

DM549/DS(K)820/MM537/DM547

Lecture 1: Propositional Logic

Kevin Aguyar Brix (kabrix@imada.sdu.dk)

University of Southern Denmark

Week 36, 2025

Definition (Definition 1.1.1)

A *proposition* (et udsagn) is a declarative statement (that is, a statement that declares a fact) that is true (sand) or false (falsk) but not both.

Remarks:

- We denote a true proposition as **T** and a false one as **F**.
- Alternatively, one can also think of bits, where 1 corresponds to **T** and 0 corresponds to **F**.

Logical Operators

Using *operators* (operatorer), we can build *compound propositions* (sammensatte udsagn) from other (possibly compound) propositions.

Here, p , q , and r will be variables representing propositions.

Today, we will get to know the following operators:

- the negation \neg ,
- the conjunction \wedge ,
- the disjunction \vee ,
- the implication \Rightarrow ,
- the bi-implication \Leftrightarrow ,
- the exclusive or \oplus .

The Logical Negation

We can define an operator through a so-called *truth table* (sandhedstabel):

p	$\neg p$
T	F
F	T

For every value of the operand p , the value of the compound proposition is given.

Remarks:

- Read: “not (ikke) p ” or “ p ’s negation (negation)”
- In words: \neg “flips” the truth value of p .
- Alternative notation: \bar{p} , $!p$.

The Logical And and the Logical Or

We can also define binary (as opposed to unary) operators through truth tables:

p	q	$p \wedge q$	p	q	$p \vee q$
T	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	T	F	T
F	T	F	F	T	T
F	F	F	F	F	F

For every combination of values of the operands p and q , the value of the compound proposition is given.

Remarks:

■ Read:

- ▶ $p \wedge q$: “ p and q ” (“ p og q ”)
- ▶ $p \vee q$: “ p or q ” (“ p eller q ”)

■ In words:

- ▶ For $p \wedge q$ to be T, both p and q must be T.
- ▶ For $p \vee q$ to be T, at least one of p and q must be T.

Poll Everywhere

The Logical Implication

Another operator:

p	q	$p \Rightarrow q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

Remarks:

■ Read:

- ▶ “ p implies q ” (“ p medfører q ”)
- ▶ “if p , then q ” (“hvis p , så q ”)
- ▶ “ q if p ” (“ q hvis p ”)
- ▶ “ p only if q ” (“ p kun hvis q ”)
- ▶ “ p is a sufficient condition for q ” (“ p er en tilstrækkelig betingelse for q ”)
- ▶ “ q is a necessary condition for p ” (“ q er en nødvendig betingelse for p ”)

■ p is called the assumption (antagelse), q the consequence (konsekvens)

■ In words: for $p \Rightarrow q$ to be T, q may not be F if p is T

■ Alternative notation: \rightarrow (book!)

The Logical Bi-implication

A similarly looking operator:

p	q	$p \Leftrightarrow q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	T

Remarks:

■ Read:

- ▶ “ p if and only if q ” (“ p hvis og kun hvis q ”)
- ▶ “ p iff q ” (“ p hviss q ”)
- ▶ “ p is a necessary and sufficient condition for q ” (“ p er en nødvendig og tilstrækkelig betingelse for q ”)

- In words: for $p \Leftrightarrow q$ to be T, p and q must have the same truth value.
- Alternative notation: \leftrightarrow (book!)

The Exclusive Or

The last operator:

p	q	$p \oplus q$
T	T	F
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	F

Remarks:

- Read:

- ▶ “either p or q ” (“enten p eller q ”)
- ▶ “ p xor q ”

- In words: for $p \oplus q$ to be T, p and q must have different truth values.

- **Caution:** The book uses

- ▶ “either p or q ” to say $p \vee q$ and
- ▶ “either p or q but not both” to say $p \oplus q$.

Poll Everywhere

The Precedence Hierarchy of Logical Operators

Precedence order (“order of evaluation”) **of operators:**

- $\neg, \wedge, \vee, \Rightarrow, \Leftrightarrow$
- There is no consensus on the position of \oplus .

Note:

- I (and I believe I speak for a larger group of Mathematicians) would not assume that the reader knows the precedence order among \wedge and \vee ; neither among \Rightarrow and \Leftrightarrow . In these cases, I am putting parentheses.
- Similarly, if you are not sure about the precedence, just put parentheses.