

ICSCA 2019

**2019 8th International Conference on
Software and Computer Applications**



USAINS HOLDING SDN BHD
Penang, Malaysia
February 19-21, 2019

Published by





The Association for Computing Machinery
2 Penn Plaza, Suite 701
New York New York 10121-0701

ACM COPYRIGHT NOTICE. Copyright © 2019 by the Association for Computing Machinery, Inc.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, to republish, to post on servers, or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from Publications Dept., ACM, Inc., fax +1 (212) 869-0481, or permissions@acm.org.

For other copying of articles that carry a code at the bottom of the first or last page, copying is permitted provided that the per-copy fee indicated in the code is paid through the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, +1-978-750-8400, +1-978-750-4470 (fax).

ACM ISBN: 978-1-4503-6573-4

2019 8th International Conference on Software and Computer Applications (ICSCA 2019)

Table of Contents

Preface.....XIV

Conference Committees.....XV

Session 1: High Performance Computing and Intelligent Computing

Geo-spatial-based Emotions: A Mechanism for Event Detection in
Microblogs.....1

Samer Muthana Sarsam, Hosam Al-Samarraie, Bahiyah Omar

GPU Accelerated Maximum Likelihood Analysis for Phylogenetic
Inference6

*Sandun Rajapaksa, Wageesha Rasanjana, Indika Perera, Dulani
Meedeniya*

Reinforcing the Decision-making Process in Chemometrics: Feature
Selection and Algorithm Optimization11

Samer Muthana Sarsam

Temporal Sentiment Tracking and Analysis on Large-scale Social Events.....	17
--	----

Hussein Hazimeh, Mohammad Harissa, Elena Mugellini, Omar Abou Khaled

Multi-Attention Network for Aspect Sentiment Analysis.....	22
--	----

Huiyu Han, Xiaoge Li, Shuting Zhi, Haoyue Wang

Enhancing Simplified General Perturbations-4 Model for Orbit Propagation Using Deep Learning: A Review.....	27
---	----

Nor'asnilawati Salleh, Siti Sophiayati, Sharizal Fadlie Sabri

Performance Analysis of an Fuzzy Logic Based LEACH Protocol.....	33
--	----

Hong-Yi Tan, Wun-She Yap, Bok-Min Goi

Personalized Ranking Point of Interest Recommendation Based on Spatial-Temporal Distance Metric in LBSNs.....	38
---	----

Chang SU, Hao Li, Xianzhong Xie

Cloud-Based IoT Solution for Predictive Modeling of Ship Fuel Consumption.....	44
--	----

Keh-Kim Kee and Boun-Yew Lau Simon

Questionnaire Development Process to Measure the SMEs' Continuous Use	
---	--

behavior towards Cloud Computing Services.....	50
--	----

Mohammed A. Al-Sharafi, Ruzaini Abdullah Arshah, and Emad A. Abu-Shanab

Session 2: Data Engineering and Big Data Technology

Data Mining Using Clustering Algorithm as Tool for Poverty Analysis.....	56
--	----

Janelyn A. Talingdan

Application of Data Science for Controlling Energy Crises: A Case Study of Pakistan.....	60
--	----

Saif Ullah, Muhammad Asif, Shahbaz Ahmad, Ulfat Imdad, Osama Sohaib

A Survey on Privacy Preserving Data Mining Approaches and Techniques.....	65
---	----

Maheyazah Md Siraj, Nurul Adibah Rahmat, Mazura Mat Din

Dashboard-based Alumni Tracer Study Report Using Normalized Data Store Architecture.....	70
--	----

Asroni, Juanita Yusanti, Slamet Riyadi

An Improved Over-sampling Algorithm based on iForest and SMOTE.....	75
---	----

Yifeng Zheng, Guohe Li, Teng Zhang

Towards the Idea of Agricultural Market Understanding for Automatic Event Detection	81
---	----

Mallika Kliangkhlao, Somchai Limsiroratana

Removing Unclassified Elements in Investigating of Financial Wellbeing Attributes Using Rough-Regression Model.....	87
---	----

Riswan Efendi, Susnaningsih Mu'at, Nelsy Arisandi, Noor Azah Samsudin

(In)Security of the AA_β Cryptosystem for Transmitting Large Data.....	91
--	----

Muhammad Asyraf Asbullah, Muhammad Rezal Kamel Ariffin, Zahari Mahad, Muhamad Azlan Daud

Missing Data Problem in Predictive Analytics.....	95
---	----

Heru Nugroho, Kridanto Surendro

Data Mining the Smartphone Manipulation Skills in a Coffee Farming Community: A Step for Risk Analysis.....	101
---	-----

Melidiossa V. Pagudpud, Thelma D. Palaoag

A Novel Efficient Query Strategy on Hibernate.....	105
--	-----

Shuo Kuai, Yupeng Hu, Xinxiao Zhao, Dong Qin, Wentao Li, Xueqing Li

Session 3: Algorithm Design and Optimization

Collaborative Filtering Algorithm Based on User Characteristic and Time Weight	109
--	-----

Panpan Wang, Hong Hou, Xiaoqun Guo

An Efficient Algorithm for Recommender System Using Kernel Mapping Techniques	115
---	-----

Summia Naz, Muazzam Maqsood, Mehr Yahya Durani

Pressure Vessel Design Simulation: Implementing of Multi-Swarm Particle Swarm Optimization.....	120
---	-----

Sinan Q. Salih, AbdulRahman A. Alsewari, Zaher M. Yaseen

DCA-Based Algorithm for Cross-Functional Team Selection.....	125
--	-----

Ngo Tung Son, Tran Thi Thuy, Bui Ngoc Anh, Tran Van Dinh

Enhancing One-Dimensional Chaotic Map Based on Bitstream Dividing Model.....	130
--	-----

Moatsum Alawida, Azman Samsudin, Wafa ' Hamdan Alshoura

Solving 0/1 Knapsack Problem using Opposition-based Whale Optimization Algorithm (OWOA).....	135
--	-----

Hammoudeh S. Alamri, Kamal Z. Zamli, Mohd Faizal Ab Razak, Ahmad Firdaus

Simplifying the Algorithm Selection Using Reduction of Rankings of Classification Algorithms.....	140
---	-----

Salisu Mamman Abdulrahman, Pavel Brazdil, Wan Mohd Nazmee Wan Zainon, Alhassan Adamu

Algorithms of Classification of Mass Problems of Production Subject Domains149

Eugene Malakhov, Denys Shchelkonogov, Vitaliy Mezhuyev

Research on MOOC System Based on Bipartite Graph Context Collaborative Filtering Algorithm.....154

Zhang Xiaoyan, Bai Jie

Session 4: Software Design and Development

Precise String Analysis for JavaScript Programs Using Automata159

Nabil Almashfi, Lunjin Lu, Koby Picker, Christian Maldonado

Empirical Investigation of UML Models Matching through Different Weight Calibration167

Alhassan Adamu, Wan Mohd Nazmee Wan Zainon, Salisu Mamman Abdulrahman

Adoption Issues in DevOps from the Perspective of Continuous Delivery Pipeline.....173

M. Zulfahmi Toh, Shamsul Sahibuddin, Mohd Naz ' ri Mahrin

Risk Management in Projects Based on Open-Source Software.....178

Nguyen Duc Linh, Phan Duy Hung, Vu Thu Diep, Ta Duc Tung

Visualizing Test-Defect Coverage Information to Support Analytical Reasoning and Testing.....184

Sharifah Mashita Syed-Mohamad, Mohd Heikal Husin, Wan Mohd Nazmee Wan Zainon

ML Polymorphism of Linear Lambda Calculus with First-class Continuations.....189

Shin-ya NISHIZAKI

Managing Quality Assurance Challenges of DevOps through Analytics..... 194

Mahmoud Mohammad Ahmad Ibrahim, Sharifah Mashita Syed-Mohamad, Mohd Heikal Husin

Acceptance of the Methods of Decision-making: A Case Study from Software Development Companies in Ukraine and Malaysia.....199

