leq N \end{split}$$

- Termination

$$P^* = \max_{1 \le i \le N} [\delta_T(i)]$$

$$q_T^* = \arg \max_{1 \le i \le N} [\delta_T(i)]$$

- Path backtracking

$$q_t^* = \psi_{t+1}(q^*_{t+1}), \quad t = T-1, t-2, \dots, 2, 1$$

Problem 3: Give \overline{O} and an initial model $\lambda = (A, B, \pi)$, adjust λ to maximize $P(\overline{O}|\lambda)$

- Define a new variable

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{t}(\:i,\:j\:) &= \: P(q_{t} = i,\:q_{t+1} = j\:|\:\overline{O},\:\lambda) \\ &= \: \frac{\alpha_{t}(i)\:a_{ij}\:b_{j}(o_{t+1})\beta_{t+1}(\:j)}{\sum\limits_{i\:=1}^{N}\:\sum\limits_{j\:=1}^{N}\left[\alpha_{t}(i)a_{ij}\:b_{j}(o_{t+1})\beta_{t+1}(\:j)\right]} \\ &= \: \frac{Prob[\overline{O},\:q_{t} = i,\:q_{t+1} = j|\lambda]}{P(\overline{O}|\lambda)} \end{split}$$

See Fig. 6.7 of Rabiner and Juang

- Recall $\gamma_t(i) = P(q_t = i \mid \overline{O}, \lambda)$
 - $\sum_{t=1}^{T-1} \gamma_t(i) = \text{expected number of times that state i}$ is visited in \overline{O} from t=1 to t=T-1
 - = expected number of transitions from state i in \overline{O}
 - $\sum_{t=1}^{T-1} \epsilon_t(i, j) = \text{expected number of transitions}$ from state i to state j in \overline{O}

- Results

$$\begin{split} \overline{\pi}_i &= \gamma_1(i) \\ \overline{a}_{ij} &= \frac{\sum\limits_{t=1}^{T-1} \epsilon_t(i,j)}{\sum\limits_{t=1}^{T-1} \gamma_t(i)} \\ \overline{b}_j(k) &= \text{Prob}[o_t = v_k \mid q_t = j \] = \frac{\sum\limits_{t=1}^{T} \gamma_t(j)}{\sum\limits_{t=1}^{T} \gamma_t(j)} \end{split}$$
 (for discrete HMM)

Continuous Density HMM

$$b_{j}(o) = \sum_{k=1}^{M} c_{jk} N(o; \mu_{jk}, U_{jk})$$

N(): Multi-variate Gaussian

μ_{jk}: mean vector for the k-th mixture component

U_{jk}: covariance matrix for the k-th mixture component

$$\sum_{k=1}^{M} c_{jk} = 1 \text{ for normalization}$$

Basic Problem 3 for HMMContinuous Density HMM

- Define a new variable

 $\gamma_t(j, k) = \gamma_t(j)$ but including the probability of o_t evaluated in the k-th mixture component out of all the mixture components

= Prob (
$$q_t$$
= j , m = $k|\overline{O}$, λ)

= Prob
$$(q_t=j|\overline{O},\lambda)$$
 Prob $(m=k|q_t=j,\overline{O},\lambda)$

=
$$\gamma_t(j)$$
 Prob (m= $k|q_t=j, \overline{O}, \lambda$)

$$= \left(\frac{\alpha_t(j)\beta_t(j)}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^N \alpha_t(j)\beta_t(j)} \right) \left(\frac{c_{jk} \, N(o_t; \, \mu_{jk}, \, U_{jk})}{\sum\limits_{m=1}^M c_{jm} N(o_t; \, \mu_{jm}, \, U_{jm})]} \right)$$

- Results

$$\overline{c}_{jk} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T} \gamma_t(j, k)}{\sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{k=1}^{M} \gamma_t(j, k)}$$

See Fig. 6.9 of Rabiner and Juang

Continuous Density HMM

$$\begin{split} \overline{\mu}_{jk} &= \frac{\sum\limits_{t=1}^{T} \left[\gamma_{t}(j,k) \bullet o_{t} \right]}{\sum\limits_{t=1}^{T} \gamma_{t}(j,k)} \\ \overline{U}_{jk} &= \frac{\sum\limits_{t=1}^{T} \left[\gamma_{t}(j,k)(o_{t}-\mu_{jk}) \left(o_{t}-\mu_{jk} \right)' \right]}{\sum\limits_{t=1}^{T} \gamma_{t}(j,k)} \end{split}$$

Iterative Procedure

$$\lambda = (A, B, \pi) \xrightarrow{\overline{\lambda}} \overline{\lambda} = (\overline{A}, \overline{B}, \overline{\pi})$$

$$\overline{O} = o_1 o_2 \dots o_T$$

- It can be shown

 $P(\overline{O}|\overline{\lambda}) \ge P(\overline{O}|\lambda)$ after each iteration