

# **Eventual Consistency**

Principles of Functional Programming

Roland Kuhn

### Eventual Consistency (1)

Strong Consistency: after an update completes all reads will return the updated value

```
private var field = 0
def update(f: Int => Int): Int = synchronized {
  field = f(field)
  field
}
def read(): Int = synchronized { field }
```

### Eventual Consistency (2)

Strong Consistency: after an update completes all reads will return the updated value

Weak Consistency: after an update conditions need to be met until reads return the update value; this is the *inconsistency window* 

```
private @volatile var field = 0

def update(f: Int => Int): Future[Int] = Future {
    synchronized {
      field = f(field)
      field
    }
}

def read(): Int = field
```

### Eventual Consistency (3)

Strong Consistency: after an update completes all reads will return the updated value

Weak Consistency: after an update conditions need to be met until reads return the update value; this is the *inconsistency window* 

Eventual Consistency: once no more updates are made to an object there is a time after which all reads return the last written value

## Eventually Consistent Store (1)

```
case class Update(x: Int)
case object Get
case class Result(x: Int)
case class Sync(x: Int, timestamp: Long)
case object Hello
class DistributedStore extends Actor {
  var peers: List[ActorRef] = Nil
  var field = 0
  var lastUpdate = System.currentTimeMillis()
  def receive = ...
```

### Eventually Consistent Store (2)

```
def receive = {
  case Update(x) =>
    field = x
    lastUpdate = System.currentTimeMillis()
    peers foreach (_ ! Sync(field, lastUpdate))
  case Get => sender() ! Result(field)
  case Sync(x, timestamp) if timestamp > lastUpdate =>
    field = x
    lastUpdate = timestamp
  case Hello =>
    peers ::= sender()
    sender() ! Sync(field, lastUpdate)
```

#### Actors and Eventual Consistency

- an actor forms an island of consistency
- collaborating actors can at most be eventually consistent
- actors are not automatically eventually consistent
- event consistency requires eventual dissemination of all updates
- ▶ need to employ suitable data structures, for example CRDTs<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Shapiro, Preguiça, Baquero, Zawirski (2011): A comprehensive study of Convergent and Commutative Replicated Data Types, inria-00555588

#### An Example Data Structure

The cluster membership state is a convergent data type:

- directed acyclic graph of states
- conflicts can always be resolved locally
- conflict resolution is commutative