

Context Passing

Principles of Functional Programming

Context Passing vs Type Classes

Type classes are about *type instances of generic traits*. E.g.:

▶ What is the definition of TC[A] for the type class trait TC and the type argument A?

If we want to make A a type parameter, we need an implicit parameter to go with it.

On the other hand, there are also uses for abstracting over values of a simple type, asking

What is the currently valid definition of type T?

Example: Execution contexts

To do computations in parallel, runtimes need thread schedulers.

There's usually a default scheduler, but it should be possible to override that choice in parts of the code.

How are references to schedulers propagated?

In Scala, they are embedded in values of types ExecutionContext. The default is:

```
given global as ExecutionContext = ForkJoinContext()
```

This defines the execution context global as an alias of an existing value (i.e. a freshly created ForkJoinContext)

The evaluation of ForkJoinContext is done lazily: the ForkJoinContext is created the first time global is used.

Propagating Execution Contexts

Execution contexts rarely change, but they should be changeable everywhere.

This is a poster-child for implicit parameters.

```
def processItems(...)(using ExecutionContext) = ...
```

Other Use Cases

Passing a piece of the context as an implicit parameter of a certain type is quite common.

For instance, we might want to propagate implicitly

- the current configuration,
- the available set of capabilities,
- the security level in effect,
- the layout scheme to render some data,
- ▶ The persons that have access to some data.

Example: A Conference Management System

Let's say we design a system to discuss papers submitted to a conference.

- ▶ The papers have already been given a score by the reviewers.
- ► To discuss, reviewers need to see various pieces of information about the papers.
- Some reviewers are also authors of papers.
- An author of a paper should never see at this phase the score the paper received from the other reviewers.

Consequence: Every query of the conference needs to know who is seeing the results of the operation and this needs to be propagated.

For a given toplevel query the set of persons seeing its results will largely stay the same.

But it can change, for instance when a reviewer *delegates* part of the task to another person.

Outline

```
case class Person(name: String)
case class Paper(title: String, authors: List[Person], body: String)
object ConfManagement:
 type Viewers = Set[Person]
 class Conference(ratings: (Paper, Int)*):
   private val realScore = ratings.toMap
   def papers: List[Paper] = ratings.map(_._1).toList
   def score(paper: Paper, viewers: Viewers): Int =
      if paper.authors.exists(viewers.contains) then -100
      else realScore(paper)
```

Outline ctd

```
def rankings(viewers: Viewers): List[Paper] =
     papers.sortBy(score(_, viewers)).reverse
   def ask[T](p: Person, query: Viewers => T) =
      query(Set(p))
   def delegateTo[T](p: Person, query: Viewers => T)(viewers: Viewers): T =
      querv(viewers + p)
 end Conference
end ConfManagement
```

- ▶ If one of the viewers is also an author if the paper, the score is *masked*, returning -100 instead of the real score.
- The same masking also has to be done in derived operations, such as rankings.

Example Dataset

```
val Smith = Person("Smith")
val Peters = Person("Peters")
val Abel = Person("Abel")
val Black = Person("Black")
val Ed = Person("Ed")
val conf = Conference(
 Paper("How to grow beans", List(Smith, Peters), "...") -> 92.
 Paper("Organic gardening", List(Abel, Peters). "...") -> 83.
 Paper("Composting done right", List(Black, Smith), "...") -> 99,
 Paper("The secret life of snails", authors = List(Ed), "...") -> 77
```

Example Query

Which authors have at least two papers with a score over 80?

```
def highlyRankedProlificAuthors(asking: Person): Set[Person] =
 def guerv(viewers: Viewers): Set[Person] =
    val highlyRanked =
      conf.rankings(viewers).takeWhile(conf.score(_, viewers) > 80).toSet
    for
      p1 <- highlyRanked
      p2 <- highlyRanked
      author <- p1.authors
      if p1 != p2 && p2.authors.contains(author)
    vield author
 conf.ask(asking, query)
```

The answer depends on who is asking!

Tamper-Proofing

Problem: So far passing viewers is a *convention*.