Vitaliy Mezhuyev, Oleg M. Lytvyn, Iuliia Pershyna, Olesia Nechuiviter, Oleg O. Lytvyn, Vladimir Lavrik, Oksana Kovalska, Yurii Gunchenko

Automating Business Process Model Generation from Ontology-based Requirements.....205

Amarilis Putri Yanuarifiani, Fang-Fang Chua, Gaik-Yee Chan

Verifying Cloud Application for the Interaction Correctness Using SoaML

and SPIN.....	210
---------------	-----

Chunling Hu, Guoqing Geng, Bixin Li, Chao Tang, Xiaofeng Wang

Session 5: Application Development and Application

Model-Based Book Recommender Systems using Naïve Bayes enhanced with Optimal Feature Selection.....	217
---	-----

Thi Thanh Sang Nguyen

Development Support of User Interfaces Adaptive to Use Environment...	223
---	-----

Seika Tanaka, Hajime Iwata, Junko Shirogane, Yoshiaki Fukazawa

Proposing a Development of Geolocation Mobile Application for Airport Pickup of International Students PickUp.....	229
--	-----

Zafeera Rashid, Chit Su Mon, Raenu Kolandaisamy

Using a Hypertext Pre-processor Code in Converting .csv File into a Civil Service Commission Daily Time Record Format Applying Agile Model.....	233
---	-----

Joel M. Gumiran and Cherry R. Gumiran

SGApps: Designing and Developing Expert System Apps for Diagnosing Sugar Glider Diseases.....	238
---	-----

Mimi Rosnida Osman, Munirah Mohd Yusof, Hanayanti Hafit

Applying Design Science Research in the Development of Human Resource Record Management System with Predictive Analysis through Pointing System.....	243
--	-----

Cherry R. Gumiran, Joel M. Gumiran

Smart City Bus Application with Quick Response (QR) Code Payment.....	248
---	-----

Sim Liew Fong, David Chin Wui Yung, Falah Y. H. Ahmed, Arshad Jamal

BookCeption: A Proposed Framework for an Artificially Intelligent Recommendation Platform.....	253
--	-----

Aniqa Zaida Khanom, Sheikh Mastura Farzana, Tahsinur Rahman, and Iftekhharul Mobin

A Genre-Based Item-Item Collaborative Filtering: Facing the Cold-Start Problem.....	258
---	-----

Surajit Das Barman, Mahamudul Hasan, Falguni Roy

Session 6: Image Processing Technology and Application

A review of Convolutional Neural Networks in Remote Sensing Image...	263
--	-----

Xinni Liu, Fengrong Han, Kamarul Hawari Ghazali, Izzeldin Ibrahim Mohamed, Yue Zhao

Segmentation of Microscopic Breast Cancer Images for Cancer Detection.....	268
--	-----

Hamit Altıparmak, Fatih Veysel Nurçin

Analyzing the Color Image of Taiwan Town by Using Data Mining.....	272
--	-----

Yu-Wei Su, Tzren Ru Chou

Defects Detection Technique of Use Case Views during Requirements Engineering.....	277
--	-----

Poranat Tianual, Amnart Pohthong

3D Coordinate Calculation and Pose Estimation of Power Meter based on Binocular Stereo Vision.....	282
--	-----

Qingdan Huang, Wenxiong Mo, Liqiang Pei, Lian Zeng

Brain Aneurysm Extraction in MRI Images.....	286
--	-----

Rose Hafsa Ab. Rauf, Najwa Abd Ghafar, Noor Elaiza Abd. Khalid

Cooperative Hierarchical Framework for Group Activity Recognition: From Group Detection to Multi-activity Recognition.....	291
--	-----

Mohammed Al-Habib, Dongjun Huang, Majjed Al-Qatf, and Kamal Al-Sabahi

Combination of Facial Recognition and Interaction with Academic Portal in Automatic Attendance System.....	299
--	-----

Ngo Tung Son, Le Phuong Chi, Phan Truong Lam, Tran Van Dinh

Reconstruct the Back of 3D Face Model Using 2D Gradient Based Interpolation.....	306
--	-----

Wenbo Luo

Low-Level Human Action Change Detection Using the Motion History Image.....	311
---	-----

Yohwan Noh, DoHoon Lee

Session 7: Computer Theory and Engineering

A Model Driven Approach for State Management in Mobile Applications.....	315
--	-----

Mehreen Khan, Farooque Azam, Muhammad Waseem Anwar, Fatima Samea, Mudassar Adeel Ahmed

Modelling of the Prophet Mosque in Virtual Reality.....	320
---	-----

Mohamad Izani Zainal Abidin, Aishah Abdul Razak

Assessing Classcraft as an Effective Gamification App based on Behaviorism Learning Theory.....	325
---	-----

Francisco C. Eugenio, Jr. and Ardhee Joy T. Ocampo

Towards Smart Healthcare Management Based on Knowledge Graph Technology.....	330
--	-----

Lan Huang, Congcong Yu, Yang Chi, Xiaohui Qi, Hao Xu

An Artificial Cell Simulator based on Artificial Chemistry.....	338
<i>Chien-Le Goh, Hong Tat Ewe, Yong Kheng Goh</i>	
Artificial Intelligence Legal Policy: Limits of Use of Some Kinds of AI.....	343
<i>Dremliuga Roman, Prisekina Natalia</i>	
Towards Efficient Implementation of Realizability Checking for Reactive System Specifications.....	347
<i>Masaya Shimakawa, Atsushi Ueno, Shohei Mochizuki, Takashi Tomita, Shigeki Hagihara, Naoki Yonezaki</i>	
Electric Power Meter Classification Based on BOW.....	353
<i>Wenxiong Mo, Liqiang Pei, Qingdan Huang, Weijie Liao</i>	
Determining the Neural Network Topology: A Review.....	357
<i>Muh. Ibnu Choldun R., Judhi Santoso, Kridanto Surendro</i>	
Verification of Verifiability of Voting Protocols by Strand Space Analysis.....	363
<i>Shigeki Hagihara, Masaya Shimakawa, Naoki Yonezaki</i>	
Meta-Analysis of Acoustic Feature Extraction for Machine Listening Systems	369
<i>Ricardo A. Catanghal Jr, Thelma D. Palaoag, Carlwin Dayagdag</i>	
An Ensemble Filter Feature Selection Method and Outlier Detection Method	

for Multiclass Classification.....	373
------------------------------------	-----

Dalton Ndirangu, Waweru Mwangi, Lawrence Nderu

Session 8: Modern Information Theory and Technology

Applying Formal Logic Validation to Enhance Natural Language Understanding	380
--	-----

Worawan Marurngsith, Pakorn Weawsawangwong

Privacy Enhancement for Delivery Route Optimization through Occupancy Prediction	385
--	-----

Shimpei Ohsugi, Kenji Tanaka, Noboru Koshizuka

Hybrid Approach for Forecasting Tourist Arrivals	392
--	-----

Mei-Li Shen, Hsiou-Hsiang Liu, Yi-Hsiang Lien, Cheng-Feng Lee, Cheng-Hong Yang

Development of Assessment System for Spine Curvature Angle Measurement	397
--	-----

Chua Shanyu, Lim Chee Chin, Shafriza Nisha Basah, Asrul Fahmi Azizan

Reservoir Parameter Prediction Using Optimized Seismic Attributes Based on Gamma Test.....	403
--	-----

Ying Li, Guohe Li, Yifeng Zheng

Proposal of Method for Analyzing International Students' Tourism Behavior Using a Tourism Support System.....	408
--	-----

