

Testing for irreducibility

BY DENIS ROSSET

Let G be a compact group. Let $\rho: G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{F}^d)$ be a unitary representation over a field $\mathbb{F} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$; equipping $V = \mathbb{F}^d$ with the Euclidean basis, we can write the images ρ_g using matrices.

Our problem is to determine whether ρ is irreducible over \mathbb{F} . We use the following test.

1 The test

Let X be a matrix sample from the Gaussian Unitary/Orthogonal Ensemble.

In the real case, we have $X_{ij} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. In the complex case, we have $X = R + \sqrt{-1}I$ with $R_{ij} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ and $I_{ij} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$.

Then we compute its projection on the space of matrices that commute with ρ :

$$\hat{X} = \int_G d\mu(g) \rho_g X \rho_g^{-1}. \quad (1)$$

Finally, we set $\mu = \text{tr } \hat{X} / d$, and $\Delta = \|\hat{X} - \mu \mathbb{1}_d\|_F$.

Proposition 1. *If ρ is irreducible, we have $\Delta = 0$. If ρ is reducible, then the probability of having $\Delta \leq \delta$ is upper bounded by ε :*

$$\varepsilon = P(\Delta \leq \delta), \quad \varepsilon \leq \begin{cases} \text{erf}(\delta / \sqrt{2}), & \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}, \\ 1 - \exp[-\delta^2 / 2], & \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

2 Proof

2.1 Simplified case

We first consider the case where ρ is the sum of two inequivalent representations σ^1 and σ^2 . Then there exists a matrix Q such that

$$Q \rho_g Q^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_g^1 & \\ & \sigma_g^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

where σ^1 and σ^2 are two representations of G . By Schur's lemma, \hat{X} has the form

$$\hat{X} = Q \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \mathbb{1}_{d_1} & \\ & \lambda_2 \mathbb{1}_{d_2} \end{pmatrix}}_{\Lambda} Q^\dagger, \quad (4)$$

where $d_1 = \dim \sigma_1$ and $d_2 = \dim \sigma_2$, and $d = d_1 + d_2$. Now, the matrix \hat{X} obtained during the projection is the one that commutes with ρ and minimizes the Frobenius norm $\|X - \hat{X}\|_F$, and thus

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{d_1} \sum_{i=1}^{d_1} \hat{X}_{ii}, \quad \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{d_2} \sum_{i=d_1+1}^d \hat{X}_{ii}. \quad (5)$$

We have furthermore $\mu = \frac{\text{tr } \hat{X}}{d} = \frac{\text{tr } \Lambda}{d} = \frac{\lambda_1 d_1 + \lambda_2 d_2}{d}$.

In the real case, λ_1 is the average of d_1 independent variables of distribution $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, and λ_2 is the average of d_2 independent variables of distribution $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. Thus $\lambda_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1/\sqrt{d_1})$, $\lambda_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1/\sqrt{d_2})$, while

$$\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sqrt{\frac{d}{d_1 d_2}}\right), \quad (6)$$

and

$$P(|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2| \leq \epsilon) = \text{erf}\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{2}\sigma}\right), \quad \sigma = \sqrt{d/d_1 d_2}. \quad (7)$$

In the complex case, $\lambda_{1,2} = \lambda_{1,2}^R + \sqrt{-1}\lambda_{1,2}^I$ where $\lambda_1^{R,I} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1/\sqrt{d_1})$ and $\lambda_2^{R,I} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1/\sqrt{d_2})$. Thus

$$\text{Re } \lambda_1 - \lambda_2, \text{Im } \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sqrt{\frac{d}{d_1 d_2}}\right) \quad (8)$$

and

$$P(|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2| \leq \epsilon) = P((\text{Re } \lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2 + (\text{Im } \lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2 \leq \epsilon^2) = P(x^2 + y^2 \leq \epsilon^2 / \sigma^2) \quad (9)$$

where $x, y \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. Now, $x^2 + y^2$ is distributed according to the χ^2 distribution, which has CDF $f(z) = 1 - e^{-z/2}$, and thus

$$P(|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2| \leq \epsilon) = 1 - \exp[-\epsilon^2 / 2\sigma^2]. \quad (10)$$

Let us come back to the main computation. In the case of irreducible ρ , we have $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ by Schur's lemma; and then $\Delta = 0$ follows. Now, in the case of reducible ρ , we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= \|\hat{X} - \mu \mathbb{1}_d\|_F \\ &= \|Q \Lambda Q^\dagger - \mu \mathbb{1}_d\|_F \\ &= \|\Lambda - \mu \mathbb{1}_d\|_F \\ &= \sqrt{d_1 |\lambda_1 - \mu|^2 + d_2 |\lambda_2 - \mu|^2} \\ &= \sqrt{d_1 |d\lambda_1 - d_1 \lambda_1 - d_2 \lambda_2|^2 + d_2 |d\lambda_2 - d_1 \lambda_1 - d_2 \lambda_2|^2} / d \\ &= \sqrt{d_1 |d_2 \lambda_1 - d_2 \lambda_2|^2 + d_2 |d_1 \lambda_2 - d_1 \lambda_1|^2} / d \\ &= \sqrt{d_1 d_2^2 |\lambda_1 - \lambda_2|^2 + d_1^2 d_2 |\lambda_1 - \lambda_2|^2} / d \\ &= \sqrt{d_1 d_2 (d_1 + d_2) |\lambda_1 - \lambda_2|^2} / d \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{d_1 d_2}{d}} |\lambda_1 - \lambda_2| = \frac{|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2|}{\sigma} \end{aligned}$$

Then $P(\Delta \leq \delta) = \text{erf}(\delta / \sqrt{2})$ in the real case, and $P(\Delta \leq \delta) = 1 - \exp[-\delta^2]$ in the complex case.

2.2 General case

In the case where σ^1 and σ^2 are equivalent, the off-diagonal blocks can now be non-zero, which cannot decrease Δ . Our bound thus still holds.

In the case where ρ is not unitary, we have $\Delta = \|\hat{X} - \mu \mathbb{1}_d\|_F = \|A(\hat{X}_U - \mu \mathbb{1}_d)A^{-1}\|_F$ where A is a change of basis matrix such that $A^{-1}\rho_g A$ is unitary; and \hat{X}_U is the projection on the commutant of that unitary representation. Let Δ_U be the random variable arising out of the test for unitary representations. Then $\Delta \leq \text{cond}(A)\Delta_U$.

We have not considered formally the case where ρ splits into more than two subrepresentations; we believe our bound still holds, as δ and d are all small.