

RICHMOND ESSIEKU PS 3 & PS4

PART 3

```
Rich_Data_ = New_Concatenated_Data1
matrixData = table2array(New_Concatenated_Data1);
matrixData = repmat(matrixData, 15, 1);
% Extract submatrices from A
Export = matrixData(:, 1:15);           % First 15 columns
size(Export)
distance = matrixData(:, 16:30);        % Next 15 columns
size(distance)
GDP = matrixData(:, 31);                % 31st column
size(GDP)
contiguity = matrixData(:, end-14:end);  % Last 15 columns
size(contiguity)
```

```
% % Take logs
log_(export) = log(X);
size(log_(export))
log_(distance) = log(distance);
size(log_(distance))
log_(contiguity) = log(contiguity);
size(log_(contiguity))
log_(GDP) = log(GDP);
size(log_(GDP))
```

Rich_Data_1_

Rich_Data_1_ = 3375x6 table

Rich_Data.Properties

```
ans =
    TableProperties with properties:

        Description: ''
        UserData: []
    DimensionNames: {'Row' 'Variables'}
    VariableNames: {'log_export_' 'log_distance_' 'log_contiguity_'
'log_Pi_' 'log_Pj_' 'log_GDP_'}
    VariableDescriptions: {}
    VariableUnits: {}
    VariableContinuity: []
    RowNames: {}
    CustomProperties: No custom properties are set.
```

Use addprop and rmprop to modify CustomProperties.

```
summary(Rich_Data_1_)
```

Variables:

log_export_: 3375×1 double

Values:

Min	2.7391
Median	9.6268
Max	12.889

log_distance_: 3375×1 double

Values:

Min	1.8353
Median	3.7811
Max	11.655

log_contiguity_: 3375×1 double

Values:

Min	0
Median	0
Max	1

log_Pi_: 3375×1 double

Values:

Min	0
Median	0
Max	1

log_Pj_: 3375×1 double

Values:

Min	0
Median	0
Max	1

log_GDP_: 3375×1 double

Values:

Min	-4.5491
Median	1.2927
Max	13.287

```
% Define the gravity model formula
% Define the gravity model formula
gravity_model_formula = 'log_export_~ log_GDP_ + log_distance_ +
log_contiguity_ + log_Pi_ + log_Pj_';
```

```
%gravity_model_formula = 'Rich_Data.log_export_~ Rich_Data.log_GDP_ -
Rich_Data.log_distance_ + Rich_Data.log_contiguity_ + Rich_Data.log_Pi_ +
Rich_Data.log_Pj_';
```

```
% Estimate gravity model
```

```
gravity_model = fitlm(Rich_Data, gravity_model_formula);
```

```
% Display the regression results
```

```
disp(gravity_model);
```

Linear regression model:

log_export_ ~ 1 + log_distance_ + log_contiguity_ + log_Pi_ + log_Pj_ + log_GDP_

Estimated Coefficients:

	Estimate	SE	tStat	pValue
(Intercept)	12.973	0.055888	232.12	0
log_distance_	-0.90555	0.016625	-54.468	0
log_contiguity_	0.017231	0.039263	0.43886	0.66079
log_Pi_	0.16729	0.048556	3.4453	0.00057736
log_Pj_	0.16785	0.048767	3.4418	0.00058482
log_GDP_	-0.049556	0.008778	-5.6455	1.7835e-08

Number of observations: 3375, Error degrees of freedom: 3369

Root Mean Squared Error: 0.697

R-squared: 0.839, Adjusted R-Squared: 0.839

F-statistic vs. constant model: 3.51e+03, p-value = 0

```
% Step 3: Report Point Estimates and Standard Errors
```

```
disp('Point Estimates (Gravity Model):');
```

Point Estimates (Gravity Model):

```
disp(gravity_model.Coefficients.Estimate);
```

```
12.9726
-0.9055
0.0172
0.1673
0.1678
-0.0496
```

```
disp('Standard Errors (Gravity Model):');
```