Tianyang Lan, Hiroki Tomizawa, Hisashi Ichikawa and Akihiro Abe

Factors affecting the Social Networks Acceptance: An Empirical Study using PLS-SEM Approach.....	414
---	-----

Muhammad Alshurideh, Said A. Salloum, Barween Al Kurdi, Mostafa Al-Emran

The Extraction of Hidden Fault Diagnostic Knowledge in Equipment Technology Manual Based on Semantic Annotation.....	419
---	-----

Zhen Wang, Yanling Qian, Long Wang, Shigang Zhang, Xu Luo

Research on Domain Ontology Automation Construction Based on Chinese Texts.....	425
--	-----

Bo Wang, Jun Luo, Shuyuan Zhu

Session 9: Information Network and Information Security

A UML Profile for Multi-Cloud Service Configuration (UMLPMSC) in Event-driven Serverless Applications.....	431
---	-----

Fatima Samea, Farooque Azam, Muhammad Waseem Anwar, Mehreen Khan, Muhammad Rashid

Implicit Recommendation with Interest Change and User Influence.....	436
<i>Qiaoqiao Tan, Fangai Liu, Shuning Xing</i>	
An Information Source Identification Algorithm Based on Shortest Arborescence of Network.....	442
<i>Zhong Li, Chunhe Xia, Tianbo Wang, Xiaochen Liu</i>	
CDAS: A Continuous Dynamic Authentication System.....	447
<i>Qi Li, Hao Chen</i>	
A User Attribute Recommendation Algorithm and Peer3D Technology based WebVR P2P Transmission Scheme.....	453
<i>Huijuan Zhang, Lei Qiao, Dongqing Wang</i>	
An Enhanced Key Security of Playfair Cipher Algorithm.....	457
<i>Richard M. Marzan, Ariel M. Sison</i>	
Shellfier: A Shellcode Detection Method based on Dynamic Binary Instrumentation and Convolutional Neural Network.....	462
<i>Yue Pan, Jing An, Wenqing Fan, Wei Huang</i>	
Role-Based ABAC Model for Implementing Least Privileges.....	467
<i>Muhammad Umar Aftab, Zhiguang Qin, Syed Falahuddin Quadri, Zakria, Arslan Javed, Xuyun Nie</i>	
Survey of Hyperledger Blockchain Frameworks: Case Study in FPT University's Cryptocurrency Wallets.....	472

Tran Quy Ban, Bui Ngoc Anh, Ngo Tung Son, Tran Van Dinh

Domain Specific Classification of Malay Based Complaints using the
Complaint Concept Ontologies481

*Shaiful Bakhtiar bin Rodzman, Siti Suhaima binti Suhaili, Normaly
Kamal Ismail, Nurazzah Abd Rahman, Syed Ahmad Aljunid, Aslida binti
Omar*

Session 10: Digital Multimedia Technology and Application

Problematic Use of Live Video Streaming Services: Impact of Personality
Traits, Psychological Factors, and Motivations.....487

Shuhui Sophy Cheng, Shao-Liang Chang, Chi-Ying Chen

Detection of Random Correction from Source Code Snapshots.....491

Yu Ohno, Hidetake Uwano, Shinji Uchida

Reliable User Profile Analytics and Discovery on Social Networks.....496

Hussein Hazimeh, Elena Mugellini, Omar Abou Khaled

IPv6 QoS for Multimedia Applications: A Performance Analysis.....501

*Farooq Haider, Muhammad Hasanain Chaudary, Muhammad Sajjad
Naveed, Muhammad Asif*

Social Media Application Usage and Women Entrepreneurship Achievement
through E-Business Model: Case of Setiu Wetland.....505

Masita @ Masila Abdul Jalil, Fatihah Mohd, Mustafa Man, Noraida Ali, Suriyani Muhamad

A Model Integrating Information of Multiple Social Networks.....510

Xiangling Fu, Chenwei Yan, Pengya Zhao

Session 11: Wireless Communication Technology and Internet of Things Applications

Real-Time Carbon Dioxide Monitoring Based on IoT & Cloud Technologies.....517

Fan Xiu Ming, Riyaz Ahamed Ariyaluran Habeeb, Fariza Hanum Binti Md Nasaruddin, Abdullah Bin Gani

A 3-Tier Architecture for Network Latency Reduction in Healthcare Internet-of-Things Using Fog Computing and Machine Learning.....522

Saurabh Shukla, Mohd Fadzil Hassan, Low Tang Jung, Azlan Awang, Muhammad Khalid Khan

DC programming and DCA for Secure Guarantee with Null Space Beamforming in Two-Way Relay Networks.....529

Nguyen The Duy, Tran Thi Thuy, Luong Thuy Chung, Ngo Tung Son, Tran Van Dinh

Portable TOR Router with Raspberry Pi.....533

<i>Arshad Jamal, Deperkdharrshan Kumar, Rabab Alayham Abbas Helmi,</i>	
<i>Sim Liew Fong</i>	
An Automated Software-Agents System for Detecting Road Speed Limit Offences.....	538
<i>Maythem K. Abbas, Low Tang Jung, Raed Abdulla</i>	
A Survey on Deployment and Coverage Strategies in Three-Dimensional Wireless Sensor Networks.....	544
<i>Fengrong Han, Xinni Liu, Izzeldin Ibrahim Mohamed, Kamarul Hawari Ghazali, Yue Zhao</i>	
Internet of Things Attacks Detection and Classification Using Tiered Hidden Markov Model.....	550
<i>Ahmad Alshammari, Mohamed A. Zohdy</i>	
Minimizing Flow Rules for Rerouting Multi-Flows in Multi-Failure Recovery over SDN.....	555
<i>Meng Sun, Kuoyi Shao, Lu Wang</i>	
Anomaly Event Detection for Sensor Networks on Apriori Algorithms and Subjective Logic.....	560
<i>Yuan Jinhui, Zhou Hongwei, Zhang Laishun</i>	
DC Programming and DCA for Power Minimization Problem in Multi-User Beamforming Networks.....	564

Tran Thi Thuy , Nguyen Van Nam, Ngo Tung Son, Tran Van Dinh

Privacy Preserving of IP Address through Truncation Method in
Network-based Intrusion Detection System.....569

Yee Jian Chew, Shih Yin Ooi, Kok-Seng Wong, Ying Han Pang

Research on Ultrasonic Positioning Algorithm.....574

Jingxuan HE, Jian ZHANG, Zeji HUI

Smart Transportation System Using RFID.....579

Sim Liew Fong, Amir Ariff Azham bin Abu Bakar, Falah Y. H. Ahmed,

Arshad Jamal

Preface

Welcome to the 8th International Conference on Software and Computer Applications (ICSCA-2019). This year the ICSCA conference is held in Penang, Malaysia; it co-organized by Universiti Malaysia Pahang and supported by Universiti Malaysia Perlis (UniMAP).

The aim of ICSCA-2019 is to provide a platform for researchers, engineers, academicians as well as industrial professionals from all over the world to present their research results and development activities in Software and Computer Applications. Specifically, the conference provides opportunities for the delegates to exchange new ideas and experiences face to face, to establish business or research relations and to find global cooperation.

The ICSCA conference series become recognized not only in Malaysia but also worldwide. This year ICSCA received submissions from universities, research institutes and industries. After careful reviewing by the international technical committee, 52% of papers were accepted (giving a quite tough acceptance rate).

Selection of the papers depended on their quality and their relevancy to the conference. The proceedings tend to present to the readers the current issues of computer theory and applications, software design and development, data mining algorithms and techniques, image analysis and processing, information theory and network engineering, computer-based education and learning technologies.

