# Compositional Proof Rules for Multi-Threaded Program Verification (and their automation)

#### **Corneliu Popeea**

- Joint work with Grebenshchikov, Gupta, Lopes Andrey Rybalchenko -



## "Verification is solving Horn clauses"

Our view of the program is a transition system

```
int sum (int i) {
A: int s = 0;
B: while (i > 0) {
      s = s + i:
      i = i - 1;
C: assert (s \geq= 0);
```

```
V = (pc, s, i)
V' = (pc', s', i')
Init(V) = (pc = A)
Step(V, V') =
  (pc=A Λ pc'=B Λ s'=0 Λ i'=i) V
  (pc=B \Lambda pc'=B \Lambda i>0 \Lambda s'=s+i \Lambda i'=i-1) V
 (pc=B \Lambda pc'=C \Lambda i≤0 \Lambda s'=s \Lambda i'=i)
Error(V) = (pc=C \land s<0)
```

## "Verification is solving Horn clauses"

Find assertion inv(v) such that

```
init(v) \rightarrow inv(v)

inv(v) \land next(v,v') \rightarrow inv(v')

inv(v) \land error(v) \rightarrow false
```

Transition system is safe

### Safety and termination properties

```
\begin{array}{l} \text{Init(V)} \rightarrow \text{Inv(V)} \\ \text{Inv(V)} \ \Lambda \ \text{Step(V, V')} \rightarrow \text{Inv(V')} \\ \text{Inv(V)} \ \Lambda \ \text{Error(V)} \rightarrow \text{false} \end{array}
```

Transition system is safe

```
true -> Pre(n)

Pre(n) \Lambda n>0 -> Pre(n-1)

Pre(n) \Lambda n>0 \Lambda Post(n-1,s) -> Post(n,s+n)

Pre(n) \Lambda n<=0 -> Post(n,0)

Post(n,s) -> s>=0
```

Functional program is safe

```
Inv(V) \wedge Step(V, V') -> TransInv(V, V')
TransInv(V, V') \( \Lambda \) Step(V', V'') ->
                  TransInv(V, V")
dwf(TransInv(V, V'))
  Init(V) \Lambda Step<sub>i</sub>(V,V') \rightarrow T<sub>i</sub>(V,V')
\begin{array}{ll} T_{i}(V,V') \ \Lambda \ \text{Step}_{i}(V',V'') & \rightarrow T_{i}(V,V'') \\ T_{i}(V,V') \ \Lambda \ \text{Step}_{i}(V',V'') & \rightarrow T_{i}(V',V'') \\ (V_{j\neq i} \ \text{Init}(V) \ \Lambda \ \text{Step}_{j}(V,V')) & \rightarrow E_{i}(V,V') \end{array}
 \begin{array}{ll} (\textbf{V}_{j\neq i} \ T_j(\textbf{V}, \textbf{V}') \ \textbf{\Lambda} \ \text{Step}_j(\textbf{V}', \textbf{V}'')) \ \rightarrow \ E_i(\textbf{V}', \textbf{V}'') \\ \text{Init}(\textbf{V}) \ \textbf{\Lambda} \ E_i(\textbf{V}, \textbf{V}') \ \rightarrow \ T_i(\textbf{V}, \textbf{V}') \\ T_i(\textbf{V}, \textbf{V}') \ \textbf{\Lambda} \ E_i(\textbf{V}', \textbf{V}'') \ \rightarrow \ T_i(\textbf{V}, \textbf{V}'') \\ T_i(\textbf{V}, \textbf{V}') \ \textbf{\Lambda} \ E_i(\textbf{V}', \textbf{V}'') \ \rightarrow \ T_i(\textbf{V}', \textbf{V}'') \end{array} 
    dwf(T_1(V,V') \wedge .. \wedge T_N(V,V'))
    Multi-threaded program terminates
```

### Safety and termination properties

- Our implementation handles a wide range of verification problems [PLDI'12]
- Verification competitions
  - [TACAS'12] ControlFlowInteger: 91 ok / 93 (2 x time-outs)
  - [TACAS'13] Concurrency: 28 ok / 32 (4 x queue)
- Other tools
  - Blast, CPAchecker, ESBMC, SatAbs, ...

