

EE40098

Coursework C: Automated Feedback

Submission downloaded 21/01/2026 at 12:42.

Feedback generated on Wednesday 21st January, 2026 at 12:43.

Saad Ahmed

University of Bath
Department of Electronic and Electrical Engineering

Formative Feedback Only

All feedback contained within this document is purely indicative of your current solutions performance. Final grades for this assignment will consider a range of additional factors when producing a mark, meaning there may be some slight change between the performance seen here and your final grades. This feedback is intended to provide you with some insight into the performance of your approach prior to submission, allowing you to further improve your solution as the semester progresses.

1 Dataset D2

1.1 General Data Properties

Table 1: Properties of the provided dataset, D2, provided for reference. These may also be found in the coursework specification.

Property	Value
SNR (Approx.)	60 dB
Num. of Classes	5
Classification Status	Unlabelled
Sampling Rate	25 kHz

Table 2: The D2.mat submission file content metadata. As outlined in the coursework specification, this should include an Index and Class vector. If you have labelled every identified pulse, you should expect the number of class entries to match the number of index entries in your submission data.

Name	Data Type	Data Shape
Index	<class ‘numpy.ndarray’>	(1, 4086)
Class	<class ‘numpy.ndarray’>	(1, 4086)

1.2 Submission Performance

The index identification accuracy (i.e. how well you identified where pulses were in the data) was first assessed before class identification was considered. This yielded the following metrics:

Table 3: Index identification performance for dataset D2, reported as precision and recall. In both cases, a value closer to 1.0 is better.

Metric	Value
Precision	0.890847
Recall	0.913655

The class identification was then considered, resulting in the following confusion matrix. Please note, any missed pulses will not be present in this result - so while it may look good, you will also need to consider the results found above in the index performance table.

		Predicted Class				
		1	2	3	4	5
Actual Class	1	603	1	45	65	11
	2	466	171	30	40	9
	3	21	4	522	117	11
	4	16	4	70	555	100
	5	14	9	28	458	270

Figure 1: Confusion matrix for the dataset D2 submission file. A good result should have most values sitting along the lead diagonal. The raw count has been used here instead of percentage to provide you with additional insight.

2 Dataset D3

2.1 General Data Properties

Table 4: Properties of the provided dataset, D3, provided for reference. These may also be found in the coursework specification.

Property	Value
SNR (Approx.)	40 dB
Num. of Classes	5
Classification Status	Unlabelled
Sampling Rate	25 kHz

Table 5: The D3.mat submission file content metadata. As outlined in the coursework specification, this should include an Index and Class vector. If you have labelled every identified pulse, you should expect the number of class entries to match the number of index entries in your submission data.

Name	Data Type	Data Shape
Index	<class ‘numpy.ndarray’>	(1, 3485)
Class	<class ‘numpy.ndarray’>	(1, 3485)

2.2 Submission Performance

The index identification accuracy (i.e. how well you identified where pulses were in the data) was first assessed before class identification was considered. This yielded the following metrics:

Table 6: Index identification performance for dataset D3, reported as precision and recall. In both cases, a value closer to 1.0 is better.

Metric	Value
Precision	0.846198
Recall	0.885852

The class identification was then considered, resulting in the following confusion matrix. Please note, any missed pulses will not be present in this result - so while it may look good, you will also need to consider the results found above in the index performance table.

		Predicted Class				
		1	2	3	4	5
Actual Class	1	471	2	53	57	6
	2	430	144	29	39	17
	3	16	4	399	66	13
	4	15	2	104	351	132
	5	27	21	37	303	211

Figure 2: Confusion matrix for the dataset D3 submission file. A good result should have most values sitting along the lead diagonal. The raw count has been used here instead of percentage to provide you with additional insight.

3 Dataset D4

3.1 General Data Properties

Table 7: Properties of the provided dataset, D4, provided for reference. These may also be found in the coursework specification.

Property	Value
SNR (Approx.)	20 dB
Num. of Classes	5
Classification Status	Unlabelled
Sampling Rate	25 kHz

Table 8: The D4.mat submission file content metadata. As outlined in the coursework specification, this should include an Index and Class vector. If you have labelled every identified pulse, you should expect the number of class entries to match the number of index entries in your submission data.