Nothing prevents just passing the empty set of viewers to a query.

```
conf.rankings(Set()).takeWhile(conf.score(_, Set()) > 80)
```

Fix: Make the Viewers type alias opaque:

```
opaque type Viewers = Set[Person]
```

Opaque Type Aliases

Given an opaque type alias such as

```
object ConfManagement:
   opaque type Viewers = Set[Person]
```

the equality Viewers = Set[Person] is known only within the scope where the alias is defined. (in this case, within the ConfManagement object)

Everywhere else Viewers is treated as a separate, abstract type.

Why Does This Help Against Tampering?

When asking a query, we have to pass a Viewers set to the conference management methods.

But Viewers is an unknown abstract type; hence there is no way to create a Viewers instance outside the ConfManagement object.

So the only way to get a viewers value is in the parameter of a query, where the conference management system provides the actual value.

Therefore, in

```
conf.rankings(viewers).takeWhile(conf.score(_, viewers) > 80).toSet
```

we are *forced* to pass viewers on to rankings and score since that's the only Viewers value we have access to.

Caveat: This assumes that queries are not nested, since otherwise an inner query could access the viewers parameter of an outer one)

Discussion

Back to the conference management code:

- One downside is that we have to pass viewers arguments along everywhere they are needed.
- ► This seems pointless, since *by design* there is only a single value we could pass!
- ▶ It also quickly gets tedious as the codebase grows.
- Can't this be automated?

Discussion

Back to the conference management code:

- One downside is that we have to pass viewers arguments along everywhere they are needed.
- ► This seems pointless, since by design there is only a single value we could pass!
- ▶ It also quickly gets tedious as the codebase grows.
- Can't this be automated?

Of course: Just use implicit parameters.

Using using Clauses

```
class Conference(ratings: (Paper, Int)*):
  def score(paper: Paper)(viewers: Viewers): Int =
    if paper.authors.exists(viewers.contains) then -100
    else realScore(paper)
  def rankings(viewers: Viewers): List[Paper] =
    papers.sortBv(score(_, viewers)).reverse
  def delegateTo[T](p: Person, query: Viewers => T)(viewers: Viewers): T =
    query(viewers + p)
  . . .
```

conf.rankings(viewers).takeWhile(conf.score(_, viewers) > 80).toSet

Using using Clauses

```
class Conference(ratings: (Paper, Int)*):
  . . .
  def score(paper: Paper)(using viewers: Viewers): Int =
    if paper.authors.exists(viewers.contains) then -100
    else realScore(paper)
  def rankings(using viewers: Viewers): List[Paper] =
    papers.sortBv(score(_)).reverse
  def delegateTo[T](p: Person, query: Viewers => T)(using viewers: Viewers):
    query(viewers + p)
  . . .
```

conf.rankings.takeWhile(conf.score(_) > 80).toSet

Another Benefit of Opacity

The implicit parameters are of type Viewers, which is an opaque type alias.

This has another benefit: Since outside ConfManagement, Viewers is a type different from all others, there's no chance to connect Viewers implicit parameters with given instances for other types.

On the other hand, if Viewers was a regular type alias of Set[Person] we might accidentally have given instances for other sets of persons in scope, which would then be eligible candidates for Viewers parameters.

Be Specific

Morale: Given instances should have specific types and/or be local in scope.

For example, this is a terrible idea:

```
given Int = 1
def f(x: Int)(using delta: Int) = x + delta
```

Never use a common type such as Int or String as the type of a globally visible given instance!

Exercise

You have seen in week 4 an enum for arithmetic expressions. Let's augment it with a Let form:

```
enum Expr:
   case Number(num: Int)
   case Sum(x: Expr, y: Expr)
   case Prod(x: Expr, y: Expr)
   case Var(name: String)
   case Let(name: String, rhs: Expr, body: Expr)
import Expr._
```

Write an eval function for expressions of this type.

```
def eval(e: Expr): Int = ???
Let("x", e1, e2) should be evaluated like {val x = e1; e2}.
You can assume that every Var(x) occurs in the body b of an enclosing
Let(x, e, b).
```

Solution Hint

Use a map from variable names to their defined values as an implicit parameter.

The map is initially empty and is augmented in every Let node.

This suggests the following outline:

```
def eval(e: Expr): Int =
  def recur(e: Expr)(using env: Map[String, Int]): Int = ???
  recur(e)(using Map())
```