### Proof rules for multi-threaded programs

#### **Caveats**

- Sequential consistency

#### **Pros**

- not bounded context switches
- not only thread-modular proofs
- not only datarace-free code

## Owicki-Gries proof rule

Given a transition system,
 N, init(v), next(v,v'), error(v)

• Find assertions  $R_1(v)$ , ...,  $R_N(V)$  such that:

```
\begin{split} & \text{init}(v) \to R_i(v) \\ & R_i(v) \land \text{next}_i(v,v') \to R_i(v') \\ & R_i(v) \land (\bigvee_{j \neq i} R_j(v) \land \text{next}_j(v,v')) \to R_i(v') \end{split}
```

Concurrent transition system is safe

## Rely-guarantee proof of safety

Given a transition system,
 N, init(v), next(v,v'), error(v)

**Environment** assumptions

```
• Find R_1(v), ..., R_N(v), E_1(v,v'), ... E_N(v,v'):

init(v) \rightarrow R_i(v)

(\lor_j R_j(v) \land next_j(v,v')) \rightarrow E_i(v,v')

R_i(v) \land (next_i(v,v') \lor E_i(v,v')) \rightarrow R_i(v')

R_1(v) \land ... \land R_i(v) \rightarrow false
```

Concurrent transition system is safe

### Rely-guarantee proof of termination

Given a transition system,
 N, init(v), next(v,v'), error(v)

```
    Find assertions T<sub>1</sub>(v,v'), ..., T<sub>N</sub>(v,v'), E<sub>1</sub>(v,v'), ..., E<sub>N</sub>(v,v'):

      init(v) \land next_i(v,v') \rightarrow T_i(v,v')
                                                                                 Reachable computation
      T_i(v,v') \wedge next_i(v',v'') \rightarrow T_i(v,v'')
                                                                                       segments (local)
      T_i(v,v') \wedge next_i(v',v'') \rightarrow T_i(v',v'')
                                                                                          Environment
      (\vee_i \operatorname{init}(v) \wedge \operatorname{next}_i(v,v')) \rightarrow \mathsf{E}_i(v,v')
                                                                                          assumptions
      (\vee_i T_i(v,v') \wedge next_i(v',v'')) \rightarrow E_i(v',v'')
      init(v) \wedge E_i(v,v') \rightarrow T_i(v,v')
                                                                                   Reachable computation
      T_i(v,v') \wedge E_i(v,v') \rightarrow T_i(v',v'')
                                                                                   segments (environment)
      T_i(v,v') \wedge E_i(v',v'') \rightarrow T_i(v,v'')
                                                                                 Well-foundedness check
      dwf(T_1(v,v') \wedge ... \wedge T_N(v,v'))
```

Concurrent transition system terminates

## Example

```
// Thread 1
 lock(I);
 while(x>0) {
  x = x-1;
 unlock(I);
// Thread 2
 while(nondet()) {
  lock(I);
  x = nondet();
  unlock(I);
```

Thread termination for 1?

$$t_1(v,v') = (pc_1 \neq pc_1' \lor I=1 \land I'=1 \land x'>0 \land x'< x)$$
 $E_1(v,v') = (I \neq 1 \lor x'=x)$ 