Name	Data Type	Data Shape
Index	<class ‘numpy.ndarray’>	(1, 2502)
Class	<class ‘numpy.ndarray’>	(1, 2502)

3.2 Submission Performance

The index identification accuracy (i.e. how well you identified where pulses were in the data) was first assessed before class identification was considered. This yielded the following metrics:

Table 9: Index identification performance for dataset D4, reported as precision and recall. In both cases, a value closer to 1.0 is better.

Metric	Value
Precision	0.874101
Recall	0.721544

The class identification was then considered, resulting in the following confusion matrix. Please note, any missed pulses will not be present in this result - so while it may look good, you will also need to consider the results found above in the index performance table.

		Predicted Class				
		1	2	3	4	5
Actual Class	1	451	6	75	43	17
	2	358	103	30	27	52
	3	16	2	132	14	22
	4	29	6	74	105	84
	5	55	26	46	179	235

Figure 3: Confusion matrix for the dataset D4 submission file. A good result should have most values sitting along the lead diagonal. The raw count has been used here instead of percentage to provide you with additional insight.

4 Dataset D5

4.1 General Data Properties

Table 10: Properties of the provided dataset, D5, provided for reference. These may also be found in the coursework specification.

Property	Value
SNR (Approx.)	0 dB
Num. of Classes	5
Classification Status	Unlabelled
Sampling Rate	25 kHz

Table 11: The D5.mat submission file content metadata. As outlined in the coursework specification, this should include an Index and Class vector. If you have labelled every identified pulse, you should expect the number of class entries to match the number of index entries in your submission data.

Name	Data Type	Data Shape
Index	<class ‘numpy.ndarray’>	(1, 1698)
Class	<class ‘numpy.ndarray’>	(1, 1698)

4.2 Submission Performance

The index identification accuracy (i.e. how well you identified where pulses were in the data) was first assessed before class identification was considered. This yielded the following metrics:

Table 12: Index identification performance for dataset D5, reported as precision and recall. In both cases, a value closer to 1.0 is better.

Metric	Value
Precision	0.723204
Recall	0.4756

The class identification was then considered, resulting in the following confusion matrix. Please note, any missed pulses will not be present in this result - so while it may look good, you will also need to consider the results found above in the index performance table.

		Predicted Class				
		1	2	3	4	5
Actual Class	1	220	20	71	2	98
	2	217	51	50	7	151
	3	21	1	10	0	12
	4	27	8	21	5	34
	5	56	21	32	17	76

Figure 4: Confusion matrix for the dataset D5 submission file. A good result should have most values sitting along the lead diagonal. The raw count has been used here instead of percentage to provide you with additional insight.

5 Dataset D6

5.1 General Data Properties

Table 13: Properties of the provided dataset, D6, provided for reference. These may also be found in the coursework specification.

Property	Value
SNR (Approx.)	<0 dB
Num. of Classes	5
Classification Status	Unlabelled
Sampling Rate	25 kHz

Table 14: The D6.mat submission file content metadata. As outlined in the coursework specification, this should include an Index and Class vector. If you have labelled every identified pulse, you should expect the number of class entries to match the number of index entries in your submission data.

Name	Data Type	Data Shape
Index	<class ‘numpy.ndarray’>	(1, 1947)
Class	<class ‘numpy.ndarray’>	(1, 1947)

5.2 Submission Performance

The index identification accuracy (i.e. how well you identified where pulses were in the data) was first assessed before class identification was considered. This yielded the following metrics:

Table 15: Index identification performance for dataset D6, reported as precision and recall. In both cases, a value closer to 1.0 is better.

Metric	Value
Precision	0.636877
Recall	0.317054

The class identification was then considered, resulting in the following confusion matrix. Please note, any missed pulses will not be present in this result - so while it may look good, you will also need to consider the results found above in the index performance table.

		Predicted Class				
		1	2	3	4	5
Actual Class	1	205	10	64	4	133
	2	199	37	51	3	174
	3	25	1	17	2	31
	4	25	7	23	2	51
	5	51	11	24	5	85

Figure 5: Confusion matrix for the dataset D6 submission file. A good result should have most values sitting along the lead diagonal. The raw count has been used here instead of percentage to provide you with additional insight.