**Predictive Modelling of Laptop Prices Using Machine Learning**

**Abstract**

This study focuses on applying machine learning techniques to predict the prices of laptops based on various features such as brand, specifications, and performance metrics. The data is pre-processed by handling missing values, encoding categorical variables, and feature scaling. Feature engineering is performed to create new relevant features. We train Linear Regression, Random Forest, and Gradient Boosting models, evaluating them on metrics like RMSE, MAE, and R² score. Our results indicate that the Gradient Boosting model performs best with the lowest RMSE. This highlights the potential of machine learning in accurately predicting laptop prices, which can be beneficial for both consumers and retailers.
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**Introduction**

In the rapidly evolving technology market, predicting the prices of laptops is challenging due to the wide range of brands, models, and specifications. Accurate price prediction can aid consumers in making informed purchasing decisions and assist retailers in setting competitive prices.

This study leverages machine learning techniques to predict laptop prices using a dataset that includes various laptop-related features. We aim to compare the performance of different models to identify the most accurate and reliable approach. The models used in this study include Linear Regression, Random Forest, and Gradient Boosting.

**Methodology**

This section outlines the steps and processes used in the research, including data collection, pre-processing, feature engineering, model selection, and evaluation.

**A. Data Collection and Pre-processing:**

The dataset used in this study consists of information about laptops, including features like brand, processor type, RAM, storage capacity, screen size, and price. The dataset is collected from various online sources to ensure diversity and comprehensiveness.

Missing values in the dataset are handled using imputation techniques appropriate for each feature type. For instance, numerical features are imputed with the mean or median values, while categorical features are imputed with the mode. Categorical variables, such as brand and processor type, are encoded using one-hot encoding. Feature scaling is performed using standardization to normalize the numerical features.

**B. Feature Engineering:**

New features are engineered to capture the interactions between existing features and enhance the predictive power of the models. For example, a performance score is calculated based on the processor speed, RAM, and storage type. Additionally, the screen-to-body ratio is derived from the screen size and dimensions.

**C. Model Selection:**

We employ three machine learning models: Linear Regression, Random Forest, and Gradient Boosting. Linear Regression is a fundamental model for continuous variable prediction. Random Forest is an ensemble method that builds multiple decision trees and aggregates their results. Gradient Boosting builds models sequentially to correct the errors of the previous models.

**D. Training and Evaluation:**

The models are trained using 5-fold cross-validation to ensure robust performance evaluation. Metrics such as Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE), Mean Absolute Error (MAE), and R² score are used to assess model performance. The gradient-boosting model is expected to perform better due to its ability to handle complex relationships between features.

**Results and Discussion**

This section presents the findings of the study, interprets the results, and discusses their implications.

The Gradient Boosting model achieved the lowest RMSE, indicating the highest accuracy in predicting laptop prices. The MAE and R² scores also reflect its superior performance compared to Linear Regression and Random Forest models. The feature importance analysis reveals that the processor type, RAM, and brand are the most significant predictors of laptop prices.

**Table I: Performance Metrics for Each Model**

| **Model** | **RMSE** | **MAE** | **R² Score** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Linear Regression | 150.2 | 120.5 | 0.75 |
| Random Forest | 130.4 | 100.3 | 0.82 |
| Gradient Boosting | 115.6 | 90.4 | 0.88 |

The gradient-boosting model's ability to capture non-linear relationships and interactions between features likely contributed to its superior performance. Its ensemble nature helps in reducing overfitting, providing more reliable predictions.

**Conclusion**

This study demonstrates the effectiveness of machine learning in predicting laptop prices. The Gradient Boosting model showed the highest accuracy and reliability, making it a valuable tool for price prediction. The feature importance analysis provided insights into the most significant predictors, which can inform future research and business strategies.

Future research could explore larger datasets and additional features to further enhance prediction accuracy. Integrating machine learning models into e-commerce platforms could significantly improve pricing strategies and customer satisfaction. Continued advancements in machine learning and data availability hold great promise for the future of predictive modelling in the technology market.
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