YES

#### Automated verification

#### **Caveats**

- specific theory LI+UIF

#### **Pros**

- abstraction refinement
- bias towards local reasoning

#### Solving recursive Horn clauses over LI+UIF

Finite unfolding of clauses

Solve recursion-free clauses

Generalize solution to unbounded unfolding

Tool	CPAchecker 1.1.10-svcomp13 CSeq					q	ESBMC 1.20		Predator		Threader 0.92	
Limits	timelimit: 900 s, memlimit: 15360 MB											
System	CPU: Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-2600K CPU @ 3.40GHz with 4 cores, frequency: 3401 MHz; RAM: 16343684 kB											
Date of run	2012-11-14	06:53	2012-11-14 08:27		2012-12-04 12:32		2012-11-17 03:16		2012-12-03 03:44		2012-11-12 05:03	
Options	-sv-tomet3exelliterered -keae 12000n -disable-java-assertions		1 -sv -come13come3+at30+s -hear 12000n -d3sae3e-java-assent30+s						-1 (Jane) -032			
/sv-60-1446-05-10-05/	status	time	status	time	status	time	status	time	status	time	status	time
pthread/fib_bench_longer_unsafe.cil.c	unknown	1.2	unknown	1.2	unknown	0.35	unsafe	13	unknown	0.04	unsafe	6.0
pthread/fib_bench_unsafe.cil.c	unknown	1.2	unknown	1.2	unknown	0.07	unsafe	3.5	unknown	0.02	unsafe	4.1
pthread/queue_unsafe.cil.c	unknown	1.4	unknown	1.4	unknown	0.07	timeout	900	unknown	0.08	unknown	86
pthread/reorder_5_unsafe.cil.c	unknown	1.4	unknown	1.4	unknown	0.07	unsafe	120	еггог	0.10	unsafe	2.6
pthread/twostage_3_unsafe.cil.c	unknown	1.5	unknown	1.4	unknown	0.07	timeout	910	еггог	0.09	unsafe	3.6
pthread/fib_bench_longer_unsafe.i	unknown	1.4	unknown	1.4	timeout	900	unsafe	9.9	еггог	0.02	unsafe	5.9
pthread/fib_bench_unsafe.i	unknown	1.4	unknown	1.4	timeout	900	unsafe	2.7	еггог	0.02	unsafe	4.2
pthread/lazy01_unsafe.i	unknown	1.4	unknown	1.4	unsafe	0.57	unsafe	54	unknown	0.03	unsafe	0.95
pthread/queue_unsafe.i	unknown	1.7	unknown	1.7	unknown	0.10	timeout	910	еггог	0.03	unknown	0.41
pthread/reorder_2_unsafe.i	unknown	1.7	unknown	1.8	unsafe	16	timeout	910	error	0.04	unsafe	2.2
pthread/reorder_5_unsafe.i	unknown	1.6	unknown	1.6	unknown	0.16	timeout	910	еггог	0.03	unsafe	2.5
pthread/stack_unsafe.i	unknown	1.5	unknown	1.5	timeout	900	timeout	900	unknown	0.02	unsafe	80
pthread/stateful01_unsafe.i	unknown	1.4	unknown	1.4	unsafe	0.68	unsafe	160	unknown	0.02	unsafe	0.91
pthread/twostage_3_unsafe.i	unknown	1.6	unknown	1.7	unsafe	67	timeout	910	еггог	0.03	unsafe	14
pthread/fib_bench_longer_safe.cil.c	unknown	1.2	unknown	1.2	unknown	0.07	safe	70	unknown	0.03	safe	6.4
pthread/fib_bench_safe.cil.c	unknown	1.2	unknown	1.2	unknown	0.07	safe	18	unknown	0.02	safe	4.6
pthread/queue_ok_safe.cil.c	unknown	1.4	unknown	1.4	unknown	0.07	unsafe	6.7	unknown	0.10	unknown	58
pthread/fib_bench_longer_safe.i	unknown	1.4	unknown	1.3	timeout	900	safe	52	еггог	0.03	safe	6.4
pthread/fib_bench_safe.i	unknown	1.4	unknown	1.3	timeout	900	safe	13	еггог	0.02	safe	4.6
pthread/indexer_safe.i	unknown	1.5	unknown	1.8	safe	0.87	safe	140	error	0.05	safe	4.4
pthread/queue_ok_safe.i	unknown	1.7	unknown	1.7	unknown	0.09	timeout	900	еггог	0.03	unknown	0.28
pthread/stack_safe.i	unknown	1.5	unknown	1.5	timeout	900	timeout	900	unknown	0.04	safe	250
pthread/stateful01_safe.i	unknown	1.4	unknown	1.4	safe	0.78	safe	540	еггог	0.02	safe	2.7
pthread/sync01_safe.i	unknown	1.5	unknown	1.5	unknown	0.10	safe	57	unknown	0.03	safe	2.0
pthread-atomic/read_write_lock_unsafe.i	unknown	1.4	unknown	1.3	unsafe	1.8	timeout	920	unknown	0.04	unsafe	2.1
pthread-atomic/dekker_safe.i	unknown	1.4	unknown	1.4	timeout	900	timeout	910	unknown	0.02	safe	3.5
pthread-atomic/lamport_safe.i	unknown	1.4	unknown	1.3	timeout	900	timeout	910	unknown	0.02	safe	35
pthread-atomic/peterson_safe.i	unknown	1.4	unknown	1.4	safe	32	unsafe	27	unknown	0.02	safe	4.9
<pre>pthread-atomic/read_write_lock_safe.i</pre>	unknown	1.4	unknown	1.4	safe	5.4	timeout	920	unknown	0.02	safe	1.6
pthread-atomic/scull_safe.i	unknown	1.5	unknown	1.5	unknown	0.11	timeout	910	unknown	0.03	safe	99
pthread-atomic/szymanski_safe.i	unknown	1.4	unknown	1.4	safe	140	timeout	910	unknown	0.02	safe	13
pthread-atomic/time_var_mutex_safe.i	unknown	1.4	unknown	1.4	safe	1.2		110	unknown	0.02	safe	4.8
total files	32	45	32	46	32	7500	32	15000	32	1.1	32	720
correct results	0	0	0	0	11	270	15	1400	0	0	28	570
false negatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
false positives	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	34	0	0	0	0
false properties	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
score (32 files, max score: 49)	ō		ō	Ť	17	Ť	15	<u> </u>	0	Ť	43	
555.5 (62 m66) max 66616. 46)		l										