Apart from being part of ICSCA-2019, participants are invited to explore the Pulau Penang (*Pulau* means an *Island* in Malay language). Penang is both an island and a province stretching along Malaysia' north-western coast. From the mainland, crossing the channel is a 13.5 km journey over aquamarine water with ample time to admire the approaching cityscape of beachside high-rises set below mountains of an uninhabited jungle. Don't forget to wander the narrow streets of Penang

attractive colonial-era capital - the UNESCO World Heritage site - George Town.

On behalf of the organizing committee, I would like to thank everyone for making this event possible. I wish all the participants a very successful and productive conference.

Conference Chair,

Prof. Dr. Vitaliy Mezhuyev,

Universiti Malaysia Pahang

Conference Committee

Advisory Committee Chair

Prof. Dr. Kamal Zuhairi Zamli, Universiti Malaysia Pahang, Malaysia

Conference Chairs

Prof. Dr. Vitaliy Mezhuyev, Universiti Malaysia Pahang, Malaysia

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Rozmie Razif Bin Othman, Universiti Malaysia Perlis, Malaysia

Program Chairs

Prof. Luigi Benedicenti, University of New Brunswick, Canada

Prof. Masahiro Fujita, The University of Tokyo, Japan

Prof. Dr. Habil. Sergei Gorlatch, University of Muenster, Germany

Prof. Dr. Eugene Malakhov, Odessa I. Mechnikov National University, Ukraine

Local Arrangement Chairs

Assoc. Prof. Ruzaini Abdullah Arshah, Universiti Malaysia Pahang, Malaysia

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Shahrul Nizam Bin Yaakob, Universiti Malaysia Perlis, Malaysia

Dr. Abdulrahman Ahmed Mohammed Al-Sewari, Universiti Malaysia Pahang, Malaysia

Dr. Mohamad Fadli Zolkipli, Universiti Malaysia Pahang, Malaysia

Dr. Mohd Nizam Mohmad Kahar, Universiti Malaysia Pahang, Malaysia

Dr. Shuhaizar Bin Daud, Universiti Malaysia Perlis, Malaysia

Dr. Zahereel Ishwar Bin Abdul Khalib, Universiti Malaysia Perlis, Malaysia

Technical Committees

Prof. Dr. Horst Lichter, RWTH Aachen University, Germany

Prof. Dr. N. Ch. SrimanNarayanalyengar, Sreenidhi Institute of Science and Technology (SNIST), India

Prof. Dr. Nazri Kama, Advanced Informatics School, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia

Prof. Dr. Ravil Kudermetov, Zaporizhzhya National Technical University, Ukraine

Prof. Harumi Watanabe, Tokai University, Japan

Prof. Dr. Ismail Rakip Karas, Karabük University, Turkey

Prof. Kazuhiro Ogata, Japan Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, Japan

Prof. Mohamed Bahaj, University Hassan 1st Faculty of Sciences & Technologies Settat Morocco, Morocco

Prof. Rusli Abdullah, University Putra Malaysia, Malaysia

Prof. Saleem Abuleil, Chicago State University, USA
Prof. Sheng-Uei Guan, Xi'an Jiaotong-Liverpool University, China
Prof. Shian-Chang Huang, National Changhua University of Education, Taiwan
Prof. Youngtaek Jin, Hanbat National University, Korea
Prof. Dr. Christoph Wunck, Jade University of Applied Sciences, Germany
Prof. Dr. Thanh van Do, Telenor and Oslo Metropolitan University, Norway
Prof. Jeongah Kim, Catholic kwandong University, South Korea
Prof. Josephine Dela Cruz, University of the Cordilleras, Philippines
Prof. Dr. Tanvir Ahmad, Jamia Millia Islamia, New Delhi, India
Prof. Dr. Abdullah Gani, Taylors University, Malaysia
Prof. Tzren Ru Chou, National Taiwan Normal University, Taiwan
Prof. Kwang Sik Chung, Korea National Open University, South Korea
Prof. Xiaoge Li, Xi'an University of Posts & Telecommunications, China
Prof. Dr. Ajay Gurjar, Sipna College of Engineering & Technology, Amravati(M.S), India
Prof. Dr. Ashwani Kush, Kurukshetra University, India
Prof. Lunjin Lu, Oakland University, United States
Assoc. Prof. Chunling Hu, Hefei Universtiy, China
Assoc. Prof. Muhammad Khalid Khan, PAF Karachi Institute of Economics and Technology, Pakistan
Assoc. Prof. Nurazzah Abd Rahman, UiTM Malaysia, Malaysia
Assoc. Prof. Kridanto Surendro, ITB, Indonesia
Assoc. Prof. Suriyani Muhamad, UMT, Malaysia
Assoc. Prof. Thian-Song Ong, Multimedia University, Malaysia
Assoc. Prof. Ts. Dr. Noraida Haji Ali, UMT, Malaysia
Assoc. Prof. Zaidah Ibrahim, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Shah Alam, Selangor, Malaysia
Assoc. Prof. Shuhui Sophy Cheng, Chaoyang University of Technology, Taiwan
Assoc. Prof. Xiangling Fu, Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications, China
Assoc. Prof. Turgay IBRIKCI, Cukurova University, Turkey
Assoc. Prof. Arun Sharma, IGDTUW, India
Assoc. Prof. Bakhtiar Affendi Rosdi, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Sunny Joseph, K. E. College, India
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Tanmay De, National Institute of Technology, Durgapur, India
Assoc. Prof. Hsien-Tang Lin, Tahwa University of Science and Technology, Taiwan
Assoc. Prof. Mohammad Ghasemzadeh, Yazd University, Iran
Assoc. Prof. Mustafa Man, Universiti Malaysia Terengganu, Malaysia
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Abdeslem Dennai, University of BECHAR, Algeria
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Shamim Akhter, East West University Bangladesh, Bangladesh
Assoc. Prof. Boudjelal MEFTAH, University Mustapha Stambouli, Algeria
Assoc. Prof. Olesia Nechuiviter, Ukrainian Engineering and Pedagogical Academy, Kharkiv, Ukraine
Assoc. Prof. Thumrongrat Amornraksa, King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi,

Thailand

Assoc. Prof. Iuliia Pershyna, Ukrainian Engineering and Pedagogical Academy, Kharkiv, Ukraine

Assoc. Prof. Jiujiu Yu, Anhui SanLian University, China

Assoc. Prof. Normaziah Ab Aziz, International Islamic University Malaysia, Malaysia

Assoc. Prof. Nasser Alzeidi, Sultan Qaboos University, Oman

Asst. Prof. Dr. Aashish Bardekar, Sipna College of Engineering and Technology, India

Asst. Prof. Dr. Aishah Razak, Effat University, Saudi Arabia

Asst. Prof. Thelma Palaoag, University of the Cordilleras, Philippines

Asst. Prof. Yong Su Ting, University of Nottingham Malaysia, Malaysia

Asst. Prof. Wilson Nieto Bernal, Universidad del Norte, Colombia

Asst. Prof. Mangal Sain, Dongseo University, S. Korea

Asst. Prof. Angelo C. Arguson, Christ the King College of Science and Technology, Philippines

Asst. Prof. Dr. Debasis Das, BITS Pilani- K. K. Birla Goa Campus, India

Asst. Prof. Dr. Feng Yu, Youngstown State University, USA

Asst. Prof. Dr. Muhammad Asif, National Textile University, Faisalabad, Pakistan

Asst. Prof. Dr. WONG Ka Chun, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong

Asst. Prof. Marwan Abdul Hameed Ashour, University of Baghdad, Iraq

Asst. Prof. Muhammad Mostafa Monowar, King Abdul-Aziz University, Saudi Arabia

Asst. Prof. Ricardo Luis De Azevedo Da Rocha, Escola Politécnica da USP, Brazil

Asst. Prof. Sofiane Grira, Abu Dhabi University, UAE

Asst. Prof. Dr. Asmaa Shaker Ashoor Alzubaydi, University of Babylon, Iraq

Asst. Prof. Dr. Muazzam Maqsood, COMSATS University, Pakistan

Asst. Prof. Yong Kheng Goh, Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman, Malaysia