Standard Errors (Gravity Model):

```
disp(gravity_model.Coefficients.SE);
```

```
0.0559
0.0166
0.0393
0.0486
0.0488
0.0088
```

```
% Extract structural parameters
structural_parameters = table2array(gravity_model.Coefficients(2:end, 1));

% Display structural parameters
disp('Structural Parameters:');
```

Structural Parameters:

```
disp(structural_parameters);
```

```
-0.9055
0.0172
0.1673
0.1678
-0.0496
```

Where $b = 0.1673$, $\rho = 0.0172$ and $\sigma = 0.822$

```
% Step 4: Recover Standard Errors using Delta Method
% Assuming you have a function g(theta) representing the gravity model
% This is a simplified example; you'll need to adapt it to your specific model

% Define the Jacobian matrix of g(theta) with respect to the parameters
%Jacobian = [diff(g(theta), theta(1)), diff(g(theta), theta(2)), diff(g(theta),
theta(3)), diff(g(theta), theta(4)), diff(g(theta), theta(5))];
% Calculate the standard errors using the Delta Method formula

%cov_matrix = gravity_model.CoefficientCovariance; % Covariance matrix from
fitlm

%se_delta_method = sqrt(diag(Jacobian * cov_matrix * Jacobian'));

%disp('Standard Errors (Delta Method):');
%disp(se_delta_method);
num_obs = 3375;

% Step 4: Recover Point Estimates and Standard Errors using Delta Method
% Define the Jacobian matrix of the gravity model equation with respect to
parameters

Jacobian = [ones(num_obs, 1), Rich_Data.log_GDP_, Rich_Data.log_distance_,
Rich_Data.log_contiguity_, Rich_Data.log_Pi_, Rich_Data.log_Pj_];
```

```

% Calculate the point estimates using the Delta Method formula
point_estimates_delta_method = gravity_model.Coefficients.Estimate;

% Calculate the standard errors using the Delta Method formula
cov_matrix = gravity_model.CoefficientCovariance;

se_delta_method = sqrt(diag(Jacobian * cov_matrix * Jacobian'));
disp('Point Estimates (Delta Method):');

```

Point Estimates (Delta Method):

```
disp(point_estimates_delta_method);
```

```

12.9726
-0.9055
 0.0172
 0.1673
 0.1678
-0.0496

```

```
disp('Standard Errors (Delta Method):');
```

Standard Errors (Delta Method):

```
disp(se_delta_method);
```

```

0.4644
0.3692
0.3531
0.3741
0.3978
0.3731
0.3917
0.3507
0.3837
0.3863
0.4075
0.3813
0.3481
0.3636
0.3971
0.4644
0.3692
0.3531
0.3741
0.3978
0.3731
.....

```

PART 4

```
% Import the data
IV_Data = readtable("/Users/richmondessieku/Downloads/IV_Data.xlsx", opts2,
"UseExcel", false);

% Clear temporary variables
clear opts2

% Display results
IV_Data
```

```
IV_Data = 65535x7 table
```

```
size(IV_Data)
```

```
ans = 1x2
      65535      7
```

% PS 4

Part 1

In light of the question, the export is identified as the dependent variable, while the independent variable, protectionist policy, is notably missing from the model. In this scenario, I have opted to employ "origin population" as an instrumental variable in lieu of the missing protectionist policy, and I offer the following rationale for this choice according to the requirement of the question.

The choice of the IV must satisfy the orthogonality and rank tests to be considered a valid instrument.

Orthogonality Test

The orthogonality test requires that the instrumental variable (origin population in this case) is uncorrelated with the error term of the regression model and is only correlated with the omitted variable (protectionist policy) through its impact on the export.

Relevance

To start, we need to justify that "origin population" is relevant to the model. I can argue that larger populations in the origin country are likely to lead to increased exports because a larger domestic market can support more production and export activities. This relationship is theoretically sound and widely accepted in international trade theory.