## SV-COMP 2013

- Tools:
  - CSeq
  - ESBMC
  - Threader
- Threader:
- No reds no incorrect results wrt. to the assumptions
- Only 4 unknowns
- Proofs of correctness and counterexamples
- Fastest

Tool	CPAchecker <i>F</i>	Achecker ABE r4569 CPAchecker ABM r4573 ESBMC 1.17 FShell 1.3		nell 1.3	Predator		SatAbs 3.0					
Limits	timelimit: 900 s, memlimit: 15000 MB											
System	os: Linux 2.6.35-30-generic x86_64 cpu: Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-2600K CPU @ 3.40GHz cores: 4, frequency: 3401 MHz, ram: 16375440 kB											
Date of run	2011-12-03 10:32 2011-12-04 14:			4 14:36	2011-12	-04 08:46	2011-12-05 01:14		2011-12-04 23:44		2011-12-05 13:41	
Benchmark	concurrency concurrency		ency	concurrency		concurrency		concurrency		concurrency		
Options	-heap 12500m -sU-comp12		-heap 12500m -su-comp12-abm		64error-label ERRORno-bounds-checkno-div-by-zero-checkno-assertionsno-pointer-checkno-unkinding-assertionspartial-loopsunkind 7		unwind 10 query-file benchmarks/fshell_query no-unwinding-assertions 32		, -n32		full-inliningiterations 500error-label ERRORmax-threads 5mdelchecker boomconcurrency32	
/sv-benchmarks/pthread/	status	runtime	status	runtime	status	runtime	status	runtime	status	runtime	status	runtime
fib_bench_BUG.cil.c	unknown	1.8	unknown	1.8	unsafe	14	error	0.14	unknown	0.77	timeout	910
fib_bench_longer_BUG.cil.c	unknown	1.4	unknown	1.8	unsafe	68	error	0.06	unknown	0.04	timeout	910
queue_BUG.cil.c	unknown	1.5	unknown	1.7	unsafe	0.18	error	0.07	unknown	0.04	failure	0.22
reorder_5_BUG.cil.c	unknown	1.9	unknown	1.6	unsafe	38	error	0.07	unknown	0.04	unsafe	1.4
twostage_3_BUG.cil.c	unknown	1.9	unknown	1.6	safe	900	error	0.08	unknown	0.04	failure	0.11
fib_bench.cil.c	unknown	1.4	unknown	1.4	safe	25	error	0.06	unknown	0.03	timeout	910
fib_bench_longer.cil.c	unknown	1.8	unknown	1.4	safe	120	error	0.07	unknown	0.03	timeout	910
queue_ok.cil.c	unknown	1.5	unknown	1.9	safe	0.15	error	0.07	unknown	0.04	failure	0.16
total files	8	13	8	13	8	1200	8	0.62	8	1.0	8	3600
correct results	0	0	0	0	7	270	0	0	0	0	1	1.4
false negatives	0	0	0	0	1	900	0	0	0	0	0	0
false positives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
score (8 files, max score: 11)	0		0		6		0		0		1	