Asst. Prof. Cherry Gumiran, Isabela State University, Philippines

Asst. Prof. Meng Sun, Qilu University of Technology (Shandong Academy of Sciences), China

Asst. Prof. Mohammad Imrul Jubair, Ahsanullah University Of Science & Technology, Bangladesh

Asst. Prof. Cheng-Hui Tsai, National Taichung University of Science and Technology, Taiwan

Asst. Prof. Dr. Iftekharul Mobin, BRAC University, Bangladesh

Asst. Prof. Dr. Mohamad Abidin, Effat University, Saudi Arabia

Asst. Prof. Tamim Al Mahmud, Green University of Bangladesh, Bangladesh

Dr. Masita Abd Jalil, UMT, Malaysia

Dr. Kalaimagal Ramakrishnan, Nottingham University Malaysia, Malaysia

Dr. Azrina Kamaruddin, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia

Dr. Azrul Hazri Jantan, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia

Dr. Adidah binti Lajis, UNIVERSITI KUALA LUMPUR, MALAYSIA

Dr. Bavani Ramayah, University of Nottingham (Malaysia Campus), Malaysia

Dr. C Balarengadurai, Marri Laxman Reddy Institute of Technology and Management, India

Dr. Ahmad Tajuddin Samsudin, Telekom Research & Development, Malaysia
Dr. Dulani Meedeniya, University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka
Dr. Hoshang Kolivand, Liverpool John Moores University, UK
Dr. Mamata Dalui, National Institute of Technology, India
Dr. R. VENKADESHAN, Shinas College of Technology, Sultanate of Oman
Dr. Satyabrata Aich, Inje University, Busan, South Korea
Dr. Tan Tse Guan, Universiti Malaysia Kelantan, Malaysia
Dr. Phan Duy Hung, FPT University, Viet Nam
Dr. Fang-Fang Chua, Multimedia University, Malaysia
Dr. Azlan Awang, Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS, Malaysia
Senior Lecturer Rose Hafsah Ab Rauf, Universiti Teknologi Mara, Malaysia
Senior Lecturer Slamet Riyadi, Universitas Muhammadiyah Yogyakarta, Indonesia
Senior Lecturer Thi Thanh Sang Nguyen, Vietnam National University, HCMC, Viet Nam
Senior Lecturer Zalilah Abd Aziz, Universiti Teknologi Mara, Malaysia
Lecturer Sim Liew Fong, Management and Science University, Malaysia
Lecturer Chit Su Mon, UCSI University, Malaysia
Lecturer Dr. Muhammad Asyraf Asbullah, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia
Lecturer Raenu Kolandaisamy, UCSI University, Malaysia
Lecturer Somchai Limsiroratana, Prince of Songkla University, Thailand
Lecturer Tung Son Ngo, FPT University, Viet Nam
Lecturer Chee Chin Lim, Universiti Malaysia Perlis(UniMAP), Malaysia
Lecturer Arshad Jamal, Management and Science University, Malaysia
Lecturer Alhassan Adamu, Kano University of Science and Technology, Nigeria
Senior Lecturer Kee Keh Kim, University College of Technology Sarawak, Malaysia
Senior Lecturer Dr. Samer Sarsam, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia
Senior Lecturer Dr. Md. Manjur Ahmed, Universiti Malaysia Pahang (UMP), Malaysia
Senior Lecturer Dr. Rabab Alayham Abbas, Management & Science University, Malaysia
Senior Lecturer Sumaiya Kabir, Green University of Bangladesh, Bangladesh
Syibrah Naim, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia
Asst. Prof. Carlwin Dayagdag, Romblon State University, Philippines
Lecturer Munirah Mohd Yusof, Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia, Malaysia

Determining the Neural Network Topology: A Review

Muh. Ibnu Choldun R.

School of Electrical Engineering and
Informatics, Institut Teknologi

Bandung

Jl. Ganecha 10, Bandung
Indonesia

+6281321859867

ibnucholdun@poltekpos.ac.id

Judhi Santoso

School of Electrical Engineering and
Informatics, Institut Teknologi

Bandung

Jl. Ganecha 10, Bandung
Indonesia

+6281572283045

judhi@stei.itb.ac.id

Kridanto Surendro

School of Electrical Engineering and
Informatics, Institut Teknologi

Bandung

Jl. Ganecha 10, Bandung
Indonesia

+628122107224

endro@informatika.org

ABSTRACT

One of the challenges in the successful implementation of deep neural network (DNN) is setting the value for various hyperparameters, one of which is the network topology, which is closely related to the number of hidden layers and the number of hidden neurons. Determining the number of hidden layers and the number of neurons is very important and has a large influence on DNN performance. Determining these two numbers manually (usually through trial and error methods) to find fairly optimal arrangement is a time-consuming process, while the automatic approach is divided into two, they are a model-based approach and a non-model based approach. The non-model-based approach, for example, is grid search and random search, whereas model-based approaches, for example, are using particle swarm optimization (PSO) algorithms. In some researches, how to determine the number of hidden layers or number of neurons, often the guidelines are unclear, even the roles and functions of both are explained minimally. Although it is still a difficult area of research, research to determine the number of hidden layers and the number of neurons must continue to be carried out, because these two numbers will greatly determine the performance of DNN.

CCS Concepts

• Computing methodologies → Neural networks

Keywords

Neural network; number; hidden layer; hidden neuron

1. INTRODUCTION

Neural networks have been successfully applied in a variety of problem areas as diverse as computer science, finance, medicine, engineering, physics, etc. The main reason is that neural networks have the ability to approach arbitrary functions. Over the past 30 years, a number of results have been published which show that artificial neural networks called feedforward networks with one hidden layer can approach all functions arbitrarily. One important aspect for designing neural networks is architecture or topology because it is closely related to generalization capabilities. In some cases using a fully connected network, and given a set of

predetermined inputs and outputs, the topology will be determined by how many hidden layers and how many neurons for each hidden layer. The majority of the literature discusses how to determine the number of hidden neurons (assuming only using one hidden layer), but very rarely discusses how to determine the optimal number of hidden layers. This is due to the opinion that networks with only one hidden layer are sufficient to approximate universally almost all functions [1][2]. However, several researches show that the use of two hidden layers provides better performance than if only using one hidden layer in some cases [2][3]. Since the increase in computer capabilities, the use of a neural network that has more than one hidden layer has become one of the attractions for researchers, especially since the use of deep neural networks to solve problems in the real world. Deep neural network learning can be interpreted as a technique that uses neural networks for learning that utilize many hidden layers between input and output layers [4]. One of the challenges in the successful implementation of deep neural networks is setting values for various hyperparameters, one of which is the network topology, which is closely related to the number of hidden layers and the number of hidden neurons. The determination of the number of hidden layers and the number of neurons is very important and has a large influence on the performance of deep neural networks [5][6]. The determination of these two numbers manually (usually through the 'trial and error' method) to find a fairly optimal topology is a time-consuming process.

Some researches on neural network topology have focused on determining the number of neurons because they only use one hidden layer, some focuses on the number of hidden layers (two or one hidden layer), some also determine the number of hidden layers and the number of neurons in each hidden layer. Research on determining the number of neurons hidden has been going on since the 1990s and is still an interesting topic for researchers [7][8][9]. Research comparing the performance of one or two hidden layers is still an interesting topic to date [3][10], while research which also calculates the number of hidden layers and the number of new neurons has been done in recent years, since the emergence of deep learning [4][5][10]. The determination of the right number of neurons is important to avoid under-fitting or over-fitting, while increasing the level of accuracy of the neural network. The choice of the number of hidden layers and the right number of neurons together aims to reduce the complexity of processing time while simultaneously maintaining the accuracy of the neural network [5]. Hidden layers in deep neural networks represent increasingly complex features progressively [11]. Some approaches to calculating optimal number of hidden neurons are: 'trial and error' [7], rule of thumb method, simple method, and two phase method [12]. Madhiarasan and Deepa (2017) propose a new

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from Permissions@acm.org.