Exogeneity

To satisfy the orthogonality condition, I assume that "origin population" is not correlated with unobserved factors affecting exports other than the omitted variable (protectionist policy). While this assumption may not always hold perfectly in practice, I can argue that population size is a relatively stable and exogenous variable over the short to medium term. It's unlikely that countries would significantly alter their population sizes in response to specific changes in protectionist policies. Therefore, "origin population" is a plausible exogenous variable.

Lack of Direct Effect

It's essential to argue that "origin population" does not directly affect exports between the two countries independently of protectionist policies. For example, it should not be the case that a larger population automatically leads to more exports without considering the influence of protectionist policies. If such a direct effect exists, it could violate the orthogonality assumption.

Rank Test

The rank test checks whether the instrument has a higher correlation with the endogenous variable (exports) than with the omitted variable (protectionist policy). In this case, the rank test assesses whether "origin population" is a better predictor of exports than it is of protectionist policies.

Strength of Instrument

To satisfy the rank test, I argue that "origin population" is a stronger predictor of exports than protectionist policies. This argument can be made by demonstrating that changes in "origin population" have a more substantial and statistically significant effect on exports, as compared to their effect on protectionist policies when included in a model.

% First stage regression

```
model_formula1 = 'log_distance_ ~ Log_pop_pwt_o_';
first_stage_model = fitlm(IV_Data, model_formula1);
IV_Data.predicted_DIST = predict(first_stage_model, IV_Data);
IV_Data
%n_obs = 65535;
%IV_Data.predicted_DIST = IV_Data.predicted_DIST + randn(n_obs, 1);
%IV_Data
% Display results
disp('First Stage Regression:');
```

First Stage Regression:

```
disp(first_stage_model);
```

Linear regression model:
log_distance_ ~ 1 + Log_pop_pwt_o_

Estimated Coefficients:

Estimate	SE	tStat	pValue
----------	----	-------	--------

(Intercept)	3.9149	0.0049124	796.94	0
Log_pop_pwt_o_	5.6769e-05	0.00060231	0.094253	0.92491

Number of observations: 65535, Error degrees of freedom: 65533
Root Mean Squared Error: 0.364
R-squared: 1.36e-07, Adjusted R-Squared: -1.51e-05
F-statistic vs. constant model: 0.00888, p-value = 0.925

```
% Second stage regression (2SLS)
model_formula2 = 'log_export_ ~ - predicted_DIST + log_GDP_ + log_contiguity_ +
log_Pi_ + log_Pj_';
second_stage_model = fitlm(IV_Data, model_formula2);

% Display results
disp('Second Stage Regression (2SLS):');
```

Second Stage Regression (2SLS):

```
disp(second_stage_model);
```

Linear regression model:
log_export_ ~ 1 + log_contiguity_ + log_Pi_ + log_Pj_ + log_GDP_

Estimated Coefficients:

	Estimate	SE	tStat	pValue
(Intercept)	10.026	0.0022485	4458.9	0
log_contiguity_	-0.21084	0.012139	-17.369	2.0029e-67
log_Pi_	-0.0409	0.01452	-2.8168	0.0048512
log_Pj_	-0.085548	0.014558	-5.8763	4.216e-09
log_GDP_	-0.47624	0.0012295	-387.34	0

Number of observations: 65535, Error degrees of freedom: 65530
Root Mean Squared Error: 0.217
R-squared: 0.697, Adjusted R-Squared: 0.697
F-statistic vs. constant model: 3.78e+04, p-value = 0

```
% The Minimum Chi-Square Estimator (MCSE)
```

```
% Define the structural equation model
model_formula3 = 'log_export_~ log_GDP_ + log_distance_ + log_contiguity_ +
log_Pi_ + log_Pj_';
% Fit the model using the Minimum Chi-Square Estimator
options = statset('MaxIter', 100); % Increase max iterations if needed
fitResult = fit(IV_Data, model_formula3, 'mcest', 'Options', options);
```

```
Error using fit>iFit
X must be a matrix with one or two columns.
```

```
Error in fit (line 116)
[fitobj, goodness, output, convmsg] = iFit( xdatain, ydatain, fittypeobj, ...
```



```
% Display results  
%disp(fitResult);
```