## SV-COMP 2012

- Tools:
  - ESBMC
  - SatAbs

#### Conclusion

Compositional reasoning is useful

 Minimal heap reasoning required (some mutexes allocated in the heap)

Universal and existential arrays properties

Relevancy of benchmarks

## Extra Slides

## Example: "Fibonacci"

```
#include <pthread.h>
int i=1, j=1;
#define NUM 6
void *t1(void* arg){
 int k = 0;
for (k = 0; k < NUM; k++) i+=j;
void *t2(void* arg){
 int k = 0;
for (k = 0; k < NUM; k++) j+=i;
```

```
int main(int argc, char **argv){
  pthread_t id1, id2;
  pthread_create(&id1, NULL, t1, NULL);
  pthread_create(&id2, NULL, t2, NULL);
  assert(i <= 377 && j <= 377);
  return 0;
}</pre>
```

$$R_1(v) = (i \le 1 \land i \le 2 \land i \le 3)$$
  
 $\land ... \land i \le 377)$   
 $R_2(v) = (i \le 1 \land i \le 2 \land i \le 3)$   
 $\land i \le 5 \land ...)$ 

```
#define SIZE (5)
                                                   void *t1(void *arg) {
#define OVERFLOW (-1)
                                                      int i; unsigned int tmp; for(i=0; i<SIZE; i++)
#define UNDERFLOW (-2)
                                                      pthread mutex lock(&m);
                                                     tmp = nondet() % SIZE;
                                                      if ((push(arr,tmp)==OVERFLOW)) assert(0);
static int top=0, arr[SIZE];
pthread mutex t m;
                                                      pthread mutex unlock(&m);
                                                   }}
void inc top(void){ top++;}
void dec top(void){ top--;}
                                                   void *t2(void *arg) {
int get top(void){ return top;}
                                                     for(int i=0; i<SIZE; i++) {
int stack empty(void){ (top==0) ? TRUE : FALSE; }
                                                        pthread mutex lock(&m);
                                                        if (top>0) {
int push(unsigned int *stack, int x){
                                                          if (pop(arr)==UNDERFLOW)) assert(0);
if (top==SIZE) {
  printf("stack overflow\n"); return OVERFLOW;
                                                        pthread mutex unlock(&m);
 } else { stack[get top()] = x; inc top(); }
                                                   }}
return 0;
                                                   int main(void) {
                                                        pthread tid1, id2;
                                                        pthread mutex init(&m, 0);
int pop(unsigned int *stack){
                                                        pthread create(&id1, NULL, t1, NULL);
  if (top==0)
                                                        pthread create(&id2, NULL, t2, NULL);
    printf("stack underflow\n");
                                                        pthread join(id1, NULL);
    return UNDERFLOW;
                                                        pthread join(id2, NULL);
  } else { dec top(); return stack[get top()];
                                                        return 0;
  return 0;
```

stack safe.c

## References

[POPL'11]	Solving recfree over LI	with Gupta
[ATVA'10]	Control abstraction	with Gupta
[APLAS'11]	Solving recfree over LI+UF	with Gupta
[TACAS'12]	Termination proof rules	
[PLDI'12]	Solving recursive Horn clauses	with Grebenshchikov, Lopes
[CAV'11]	Threader – tool paper	with Gupta
[TACAS'12]	HSF – competition contribution	with Grebenshchikov, Lopes
[TACAS'13]	Threader – competition contribution	