ICSCA '19, February 19–21, 2019, Penang, Malaysia

© 2019 Association for Computing Machinery.

ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-6573-4/19/02...\$15.00

<https://doi.org/10.1145/3316615.3316697>

method for calculating the number of neurons by registering as many as 151 functions of convergent functions and then simulating each of these functions to get the number of neurons that will produce a minimum error. Of the 151 functions, a function is finally chosen which produces a minimum error [13]. The hyper-parameter search approach for searching the number of hidden layers and the number of neurons on the deep neural network can be done manually or automatically. The manual approach is done by trial and error or rule of thumb, while the automatic approach is divided into two, they are a model-based approach and a non-model based approach [14]. Non-model based approaches, for example, are grid search and random search, whereas approaches that are model based, for example, are using particle swarm optimization (PSO) algorithms.

Deep neural networks have achieved great success in practice and have a great influence on the machine learning literature and artificial intelligence. Although it has achieved success on a practical level, the theoretical characteristics of determining the topology of a neural network are still being investigated. For example, methods for finding the number of hidden layers and the number of neurons in deep learning have been done, but until now it has not been based on established theories [5][15][16][17]. Many methods have been conducted to calculate the number of hidden layers and the number of neurons, for example: model-based automatic methods using PSO [4][14][18], automatic methods without models using grid search (GS) or random search [19][20]. Some manual methods are also proposed, for example: the number of hidden neurons is 2/3 of the number of inputs plus

the number of outputs, the number of hidden neurons per hidden layer follows the rules of the pyramid geometry, mathematical approach [21][22], trial and error/rule of thumb[23], the relationship between the number of hidden layers and the number of hidden layers is logarithmic [24]. In some of the above literature, how to determine the number of hidden layers or optimal number of neurons, often the guidelines are not clear, even the roles and functions of both are explained minimally. Some literature proposes methods or ways to determine the number of hidden layers or the number of neurons, but it does not generally apply, it depends on the type of input data and also depends on the output. Research in this area still leaves difficult research tasks [17]. Some of the methods mentioned, apparently cannot be applied to different types of data. Each researcher determines the number of hidden layers or the number of neurons based on past experience, while for beginner researchers even do it with 'trial and error'. Although it is still a difficult area of research, research to determine the number of hidden layers and the number of neurons must continue to be carried out, because these two numbers will greatly determine the deep neural network learning performance.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Determining the Number of Hidden Neurons

Summary and critics from researches calculating hidden neuron can be seen in table 1, which was presented chronologically from the 1990s to the present.

Table 1. Research determines the number of hidden neurons

No	Researcher	Function	Method	Critics
1	Sartori and Antsaklis(1991)[25]	Classification	the number of neurons is $p-1$, where p is the number of input features	No correlation checks were carried out between input features
2	Arai(1993)[26]	Classification of two categories	the number of neurons between $I-1$ to $I/3$, where I is the number of input features	Only for classification of two categories
3	Ji Yan Li(1995)[27]	Time Series	m hidden neurons where the amount of data is $(m+1)m/2$	Just pay attention to the amount of data, variations in data are not noticed
4	Hagiwara(1994)[28]	Classification: Determines a 4x4 pixel image whether symmetry	Reducing the number of neurons and weights gradually	There is no guarantee that the number of neurons will get the right weight
5	Tamura and Tateishi(1997)[29]	Is not explained specifically	For one hidden layer: the number of neurons is $N-1$, for two hidden layers the number of neurons is $N/2 + 3$ where N is the number of inputs minus output	There is no empirical test Ignoring correlation, variations between input features, and between input features and output features
6	Fujita(1998)[30]	Not specifically explained	Add one by one the number of neurons, where the number of neurons is proportional to the number of data sets	Ignoring correlation, variations between input features
7	Keeni et al.(1999)[31]	Classification	Reducing the number of neurons little by little	Ignoring correlation, variations between input features

No	Researcher	Function	Method	Critics
8	Onoda(2001)[32]	Prediction	Using the Neural Network Information Criterion(NNIC)	Less practical
9	Islam and Murase(2001)[33]	Not specifically explained	Cascade Neural Network Design Algorithm(CNNDA)	Less practical
10	Zhang et al.(2003)[34]	Not specifically explained	Set Covering Algorithm(SCA)	Less practical
11	Choi(2008)[35]	Not specifically explained	An algorithm that separates training for input and output	Less practical
12	Shibata and Ikeda(2009)[36]	Not specifically explained	$N^{(h)} = N^{(i)}N^{(o)}$ and $\eta = 32 / (N^{(i)}N^{(o)})^{1/2}$	Do not see variations and correlations
13	Doukim et al.(2010) [37]	Pre-processing for feature extraction	Searching algorithm	Ignoring correlation, variations between input features
14	Panchal et al.(2011) [38]	Not specifically explained	The number of hidden neurons is inversely proportional to MSE	The number of neurons is known after learning
15	Hunter et al.(2012)[39]	Not specifically explained	$N^h = 2^n - 1$	Do not see variations and correlations
16	Sheela and Deepa(2013)[7]	Predictive	$(4n^2 + 3) / (n^2 - 8)$	Do not see variations and correlations
17	Madhiarasan and Deepa(2016)[8]	Predictive	$(8n - 4) / (n - 4)$	Do not see variations and correlations
18	Madhiarasan and Deepa(2017)[13]	Predictive	$u_n = (4n - 2) / (n - 3)$	Do not see variations and correlations

2.2 Comparison Using One or Two Hidden Layers

Research that compares the use of one or two hidden layers is performed on univariate and multivariate functions, which can be briefly read in table 2.

Table 2. Research compares one or two hidden layer

No	Researcher	Function	Method	Critics
1	Nakama (2011) [40]	Linear and quadratic	Comparing one and two hidden layers with other parameters together: one hidden layer reaches convergence faster	More than two hidden layers are not compared
2	Thomas et.al (2016) [10], Thomas et.al (2017) [2]	Predictive	Compare one and two hidden layers: two better hidden layers	More than two hidden layers are not compared
3	Guliyev and	Multivariate functions	Comparing one and two hidden	More than two hidden

Ismailov (2018) [1]		layers: one hidden layer is less able to approach multivariate functions	layers are not compared
---------------------	--	--	-------------------------

2.3 Determining The Number of Hidden Layers and The Number of Neurons

To determine the number of hidden layers and the number of neurons together broadly divided into two, they are the determination of automatically and manually determination [18]. Determination automatically can use the model, or non-model. There are manual determinations that use certain formulas; some are done by trial and error. Automatic determination without a model consists of grid search (GS) and random search (RS). GS is generally used to optimize DNN parameters only if the number is very low. First, users choose a range of values to explore. Then, DNN is trained for each specification along with other parameters. Usually, GS is carried out for the steps of a logarithmic scale, where the best combination is estimated, progressively in conducting a search [19]. The obvious disadvantage of GS is its time complexity - for parameters k to take different values for each (assuming that n is the same for all parameters), complexity grows exponentially at level $O(n^k)$. Random search (RS) is an alternative to GS, which integrates faster into parametrization. RS is not adaptive, meaning it is not dynamically updated during the experiment (solutions that have been found do not affect search). There is also a hybrid algorithm that combines RS with other techniques to improve its performance, for example, manual

updates provided by experts [19][20]. Model-based algorithms build replacement models of hyper-parameter spaces. Then, the hyper-parameter is set using this model. Most of these techniques use the Bayesian regression model that turns this problem into a trade-off between exploration, and exploitation. One model-based algorithm is using particle swarm optimization (PSO), an optimization technique by continuously calculating potential solutions using a quality reference. This algorithm optimizes

problems by moving particles/prospective solutions in the problem space using certain functions for the position and velocity of the particles. The movement of particles is affected by the best solution of the particle, and the best solution is generally obtained from other particles. The use of more than one hidden layer is usually accompanied by feature selection or feature extraction [41]. Summary and research criticism that calculates hidden layers and hidden neurons can be seen in table 3.

Table 3. Research determines the number of hidden neurons

No	Researcher	Function	Method	Critics
1	Karisma and Widyantoro (2016)[23]	Predictive	Rule of thumb	Difficult to apply to other cases
2	Koutsoukas et al. (2017)[6]	Predictive	Trial and error	Difficult to apply to other cases
3	Qolomany et al. (2017)[4]	Predictive	Particle Swarm Optimization	Need a long time. Architecture exists through a training process
4	Tej and Holban (2018)[42]	Classification	Clustering and Regression	Clustering is more related to variation and regression with correlation

3. CONCLUSION

Research that counts only the number of neurons is carried out assuming that the use of one hidden layer is able to approach almost all functions. Using more than one hidden layer will add to the burden of computer computing. But with the advancement of computer computing capabilities, the use of more than one hidden layer is worth considering. In addition, the majority of researches in this group do not consider the characteristics of the input feature, but only pay attention to the number of features or amount of data. By pre-processing processing input features, for example by looking at variations and correlations between or intra input features, it is hoped that better results will be obtained. Research that compares the use of one or two hidden layers, in addition to not paying attention to the characteristics of the data also does not compare the possibility of using more than two hidden layers. Research only focuses on performance comparisons between the use of one and two hidden layers. The research focuses on determining the number of hidden layers and the number of hidden neurons at once, the majority of research is conducted by trial and error or using rule of thumb for experienced researchers. The trial and error method can consume a lot of research resources with results that are not necessarily as expected. While using references from the results of experienced researchers also cannot be used as a handle, because basically the rule of thumb only applies to certain datasets or certain functions. So this group does not pay attention to the characteristics of the input feature to determine the network topology. The input feature character that can be considered is by calculating the correlation or variation between or input features. In future research the purpose of determining neural network topology is to minimize errors, should pay attention to the correlation and variance of features to achieve better performance. Besides minimizing errors, time complexity must also be considered due to the chosen neural network topology.

4. REFERENCES

- [1] Guliyev, N.J. and Ismailov, V.E. 2018. On the approximation by single hidden layer feedforward neural networks with fixed weights. *Neural Networks*, vol. 98, pp. 296–304.
- [2] Thomas, A. J. , Petridis, M., Walters, S.D., Gheyasssi, S. M., and Morgan, R. E. 2017. Two Hidden Layers are Usually Better than One. In *Engineering Applications of Neural Networks (EANN)*, Boracchi G., Iliadis L., Jayne C., and Likas A. (eds). Communications in Computer and Information Science, vol 744. Springer, Cham.
- [3] Thomas, A. J. , Walters, S. D. , Gheyasssi, S. M., Morgan, R. E, and Petridis, M. 2016. On the Optimal Node Ratio between Hidden Layers: A Probabilistic Study. *International Journal of Machine Learning and Computing*, vol. 6, no. 5, pp. 241–247.
- [4] Qolomany, B., Maabreh, M. , Al-Fuqaha, A., Gupta, A., and Benhaddou, D. 2017. Parameters optimization of deep learning models using particle swarm optimization. In *13th Int. Wirel. Commun. Mob. Comput. Conf.*, pp. 1285–1290.
- [5] Nitta, T. 2017. Resolution of Singularities Introduced by Hierarchical Structure in Deep Neural Networks. *IEEE Trans. Neural Networks Learn. Syst.*, vol. 28, no. 10, pp. 2282–2293.
- [6] Koutsoukas, A., Monaghan, K.J., Li, X., and Huan, J. 2017. Deep-learning: Investigating deep neural networks hyper-parameters and comparison of performance to shallow methods for modeling bioactivity data. *J. Cheminform.*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 1–13.
- [7] Sheela, K.G. and Deepa, S.N. 2013. Review on methods to fix number of hidden neurons in neural networks. *Math. Probl. Eng.*, vol. 2013, no. 4, pp. 449–471.
- [8] Madhilarasan, M., and Deepa, S. N. 2016. A novel criterion to select hidden neuron numbers in improved back propagation networks for wind speed forecasting. *Applied Intelligence*, 44(4), 878–893. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10489-015-0737-z>.
- [9] Vujičić, T. and Matijević, T. 2016. Comparative Analysis of Methods for Determining Number of Hidden Neurons in Artificial Neural Network. In *Cent. Eur. Conf. Inf. Intell. Syst.*, p. 219.
- [10] Thomas, L., Manoj Kumar, M. V., and B. Annappa, B. 2016. Discovery of optimal neurons and hidden layers in

- feed-forward Neural Network. In *IEEE Int. Conf. Emerg. Technol. Innov. Bus. Pract. Transform. Soc. EmergiTech 2016*.
- [11] Gao, T., Jovic, V., and Hill, C. 2017. Sample Importance in Deep Neural Network. In *ICLR 2017*.
- [12] Karsoliya, S. 2012. Approximating Number of Hidden layer neurons in Multiple Hidden Layer BPNN Architecture. *Int. J. Eng. Trends Technol.*, vol. 3, no. 6, pp. 714–717.
- [13] Madhiarasan, M. and Deepa, S.N. 2017. Comparative analysis on hidden neurons estimation in multi layer perceptron neural networks for wind speed forecasting. *Artif. Intell. Rev.*, vol. 48, no. 4, pp. 449–471..
- [14] Lorenzo, P.R., Nalepa, J., Kawulok, M., Ramos, L.S., and Pastor, J.R. 2017. Particle swarm optimization for hyper-parameter selection in deep neural networks. In *Proc. 2017 Genet. Evol. Comput. Conf. - GECCO '17*, vol. 8, pp. 481–488.
- [15] Kawaguchi, K., Kaelbling, L.P., and Bengio, Y. 2017. Generalization in Deep Learning. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1710.05468>
- [16] Lee, S., Zokhirova, M., Nguyen, T.T. and Lee, J. 2017. Effect of Hyper-Parameters on Deep Learning Networks in Structural Engineering. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Advances in Computational Mechanics 2017* pp 537-544
- [17] Lee, S., Ha, J., Zokhirova, M., Moon, H., and Lee, J. 2018. Background Information of Deep Learning for Structural Engineering. *Arch. Comput. Methods Eng.*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 121–129.
- [18] Lorenzo, P.R. and Ramos, L.S. 2017. Hyper-Parameter Selection in Deep Neural Networks Using Parallel Particle Swarm Optimization. In *GECCO '17 Proceedings of the Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference Companion*, Pages 1864-1871.
- [19] Bergstra, J. and Bengio, Y. 2012. Random Search for Hyper-Parameter Optimization. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, vol. 13, pp. 281–305.
- [20] Larochelle, H., Courville, A., and Bergstra, J. 2007. An Empirical Evaluation of Deep Architectures on Problems with Many Factors of Variation. In *ICML '07 Proceedings of the 24th international conference on Machine learning*, Pages 473-480.
- [21] Tang, X., Ding, Y., and Hao, K. 2018. A Novel Method Based on Line-Segment Visualizations for Hyper-Parameter Optimization in Deep Networks. *Int. J. Pattern Recognit. Artif. Intell.*, vol. 32, no. 3.
- [22] Diaz, G., Fokoue, A., Nannicini, G., and Samulowitz, H. 2017. An effective algorithm for hyperparameter optimization of neural networks. *IBM Journal of Research and Development*, vol. 61, no. 4, pp. 1–11.
- [23] Karisma, H. and Widiantoro, D.H. 2016. Comparison study of neural network and deep neural network on repricing GAP prediction in Indonesian conventional public bank. In *Proc. 2016 6th Int. Conf. Syst. Eng. Technol. ICSET 2016*, pp. 116–122.
- [24] Grabusts, P. and Zorins, A. 2015. The Influence of Hidden Neurons Factor on Neural Network Training Quality Assurance. In *Proceedings of the 10th International Scientific and Practical Conference*, vol. 3, pp. 76–81.
- [25] Sartori, M.A. and Antsaklis, P.J. 1991. A simple method to derive bounds on the size and to train multilayer neural networks. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 467–471.
- [26] Arai, M. 1993. Bounds on the Number of Hidden Units in Binary-Valued Three-Layer Neural Networks. *Neural Networks*, vol. 6, pp. 855–860.
- [27] Li, J.-Y., Chow, T.W.S., and Yu, Y.-L. 1995. The estimation theory and optimization algorithm for the number of hidden units in the higher-order feed forward neural network. In *Proceeding IEEE international conference on neural networks*, vol. 3, pp. 1229–1233.
- [28] Hagiwara, M. 1994. A simple and effective method for removal of hidden units and weights. *Neurocomputing*, vol. 6, pp. 207–218.
- [29] Tamura, S., and Tateishi, M. 1997. Capabilities of a Four-Layered Feedforward Neural Network : Four Layers Versus Three. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*, vol. 8(2), pp. 251–255..
- [30] Fujita, O. 1998. Statistical estimation of the number of hidden units for feedforward neural networks. *Neural Networks : The Official Journal of the International Neural Network Society*, 11, pp. 851–859. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0893-6080\(98\)00043-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0893-6080(98)00043-4).
- [31] Keeni, K. 1999. Estimation of Initial Weights and Hidden Units for Fast Learning of Multi-layer Neural Networks for Pattern Classification. In *IJCNN'99. International Joint Conference on Neural Networks*.
- [32] Onoda, T. 1995. Neural network information criterion for the optimal number of hidden units. In *Proceeding IEEE international conference on neural networks*, vol. 1, pp. 275–280.
- [33] Islam, M., and Murase, K. 2001. A new algorithm to design compact two-hidden-layer artificial neural networks. *Neural Network*, vol. 14(9).
- [34] Zhang, Z., Ma, X., and Yang, Y. 2003. Bounds on the number of hidden neurons in three-layer binary neural networks. *Neural Networks*, vol. 16(7), pp. 995–1002. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0893-6080\(03\)00006-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0893-6080(03)00006-6).
- [35] Choi, B., Lee, J., and Kim, D. 2008. Solving local minima problem with large number of hidden nodes on two-layered feed-forward artificial neural networks. *Neurocomputing*, vol. 71, pp. 3640–3643.
- [36] Shibata, K. and Ikeda, 2009. Effect of number of hidden neurons on learning in large-scale layered neural networks. In *2009 ICCAS-SICE*.
- [37] Doukim, C. A., Dargham, J. A., and Chekima, A. 2010. Finding the Number of Hidden Neurons for an MLP Neural Network Using Coarse to Fine Search Technique. In *10th International Conference on Information Science, Signal Processing and their Applications*.
- [38] Panchal, G., Ganatra, A., Kosta, Y.P., Panchal, G., Ganatra, A., Kosta, Y. P., and Panchal, D. 2011. Behaviour Analysis of Multilayer Perceptrons with Multiple Hidden Neurons and Hidden Layers. *International Journal of Computer Theory and Engineering*, Vol. 3(2), pp. 332–337.

- [39] Hunter, D., Yu, H., Pukish, M. S., Kolbusz, J., and Wilamowski, B. M. 2012 . Selection of Proper Neural Network Sizes and Architectures — A Comparative Study. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, 8(2), 228–240 <https://doi.org/10.1109/TII.2012.2187914>.
- [40] Nakama, T. 2011. Comparisons of Single- and Multiple-Hidden-Layer Neural Networks. In *International Symposium on Neural Networks 2011* , 270–279.
- [41] Abroudi, A., Shokouhifar, M., and Farokhi, F. 2013. Improving the Performance of Artificial Neural Networks via Instance Selection and Feature Dimensionality Reduction. *International Journal of Machine Learning and Computing* vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 176-180.
- [42] Tej, M. L., and Holban, S. 2018 . Determining Optimal Neural Network Architecture Using Regression Methods. In *2018 International Conference on Development and Application Systems (DAS)*, 180–189.



This author profile is generated by Scopus. [Learn more](#)

Rachmatullah, Muhammad Ibnu Choldun

[i](#) Institut Teknologi Bandung, Bandung, Indonesia [SC](#) 57224844807 [i](#)

[id](#) <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4071-887X>

23

Citations by 20 documents

5

Documents

3

[h-index](#) [View h-graph](#)

[Set alert](#)




[Edit profile](#)



[More](#)

Document & citation trends



[Scopus Preview](#)

Scopus Preview users can only view a limited set of features. Check your institution's access to view all documents and features.

[Check access](#)

Beta

[Documents](#)

[1 Preprint](#)

[4 Co-Authors](#)

[Topics](#)

[0 Awarded Grants](#)

[Documents \(5\)](#)

[Cited by \(20\)](#)

Note:


Scopus Preview users can only view an author's last 10 documents, while most other features are disabled. Do you have [access](#) through your institution? Check your institution's access to view all documents and features.

5 documents


Export all Add all to list

Sort by Date (...) 


Article • *Open access*

Determining the number of hidden layer and hidden neuron of neural network for wind speed prediction **2**
Citations
Rachmatullah, M.I.C., Santoso, J., Surendro, K.
PeerJ Computer Science, 2021, 7, pp. 1–19
Show abstract  Related documents


Article • *Open access*

A novel approach in determining neural networks architecture to classify data with large number of attributes **3**
Citations
Rachmatullah, M.I.C., Santoso, J., Surendro, K.
IEEE Access, 2020, 8, pp. 204728–204743
Show abstract  Related documents

Conference Paper

Determining the number of hidden layers in neural network by using principal component analysis **13**
Citations
Ibnu Choldun R., M., Santoso, J., Surendro, K.
Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing, 2020, 1038, pp. 490–500
Show abstract  Related documents

Conference Paper

Determining the Neural Network Topology from the Viewpoint of Kuhn's Philosophy and Popper's Philosophy **0**
Citations
Rachmatullah, M.I.C., Surendro, K., Santoso, J., Mahayana, D.
Proceeding - 2019 International Conference of Artificial Intelligence and Information Technology, ICAIIT 2019, 2019, pp. 115–118, 8834664
Show abstract  Related documents

Conference Paper


Determining the neural network topology: A review **5**
Citations
Muh Ibnu Choldun, R., Santoso, J., Surendro, K.

Show abstract  Related documents

[Back to top](#)

[View list in search results format](#)

[View references](#)

 [Set document alert](#)

About Scopus

[What is Scopus](#)

[Content coverage](#)

[Scopus blog](#)

[Scopus API](#)

[Privacy matters](#)

Language

[日本語版を表示する](#)

[查看简体中文版本](#)

[查看繁體中文版本](#)

[Просмотр версии на русском языке](#)

Customer Service

[Help](#)

[Tutorials](#)

[Contact us](#)

ELSEVIER

[Terms and conditions ↗](#) [Privacy policy ↗](#)

Copyright © Elsevier B.V. ↗. All rights reserved. Scopus® is a registered trademark of Elsevier B.V.
We use cookies to help provide and enhance our service and tailor content. By continuing, you agree to the use of cookies ↗.

 **RELX**